



Linear-Hypersubstitutions for Algebraic Systems of Type $((n); (n))$ and Characterization of Their Idempotent Elements

Jintana Joomwong^{†,1} and Dara Phusanga[†]

[†]Division of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
Maejo University, Chiang mai 50290, Thailand
e-mail : jintana@mju.ac.th and darapu@mju.ac.th

Abstract : A formula in which each variable occurs at most once is said to be a linear-formula ([1, 2]). A linear-hypersubstitution for algebraic systems of type $((n); (n))$ is a mapping $\sigma_{t,F}$ which maps n -ary operation symbols f to n -ary linear-terms $\sigma_{t,F}(f)$ and n -ary relational symbols γ to n -ary linear-formulas $\sigma_{t,F}(\gamma)$. Any linear-hypersubstitution $\sigma_{t,F}$ can be extended to a mapping $\widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}$ on the set of all linear-terms of type (n) and linear-formulas of type $((n); (n))$. A binary operation “ \circ_{lin} ” on $Hyp^{lin}((n); (n))$ the set of all linear-hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems of type $((n); (n))$ can be defined by using this extension. The set $Hyp^{lin}((n); (n))$ together with the identity linear-hypersubstitution $(\sigma_{t,F})_{id}$ which maps $(\sigma_{t,F})_{id}(f) := f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $(\sigma_{t,F})_{id}(\gamma) := \gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ forms a monoid. The concept of an idempotent element plays an important role in semigroup theory [3]. In this paper, we characterize the idempotent of the monoid of linear-hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems of type $((n); (n))$.

Keywords : algebraic system; linear-formula; linear-hypersubstitution; idempotent.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 20M07.

1 Introduction

Algebraic systems are understood in the sense of Mal'cev (see [4]). An *algebraic system* of type (τ, τ') is a triple $\mathcal{A} := (A; (f_i^A)_{i \in I}, (\gamma_j^A)_{j \in J})$ consisting of a non-empty set A , an indexed set $(f_i^A)_{i \in I}$ of operations defined on A where $f_i^A : A^{n_i} \rightarrow A$ is n_i -ary and an indexed set of relations $\gamma_j^A \subseteq A^{n_j}$ is an n_j -ary. The pair (τ, τ') with $\tau = (n_i)_{i \in I}$, $\tau' = (n_j)_{j \in J}$ of sequences of positive integers n_i, n_j is called the *type* of \mathcal{A} .

The concept of a term and a formula are one of the fundamental concepts of algebraic system. To be independent, first we repeat the most important definitions and results on hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems (see [5]). Using for $n \geq 1$, an n -ary alphabet $X_n = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of individual variables and the alphabet $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ of operation symbols in the usual way one defines terms of type τ by the following steps :

- (i) Every $x_l \in X_n$ is an n -ary term of type τ .
- (ii) If t_1, \dots, t_{n_i} are n -ary terms of type τ and if f_i is an n_i -ary operation symbol of type τ , then $f_i(t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})$ is an n -ary term of type τ .

¹Corresponding author.

Let $W_\tau(X_n)$ be the set of all n -ary terms of type τ . If $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ is a countably infinite alphabet, then $W_\tau(X) := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} W_\tau(X_n)$ denote the set of all terms of type τ (see [6, 7, 8]).

To define quantifier free formulas of type (τ, τ') , we need the logical connectives \neg (for negation), \vee (for disjunction) and the equation symbol \approx .

Definition 1.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. An n -ary quantifier free formula of type (τ, τ') (for short, formula of type (τ, τ')) is defined in the following inductive way :

- (i) If t_1, t_2 are n -ary terms of type τ , then the equation $t_1 \approx t_2$ is an n -ary quantifier free formula of type (τ, τ') .
- (ii) If $j \in J$ and t_1, \dots, t_{n_j} are n -ary terms of type τ , then $\gamma_j(t_1, \dots, t_{n_j})$ is an n -ary quantifier free formula of type (τ, τ') .
- (iii) If F is an n -ary quantifier free formula of type (τ, τ') , then $\neg F$ is an n -ary quantifier free formula of type (τ, τ') .
- (iv) If F_1 and F_2 are n -ary quantifier free formulas of type (τ, τ') , then $F_1 \vee F_2$ is an n -ary quantifier free formula of type (τ, τ') .

Let $\mathcal{F}_{(\tau, \tau')}(X_n)$ be the set of all n -ary quantifier free formulas of type (τ, τ') and let $\mathcal{F}_{(\tau, \tau')}(X) := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_{(\tau, \tau')}(X_n)$ be the set of all quantifier free formulas of type (τ, τ') .

2 Linear-Terms of Type τ and Linear-Formulas of Type (τ, τ')

A term in which each variable occurs at most once, is said to be a linear. For a formal definition of n -ary linear-term, we replace (ii) in the definition of terms by a slightly different condition. Let $var(t)$ is the set of all variables occurring in a term t and $var(F)$ is the set of all variables occurring in a formula F .

Definition 2.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. An n -ary linear-term of type τ is defined in the following inductive way :

- (i) Every $x_j \in X_n$ is an n -ary linear-term of type τ .
- (ii) If t_1, \dots, t_{n_i} are n -ary linear-terms of type τ and $var(t_l) \cap var(t_k) = \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq l < k \leq n_i$, then $f_i(t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})$ is an n -ary linear-term of type τ .
- (iii) The set $W_\tau^{lin}(X_n)$ of all n -ary linear-terms of type τ is the smallest set which contains x_1, \dots, x_n and closed under finite applications of (ii)

The set of all linear-terms of type τ over the countably infinite alphabet X is defined by $W_\tau^{lin}(X) := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} W_\tau^{lin}(X_n)$.

Definition 2.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. An n -ary linear-formula of type (τ, τ') is defined by the following inductive way :

- (i) If t_1, t_2 are n -ary linear-terms of type τ and $var(t_1) \cap var(t_2) = \emptyset$, then the equation $t_1 \approx t_2$ is an n -ary linear-formula of type (τ, τ') .
- (ii) If t_1, \dots, t_{n_j} are n -ary linear-terms of type τ , $var(t_l) \cap var(t_k) = \emptyset$; $l, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_j\}$ and γ_j is an n_j -ary relational symbol, then $\gamma_j(t_1, \dots, t_{n_j})$ is an n -ary linear-formula of type (τ, τ') .
- (iii) If F is an n -ary linear-formula of type (τ, τ') , then $\neg F$ is an n -ary linear-formula of type (τ, τ') .
- (iv) If F_1, F_2 are n -ary linear-formulas of type (τ, τ') and $var(F_1) \cap var(F_2) = \emptyset$, then $F_1 \vee F_2$ is an n -ary linear-formula of type (τ, τ') .

Let $\mathcal{F}_{(\tau, \tau')}^{lin}(X_n)$ be the set of all n -ary linear-formulas of type (τ, τ') and let $\mathcal{F}_{(\tau, \tau')}^{lin}(X) := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_{(\tau, \tau')}^{lin}(X_n)$ be the set of all linear-formulas of type (τ, τ') .

For this paper, we consider the type $(\tau, \tau') := ((n); (n))$, then $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ can not be a linear-term, where $t_1, \dots, t_n \in W_n(X_n) \setminus X_n$ and $F_1 \vee F_2$ can not be a linear-formula, because $\text{var}(F_1) \cap \text{vae}(F_2) \neq \emptyset$ as the following the example:

Example 2.3. Let $(\tau, \tau') := ((2); (2))$ with a binary operation symbol f and a binary relational symbol γ and let $X_2 = \{x_1, x_2\}$. Then $W_{(2)}^{lin}(X_2) = \{x_1, x_2, f(x_1, x_2), f(x_2, x_1)\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{((2); (2))}^{lin}(X_2) = \{x_1 \approx x_2, x_2 \approx x_1, \gamma(x_1, x_2), \gamma(x_2, x_1), \neg(x_1 \approx x_2), \neg(x_2 \approx x_1), \neg(\gamma(x_1, x_2)), \neg(\gamma(x_2, x_1)), \neg(\neg(x_1 \approx x_2)), \dots\}$.

3 Superposition of Linear-Terms and Linear-Formulas of Type $((n); (n))$

Substituting the variables occuring in a linear-term by other linear-terms one obtains a new linear-term. This can be described by the superposition operation $S_{lin}^n, n \geq 1$ for linear-terms which is inductively defined as follows :

Definition 3.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $t, t_1, \dots, t_n \in W_n^{lin}(X_n)$ such that $\text{var}(t_l) \cap \text{var}(t_k) = \emptyset$, for $l, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. The operation

$$S_{lin}^n : W_n^{lin}(X_n) \times (W_n^{lin}(X_n))^n \rightarrow W_n^{lin}(X_n)$$

is defined in the following inductive way :

- (i) If $t = x_i$, then $S_{lin}^n(x_i, t_1, \dots, t_n) := t_i; 1 \leq i \leq n$,
- (ii) If $t = f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ and assume that, $S_{lin}^n(s_l, t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a linear-term already, for $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\text{var}(S_{lin}^n(s_l, t_1, \dots, t_n)) \cap \text{var}(S_{lin}^n(s_k, t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \emptyset; 1 \leq l, k \leq n$, then $S_{lin}^n(f(s_1, \dots, s_n), t_1, \dots, t_n) := f(S_{lin}^n(s_1, t_1, \dots, t_n), \dots, S_{lin}^n(s_n, t_1, \dots, t_n))$.

Now, we will extend this superposition of linear-terms of type (n) to a superposition of linear-formulas of type $((n); (n))$ as follows :

Definition 3.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $t, t_1, \dots, t_n \in W_n^{lin}(X_n)$ such that $\text{var}(t_l) \cap \text{var}(t_k) = \emptyset; l, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and S_{lin}^n be the superposition of linear-terms which have defined above. The operation

$$R_{lin}^n : W_n^{lin}(X_n) \cup \mathcal{F}_{((n); (n))}^{lin}(X_n) \times (W_n^{lin}(X_n))^n \rightarrow W_n^{lin}(X_n) \cup \mathcal{F}_{((n); (n))}^{lin}(X_n)$$

is defined in the following inductive way :

- (i) If $t \in W_n^{lin}(X_n)$, then $R_{lin}^n(t, t_1, \dots, t_n) := S_{lin}^n(t, t_1, \dots, t_n)$.
- (ii) If F has the form $s_1 \approx s_2$ and $\text{var}(S_{lin}^n(s_1, t_1, \dots, t_n)) \cap \text{var}(S_{lin}^n(s_2, t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \emptyset$, then $R_{lin}^n(s_1 \approx s_2, t_1, \dots, t_n) := S_{lin}^n(s_1, t_1, \dots, t_n) \approx S_{lin}^n(s_2, t_1, \dots, t_n)$.
- (iii) If F has the form $\gamma(s_1, \dots, s_n)$, and assume that $S_{lin}^n(s_l, t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is already a linear-term ; $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\text{var}(S_{lin}^n(s_l, t_1, \dots, t_n)) \cap \text{var}(S_{lin}^n(s_k, t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \emptyset; 1 \leq l, k \leq n$, then $R_{lin}^n(\gamma(s_1, \dots, s_n), t_1, \dots, t_n) := \gamma(S_{lin}^n(s_1, t_1, \dots, t_n), \dots, S_{lin}^n(s_n, t_1, \dots, t_n))$.
- (iv) If F has the form $\neg F$, and assume that $R_{lin}^n(F, t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is already a linear-formula, then $R_{lin}^n(\neg F, t_1, \dots, t_n) := \neg(R_{lin}^n(F, t_1, \dots, t_n))$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\beta \in W_n^{lin}(X_n) \cup \mathcal{F}_{((n);(n))}^{lin}(X_n)$. The operation R_{lin}^n satisfies :

(LFC1) $R_{lin}^n(R_{lin}^n(\beta, t_1, \dots, t_n), s_1, \dots, s_n) = R_{lin}^n(\beta, R_{lin}^n(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_n), \dots, R_{lin}^n(t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n))$
 whenever $t_1, \dots, t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n \in W_n^{lin}(X_n)$ and $var(t_l) \cap var(t_k) = \emptyset, var(s_l) \cap var(s_k) = \emptyset;$
 $l, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

(LFC2) $R_{lin}^n(x_i, t_1, \dots, t_n) = t_i$ whenever $t_1, \dots, t_n \in W_n^{lin}(X_n)$ and $var(t_l) \cap var(t_k) = \emptyset;$
 $l, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

(LFC3) $R_{lin}^n(\beta, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \beta$.

Proof. Let π be a permutation on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For $\beta = t \in W_n^{lin}(X_n)$, we will give a proof of (LFC1) by induction on the complexity of a linear-term t .

(i) If $t = x_i ; 1 \leq i \leq n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{lin}^n(R_{lin}^n(x_i, t_1, \dots, t_n), s_1, \dots, s_n) &= R_{lin}^n(S_{lin}^n(x_i, t_1, \dots, t_n), s_1, \dots, s_n) \\ &= S_{lin}^n(t_i, s_1, \dots, s_n) \\ &= S_{lin}^n(x_i, S_{lin}^n(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_n), \dots, S_{lin}^n(t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n)) \\ &= R_{lin}^n(x_i, R_{lin}^n(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_n), \dots, R_{lin}^n(t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n)). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $t = f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ and assume that $S_{lin}^n(S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(l)}, t_1, \dots, t_n), s_1, \dots, s_n)$
 $= S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(l)}, S_{lin}^n(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_n), \dots, S_{lin}^n(t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n)); 1 \leq l \leq n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{lin}^n(R_{lin}^n(f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), t_1, \dots, t_n), s_1, \dots, s_n) &= R_{lin}^n(S_{lin}^n(f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), t_1, \dots, t_n), s_1, \dots, s_n) \\ &= R_{lin}^n(f(S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(1)}, t_1, \dots, t_n), \dots, S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(n)}, t_1, \dots, t_n)), s_1, \dots, s_n) \\ &= f(S_{lin}^n(S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(1)}, t_1, \dots, t_n), s_1, \dots, s_n), \dots, S_{lin}^n(S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(n)}, t_1, \dots, t_n), s_1, \dots, s_n)) \\ &= f(S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(1)}, S_{lin}^n(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_n), \dots, S_{lin}^n(t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n)), \dots, \\ &\quad S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(n)}, S_{lin}^n(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_n), \dots, S_{lin}^n(t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n))) \\ &= S_{lin}^n(f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), S_{lin}^n(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_n), \dots, S_{lin}^n(t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n)) \\ &= R_{lin}^n(f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), R_{lin}^n(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_n), \dots, R_{lin}^n(t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n)). \end{aligned}$$

For $\beta = F \in \mathcal{F}_{((n);(n))}^{lin}(X_n)$, we will give a proof of (LFC1) by induction on the complexity of a linear-formula F .

(i) If F has the form $x_i \approx x_j$ for $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{lin}^n(R_{lin}^n(x_i \approx x_j, t_1, \dots, t_n), s_1, \dots, s_n) &= R_{lin}^n(S_{lin}^n(x_i, t_1, \dots, t_n) \approx S_{lin}^n(x_j, t_1, \dots, t_n), s_1, \dots, s_n) \\ &= S_{lin}^n(S_{lin}^n(x_i, t_1, \dots, t_n), s_1, \dots, s_n) \approx S_{lin}^n(S_{lin}^n(x_j, t_1, \dots, t_n), s_1, \dots, s_n) \\ &= S_{lin}^n(x_i, S_{lin}^n(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_n), \dots, S_{lin}^n(t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n)) \approx \\ &\quad S_{lin}^n(x_j, S_{lin}^n(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_n), \dots, S_{lin}^n(t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n)) \\ &= R_{lin}^n(x_i \approx x_j, R_{lin}^n(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_n), \dots, R_{lin}^n(t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n)). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If F has the form $\gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{lin}^n(R_{lin}^n(\gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), t_1, \dots, t_n), s_1, \dots, s_n) &= R_{lin}^n(\gamma(S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(1)}, t_1, \dots, t_n), \dots, S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(n)}, t_1, \dots, t_n)), s_1, \dots, s_n) \\ &= \gamma(S_{lin}^n(S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(1)}, t_1, \dots, t_n), s_1, \dots, s_n), \dots, S_{lin}^n(S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(n)}, t_1, \dots, t_n), s_1, \dots, s_n)) \\ &= \gamma(S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(1)}, S_{lin}^n(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_n), \dots, S_{lin}^n(t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n)), \dots, \\ &\quad S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(n)}, S_{lin}^n(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_n), \dots, S_{lin}^n(t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n))) \\ &= R_{lin}^n(\gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), R_{lin}^n(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_n), \dots, R_{lin}^n(t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n)). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) If F has the form $\neg F$ and assume that $R_{lin}^n(R_{lin}^n(F, t_1, \dots, t_n), s_1, \dots, s_n)$
 $= R_{lin}^n(F, R_{lin}^n(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_n), \dots, R_{lin}^n(t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n))$, then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{lin}^n(R_{lin}^n(\neg F, t_1, \dots, t_n), s_1, \dots, s_n) &= R_{lin}^n(\neg(R_{lin}^n(F, t_1, \dots, t_n)), s_1, \dots, s_n) \\ &= \neg(R_{lin}^n(R_{lin}^n(F, t_1, \dots, t_n), s_1, \dots, s_n)) \\ &= R_{lin}^n(\neg F, R_{lin}^n(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_n), \dots, R_{lin}^n(t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n)). \end{aligned}$$

For (LFC2) is clearly by Definition 3.1(i).

The proof of (LFC3), we will proceed in a similar way considering the completely of a linear-term t .

(i) If $t = x_i$; $1 \leq i \leq n$, then

$$R_{lin}^n(x_i, x_1, \dots, x_n) = S_{lin}^n(x_i, x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i.$$

(ii) If $t = f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ and assume that $R_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(l)}, x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_{\pi(l)}$; $1 \leq l \leq n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{lin}^n(f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= S_{lin}^n(f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= f(S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(1)}, x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(n)}, x_1, \dots, x_n)) \\ &= f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we will proceed in a similar way considering the completely of a linear-formula F .

(i) If F has the form $x_i \approx x_j$ for $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then

$$R_{lin}^n(x_i \approx x_j, x_1, \dots, x_n) = S_{lin}^n(x_i, x_1, \dots, x_n) \approx S_{lin}^n(x_j, x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i \approx x_j.$$

(ii) If F has the form $\gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{lin}^n(\gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \gamma(S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(1)}, x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(n)}, x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) If F has the form $\neg F$ and assume that $R_{lin}^n(F, x_1, \dots, x_n) = F$, then

$$R_{lin}^n(\neg F, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \neg(R_{lin}^n(F, x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \neg F.$$

□

4 Linear-Hypersubstitutions for Algebraic Systems of Type $((n);(n))$

The concept of linear-hypersubstitutions for universal algebras was introduced by Changphas, Dencke and Pibaljomme [9]. We are going to extend this concept to algebraic systems of type $((n);(n))$ as the following:

Definition 4.1. *Any mapping*

$$\sigma : \{f\} \cup \{\gamma\} \rightarrow W_n^{lin}(X_n) \cup \mathcal{F}_{((n);(n))}^{lin}(X_n)$$

which maps operation symbols f to linear-terms and relational symbols γ to linear-formulas preserving arities is called a linear-hypersubstitution for algebraic systems (of type $((n);(n))$).

Let $Hyp^{lin}((n);(n))$ be the set of all linear-hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems of type $((n);(n))$.

We define the extension of linear-hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems of type $((n);(n))$ as follows:

$$\hat{\sigma} : W_n^{lin}(X_n) \cup \mathcal{F}_{((n);(n))}^{lin}(X_n) \rightarrow W_n^{lin}(X_n) \cup \mathcal{F}_{((n);(n))}^{lin}(X_n)$$

inductively defined as follows:

- (i) $\hat{\sigma}[x] := x$ for any variable $x \in X_n$,
- (ii) $\hat{\sigma}[f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})] := S_{lin}^n(\sigma(f), \hat{\sigma}[x_{\pi(1)}], \dots, \hat{\sigma}[x_{\pi(n)}])$,
- (iii) $\hat{\sigma}[x_i \approx x_j] := \hat{\sigma}[x_i] \approx \hat{\sigma}[x_j]$ for $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,
- (iv) $\hat{\sigma}[\gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})] := R_{lin}^n(\sigma(\gamma), \hat{\sigma}[x_{\pi(1)}], \dots, \hat{\sigma}[x_{\pi(n)}])$,
- (v) $\hat{\sigma}[\neg F] := \neg \hat{\sigma}[F]$ for $F \in \mathcal{F}_{((n);(n))}^{lin}(X_n)$.

Then, $\widehat{\sigma}$ is called the extension of a linear-hypersubstitution for algebraic system σ .

Next, we defined a binary operation " \circ_{lin} " on $Hyp^{lin}((n);(n))$ by $\sigma_1 \circ_{lin} \sigma_2 := \widehat{\sigma}_1 \circ \sigma_2$ where \circ denotes the usual composition of mapping and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in Hyp^{lin}((n);(n))$. The purpose of this paper, the structure $(Hyp^{lin}((n);(n)), \circ_{lin}, \sigma_{id})$ becomes a monoid. An important property for extension is proved as follows:

Lemma 4.2. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\sigma \in Hyp^{lin}((n);(n))$, and let $t_1, \dots, t_n \in W_n^{lin}(X_n)$ and $var(t_l) \cap var(t_k) = \emptyset; 1 \leq l, k \leq n$. Then

$$\widehat{\sigma}[R_{lin}^n(\beta, t_1, \dots, t_n)] = R_{lin}^n(\widehat{\sigma}[\beta], \widehat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[t_n]),$$

for any $\beta \in W_n^{lin}(X_n) \cup \mathcal{F}_{((n);(n))}^{lin}(X_n)$.

Proof. For $\beta = t \in W_n^{lin}(X_n)$, we will give a proof by induction on the complexity of the definition of a linear-term t .

(i) If $t = x_i; 1 \leq i \leq n$, then

$$\widehat{\sigma}[S_{lin}^n(x_i, t_1, \dots, t_n)] = \widehat{\sigma}[t_i] = S_{lin}^n(x_i, \widehat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[t_n]) = S_{lin}^n(\widehat{\sigma}[x_i], \widehat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[t_n]).$$

(ii) If $t = f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$, and assume that

$$\widehat{\sigma}[S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(l)}, t_1, \dots, t_n)] = S_{lin}^n(\widehat{\sigma}[x_{\pi(l)}], \widehat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[t_n]); 1 \leq l \leq n, \text{ then}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\sigma}[S_{lin}^n(f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), t_1, \dots, t_n)] &= \widehat{\sigma}[f(S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(1)}, t_1, \dots, t_n), \dots, S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(n)}, t_1, \dots, t_n))] \\ &= S_{lin}^n(\sigma(f), \widehat{\sigma}[S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(1)}, t_1, \dots, t_n)], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(n)}, t_1, \dots, t_n)]) \\ &= S_{lin}^n(\sigma(f), S_{lin}^n(\widehat{\sigma}[x_{\pi(1)}], \widehat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[t_n]), \dots, S_{lin}^n(\widehat{\sigma}[x_{\pi(n)}], \widehat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[t_n])) \\ &= S_{lin}^n(S_{lin}^n(\sigma(f), \widehat{\sigma}[x_{\pi(1)}], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[x_{\pi(n)}]), \widehat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[t_n]) \\ &= S_{lin}^n(\widehat{\sigma}[f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})], \widehat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[t_n]). \end{aligned}$$

For $\beta = F \in \mathcal{F}_{((n);(n))}^{lin}(X_n)$, we will give a proof by induction on the complexity of the definition of a linear-formula F .

(i) If F has the form $x_i \approx x_j$ for $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\sigma}[R_{lin}^n(x_i \approx x_j, t_1, \dots, t_n)] &= \widehat{\sigma}[S_{lin}^n(x_i, t_1, \dots, t_n) \approx S_{lin}^n(x_j, t_1, \dots, t_n)] \\ &= S_{lin}^n(\widehat{\sigma}[x_i], \widehat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[t_n]) \approx S_{lin}^n(\widehat{\sigma}[x_j], \widehat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[t_n]) \\ &= R_{lin}^n(\widehat{\sigma}[x_i \approx x_j], \widehat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[t_n]). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If F has the form $\gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ and assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\sigma}[R_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(l)}, t_1, \dots, t_n)] &= R_{lin}^n(\widehat{\sigma}[x_{\pi(l)}], (\widehat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[t_n])); 1 \leq l \leq n, \text{ then} \\ \widehat{\sigma}[R_{lin}^n(\gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), t_1, \dots, t_n)] &= \widehat{\sigma}[\gamma(S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(1)}, t_1, \dots, t_n), \dots, S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(n)}, t_1, \dots, t_n))] \\ &= R_{lin}^n(\sigma(\gamma), \widehat{\sigma}[S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(1)}, t_1, \dots, t_n)], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(n)}, t_1, \dots, t_n)]) \\ &= R_{lin}^n(R_{lin}^n(\sigma(\gamma), \widehat{\sigma}[x_{\pi(1)}], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[x_{\pi(n)}]), \widehat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[t_n]) \\ &= R_{lin}^n(\widehat{\sigma}[\gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})], \widehat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[t_n]). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) If F has the form $\neg F$ and assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\sigma}[R_{lin}^n(F, t_1, \dots, t_n)] &= R_{lin}^n(\widehat{\sigma}[F], \widehat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[t_n]), \text{ then} \\ \widehat{\sigma}[R_{lin}^n(\neg F, t_1, \dots, t_n)] &= \neg(\widehat{\sigma}[R_{lin}^n(F, t_1, \dots, t_n)]) \\ &= \neg(R_{lin}^n(\widehat{\sigma}[F], \widehat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[t_n])) \\ &= R_{lin}^n(\widehat{\sigma}[\neg F], \widehat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[t_n]). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.3. For any $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in Hyp^{lin}((n);(n))$, we have

$$(\sigma_1 \circ_{lin} \sigma_2)^\widehat{\sigma} = \widehat{\sigma}_1 \circ \widehat{\sigma}_2.$$

Proof. For $t \in W_n^{lin}(X_n)$, we will give a proof by induction on the complexity of the definition of a linear-term t .

- (i) If $t = x_i$; $1 \leq i \leq n$, then
 $(\sigma_1 \circ_{lin} \sigma_2) \widehat{\ } [x_i] = x_i = \widehat{\sigma}_1[x_i] = \widehat{\sigma}_1[\widehat{\sigma}_2[x_i]] = (\widehat{\sigma}_1 \circ \widehat{\sigma}_2)[x_i]$.
- (ii) If $t = f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$, then
 $(\sigma_1 \circ_{lin} \sigma_2) \widehat{\ } [f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})]$
 $= S_{lin}^n((\sigma_1 \circ_{lin} \sigma_2)(f), (\sigma_1 \circ_{lin} \sigma_2) \widehat{\ } [x_{\pi(1)}], \dots, (\sigma_1 \circ_{lin} \sigma_2) \widehat{\ } [x_{\pi(n)}])$
 $= S_{lin}^n((\widehat{\sigma}_1 \circ \sigma_2)(f), (\widehat{\sigma}_1 \circ \widehat{\sigma}_2)[x_{\pi(1)}], \dots, (\widehat{\sigma}_1 \circ \widehat{\sigma}_2)[x_{\pi(n)}])$
 $= S_{lin}^n(\widehat{\sigma}_1[\sigma_2(f)], \widehat{\sigma}_1[\widehat{\sigma}_2[x_{\pi(1)}]], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_1[\widehat{\sigma}_2[x_{\pi(n)}]])$
 $= \widehat{\sigma}_1[S_{lin}^n(\sigma_2(f), \widehat{\sigma}_2[x_{\pi(1)}], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_2[x_{\pi(n)}])]$
 $= \widehat{\sigma}_1[\widehat{\sigma}_2[f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})]]$
 $= (\widehat{\sigma}_1 \circ \widehat{\sigma}_2)[f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})]$.

For $F \in \mathcal{F}_{((n);(n))}^{lin}(X_n)$, we will give a proof by induction on the complexity of the definition of a linear-formula F .

- (i) If F has the form $x_i \approx x_j$ for $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

then $(\sigma_1 \circ_{lin} \sigma_2) \widehat{\ } [x_i \approx x_j]$
 $= (\sigma_1 \circ_{lin} \sigma_2) \widehat{\ } [x_i] \approx (\sigma_1 \circ_{lin} \sigma_2) \widehat{\ } [x_j]$
 $= x_i \approx x_j$
 $= \widehat{\sigma}_1[\widehat{\sigma}_2[x_i]] \approx \widehat{\sigma}_1[\widehat{\sigma}_2[x_j]]$
 $= (\widehat{\sigma}_1 \circ \widehat{\sigma}_2)[x_i] \approx (\widehat{\sigma}_1 \circ \widehat{\sigma}_2)[x_j]$
 $= (\widehat{\sigma}_1 \circ \widehat{\sigma}_2)[x_i \approx x_j]$.

- (ii) If F has the form $\gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$, then

$$(\sigma_1 \circ_{lin} \sigma_2) \widehat{\ } [\gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})]$$

$$= R_{lin}^n((\sigma_1 \circ_{lin} \sigma_2)(\gamma), (\sigma_1 \circ_{lin} \sigma_2) \widehat{\ } [x_{\pi(1)}], \dots, (\sigma_1 \circ_{lin} \sigma_2) \widehat{\ } [x_{\pi(n)}])$$

$$= R_{lin}^n((\widehat{\sigma}_1 \circ \sigma_2)(\gamma), x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$$

$$= R_{lin}^n(\widehat{\sigma}_1[\sigma_2(\gamma)], x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$$

$$= \widehat{\sigma}_1[\widehat{\sigma}_2[\gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})]]$$

$$= (\widehat{\sigma}_1 \circ \widehat{\sigma}_2)[\gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})]$$

- (iii) If F has the form $\neg F$ and assume that $(\sigma_1 \circ_{lin} \sigma_2) \widehat{\ } [F] = (\widehat{\sigma}_1 \circ \widehat{\sigma}_2)[F]$, then

$$(\sigma_1 \circ_{lin} \sigma_2) \widehat{\ } [\neg F] = \neg((\sigma_1 \circ_{lin} \sigma_2) \widehat{\ } [F]) = \neg((\widehat{\sigma}_1 \circ \widehat{\sigma}_2)[F]) = \widehat{\sigma}_1[\neg(\widehat{\sigma}_2[F])] = \widehat{\sigma}_1[\widehat{\sigma}_2[\neg(F)]] = (\widehat{\sigma}_1 \circ \widehat{\sigma}_2)[\neg(F)]. \quad \square$$

Let σ_{id} be a linear-hypersubstitution for algebraic systems of type $((n);(n))$ which maps the operation symbols f to the linear-term $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, and the relational symbols γ to the linear-formula $\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. For any $t \in W_n^{lin}(X_n)$ and any $F \in \mathcal{F}_{((n);(n))}^{lin}(X_n)$. We have*

$$\widehat{\sigma}_{id}[t] = t \text{ and } \widehat{\sigma}_{id}[F] = F.$$

Proof. Let $t \in W_n^{lin}(X_n)$, we will give a proof by induction on the complexity of the definition of a linear-term t .

- (i) If $t = x_i$; $i \in 1 \leq i \leq n$, then $\widehat{\sigma}_{id}[x_i] = x_i$.
- (ii) If $t = f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$, $\pi \in P_n$, then $\widehat{\sigma}_{id}[f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})]$
 $= S_{lin}^n(\sigma_{id}(f), \widehat{\sigma}_{id}[x_{\pi(1)}], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_{id}[x_{\pi(n)}])$
 $= S_{lin}^n(f(x_1, \dots, x_n), x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$
 $= f(S_{lin}^n(x_1, x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), \dots, S_{lin}^n(x_n, x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}))$
 $= f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$.

For $F \in \mathcal{F}_{((n);(n))}^{lin}(X_n)$, we will give a proof by induction on the complexity of the definition of a linear-formula F .

- (i) If F has the form $x_i \approx x_j$ for $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $\widehat{\sigma}_{id}[x_i \approx x_j] = \widehat{\sigma}_{id}[x_i] \approx \widehat{\sigma}_{id}[x_j] = x_i \approx x_j$.
- (ii) If F has the form $\gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\sigma}_{id}[\gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})] \\ &= R_{lin}^n(\sigma_{id}(\gamma), \widehat{\sigma}_{id}[x_{\pi(1)}], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_{id}[x_{\pi(n)}]) \\ &= R_{lin}^n(\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n), x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}) \\ &= \gamma(S_{lin}^n(x_1, x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), \dots, S_{lin}^n(x_n, x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})) \\ &= \gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) If F has the form $\neg F$ and assume that $\widehat{\sigma}_{id}[F] = F$, then $\widehat{\sigma}_{id}[\neg F] = \neg(\widehat{\sigma}_{id}[F]) = \neg F$. □

All together, we obtain a monoid.

Theorem 4.5. $\mathcal{Hyp}^{lin}((n); (n)) := (\mathcal{Hyp}^{lin}((n); (n)); \circ_{lin}, \sigma_{id})$ is a monoid.

Proof. Using Lemma 4.3 and using the fact that \circ is associative, it can be shown that \circ_{lin} is associative. In fact, for every $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in \mathcal{Hyp}^{lin}((n); (n))$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 \circ_{lin} (\sigma_2 \circ_{lin} \sigma_3) &= \widehat{\sigma}_1 \circ (\sigma_2 \circ_{lin} \sigma_3) = \widehat{\sigma}_1 \circ (\widehat{\sigma}_2 \circ \sigma_3) = (\widehat{\sigma}_1 \circ \widehat{\sigma}_2) \circ \sigma_3 \\ &= (\sigma_1 \circ_{lin} \sigma_2) \circ \sigma_3 = (\sigma_1 \circ_{lin} \sigma_2) \circ_{lin} \sigma_3. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.4 shows that σ_{id} is an identity element with respect to \circ_{lin} . First, we will show that σ_{id} is left identity element. Let $\beta \in \{f\} \cup \{\gamma\}$, then $(\sigma_{id} \circ_{lin} \sigma)(\beta) = (\widehat{\sigma}_{id} \circ \sigma)(\beta) = \widehat{\sigma}_{id}[\sigma(\beta)] = \sigma(\beta)$.

Now, we will show that σ_{id} is a right identity element as follows:

If $\beta = f$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma \circ_{lin} \sigma_{id})(f) &= (\widehat{\sigma} \circ \sigma_{id})(f) = \widehat{\sigma}[\sigma_{id}(f)] = \widehat{\sigma}[f(x_1, \dots, x_n)] \\ &= S_{lin}^n(\sigma(f), \widehat{\sigma}[x_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[x_n]) = S_{lin}^n(\sigma(f), x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sigma(f). \end{aligned}$$

If $\beta = \gamma$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma \circ_{lin} \sigma_{id})(\gamma) &= (\widehat{\sigma} \circ \sigma_{id})(\gamma) = \widehat{\sigma}[\sigma_{id}(\gamma)] = \widehat{\sigma}[\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n)] \\ &= R_{lin}^n(\sigma(\gamma), \widehat{\sigma}[x_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[x_n]) = \sigma(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\sigma_{id} \circ_{lin} \sigma = \sigma = \sigma \circ_{lin} \sigma_{id}$. □

5 All Idempotent Elements of Linear-Hypersubstitutions for Algebraic Systems of Type ((n);(n))

In this section, we will characterize all idempotent elements of linear-hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems of type $((n); (n))$. A linear-hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems σ which map f to a linear-term t and γ to a linear-formula F preserves arities is denoted by $\sigma := \sigma_{t,F}$ that means $\sigma_{t,F}(f) = t$ and $\sigma_{t,F}(\gamma) = F$. First, we will recall the definition of an idempotent element.

Definition 5.1. [3] Let $(S; \cdot)$ be a semigroup and $a \in S$ is called idempotent element if $a \cdot a = a$. In general, we denote the set of all idempotent elements of S by $E(S)$.

Proposition 5.2. For any $t \in W_n^{lin}(X_n)$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}_{((n);(n))}^{lin}(X_n)$. The element $\sigma_{t,F} \in \mathcal{Hyp}^{lin}((n); (n))$ is an idempotent if and only if $\widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[t] = t$ and $\widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[F] = F$.

Proof. Assume that $\sigma_{t,F}$ is an idempotent, i.e. $(\sigma_{t,F} \circ_{lin} \sigma_{t,F})(f) = \sigma_{t,F}(f)$ and $(\sigma_{t,F} \circ_{lin} \sigma_{t,F})(\gamma) = \sigma_{t,F}(\gamma)$. Then $\widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[t] = \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[\sigma_{t,F}(f)] = (\sigma_{t,F} \circ_{lin} \sigma_{t,F})(f) = \sigma_{t,F}(f) = t$ and $\widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[F] = \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[\sigma_{t,F}(\gamma)] = (\sigma_{t,F} \circ_{lin} \sigma_{t,F})(\gamma) = \sigma_{t,F}(\gamma) = F$. Conversely, let $\widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[t] = t$ and $\widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[F] = F$, we have $(\sigma_{t,F} \circ_{lin} \sigma_{t,F})(f) = \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[\sigma_{t,F}(f)] = \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[t] = t = \sigma_{t,F}(f)$ and $(\sigma_{t,F} \circ_{lin} \sigma_{t,F})(\gamma) = \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[\sigma_{t,F}(\gamma)] = \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[F] = F = \sigma_{t,F}(\gamma)$.

This shows that $\sigma_{t,F}$ is an idempotent element. □

Proposition 5.3. If $t =: x \in X_n$ and $F =: x_l \approx x_k$ for $l \neq k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $\sigma_{t,F} \in \mathcal{Hyp}^{lin}((n); (n))$ is an idempotent element.

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Let $\sigma_{t,F} \in \text{Hyp}^{\text{lin}}((n); (n))$, $t =: x \in X_n$ and $F =: x_l \approx x_k$ for $l \neq k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We have $\widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[x] = x = t$ and $\widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[x_l \approx x_k] = \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[x_l] \approx \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[x_k] = x_l \approx x_k = F$. By Proposition 5.2, we get $\sigma_{t,F}$ is an idempotent element. \square

Proposition 5.4. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. If $t = x \in X_n$ and $F = \gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then $\sigma_{t,F} \in \text{Hyp}^{\text{lin}}((n); (n))$ is an idempotent element.*

Proof. Let $\sigma_{t,F} \in \text{Hyp}^{\text{lin}}((n); (n))$, $t =: x \in X_n$ and $F =: \gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. We have $\widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[x] = x$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n)] &= R_{\text{lin}}^n(\sigma_{t,F}(\gamma), \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[x_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[x_n]) \\ &= R_{\text{lin}}^n(\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n), x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \gamma(S_{\text{lin}}^n(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, S_{\text{lin}}^n(x_n, x_1, \dots, x_n)) \\ &= \gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 5.2, $\sigma_{t,F}$ is an idempotent element. \square

Proposition 5.5. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. If $t = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $F = x_l \approx x_k$, for $l \neq k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $\sigma_{t,F} \in \text{Hyp}^{\text{lin}}((n); (n))$ is an idempotent element.*

Proof. Let $\sigma_{t,F} \in \text{Hyp}^{\text{lin}}((n); (n))$, $t =: f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $F =: x_l \approx x_k$, for $l \neq k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[f(x_1, \dots, x_n)] &= S_{\text{lin}}^n(\sigma_{t,F}(f), \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[x_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[x_n]) \\ &= S_{\text{lin}}^n(f(x_1, \dots, x_n), x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= f(S_{\text{lin}}^n(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, S_{\text{lin}}^n(x_n, x_1, \dots, x_n)) \\ &= f(x_1, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 5.3, we get $\widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[x_l \approx x_k] = x_l \approx x_k$. Therefore $\sigma_{t,F}$ is an idempotent element. \square

Proposition 5.6. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. If $t = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $F = \gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then $\sigma_{t,F} \in \text{Hyp}^{\text{lin}}((n); (n))$ is an idempotent element.*

Proof. In a similar way to the proof of Proposition 5.3 and Proposition 5.4, we proceed for $\widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[f(x_1, \dots, x_n)] = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n)] = \gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, respectively. \square

Proposition 5.7. *Let $\sigma_{t,F} \in \text{Hyp}^{\text{lin}}(((n); (n)))$. If $\widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[t] = t$ and $F = x_l \approx x_k$ for $l \neq k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $\sigma_{t,-F}$ is an idempotent element.*

Proof. Let $\sigma_{t,F} \in \text{Hyp}^{\text{lin}}((n); (n))$. For $\widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[t] = t$ and $F = x_l \approx x_k$ for $l \neq k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_{t,-F} \circ_{\text{lin}} \sigma_{t,-F})(f) &= \widehat{\sigma}_{t,-F}[\sigma_{t,-F}(f)] = \widehat{\sigma}_{t,-F}[t] = t = \sigma_{t,-F}(f) \quad \text{and} \quad (\sigma_{t,-F} \circ_{\text{lin}} \sigma_{t,-F})(\gamma) \\ &= \widehat{\sigma}_{t,-F}[\sigma_{t,-F}(\gamma)] = \widehat{\sigma}_{t,-F}[\neg F] = \neg(\widehat{\sigma}_{t,-F}[F]) = \neg F = \sigma_{t,-F}(\gamma). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

If ρ is a permutation on set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that ρ replaces each element by the element itself, ρ is called the identity permutation on set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Thus

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition 5.8. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and ρ be an identity permutation on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If $t =: f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ or $F =: \gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ where π is a permutation such that $\pi \neq \rho$, then $\sigma_{t,F} \in \text{Hyp}^{\text{lin}}((n); (n))$ is not an idempotent element.*

Proof. If $t = f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_{t,F} \circ_{\text{lin}} \sigma_{t,F})(f) &= \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[\sigma_{t,F}(f)] = \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})] \\ &= S_{\text{lin}}^n(\sigma_{t,F}(f), \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[x_{\pi(1)}], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[x_{\pi(n)}]) \\ &= S_{\text{lin}}^n(f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}) \\ &= f(S_{\text{lin}}^n(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), \dots, S_{\text{lin}}^n(x_{\pi(n)}, x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})) \\ &\neq f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}) \quad (\because \pi \neq \rho) \\ &\neq \sigma_{t,F}(f). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{If } F = \gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), \text{ then} \\
(\sigma_{t,F} \circ_{lin} \sigma_{t,F})(\gamma) &= \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[\sigma_{t,F}(\gamma)] = \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[\gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})] \\
&= R_{lin}^n(\sigma_{t,F}(\gamma), \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[x_{\pi(1)}], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_{t,F}[x_{\pi(n)}]) \\
&= R_{lin}^n(\gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}) \\
&= \gamma(S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), \dots, S_{lin}^n(x_{\pi(n)}, x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})) \\
&\neq \gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}) \quad (\because \pi \neq \rho) \\
&\neq \sigma_{t,F}(\gamma).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\sigma_{t,F}$ is not an idempotent element. \square

Proposition 5.9. *Let $t = x \in X_n$ and $F = \gamma(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ whenever $\pi \neq \rho$, then $\sigma_{t,F} \in Hyp^{lin}((n);(n))$ is not an idempotent element.*

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of Proposition 5.8. \square

6 Conclusion

The main result of the paper is the characterization idempotent elements of linear-hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems of type $((n);(n))$. We investigated that all these linear-hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems of type $((n);(n))$ which satisfy the conditions are idempotent by using Proposition 5.3-5.7.

Acknowledgement(s) : This research is supported by Faculty of Science, Maejo University, Thailand.

References

- [1] K. Denecke, The partial clone of linear-formulas, preprint (2018), Potsdam.
- [2] K. Denecke, The partial clone of linear-terms, Siberian Mathematiced Journal (2016) 589-598.
- [3] J.M. Howie, An Introduction to Semigroup Theory, Aeademie Press Inc., London, New York, San Francisco, (1976).
- [4] A. I. Mal'cev, Algebraic Systems, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin 1973.
- [5] D. Phusanga, Derived Algebraic Systems, Ph.D.Thesis, Potsdam 2013.
- [6] K. Denecke, S. L. Wismath, Universal Algebra and Applications in Theoretical Computer Science, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2002.
- [7] J. Koppitz, K. Denecke, M-solid Varieties, Springer, 2006.
- [8] S. Leeratanavalee and K. Denecke, Generalized Hypersubstitutions and Strongly Solid Varieties, General Algebra and Applications, Proc. of 59th Workshop on Generd Algebra; 15th Conference for Young Algebraists Potsdam 2000 Shaker Verlag(2000), 135-145.
- [9] T. Changphas, K. Denecke and B. Pibaljomme, Linear Terms and Linear Hypersubstitutions, South-east Asian Bull. Math, 40 (2016).

(Received 21 November 2018)

(Accepted 21 June 2019)