



A Fixed Point Theorem for Generalized Lipschitzian Semigroups in Hilbert Spaces

Muhamad Najibufahmi^{†,1} and Atok Zulijanto[‡]

[†]Department of Mathematics Education, Universitas Pekalongan
Pekalongan 51119, Indonesia
e-mail : muhamadnajibufahmi@yahoo.com

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Universitas Gadjah Mada
Sekip Utara, Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia
e-mail : atokzulijanto@ugm.ac.id

Abstract : In this work, we use the concept of a generalized Lipschitzian type condition for a semigroup of self mappings as employed in [1] to provide an existence theorem of a common fixed point for a left reversible semitopological semigroup of continuous generalized Lipschitzian mappings in a Hilbert space defined on a nonconvex domain. This result extends and improves a result of Downing and Ray in [2].

Keywords : common fixed point; generalized Lipschitzian mapping; left reversible semitopological semigroup; Hilbert space.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 47H10; 47H20.

1 Introduction

Let E be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and U be a nonempty bounded subset of E . A mapping $T : U \rightarrow U$ is said to be a Lipschitzian mapping if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $k_n > 0$ such that

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq k_n \|x - y\|$$

for all $x, y \in U$. A Lipschitzian mapping T is said to be uniformly k -Lipschitzian if $k_n = k$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and asymptotically nonexpansive if $\lim_n k_n = 1$, respectively.

¹Corresponding author.

These mappings were first studied by Goebel and Kirk in [3] and [4]. They proved that such mappings have a fixed point in a uniformly convex Banach space for the case of convex domain. Especially for a uniformly k -Lipschitzian mapping, the constant k should have value less than k_0 for some $k_0 > 1$. (In a Hilbert space, $k_0 = \sqrt{5}/2$, see [4]). In [5], Lifschitz proved that a uniformly k -Lipschitzian mapping in a Hilbert space with $k < \sqrt{2}$ has a fixed point. For the others existence theorems of a fixed point for Lipschitzian mappings in a larger space than a uniformly convex Banach space, we refer the readers to see [6], [7], and [8]. Especially in [8], Lim and Xu proved the existence theorem of a uniformly k -Lipschitzian mapping defined on a nonconvex domain by using the concept of the property (P) .

Let S be a semitopological semigroup, i.e., S is a semigroup with a Hausdorff topology such that for each $a \in S$, the mappings $s \rightarrow a \cdot s$ and $s \rightarrow s \cdot a$ from S to S are continuous. A semitopological semigroup S is left reversible if any two closed right ideals of S have a nonvoid intersection. In this case, (S, \geq) is a directed system when the binary relation " \geq " on S is defined by $b \geq a$ if and only if $b \cup bS \subseteq a \cup aS$. Left reversible semitopological semigroups include all commutative semigroups (e.g., $[0, \infty)$) and all semitopological semigroups which are left amenable as discrete semigroups, see [9].

In 1982, Downing and Ray [2] proved that a discrete semigroup of uniformly k -Lipschitzian mappings in a Hilbert space defined on a convex domain with $k < \sqrt{2}$ has a common fixed point. Later, this result was extended to a left reversible semitopological semigroup of uniformly k -Lipschitzian mappings by Ishihara and Takahashi [10]. Also, Ishihara [11] extended and improved the result of Ishihara and Takahashi [10] to the case of a left reversible semitopological semigroup of Lipschitzian mappings defined on a nonconvex domain. In 1990, Xu [12] extended the result of Ishihara and Takahashi [10] to a p -uniformly convex Banach space. We also note that the result of Ishihara and Takahashi [10] has been extended and improved by Gornicki [13], by investigating the structure of a common fixed point set of the semigroup in a p -uniformly convex Banach space.

Imdad *et al.* [14] used the notion of generalized Lipschitzian as employed in [1], to establish the concept of a left reversible semitopological semigroup of continuous generalized Lipschitzian mappings. Let U be a nonempty bounded subset of a Banach space E and S be a left reversible semitopological semigroup. A family $\mathcal{T} = \{T_s : s \in S\}$ of self mappings defined on U is said to be a semigroup on U if \mathcal{T} satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $T_{st}x = T_s T_t x$ for all $s, t \in S$ and $x \in U$;
- (2) the mapping $(s, x) \rightarrow T_s x$ from $S \times U$ into U is continuous when $S \times U$ has the product topology.

Moreover, the semigroup \mathcal{T} is said to be a generalized Lipschitzian semigroup on U if \mathcal{T} also satisfies the following property:

(3) for each $s \in S$, there exists $k_s > 0$ such that

$$\|T_s x - T_s y\| \leq k_s \max \left\{ \|x - y\|, \frac{1}{2} \|x - T_s x\|, \frac{1}{2} \|y - T_s y\|, \frac{1}{2} \|x - T_s y\|, \frac{1}{2} \|y - T_s x\| \right\}$$

for all $x, y \in U$.

By using Example 5.3 in [15], we show that the class of generalized Lipschitzian semigroups properly includes the class of Lipschitzian semigroups.

Example 1.1. Let E be the real line \mathbb{R} and S be a discrete space $[0, \infty)$. Let $U = [0, 1]$, $b \in (0, 1)$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{T_s : s \in S\}$ be a semigroup on U defined by; for $s > 0$,

$$T_s x = \begin{cases} b^s x, & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}$$

and

$$T_0 x = x, \quad x \in U.$$

Since for each $s > 0$, T_s is discontinuous at $x = \frac{1}{2}$, then \mathcal{T} is not a Lipschitzian semigroup. However, \mathcal{T} is a generalized Lipschitzian semigroup. Indeed, for $s > 0$ we have

$$|T_s x - T_s y| = b^s |x - y| \leq 2b^s |x - y|$$

for all $x, y \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and

$$|T_s x - T_s y| = 0 \leq 2b^s |x - y|$$

for all $x, y \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. For $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $y \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$,

$$|T_s x - T_s y| = |b^s x - 0| = 2b^s \left(\frac{1}{2} |x - T_s y| \right).$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{T} = \{T_s : s \in S\}$ is a generalized Lipschitzian semigroup on U with the constants k_s are $2b^s$.

In [14], Imdad *et al.* proved the existence theorem of a common fixed point for a left reversible semitopological semigroup of continuous generalized Lipschitzian mappings in a p -uniformly convex Banach space defined on a nonconvex domain. But, we see from Remark 12 in [16] that the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [14] is unfortunately not correct because the inequality

$$\inf_{\lambda} \sup \{ \|x_{\iota} - x_{\kappa}\| : \iota, \kappa \geq \lambda \} \leq \lim_{\lambda} \sup \lim_{\kappa} \sup \|x_{\kappa} - x_{\lambda}\|$$

is false.

Motivated by the above results, in this work, we use the concept of the property (P) in the setting of a net and we employ a different technique than in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [14], for showing existence theorem of a common fixed point for a left reversible semitopological semigroup of continuous generalized Lipschitzian mappings in a Hilbert space defined on a nonconvex domain. This result extends and improves Theorem 1 of Downing and Ray [2].

2 Preliminaries

Recall the concept and the notion of asymptotic center due to Edelstein [17]. Let U be a nonempty subset of a Banach space E , Λ be a directed set, and $\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a bounded net in E . The asymptotic center of $\{x_\lambda\}$ with respect to U is defined as the set

$$\mathcal{A}(\{x_\lambda\}, U) = \left\{ x \in U : \limsup_\lambda \|x_\lambda - x\| = \inf_{y \in U} \limsup_\lambda \|x_\lambda - y\| \right\}.$$

It is easily seen that if E is reflexive and U is closed convex, then $\mathcal{A}(\{x_\lambda\}, U)$ is nonempty. Moreover, it will be a singleton if E is a Hilbert space.

In order to prove our main result, we need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $n > 1$ be any integer. Let us set $\{x_{1_\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, $\{x_{2_\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, \dots , $\{x_{n_\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ as bounded nets of real numbers. Then*

$$\limsup_\lambda \max \{x_{1_\lambda}, x_{2_\lambda}, \dots, x_{n_\lambda}\} = \max \left\{ \limsup_\lambda x_{1_\lambda}, \limsup_\lambda x_{2_\lambda}, \dots, \limsup_\lambda x_{n_\lambda} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $y_\lambda = \max\{x_{1_\lambda}, x_{2_\lambda}, \dots, x_{n_\lambda}\}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then,

$$\limsup_\lambda y_\lambda \geq \max \left\{ \limsup_\lambda x_{1_\lambda}, \limsup_\lambda x_{2_\lambda}, \dots, \limsup_\lambda x_{n_\lambda} \right\}.$$

On the other hand, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$a_1 = \limsup_\lambda x_{1_\lambda} \geq a_2 = \limsup_\lambda x_{2_\lambda} \geq \dots \geq a_n = \limsup_\lambda x_{n_\lambda}.$$

Case 1 There exists $n_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $a_{n_0} > a_{n_0+1}$. Let

$$m_0 = \min\{n_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\} : a_{n_0} > a_{n_0+1}\}.$$

Then, we can find $\lambda_1 \in \Lambda$ such that

$$\max \left\{ \sup_{\lambda \geq \lambda_1} x_{i_\lambda} : i \in \{m_0 + 1, m_0 + 2, \dots, n\} \right\} < \frac{a_{m_0} + a_{m_0+1}}{2}. \quad (2.1)$$

If $m_0 > 1$, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. Then, there exists $\lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \geq \lambda_2} x_{i_\lambda} < a_1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m_0\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $\lambda_0 \geq \max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$. From (2.1) and (2.2) we easily have

$$\limsup_\lambda y_\lambda \leq \sup_{\lambda \geq \lambda_0} y_\lambda < a_1 + \varepsilon. \quad (2.3)$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ into (2.3), we get the result.

We now prove for the case $m_0 = 1$. We note that for each $\lambda_2 \in \Lambda$,

$$\sup_{\lambda \geq \lambda_2} x_{1_\lambda} > \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}.$$

So, by letting $\lambda_0 \geq \max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ and next, by (2.1) we have

$$\limsup_{\lambda} y_{\lambda} \leq \sup_{\lambda \geq \lambda_0} y_{\lambda} \leq \sup_{\lambda \geq \lambda_2} x_{1_\lambda}.$$

It follows that

$$\limsup_{\lambda} y_{\lambda} \leq a_1.$$

Case 2 $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. Then, we can find $\lambda_3 \in \Lambda$ such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \geq \lambda_3} x_{i_\lambda} < a_1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

It follows that

$$\limsup_{\lambda} y_{\lambda} \leq \sup_{\lambda \geq \lambda_3} y_{\lambda} < a_1 + \varepsilon. \tag{2.4}$$

Thus, by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ into (2.4), we get the result. \square

We also need the following lemmas which were proved in [10] and [11], respectively.

Lemma 2.2. [10, Lemma] *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H . Let $\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a bounded net in H and $\{a\} = \mathcal{A}(\{x_\lambda\}, C)$. Then*

$$\inf_{y \in C} \limsup_{\lambda} \|x_\lambda - y\|^2 + \|a - x\|^2 \leq \limsup_{\lambda} \|x_\lambda - x\|^2$$

for all $x \in C$.

Lemma 2.3. [11, Lemma 2] *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H and $\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a bounded net in C . Then*

$$\mathcal{A}(\{x_\lambda\}, C) \subseteq \bigcap_{\lambda} \overline{\text{co}}\{x_\kappa : \kappa \geq \lambda\},$$

where $\overline{\text{co}}$ is the closed convex hull.

We end this section by giving the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let U be a nonempty bounded subset of a Banach space E and S be a left reversible semitopological semigroup. Let $\mathcal{T} = \{T_s : s \in S\}$ be a semigroup of self mappings on U . Then*

$$\limsup_s \limsup_t \|T_s T_t x - y\| \leq \limsup_s \|T_s x - y\|$$

for all $x, y \in U$.

Proof. We denote $r = \limsup_s \|T_s x - y\|$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. Then, we choose $s_0 \in S$ such that

$$\sup\{\|T_s x - y\| : s \geq s_0\} < r + \varepsilon. \quad (2.5)$$

Let $u \geq s_0$ be fixed. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_t \|T_u T_t x - y\| &= \inf_q \sup\{\|a - y\| : a \in \overline{\{T_u T_t x : t \geq q\}}\} \\ &= \inf_q \sup\{\|a - y\| : a \in \overline{\{T_s x : s \geq uq\}}\} \\ &\leq \sup\{\|a - y\| : a \in \{T_s x : s \geq u\}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.5) and (2.6) we get

$$\limsup_s \limsup_t \|T_s T_t x - y\| < r + \varepsilon. \quad (2.7)$$

So, by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ into (2.7), we obtain the result. \square

3 The Fixed Point Theorem

We now present the main result of this work.

Theorem 3.1. *Let U be a nonempty bounded subset of a Hilbert space H and S be a left reversible semitopological semigroup. Suppose that $\mathcal{T} = \{T_s : s \in S\}$ is a generalized Lipschitzian semigroup on U with $\limsup_s k_s < \sqrt{2}$ and T_s is continuous for all $s \in S$. Suppose also that there exists a nonempty bounded closed convex subset C of U with the following property (P):*

$$(P) \quad x \in C \implies \omega_w(x) \subseteq C,$$

where $\omega_w(x)$ is the weak ω -limit set of \mathcal{T} at x , i.e., the set

$$\omega_w(x) = \left\{ y \in H : y = \text{weak-}\lim_{\lambda} T_{s_\lambda} x \text{ for some subset } \{s_\lambda\} \text{ of } S \right\}.$$

Then there exists $z \in C$ such that $T_s z = z$ for all $s \in S$.

Proof. We denote $k = \limsup_s k_s$. Let $B_s(x) = \{T_t x : t \geq s\}$ for $s \in S$ and $x \in C$. Let $x_0 \in C$ be fixed and then, taking $\{x_1\} = \mathcal{A}(\{B_s(x_0)\}, \overline{\text{co}}U)$. By Lemma 2.3, we get $x_1 \in \bigcap_s \overline{\text{co}}B_s(x_0)$. On the other hand, by Hahn-Banach Separation Theorem (see, Theorem 1.15(a) in [18]), we see that $\bigcap_s \overline{\text{co}}B_s(x_0) = \overline{\text{co}}\omega_w(x_0)$. Therefore, by the assumption, we get $x_1 \in C$. We may repeat this step and obtain a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in C such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\{x_n\} = \mathcal{A}(\{B_s(x_{n-1})\}, \overline{\text{co}}U) \subseteq \bigcap_s \overline{\text{co}}B_s(x_{n-1}). \quad (3.1)$$

Let $d_m = \limsup_s \|T_s x_m - x_{m+1}\|^2$ and $D_m = \limsup_s \|T_s x_m - x_m\|^2$ for $m \geq 0$. Let $n \geq 0$ be fixed. We may assume that $D_{n+1} > 0$, since otherwise, nothing that

$$\limsup_s \|T_s x - y\| = \inf_s \sup_t \|T_s T_t x - y\|$$

for all $x, y \in U$, then by using the continuity of T_s at x_{n+1} , we see that x_{n+1} is a common fixed point of \mathcal{T} and hence the proof is finished.

We note that, by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_s \limsup_t \|T_t x_n - T_s x_{n+1}\| &\leq \limsup_s \limsup_t \|T_s T_t x_n - T_s x_{n+1}\| \\ &\leq \limsup_s \limsup_t k_s \max \left\{ \|T_t x_n - x_{n+1}\|, \frac{1}{2} \|T_t x_n - T_s T_t x_n\|, \frac{1}{2} \|x_{n+1} - T_s x_{n+1}\|, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{2} \|T_t x_n - T_s x_{n+1}\|, \frac{1}{2} \|x_{n+1} - T_s T_t x_n\| \right\} \\ &\leq k \limsup_s \limsup_t \max \left\{ \|T_t x_n - x_{n+1}\|, \frac{1}{2} (\|T_t x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - T_s T_t x_n\|), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{2} \|x_{n+1} - T_s x_{n+1}\|, \frac{1}{2} \|T_t x_n - T_s x_{n+1}\|, \frac{1}{2} \|x_{n+1} - T_s T_t x_n\| \right\} \\ &\leq k \max \left\{ (d_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}, (d_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{1}{2} (D_{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{1}{2} \limsup_s \limsup_t \|T_t x_n - T_s x_{n+1}\|, \frac{1}{2} (d_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ &= k \max \left\{ (d_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{1}{2} (D_{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{1}{2} (d_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ &= k \max \left\{ (d_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{1}{2} (D_{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Therefore, from (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{n+1} &= \limsup_s \|T_s x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\|^2 \\ &\leq \limsup_s \limsup_t \|T_t x_n - T_s x_{n+1}\|^2 \\ &\leq k^2 \max \left\{ d_n, \frac{1}{4} D_{n+1} \right\} \\ &= k^2 d_n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Now, by (3.1) and Lemma 2.2 we get for every $s \in S$,

$$\|T_s x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\|^2 \leq \limsup_t \|T_t x_n - T_s x_{n+1}\|^2 - \limsup_t \|T_t x_n - x_{n+1}\|^2. \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore, by taking the limit superior into (3.4), we have from (3.2) and (3.3)

that

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{n+1} &\leq \limsup_s \limsup_t \|T_t x_n - T_s x_{n+1}\|^2 - d_n \\
 &\leq k^2 \max \left\{ d_n, \frac{1}{4} D_{n+1} \right\} - d_n \\
 &\leq k^2 \max \left\{ d_n, \frac{k^2}{4} d_n \right\} - d_n \\
 &= (k^2 - 1) d_n.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Thus, from (3.1) and (3.5) we obtain

$$D_{n+1} \leq (k^2 - 1) d_n = \eta d_n \leq \eta D_n,$$

where $\eta = k^2 - 1 < 1$ by the assumption. Consequently,

$$D_n \leq \eta D_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \eta^n D_0. \tag{3.6}$$

For every $s \in S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 &\leq (\|x_{n+1} - T_s x_n\| + \|T_s x_n - x_n\|)^2 \\
 &\leq 2(\|T_s x_n - x_{n+1}\|^2 + \|T_s x_n - x_n\|^2).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Therefore by taking the limit superior into (3.7) and then, by (3.1) we have

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 \leq 2(d_n + D_n) \leq 2D_n.$$

It follows from (3.6) that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| < \infty$, and hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in C . Let $z = \lim_n x_n$. Now for each $s \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|z - T_s z\|^2 &\leq (\|z - T_t x_n\| + \|T_t x_n - T_s z\|)^2 \\
 &\leq 2(\|z - T_t x_n\|^2 + \|T_t x_n - T_s z\|^2).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Hence, by taking the limit superior into (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|z - T_s z\|^2 &\leq 2 \left(\limsup_t \|T_t x_n - z\|^2 + \limsup_t \|T_t x_n - T_s z\|^2 \right) \\
 &\leq 2 \left(\limsup_t \|T_t x_n - z\|^2 + \limsup_t \|T_s T_t x_n - T_s z\|^2 \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Since

$$\limsup_t \|T_t x_n - z\|^2 \leq 2(D_n + \|x_n - z\|^2),$$

then by (3.6), it yields

$$\limsup_t \|T_t x_n - z\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the continuity of T_s at z we also get

$$\limsup_t \|T_s T_t x_n - T_s z\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, from (3.9) we have $T_s z = z$ for all $s \in S$. □

The following corollary extends and improves Theorem 1 in [2] to the case of a Lipschitzian semigroup and it also has a nonconvex domain.

Corollary 3.2. *Let U be a nonempty bounded subset of a Hilbert space H and S be a left reversible semitopological semigroup. Let $\mathcal{T} = \{T_s : s \in S\}$ be a Lipschitzian semigroup on U with $\limsup_s k_s < \sqrt{2}$. Suppose that there exists a nonempty bounded closed convex subset C of U with the property (P). Then there exists $z \in C$ such that $T_s z = z$ for all $s \in S$.*

Acknowledgement : We would like to thank the referees for his/her comments on the manuscript.

References

- [1] M. Imdad, H. Soliman, On uniformly generalized Lipschitzian mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2010) Article ID 692401.
- [2] D.J. Downing, W.O. Ray, Uniformly Lipschitzian semigroup in Hilbert spaces, Canad. Math. Bull. 25 (1982) 210-214.
- [3] K. Goebel, W.A. Kirk, A fixed point theorem for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 35 (1972) 171-174.
- [4] K. Goebel, W.A. Kirk, A fixed point theorem for transformations whose iterates have uniform Lipschitz constant, Studia Math. 47 (1973) 135-140.
- [5] E.A. Lifschitz, Fixed point theorems for operators in strongly convex spaces, Voronez Gos. Univ. Trudy Math. Fak.16 (1975) 23-28.
- [6] E. Casini, E. Maluta, Fixed points of uniformly Lipschitzian mappings in spaces with uniformly normal structure, Nonlinear Anal. 9 (1985) 103-108.
- [7] T.H. Kim, H.K. Xu, Remarks on asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, Nonlinear Anal. 41 (2000) 405-415.
- [8] T.C. Lim, H.K. Xu, Fixed point theorems for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, Nonlinear Anal. 22 (1994) 1345-1355.
- [9] R.D. Holmes, A.T. Lau, Non-expansive actions of topological semigroups and fixed points, J. Lon. Math. Soc. 5 (1972) 330-336.
- [10] H. Ishihara, W. Takahashi, Fixed point theorems for uniformly Lipschitzian semigroups in Hilbert spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 127 (1987) 206-210.
- [11] H. Ishihara, Fixed point theorems for Lipschitzian semigroups, Canad. Math. Bull. 32 (1989) 90-97.
- [12] H.K. Xu, Fixed point theorems for uniformly Lipschitzian semigroups in uniformly convex spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 152 (1990) 391-398.

- [13] J. Gornicki, The structure of fixed-point sets of uniformly Lipschitzian semigroups, *Collect. Math.* 63 (2012) 333-344.
- [14] M. Imdad, H. Soliman, M.A. Barakat, On generalized Lipschitzian semitopological semigroup of self-mappings with applications, *Sarajevo J. Math.* 8 (2012) 133-142.
- [15] D.R. Sahu, R.P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, The structure of fixed-point sets of Lipschitzian type semigroups, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* (2012) Article ID 163.
- [16] J. Gornicki, Structure of the fixed point set of asymptotically regular mappings in uniformly convex Banach spaces, *Taiwanese J. Math.* (2011) 1007-1020.
- [17] M. Edelstein, The construction of an asymptotic center with a fixed-point property, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 78 (1972) 206-208.
- [18] S. Reich, D. Shoikhet, *Nonlinear semigroups, fixed point, and geometry of domains in Banach spaces*, Imperial College Press, London, 2005.

(Received 4 April 2015)

(Accepted 28 October 2015)