

www.math.science.cmu.ac.th/thaijournal

Some Transformation Semigroups Admitting Nearing Structure

Ng. Danpattanamongkon, P. Udomkavanich and Y. Kemprasit

Abstract : Denote by T(X) and P(X) the full transformation semigroup and the partial transformation semigroup on a nonempty set X, respectively. The semigroups T(X) and P(X) are known to admit a right nearring structure for any X and they admit a left nearring structure only the case that |X| = 1. We generalize these results to the semigroups T(X, Y) and P(X, Y) under composition where $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X$, $T(X, Y) = \{\alpha \in T(X) | \operatorname{ran} \alpha \subseteq Y\}$ and $P(X, Y) = \{\alpha \in$ $P(X) | \operatorname{ran} \alpha \subseteq Y\}$. We obtain the analogous results that T(X, Y) and P(X, Y)admit a right nearring structure for any $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X$ and |Y| = 1 is necessary and sufficient for them to admit a left nearring structure.

Keywords : Transformation semigroup, nearring.2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M20.

1 Introduction

The cardinality of a set X will be denoted by |X|.

If S is a semigroup which does not process a zero or |S| = 1, let S^0 denote the semigroup S with zero 0; otherwise $S^0 = S$.

By a *right nearring* we mean a triple $(N, +, \cdot)$ where (N, +) is an abelian group, (N, \cdot) is a semigroup and $(x+y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z$ for all $x, y, z \in N$. A *left nearring* is defined dually. Subnearrings of a right [left] nearring are defined naturally. A right [left] nearring $(N, +, \cdot)$ has the following basic properties :

 $0 \cdot x = 0$ $[x \cdot 0 = 0]$ for all $x \in N$ where 0 is the identity of (N, +), $(-x) \cdot y = -(x \cdot y)$ $[x \cdot (-y) = -(x \cdot y)]$ for all $x, y \in N$

([2], page 19). Hence if $(N, +, \cdot)$ is a right [left] nearring, then (N, \cdot) has a left [right] zero. A right [left] nearring is called *zero-symmetric* if $0 \cdot x = x \cdot 0 = 0$ for all $x \in N$.

Example 1.1. ([2], page 7 and page 19.) Let (A, +) be an abelian group with identity 0, M(A) the set of all mappings $f : A \to A$ and

$$M_0(A) = \{ f \in M(A) | f(0) = 0 \}.$$

Then $(M(A), +, \circ)$ is a right nearring and $(M_0(A), +, \circ)$ is a zero-symmetric right nearring where + and \circ are the usual addition and composition of functions.

Since the multiplicative structure of a ring is by definition a semigroup with zero, it is valid to ask whether a given semigroup S has S^0 isomorphic to the multiplicative structure of some ring $(R, +, \cdot)$. If ϕ is an isomorphism from the semigroup S^0 onto the semigroup (R, \cdot) and define an operation \oplus on S^0 by

$$x \oplus y = \phi^{-1}(\phi(x) + \phi(y))$$
 for all $x, y \in S^0$,

then (S^0, \oplus, \circ) becomes a ring isomorphic to $(R, +, \cdot)$ through ϕ . If the semigroup S has S^0 isomorphic to the multiplicative structure of some ring, or equivalently, there is an operation + on S^0 such that $(S^0, +, \cdot)$ is a ring where \cdot is the operation on S^0 , then S is said to *admit a ring structure*. Semigroups admitting ring structure have long been studied. A very nice brief survey was given by Peinado [7] in 1970. For further study, one can see, for example, in [1], [6], [9], [10] and [11].

Right [left] nearrings are a generization of rings. By definition and their properties, their multiplicative structures are semigroups with left [right] zero. The right nearrings in Example 1.1, the zero map θ , that is, $\theta(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$, is a left zero of $(M(A), \circ)$ which is not a zero if |A| > 1 and θ is the zero of $(M_0(A), \circ)$. It is valid to ask that for a given semigroup S, whether S or S^0 is isomorphic to the multiplicative structure of some right [left] nearring. If it does, S shall be said to admit a right [left] nearring structure. By the same reason as above, S admits a right [left] nearring structure if and only if

- (i) there is an operation + on S such that $(S,+,\cdot)$ is a right [left] nearring where \cdot is the operation on S or
- (ii) there is an operation + on S^0 such that $(S^0, +, \cdot)$ is a (zero-symmetric) right [left] nearring where \cdot is the operation on S^0 .

Notice that if S has no left [right] zero, then S cannot satisfies (i).

If X is a set, (A, +) is an abelian group and |X| = |A|, then there is a bijective $\phi : X \to A$ and (X, \oplus) becomes an abelian group isomorphic to (A, +) by ϕ where

$$x \oplus y = \phi^{-1}(\phi(x) + \phi(y))$$
 for all $x, y \in X$.

In fact, the identity of (X, \oplus) is $a \in X$ with $\phi(a) = 0$. Hence if |X| = |A| and $a \in X$, then there is an operation \oplus on X such that (X, \oplus) is an abelian group with identity a. If X is a finite nonempty set, then $|X| = |\mathbb{Z}_n|$ for some positive integer n. Also, if X is infinite and F(X) is the set of all finite subsets of X, then |X| = |F(X)| ([8], page 154). Moreover, if we define an operation + on F(X) by

$$A + B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$$
 for all $A, B \in F(X)$,

then (F(X), +) is an abelian group having \emptyset as its identity. Note that the inverse of $A \in F(X)$ in (F(X), +) is A itself.

Therefore we have

Proposition 1.2. For any nonempty set X, there is an operation + on X such that (X, +) is an abelian group.

In addition, if $a \in X$ is given, then there is an operation + on X such that (X, +) is an abelian group with identity a.

The first part of this fact was also mentioned in [11].

For a nonempty set X, let T(X) and P(X) be respectively the full transformation semigroup on X (the semigroup, under composition, of all mappings $f: X \to X$) and the partial transformation semigroup on X (the semigroup, under composition, of all mappings from a subset of X into X). Then T(X) is a subsemigroup of P(X). Note that 1_X , the identity mapping on X, is the identity of T(X) and P(X) and 0, the empty transformation, is the zero of P(X). The domain and the range of $f \in P(X)$ will be denoted by dom f and ran f, respectively. For $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq X$ and $x \in X$, let A_x denote the constant mapping in P(X) whose domain and range are A and $\{x\}$, respectively. For $x, y \in X$, $\{x\}_y$ may be written by $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x \end{pmatrix}$

by
$$\binom{w}{y}$$

The following basic fact of transformation semigroup is useful for our work.

Proposition 1.3. ([5], page 41). Let X be a nonempty set θ a symbol not representing any element of X and

$$Z(X \cup \{\theta\}) = \{g \in T(X \cup \{\theta\}) | g(\theta) = \theta\}.$$

For each $f \in P(X)$, define $f^* \in T(X \cup \{\theta\})$ by

$$f^*(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{dom}f, \\ \theta & \text{if } x \in (X \cup \{\theta\}) \smallsetminus \text{dom}f. \end{cases}$$

Then the following statements hold.

(i) $Z(X \cup \{\theta\})$ is a subsemigroup of $T(X \cup \{\theta\})$ and

$$Z(X \cup \{\theta\}) = \{f^* | f \in P(X)\}.$$

(ii) The mapping $f \mapsto f^*$ is an isomorphism from P(X) onto the subsemigroup $Z(X \cup \{\theta\})$ of $T(X \cup \{\theta\})$.

The following theorems were provided in [3] and [4].

Theorem 1.4. ([3], [4]). For a nonempty set X, the following statements hold.

- (i) T(X) admits a right nearring structure.
- (ii) T(X) admits a left nearring structure if and only if |X| = 1.

Theorem 1.5. ([3], [4]). For any nonempty set X, the following statements hold.

- (i) P(X) admits a right nearring structure.
- (ii) P(X) admits a left nearring structure if and only if |X| = 1.

In this paper, Theorem 1.4 is generalized by considering the semigroup T(X, Y), under composition, where $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X$ and

$$T(X,Y) = \{ f \in T(X) | \operatorname{ran} f \subseteq Y \}.$$

In 1975, Symons [12] introduced the semigroup T(X,Y) and described all the automorphisms of this semigroup. Moreover, he determined when the two semigroups of this type are isomorphic. To generalize Theorem 1.5, we introduce the semigroup P(X, Y) analogously, that is, $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X$,

$$P(X,Y) = \{ f \in P(X) | \operatorname{ran} f \subseteq Y \}$$

and the operation is the composition of functions. Notice that T(X,Y) is a subsemigroup of T(X) and T(X,Y) = T(X) if and only if Y = X, and this is also true for P(X, Y).

$\mathbf{2}$ The Transformation Semigroups T(X, Y) and P(X, Y)

The following theorem is our first main result.

Theorem 2.1. Let X be a nonempty set and $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X$. Then the following statemants hold.

- (i) The semigroup T(X, Y) admits a right nearring structure. (ii) The semigroup T(X, Y) admits a left nearring structure if and only if |Y| =1.

Proof. (i) By Proposition 1.2, there is an operation + on Y such that (Y, +) is an abelian group. For $f, g \in T(X, Y)$, define $f + g \in T(X, Y)$ by

$$(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$$
 for all $x \in X$.

Since (Y, +) is an abelian group. We deduce that (T(X, Y), +) is an abelian group. We also have that for all $f, g, h \in T(X, Y)$,

$$((f+g) \circ h)(x) = (f+g)(h(x)) = f(h(x)) + g(h(x)) = (f \circ h + g \circ h)(x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Hence $(T(X,Y),+,\circ)$ is a right nearring. Thus T(X,Y) admits a right nearring structure.

(ii) Assume that |Y| > 1. Let a, b be distinct elements of Y. Then $X_a, X_b \in$ T(X,Y). Since $X_a f = X_a$ and $X_b f = X_b$ for all $f \in T(X,Y)$, it follows that T(X,Y) has no right zero. Suppose that T(X,Y) admits a left nearring structure. Then there is an operation + on $T^0(X,Y)$ such that $(T^0(X,Y),+,\circ)$ is a left nearring. Hence $X_a + X_b = f$ for some $f \in T^0(X, Y)$.

Case 1: f = 0. Then $X_a + X_b = 0$ and

$$X_a + X_a = X_a X_a + X_a X_b = X_a (X_a + X_b) = X_a 0 = 0$$

4

which implies that $X_b = X_a$. This is a contradiction since $a \neq b$.

Case 2: $f \neq 0$. Then

$$X_a + X_a = X_a(X_a + X_b) = X_a f = X_a$$

which implies that $X_a = 0$, a contradiction.

This proves that if T(X, Y) admits a left nearring structure, then |Y| = 1. The converse is obvious since |T(X, Y)| = 1 if |Y| = 1.

Therefore Theorem 1.4 is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.1

Corollary 2.2. Let X be a nonempty set. Then the following statements hold.

- (i) T(X) admits a right nearring structure.
- (ii) T(X) admits a left nearring structure if and only if |X| = 1.

By the given definitions, if a semigroup S admits a ring structure, then S admits left [right] nearring structure. From this fact and $T^0(X,Y) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2, \cdot)$ if |Y| = 1, by Theorem 2.1(ii), we have

Corollary 2.3. Let X be a nonempty set and $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X$. Then T(X,Y) admits a ring structure if and only if |Y| = 1.

In particular, T(X) admits a ring structure if and only if |X| = 1.

In fact, the second part of Corollary 2.3 was given in [11].

To prove the second part of main result, the following lemma is needed.

Lemma 2.4. Let X be a nonempty set and $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X$. If |Y| = 1, then P(X, Y) admits a ring structure.

Proof. Let $Y = \{a\}$. We clearly have that

$$P(X,Y) = \{0\} \cup \{A_a | \emptyset \neq A \subseteq X\}.$$
(2.1)

Let $\mathcal{P}(X)$ be the set of all subsets of X. Define an operation + on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ by

$$A + B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A) \quad \text{for all } A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X).$$
(2.2)

Then $(\mathcal{P}(X), +)$ is an abelian group having \emptyset as its identity. Define $\phi : P(X, Y) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ by

$$\phi(0) = \emptyset$$
 and $\phi(A_a) = A$ for all $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq X$. (2.3)

Then by (2.1), ϕ is a bijection from P(X, Y) onto $\mathcal{P}(X)$, so $(P(X, Y), \oplus)$ is an abelian group with identity 0 where

$$f \oplus g = \phi^{-1}(\phi(f) + \phi(g)) \quad \text{for all } f, g \in P(X, Y).$$

$$(2.4)$$

It follows from (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) that for nonempty subsets A, B of X,

$$A_a \oplus B_a = 0 \Leftrightarrow A = B, \tag{2.5}$$

$$A \neq B \Rightarrow A_a \oplus B_a = ((A \smallsetminus B) \cup (B \smallsetminus A))_a.$$
(2.6)

Hence (2.1) and (2.5) yield

$$f \oplus f = 0$$
 for all $f \in P(X, Y)$. (2.7)

Next, we shall show that \circ is two-sided distributive over \oplus in P(X,Y). If $f,g,h \in P(X,Y)$ are such that f = 0 or g = 0 or h = 0, then we clearly have that $f(g \oplus h) = fg \oplus fh$ and $(g \oplus h)f = gf \oplus hf$. Next, let A, B, C be nonempty subsets of X.

Case 1: $B_a \oplus C_a = 0$. By (2.5), B = C. Thus

$$A_a(B_a \oplus C_a) = 0 = (B_a \oplus C_a)A_a,$$

$$A_aB_a \oplus A_aC_a = \begin{cases} B_a \oplus C_a = 0 & \text{if } a \in A, \\ 0 \oplus 0 = 0 & \text{if } a \notin A, \end{cases}$$

$$B_aA_a \oplus C_aA_a = B_aA_a \oplus B_aA_a = 0 & \text{by } (2.7).$$

Case 2: $B_a \oplus C_a \neq 0$. From (2.5) and (2.6), we have $B \neq C$ and $B_a \oplus C_a = ((B \setminus C) \cup (C \setminus B))_a$, respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} A_a(B_a \oplus C_a) &= A_a((B \smallsetminus C) \cup (C \smallsetminus B))_a \\ &= \begin{cases} ((B \smallsetminus C) \cup (C \smallsetminus B))_a = B_a \oplus C_a & \text{if } a \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin A, \end{cases} \\ (B_a \oplus C_a)A_a &= ((B \smallsetminus C) \cup (C \smallsetminus B))_aA_a \\ &= \begin{cases} A_a & \text{if } a \in (B \smallsetminus C) \cup (C \smallsetminus B), \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin (B \smallsetminus C) \cup (C \smallsetminus B), \end{cases} \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin (B \smallsetminus C) \cup (C \smallsetminus B), \end{cases} \\ A_aB_a \oplus A_aC_a &= \begin{cases} B_a \oplus C_a & \text{if } a \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin A, \end{cases} \\ B_aA_a \oplus C_aA_a &= \begin{cases} A_a \oplus 0 = A_a & \text{if } a \in B \smallsetminus C, \\ 0 \oplus A_a = A_a & \text{if } a \in C \smallsetminus B, \\ A_a \oplus A_a = 0 & \text{if } a \notin B \cup C, \\ 0 \oplus 0 = 0 & \text{if } a \notin B \cup C, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} A_a & \text{if } a \in (B \smallsetminus C) \cup (C \smallsetminus B), \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin (B \smallsetminus C) \cup (C \smallsetminus B), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This shows that \circ is two-sided distributive over \oplus . Hence $(P(X,Y), \oplus, \circ)$ is a left nearring. Hence the lemma is proved.

Theorem 2.5. Let X be a nonempty set and $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X$. Then the following statemants hold.

(i) The semigroup P(X, Y) admits a right nearring structure. (ii) The semigroup P(X, Y) admits a left nearring structure if and only if |Y| = 1.

Proof. (i) Let θ be a symbol not representing any element of X. For $f \in P(X)$, define $f^* \in T(X \cup \{\theta\})$ as in Proposition 1.3, that is,

$$f^*(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{dom}f, \\ \theta & \text{if } x \in (X \cup \{\theta\}) \smallsetminus \text{dom}f. \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

Fron (2.1), we have

$$\operatorname{ran} f^* = \operatorname{ran} f \cup \{\theta\} \quad \text{for all } f \in P(X).$$
 (2.2)

Define $\phi: P(X) \to T(X \cup \{\theta\})$ by $\phi(f) = f^*$ for all $f \in P(X)$. By Proposition 1.3, ϕ is a monomorphism and

$$\phi(P(X)) = \{ f^* | f \in P(X) \} = \{ g \in T(X \cup \{\theta\}) | g(\theta) = \theta \}.$$
(2.3)

Hence (2.2) and (2.3) yield the fact that

$$\phi(P(X,Y)) = \{f^* | f \in P(X,Y)\} = \{g \in T(X \cup \{\theta\}, Y \cup \{\theta\}) | g(\theta) = \theta\}.$$
 (2.4)

Note that $\{g \in T(X \cup \{\theta\}, Y \cup \{\theta\}) | g(\theta) = \theta\}$ is a subsemigroup of $T(X \cup \{\theta\}, Y \cup \{\theta\})$. By Proposition 1.2, there is an operation + on $Y \cup \{\theta\}$ such that $(Y \cup \{\theta\}, +)$ is an abelian group with identity θ . From the proof of Theorem 2.1, $(T(X \cup \{\theta\}, Y \cup \{\theta\}), +, \circ)$ is a right nearring where

$$(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) \quad \text{for all } f, g \in T(X \cup \{\theta\}, Y \cup \{\theta\})$$

and $x \in X \cup \{\theta\}.$

If $h, k \in T(X \cup \{\theta\}, Y \cup \{\theta\})$ are such that $h(\theta) = \theta = k(\theta)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (h+k)(\theta) &= h(\theta) + k(\theta) = \theta + \theta = 0, \\ (-h)(\theta) &= -h(\theta) = -\theta = \theta. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\{g \in T(X \cup \{\theta\}, Y \cup \{\theta\}) | g(\theta) = \theta\}$ is a subnearring of $(T(X \cup \{\theta\}, Y \cup \{\theta\}), +, \circ)$. Since ϕ is a monomorphism, by (2.4), we have that the semigroups P(X, Y) and $\{g \in T(X \cup \{\theta\}, Y \cup \{\theta\}) | g(\theta) = \theta\}$ are isomorphic. Consequently P(X, Y) admits a right nearring structure.

(ii) Assume that |Y| > 1. Let $a, b \in Y$ be distinct. Then $\binom{a}{a}, \binom{a}{b} \in P(X, Y)$. Suppose that there is an operation + on P(X, Y) such that $(P(X, Y), +, \circ)$ is a left nearring. Then $\binom{a}{a} + \binom{a}{b} = f$ for some $f \in P(X, Y)$. This implies that $\binom{a}{a}f = \binom{a}{a}\left(\binom{a}{a} + \binom{a}{b}\right) = \binom{a}{a} + 0 = \binom{a}{a},$

and

$$\binom{b}{b}f = \binom{b}{b}\left(\binom{a}{a} + \binom{a}{b}\right) = 0 + \binom{a}{b} = \binom{a}{b}.$$

It follows that $a = \binom{a}{a}(a) = \binom{a}{a}f(a) = \binom{a}{a}f(a)$ and $b = \binom{a}{b}(a) = \binom{b}{b}f(a)$ which imply respectively that f(a) = a and f(a) = b. This is a contradiction since $a \neq b$. This proves that if P(X,Y) admits a left nearring structure, then |Y| = 1.

The converse follows from Lemma 2.4.

Theorem 1.5 follows directly from Theorem 2.5

Corollary 2.6. Let X be a nonempty set. Then the following statements hold.

- (i) P(X) admits a right nearring structure.
- (ii) P(X) admits a left nearring structure if and only if |X| = 1.

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.5.

Corollary 2.7. Let X be a nonempty set and $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X$. Then P(X,Y) admits a ring structure if and only if |Y| = 1.

In particular, P(X) admits a ring structure if and only if |X| = 1.

The second part of Corollary 2.7 was also proved directly in [11].

References

- D. D. Chu and H. J. Shyr, Monoids of languages admitting ring structure, Semigroup Forum 19 (1980) 127-132.
- [2] J. R. Clay, *Nearring : Geneses and applications*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [3] Ng. Danpattanamongkon, Semigroups admiting nearring structure, Master Thesis, Chulalongkon University, 2006.
- [4] Ng. Danpattanamongkon, P. Udomkavanich and Y. Kemprasit, Transformation semigroups admiting nearring structure, *Thai J. Math.*, Srec. Issue for Annual Meetings in Math. 2006 (2006), 31-37.
- [5] J. M. Howie, Fundamentals of semigroup theory, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [6] Y. Kemprasit and M. Siripitukdet, Matrix semigroups admiting ring structure, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 94 (2002), 409-412.

8

- [7] R. E. Peinado, On semigroups admiting ring structure, Semigroup Forum 1 (1970), 189-208
- [8] J. D. Monk, Introduction to set theory, Mcgraw Hill, New York, 1969.
- [9] M. Satayanarayana, On semigroups admiting ring structure, Semigroup Forum 3 (1971), 43-50.
- [10] M. Satayanarayana, On semigroups admiting ring structure II, Semigroup Forum 6 (1973), 187-189.
- [11] S. Srichaiyarat, Generalized transformation semigroups admiting ring structure, Master Thesis, Chulalongkon University, 1981.
- [12] J. S. V. Symons, Some results concerning a transformation semigroup, J. Autral. Math. Soc., Series A, 19 (1975), 413-425.

(Received 30 May 2007)

Ng. Danpattanamongkon Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Chulalongkon University Bangkok 10330, THAILAND. e-mail: ngrmchrd@hotmail.com

P. Udomkavanich Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Chulalongkon University Bangkok 10330, THAILAND. e-mail: pattanee.u@chula.ac.th

Y. Kemprasit Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Chulalongkon University Bangkok 10330, THAILAND.