



# Green's Relations and Natural Partial Order on the Regular Subsemigroup of Transformations Preserving an Equivalence Relation and Fixed a Cross-Section

Nares Sawatraksa<sup>†</sup>, Chaiwat Namnak<sup>†</sup> and Kritsada Sangkhanan<sup>‡,1</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science  
Naresuan University, Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand  
e-mail : naress58@nu.ac.th (N. Sawatraksa)  
e-mail : chaiwatn@nu.ac.th (C. Namnak)

<sup>‡</sup>Research Center in Mathematics and Applied Mathematics  
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science  
Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand  
e-mail : kritsada.s@cmu.ac.th

**Abstract :** Let  $X$  be an arbitrary nonempty set and  $T(X)$  the full transformation semigroup on  $X$ . For an equivalence relation  $E$  on  $X$  and a cross-section  $R$  of the partition  $X/E$  induced by  $E$ , let

$$T_E(X, R) = \{\alpha \in T(X) : R\alpha = R \text{ and } \forall x, y \in X, (x, y) \in E \Rightarrow (x\alpha, y\alpha) \in E\}.$$

Then the set  $Reg(T_E(X, R))$  of all regular elements of  $T_E(X, R)$  is a regular subsemigroup of  $T(X)$ . In this paper, we describe Green's relations for elements of the semigroup  $Reg(T_E(X, R))$ . Also, we discuss the natural partial order on this semigroup and characterize when two elements in  $Reg(T_E(X, R))$  are related under this order.

**Keywords :** transformation semigroup; equivalence relation; Green's relations; regular element; natural partial order.

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 20M20.

---

## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

An element  $x$  of a semigroup  $S$  is called *regular* if there exists  $y \in S$  such that  $x = xyx$ . If all its elements of  $S$  are regular, we call  $S$  a *regular semigroup*. The set of all regular elements of  $S$  is denoted by  $Reg(S)$ .

In 1951, Green [1] defined the equivalence relations  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  on a semigroup  $S$  by the rules that, for  $a, b \in S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (a, b) \in \mathcal{R} & \text{ if and only if } aS^1 = bS^1, \\ (a, b) \in \mathcal{L} & \text{ if and only if } S^1a = S^1b, \text{ and} \\ (a, b) \in \mathcal{J} & \text{ if and only if } S^1aS^1 = S^1bS^1 \end{aligned}$$

where  $S^1$  is the semigroup with identity obtained from  $S$  by adjoining an identity if necessary. Then he also defined the equivalence relations  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$ . These five equivalence relations are known as *Green's relations*. Hence  $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ .

In 1952, Vagner [2] defined the *natural partial order* for any inverse semigroup  $S$  by defining  $\leq$  on  $S$  as follows:

$$a \leq b \text{ if and only if } a = be \text{ for some idempotent } e \in S. \quad (1.1)$$

Later, Nambooripad [3] extended this partial order  $\leq$  on a regular semigroup  $S$  by

$$a \leq b \text{ if and only if } a = eb = bf \text{ for some idempotents } e, f \in S. \quad (1.2)$$

For an inverse semigroup  $S$  this relation is just the natural partial order (1.1).

In 1986, Mitsch [4] extended the above partial order to any semigroup  $S$  by defining  $\leq$  on  $S$  as follows:

$$a \leq b \text{ if and only if } a = xb = by \text{ and } a = ay \text{ for some } x, y \in S^1. \quad (1.3)$$

This natural partial order coincides with the relation (1.2) if the semigroup  $S$  is regular.

Let  $T(X)$  be the full transformation semigroup on a set  $X$  under the usual composition of mappings. In 1955, Miller and Doss [5] proved that  $T(X)$  is a regular semigroup and described its Green's relations. Over the past decades, notions of regularity and Green's relations of subsemigroups of  $T(X)$  have been widely considered, see [6], [7] and [8]. In [6], the author introduced a family of subsemigroups of  $T(X)$  defined by

$$T_E(X) = \{\alpha \in T(X) : \forall a, b \in X, (a, b) \in E \Rightarrow (a\alpha, b\alpha) \in E\}$$

where  $E$  is an arbitrary equivalence relation on  $X$ . The author investigated the regularity and Green's relations for  $T_E(X)$ . Also, the natural partial order on  $T_E(X)$  was described in [9].

For an equivalence relation  $E$  on a set  $X$ , let  $R$  be a cross-section of the partition  $X/E$  induced by  $E$  (i.e.,  $|R \cap A| = 1$  for all  $A \in X/E$ ). In [10], Araújo and Konieczny defined a subsemigroup of  $T(X)$  as follows:

$$T(X, E, R) = \{\alpha \in T(X) : R\alpha \subseteq R \text{ and } \forall x, y \in X, (x, y) \in E \Rightarrow (x\alpha, y\alpha) \in E\}.$$

Clearly,  $T(X, E, R) \subseteq T_E(X)$ . The semigroup  $T(X, E, R)$  is the centralizer of the idempotent transformation with kernel  $E$  and image  $R$ . They determined the structure of  $T(X, E, R)$  in terms of Green's relations and described the regular elements of  $T(X, E, R)$  in [7]. Moreover, the natural partial order on  $T(X, E, R)$  was discussed in [11]. Now, we consider the following subset of  $T_E(X)$ :

$$T_E(X, R) = \{\alpha \in T(X) : R\alpha = R \text{ and } \forall x, y \in X, (x, y) \in E \Rightarrow (x\alpha, y\alpha) \in E\}.$$

Then  $T_E(X, R)$  is a subsemigroup of  $T(X, E, R)$ . In [8], the authors investigated regular and  $E$ -inversive elements of the semigroup  $T_E(X, R)$ . The regularity of the semigroup  $T_E(X, R)$  was characterized as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** ([8]) *Let  $\alpha \in T_E(X, R)$ . Then  $\alpha$  is regular if and only if  $\alpha|_R$  is an injection.*

**Theorem 1.2.** ([8])  *$T_E(X, R)$  is a regular semigroup if and only if  $R$  is finite.*

Moreover, in [12], the authors showed that  $\text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  is a regular subsemigroup of  $T_E(X, R)$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** ([12])  *$\text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  is the largest regular subsemigroup of  $T_E(X, R)$ .*

The purpose of this paper is to investigate Green's relations on the semigroup  $\text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . Moreover, we study the natural partial order on  $\text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  and characterize when two elements of  $\text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  are related under this order. Also, their maximal, minimal and covering elements are described.

In what follows, let  $E$  be an equivalence relation on a set  $X$  and  $R$  a cross-section of the partition of  $X$ . Denote by  $X/E$  the quotient set and  $E_r$  the  $E$ -class containing  $r$  for all  $r \in R$ .

## 2 Green's Relations

In this section, we focus on Green's relations for regular elements of the semigroup  $T_E(X, R)$ . First, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** ([6]) *Let  $\alpha \in T(X)$ . Then  $\alpha \in T_E(X)$  if and only if for every  $A \in X/E$ , there exists  $B \in X/E$  such that  $A\alpha \subseteq B$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** ([12]) *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in T_E(X, R)$ . Then  $\alpha\beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  if and only if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are elements in  $\text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\alpha \in T_E(X, R)$  and  $r, s \in R$ . If  $x \in E_r$  with  $x\alpha \in E_s$ , then  $E_r\alpha \subseteq E_s$  and  $r\alpha = s$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x \in E_r$  with  $x\alpha \in E_s$ . Let  $y \in E_r$ . Then  $(x, y) \in E$ . Since  $\alpha \in T_E(X)$ ,  $(x\alpha, y\alpha) \in E$  and so  $y\alpha \in E_s$ . Hence  $E_r\alpha \subseteq E_s$ . Since  $r\alpha \in E_s \cap R$ , it follows that  $r\alpha = s$ .  $\square$

For  $\alpha \in T(X)$ , the symbol  $\pi(\alpha)$  will denote the decomposition of  $X$  induced by the map  $\alpha$ , namely

$$\pi(\alpha) = \{y\alpha^{-1} : y \in X\alpha\}.$$

Hence  $\pi(\alpha) = X/\ker \alpha$ , where  $\ker \alpha = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x\alpha = y\alpha\}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . Then  $\alpha = \beta\mu$  for some  $\mu \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  if and only if  $\ker \beta \subseteq \ker \alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\alpha = \beta\mu$  for some  $\mu \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . Let  $(x, y) \in \ker \beta$ . Then  $x\beta = y\beta$  and so  $x\alpha = x\beta\mu = y\beta\mu = y\alpha$ . This shows that  $(x, y) \in \ker \alpha$ . Hence  $\ker \beta \subseteq \ker \alpha$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\ker \beta \subseteq \ker \alpha$ . For every  $y \in X\beta \setminus R$ , we choose and fix an element  $a_y \in X \setminus R$  such that  $a_y\beta = y$ . And every  $r \in R$ , we choose and fix an element  $a_r \in R$  such that  $a_r\beta = r$  (since  $R\beta = R$ ). For each  $r \in R$ , we define a map  $\mu_r : E_r \rightarrow X$  by

$$x\mu_r = \begin{cases} a_x\alpha & \text{if } x \in X\beta, \\ a_r\alpha & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We define the map  $\mu : X \rightarrow X$  by  $\mu|_{E_r} = \mu_r$  for all  $r \in R$ . Since  $R$  is a cross-section of the partition  $X/E$  induced by  $E$ , we have that  $\mu$  is well-defined and so  $\mu \in T(X)$ . We show  $\mu \in T_E(X, R)$  and  $\alpha = \beta\mu$  in the following. Let  $r \in R$ . Then  $r = a_r\beta$  for some  $a_r \in R$ . Claim that  $E_r\mu \subseteq E_{a_r\alpha}$ . Let  $y \in E_r$ . If  $y \notin X\beta$ , then  $y\mu = y\mu_r = a_r\alpha \in E_{a_r\alpha}$ . If  $y \in X\beta$ , then  $y = a_y\beta$  for some  $a_y \in X$ . Thus  $a_y \in E_s$  for some  $s \in R$ . Since  $a_y \in E_s$  and  $a_y\beta = y \in E_r$  by Lemma 2.3, we get that  $s\beta = r = a_r\beta$ . By assumption, we have  $s\alpha = a_r\alpha$ . This implies that

$$y\mu = y\mu_r = a_y\alpha \in E_s\alpha \subseteq E_{s\alpha} = E_{a_r\alpha}.$$

Hence  $E_r\mu \subseteq E_{a_r\alpha}$ , so we have the claim. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $\mu \in T_E(X)$ . Obviously,  $R\mu \subseteq R$ . For the reverse inclusion, let  $r \in R$ . Then  $s\alpha = r$  for some  $s \in R$ . Thus  $s\beta = t$  for some  $t \in R$  and so there exists  $a_t \in R$  such that  $s\beta = t = a_t\beta$ . By assumption, we deduce that  $r = s\alpha = a_t\alpha = t\mu_t = t\mu$ . It implies that  $R \subseteq R\mu$  and hence  $\mu \in T_E(X, R)$ . Finally, we will show that  $\alpha = \beta\mu$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Then  $x\beta \in X\beta$  and  $x\beta \in E_r$  for some  $r \in R$  and so  $a_{x\beta}\beta = x\beta$  for some  $a_{x\beta} \in X$ . Thus  $(a_{x\beta}, x) \in \ker \beta$  so that  $x\alpha = a_{x\beta}\alpha = (x\beta)\mu_r = x\beta\mu$  by assumption. Therefore  $\alpha = \beta\mu$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have  $\mu \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ .  $\square$

Using Lemma 2.4, we can establish the next result.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . Then  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $\ker \alpha = \ker \beta$ .*

Next, we consider the relation  $\mathcal{L}$ , the following lemmas are needed.

**Lemma 2.6.** ([12]) *Let  $\alpha \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  and  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $(x, y) \in E$  if and only if  $(x\alpha, y\alpha) \in E$ .*

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\alpha = \lambda\beta$  for some  $\lambda \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ .
- (ii) For every  $A \in X/E$ , there exists some  $B \in X/E$  such that  $A\alpha \subseteq B\beta$ .
- (iii)  $X\alpha \subseteq X\beta$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Assume that  $\alpha = \lambda\beta$  for some  $\lambda \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . Let  $r \in R$ . By Lemma 2.1, there exists  $s \in R$  such that  $E_r\lambda \subseteq E_s$ . By assumption, we have  $E_r\alpha = E_r\lambda\beta \subseteq E_s\beta$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Assume that (ii) holds. Let  $y \in X\alpha$ . Then  $y = x\alpha$  for some  $x \in X$ . Let  $A \in X/E$  such that  $x \in A$ . By assumption, there exists some  $B \in X/E$  such that  $A\alpha \subseteq B\beta$ . It follows that  $y = x\alpha \in A\alpha \subseteq B\beta \subseteq X\beta$ . Hence  $X\alpha \subseteq X\beta$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Suppose that  $X\alpha \subseteq X\beta$ . For each  $x \in X \setminus R$ , we choose and fix  $x' \in X$  such that  $x\alpha = x'\beta$ . If  $x \in R$ , then  $x\alpha \in R\alpha = R = R\beta$ . Thus we choose and fix  $x' \in R$  such that  $x\alpha = x'\beta$ . Define  $\lambda : X \rightarrow X$  by

$$x\lambda = x' \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Let  $(x, y) \in E$ . Then  $(x'\beta, y'\beta) = (x\alpha, y\alpha) \in E$  where  $x', y' \in X$ . Hence by Lemma 2.6, we have  $(x\lambda, y\lambda) = (x', y') \in E$ . Consequently,  $\lambda \in T_E(X)$ . Clearly,  $R\lambda \subseteq R$ . On the other hand, let  $r \in R$ . Then  $r\beta \in R$  and  $r\beta = s\alpha = s'\beta$  for some  $s, s' \in R$ . By Theorem 1.1,  $r = s'$ , hence  $s\lambda = s' = r$ . Thus  $\lambda \in T_E(X, R)$ . If  $x \in X$ , then  $x\lambda\beta = x'\beta = x\alpha$ . Hence  $\alpha = \lambda\beta$ . Since  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  by Lemma 2.2, it follows that  $\lambda \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ .  $\square$

The following theorem is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.7.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}$ .
- (ii) For every  $A \in X/E$ , there exist  $B, C \in X/E$  such that  $A\alpha \subseteq B\beta$  and  $A\beta \subseteq C\alpha$ .
- (iii)  $X\alpha = X\beta$ .

The following result is evident from Theorems 2.5 and 2.8.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . Then  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{H}$  if and only if  $\ker \alpha = \ker \beta$  and  $X\alpha = X\beta$ .*

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . Then  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}$  if and only if there is a bijection  $\varphi : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$  satisfying*

- (i)  $R\varphi = R$  and
- (ii) for every  $A \in X/E$ , there exists  $B \in X/E$  such that  $(A\alpha)\varphi \subseteq B\beta$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}$ . Then there exists  $\gamma \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  such that  $(\alpha, \gamma) \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $(\gamma, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Next, we shall construct a bijection  $\varphi : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$  such that  $R\varphi = R$  and for every  $A \in X/E$ , there exists  $B \in X/E$  such that  $(A\alpha)\varphi \subseteq B\beta$ . By Theorem 2.8, we observe that  $X\beta = X\gamma$ . For each  $x\alpha \in X\alpha$ , define  $\varphi : X\alpha \rightarrow X\gamma$  by  $(x\alpha)\varphi = x\gamma$ . If  $x\alpha = y\alpha$ , then  $(x, y) \in \ker \alpha$  and so  $(x\alpha)\varphi = x\gamma = y\gamma = (y\alpha)\varphi$  since  $\ker \alpha \subseteq \ker \gamma$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is well-defined. Similarly, since  $\ker \gamma \subseteq \ker \alpha$ , we can show that  $\varphi$  is an injection. Since  $x\gamma = (x\alpha)\varphi$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\varphi$  is a surjection. Since  $R\alpha = R = R\gamma$ ,  $R\varphi = (R\alpha)\varphi = R\gamma = R$ . Hence (i) holds. For each  $A \in X/E$ , by Theorem 2.8, there exists  $B \in X/E$  such that  $(A\alpha)\varphi = A\gamma \subseteq B\beta$ . Therefore, (ii) holds.

Conversely, assume that  $\varphi : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$  is a bijection satisfying (i) and (ii). Define  $\gamma : X \rightarrow X$  by  $x\gamma = (x\alpha)\varphi$  for all  $x \in X$ . By (i), we deduce that  $R\gamma = (R\alpha)\varphi = R\varphi = R$ . Let  $A \in X/E$ . From (ii), we have  $(A\alpha)\varphi \subseteq B\beta$  for some  $B \in X/E$ . Since  $\beta \in T_E(X)$ , there exists  $C \in X/E$  such that  $B\beta \subseteq C$  by Lemma 2.1. It follows that  $A\gamma = (A\alpha)\varphi \subseteq B\beta \subseteq C$ . This implies that  $\gamma \in T_E(X)$ . Hence  $\gamma \in T_E(X, R)$ . If  $r\gamma = s\gamma$  for some  $r, s \in R$ , then  $(r\alpha)\varphi = (s\alpha)\varphi$ . Thus  $r\alpha = s\alpha$  since  $\varphi$  is an injection. By the regularity of  $\alpha$ ,  $r = s$ . Hence  $\gamma \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . Since  $\varphi$  is injective, for every  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$x\gamma = y\gamma \Leftrightarrow (x\alpha)\varphi = (y\alpha)\varphi \Leftrightarrow x\alpha = y\alpha.$$

This shows that  $\ker \alpha = \ker \gamma$ . Since  $\varphi$  is surjective,  $X\gamma = (X\alpha)\varphi = X\beta$ . It follows that  $(\alpha, \gamma) \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $(\gamma, \beta) \in \mathcal{L}$ , by Theorems 2.5 and 2.8, respectively. Hence  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D}$ . □

Finally, we characterize Green’s relation  $\mathcal{J}$  for regular elements of  $T_E(X, R)$ .

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . Then  $\alpha = \lambda\beta\mu$  for some  $\lambda, \mu \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  if and only if there is a mapping  $\varphi : X\beta \rightarrow X\alpha$  satisfying*

- (i)  $\varphi|_R : R \rightarrow R$  is a bijection,
- (ii) for every  $x, y \in X\beta$ ,  $(x, y) \in E$  implies that  $(x\varphi, y\varphi) \in E$  and
- (iii) for every  $A \in X/E$ , there exists  $B \in X/E$  such that  $A\alpha \subseteq (B\beta)\varphi$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\alpha = \lambda\beta\mu$  for some  $\lambda, \mu \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . For each  $x \in X$ , we let  $r_x \in R$  with  $(x, r_x) \in E$ . Define  $\varphi : X\beta \rightarrow X\alpha$  by

$$x\varphi = \begin{cases} x\mu & \text{if } x \in X\lambda\beta, \\ r_x\mu & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $x \in X\beta$ . If  $x \in X\lambda\beta$ , then  $x = x'\lambda\beta$  for some  $x' \in X$ . By assumption, we have  $x\varphi = x\mu = x'\lambda\beta\mu = x'\alpha \in X\alpha$ . If  $x \notin X\lambda\beta$ , then  $r_x \in X\lambda\beta$  and so  $r_x = s\lambda\beta$  for some  $s \in R$ . By assumption, we obtain that  $x\varphi = r_x\mu = s\lambda\beta\mu = s\alpha \in X\alpha$ . This shows that  $\varphi$  is well-defined. If  $r, s \in R$  such that  $r\varphi = s\varphi$ , then  $r\mu = s\mu$ , since  $R = R\lambda\beta$ . Thus  $r'\alpha = s'\alpha$  such that  $r = r'\lambda\beta$  and  $s = s'\lambda\beta$  where  $r', s' \in R$ . By the regularity of  $\alpha$ ,  $r' = s'$ , and so  $r = s$ . Since  $R\mu = R$ ,  $R\varphi = R\mu = R$ . Therefore,  $\varphi|_R : R \rightarrow R$  is a bijection. Let  $x, y \in X\beta$  be such that  $(x, y) \in E$ . Then  $r_x = r_y$  and  $x, y \in E_{r_x}$ . By Lemma 2.1, there is  $A \in X/E$  such that  $x\mu, y\mu, r_x\mu \in E_{r_x}\mu \subseteq A$ . This implies that  $x\varphi, y\varphi \in A$  and hence  $(x\varphi, y\varphi) \in E$ . Thus (ii) holds. Finally, let  $A \in X/E$ . By Lemma 2.1, there exists  $B \in X/E$  such that  $A\lambda \subseteq B$ . By assumption and the definition of  $\varphi$ , we then have  $A\alpha = A\lambda\beta\mu \subseteq (B\beta \cap X\lambda\beta)\mu = (B\beta \cap X\lambda\beta)\varphi \subseteq (B\beta)\varphi$ . Hence (iii) holds.

Conversely, assume that  $\varphi : X\beta \rightarrow X\alpha$  is a mapping satisfying the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii). Let  $r \in R$ . To show that  $(E_r \cap X\beta)\varphi \subseteq E_{r\varphi}$ , let  $x \in E_r \cap X\beta$ . Then  $(x, r) \in E$  and  $x, r \in X\beta$ . By (ii),  $(x\varphi, r\varphi) \in E$ . Define  $\mu_r : E_r \rightarrow E_{r\varphi}$  by

$$x\mu_r = \begin{cases} x\varphi & \text{if } x \in X\beta, \\ r\varphi & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mu : X \rightarrow X$  be defined by  $\mu|_{E_r} = \mu_r$  for all  $r \in R$ . Since  $R$  is a cross-section of the partition  $X/E$  induced by  $E$ , it follows that  $\mu$  is well-defined. For each  $r \in R$ ,  $E_r\mu_r \subseteq E_{r\varphi}$  for some  $E_{r\varphi} \in X/E$  and by Lemma 2.1, we have  $\mu \in T_E(X)$ . It follows from (i) that  $R\mu = R\varphi = R$ . Hence  $\mu \in T_E(X, R)$ .

For each  $r \in R$ , by (iii) we choose and fix  $r' \in R$  such that  $E_r\alpha \subseteq (E_{r'}\beta)\varphi$ . If  $(r'\beta)\varphi = a\alpha$  for some  $a \in X$ , then since  $r'\beta \in R$  and  $R\varphi = R$ ,  $a\alpha \in R$  and so  $E_r\alpha \subseteq (E_{r'}\beta)\varphi \subseteq E_{a\alpha}$ . Thus  $r\alpha = a\alpha = (r'\beta)\varphi$  by Lemma 2.3. Let  $x \in E_r$ . Then we choose and fix  $b_x \in E_{r'}$  (if  $x = r$ , we choose  $b_x = r'$ ) such that  $x\alpha = (b_x\beta)\varphi$ . Define  $\lambda : X \rightarrow X$  by  $x\lambda = b_x$  for all  $x \in X$ . For each  $r \in R$ , we get that  $E_r\lambda \subseteq E_{r'}$ . By Lemma 2.1, we obtain that  $\lambda \in T_E(X)$ . Obviously,  $R\lambda \subseteq R$ . On the other hand, let  $r \in R$ . Then  $r\beta \in R$  and so  $(r\beta)\varphi = s\alpha$  for some  $s \in R$ . Thus  $(r\beta)\varphi = s\alpha = (b_s\beta)\varphi$  where  $b_s \in E_{s'}$  and  $s' \in R$ . Since  $\varphi|_R$  is injective,  $r\beta = b_s\beta$  and by the regularity of  $\beta$ , it follows that  $r = b_s$ . Hence  $s\lambda = b_s = r$ , which implies the equality. This proves that  $\lambda \in T_E(X, R)$ . Furthermore, for  $x \in X$ ,

$$x\lambda\beta\mu = b_x\beta\mu = (b_x\beta)\varphi = x\alpha,$$

which implies that  $\alpha = \lambda\beta\mu$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $\lambda, \mu \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ .  $\square$

By the above lemma, we have the following result immediately.

**Theorem 2.12.** Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . Then  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{J}$  if and only if there exist mappings  $\varphi : X\beta \rightarrow X\alpha$  and  $\psi : X\alpha \rightarrow X\beta$  satisfying

- (i)  $\varphi|_R, \psi|_R : R \rightarrow R$  are bijections,
- (ii) for every  $x, y \in X\beta$ ,  $(x, y) \in E$  implies that  $(x\varphi, y\varphi) \in E$ ,
- (iii) for every  $x, y \in X\alpha$ ,  $(x, y) \in E$  implies that  $(x\psi, y\psi) \in E$  and
- (iv) for every  $A \in X/E$ , there exist  $B, C \in X/E$  such that  $A\alpha \subseteq (B\beta)\varphi$  and  $A\beta \subseteq (C\alpha)\psi$ .

### 3 Natural Partial Order

In this section, we investigate the condition under which  $\alpha \leq \beta$  for two elements  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ .

By Theorem 1.3, the natural partial order  $\leq$  defined on  $\text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  as follows: for  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ ,

$\alpha \leq \beta$  if and only if  $\alpha = \lambda\beta = \beta\mu$  for some idempotents  $\lambda, \mu \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . Then  $\alpha \leq \beta$  if and only if

- (i)  $\ker \beta \subseteq \ker \alpha$ ,
- (ii) for every  $x \in X$ , if  $x\beta \in X\alpha$ , then  $x\alpha = x\beta$  and
- (iii)  $X\alpha \subseteq X\beta$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Then there exist idempotents  $\lambda, \mu \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  such that  $\alpha = \lambda\beta = \beta\mu$ . It follows from Lemma 2.4 that  $\ker \beta \subseteq \ker \alpha$ . Thus (i) holds. Let  $x \in X$  be such that  $x\beta \in X\alpha$ . Then  $x\beta = y\alpha$  for some  $y \in X$  and thus  $x\alpha = x\beta\mu = y\alpha\mu = y\beta\mu\mu = y\beta\mu = y\alpha = x\beta$ . Hence (ii) holds. From Lemma 2.7, we then have (iii) holds.

Conversely, we assume the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) hold. We will construct idempotents  $\lambda, \mu \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  such that  $\alpha = \lambda\beta = \beta\mu$ . Define  $\mu \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  as in the proof of Lemma 2.4. Then  $\alpha = \beta\mu$ . It remains to show that  $\mu$  is idempotent. Let  $x \in X$ . By the definition of  $\mu$  and (iii),  $x\mu \in X\mu \subseteq X\alpha \subseteq X\beta$ , and hence  $x\mu\mu = a_{x\mu}\alpha$  where  $a_{x\mu} \in X$  with  $a_{x\mu}\beta = x\mu$ . Since  $a_{x\mu}\beta = x\mu \in X\mu \subseteq X\alpha$  and (ii), we deduce that  $x\mu = a_{x\mu}\beta = a_{x\mu}\alpha = x\mu\mu$ . This shows that  $\mu$  is idempotent.

Next, we find an idempotent  $\lambda \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  with  $\alpha = \lambda\beta$ . For each  $x \in X$ , if  $x\beta \in X\alpha$ , then by (ii),  $x\alpha = x\beta$ . Thus we let  $x' = x$ . Otherwise, we choose and fix  $x' \in X$  such that  $x\alpha = x'\beta$  by (iii). Define  $\lambda : X \rightarrow X$  by

$$x\lambda = x' \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Let  $(x, y) \in E$ . Then  $(x'\beta, y'\beta) = (x\alpha, y\alpha) \in E$  for some  $x', y' \in X$ . It follows from Lemma 2.6 that  $(x\lambda, y\lambda) = (x', y') \in E$ . Consequently,  $\lambda \in T_E(X)$ . Since



$R\beta = R = R\alpha \subseteq X\alpha$ ,  $R\lambda = R$ . Therefore  $\lambda \in T_E(X, R)$ . Let  $x \in X$ . We then have  $x\lambda\beta = x'\beta = x\alpha$ . Hence  $\alpha = \lambda\beta$ . It remains to show that  $\lambda$  is idempotent. Let  $x \in X$ . Then  $(x\lambda)\beta = x\lambda\beta = x\alpha \in X\alpha$ . It follows that  $(x\lambda)' = x\lambda$  and hence  $x\lambda\lambda = (x\lambda)' = x\lambda$ . Thus  $\lambda^2 = \lambda$ . Further  $\lambda \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ .

From the discussion above,  $\alpha \leq \beta$  as required.  $\square$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1, we have the following results.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  and  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *If  $X\alpha = X\beta$ , then  $\alpha = \beta$ .*
- (ii) *For every  $P \in \pi(\alpha)$ , there exists  $P' \in \pi(\beta)$  such that  $P' \subseteq P$  and  $P\alpha = P'\beta$ .*
- (iii) *If  $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$ , then  $\alpha = \beta$ .*
- (iv) *For every  $U \in X/E$ ,  $U\alpha \subseteq U\beta$ .*

*Proof.* (i) It is obtained directly from Theorem 3.1 (ii).

(ii) Let  $P \in \pi(\alpha)$  and  $x \in P$ . Then by Theorem 3.1(iii),  $x\alpha = x'\beta$  for some  $x' \in X$ . Let  $P' = (x'\beta)\beta^{-1}$ . Then  $P' \in \pi(\beta)$  and  $P\alpha = P'\beta$ . If  $y \in P'$ , then  $x\alpha = x'\beta = y\beta$ . By Theorem 3.1 (ii), we have  $y\alpha = y\beta = x\alpha$  and so  $y \in (x\alpha)\alpha^{-1} = P$ . Hence  $P' \subseteq P$ .

(iii) It is an immediate consequence of (ii).

(iv) Let  $U \in X/E$ . By Lemma 2.6, there exists  $A \in X/E$  such that  $U = A\alpha^{-1}$ . By Lemma 2.7, there exists  $V \in X/E$  such that  $U\alpha \subseteq V\beta$ . Let  $x \in U$ . Then  $x\alpha = y\beta$  for some  $y \in V$ . It follows from Theorem 3.1 (ii) that  $y\alpha = y\beta = x\alpha \in U\alpha \subseteq A$ . Therefore  $y \in A\alpha^{-1} = U$ , which implies that  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ , so  $U = V$ . Hence  $U\alpha \subseteq U\beta$ .  $\square$

Let  $\rho$  be a partial order on a semigroup  $S$ . An element  $c \in S$  is said to be *right compatible* with  $\rho$  if  $(ac, bc) \in \rho$  for all  $(a, b) \in \rho$ . *Left compatibility* with  $\rho$  is defined dually.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $\gamma \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . If  $\gamma$  is an injection, then  $\gamma$  is right compatible with  $\leq$  on  $\text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\gamma$  is injective. Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  be such that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $x\beta\gamma = y\beta\gamma$ . Then  $x\beta = y\beta$  because  $\gamma$  is an injection. Since  $\ker \beta \subseteq \ker \alpha$ , it follows that  $x\alpha = y\alpha$ . Thus  $x\alpha\gamma = y\alpha\gamma$ . This shows that  $\ker \beta\gamma \subseteq \ker \alpha\gamma$ . Let  $x \in X$  be such that  $x\beta\gamma \in X\alpha\gamma$ . Then  $x\beta\gamma = y\alpha\gamma$  for some  $y \in X$ . By assumption,  $x\beta = y\alpha \in X\alpha$ . From Theorem 3.1 (ii), we get  $x\beta = x\alpha$  and hence  $x\beta\gamma = x\alpha\gamma$ . Since  $X\alpha \subseteq X\beta$ ,  $X\alpha\gamma \subseteq X\beta\gamma$ . The desired result then follows from Theorem 3.1. Therefore,  $\gamma$  is right compatible.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\gamma \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . If  $\gamma$  is a surjection, then  $\gamma$  is left compatible with  $\leq$  on  $\text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\gamma$  is a surjection. Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  be such that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . We need to show that  $\gamma\alpha \leq \gamma\beta$ . Since  $\ker \beta \subseteq \ker \alpha$ , it follows that  $\ker \gamma\beta \subseteq \ker \gamma\alpha$ . Let  $x\gamma\beta \in X\gamma\alpha$ . Then  $x\gamma\beta \in X\alpha$ . Since  $\alpha \leq \beta$ ,  $x\gamma\beta = x\gamma\alpha$  by Theorem 3.1 (ii). Since  $X\gamma = X$  and  $X\alpha \subseteq X\beta$ ,  $X\gamma\alpha \subseteq X\gamma\beta$ . The desired result follows from Theorem 3.1. Therefore,  $\gamma$  is left compatible.  $\square$

For  $\alpha \in T_E(X)$ , we let

$$E(\alpha) = \{A\alpha^{-1} : A \in X/E \text{ and } A\alpha^{-1} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Then  $E(\alpha)$  is also a partition of  $X$ . From [9] and [11], for  $\alpha \in T_E(X)$ ,  $A \in E(\alpha)$  is *saturated* if  $A\alpha \in X/E$ , that is,  $A\alpha = B$  for some  $B \in X/E$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *For every  $\alpha \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ ,  $X/E = E(\alpha)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A \in X/E$ . Then  $A = E_r$  for some  $r \in R$ . Then by Lemma 2.1,  $E_r\alpha \subseteq E_{r'}$  for some  $r' \in R$ . Thus  $E_r \subseteq E_{r'}\alpha^{-1} \in E(\alpha)$ . For each  $x \in E_{r'}\alpha^{-1}$ , we have  $(r\alpha, x\alpha) \in E$ . It follows from Lemma 2.6 that  $(r, x) \in E$ . Hence  $E_r = E_{r'}\alpha^{-1}$ . Consequently,  $A \in E(\alpha)$ . For the reverse inclusion, let  $A \in E(\alpha)$ . Then  $A = E_{r'}\alpha^{-1}$  for some  $r' \in R$ . Thus  $A\alpha \subseteq E_{r'}$  and hence  $r = r'\alpha$  for some  $r' \in R$ . This implies that  $E_{r'} \subseteq A$ . And for each  $a \in A$ , we have  $(a\alpha, r'\alpha) \in E$ . By Lemma 2.6,  $(a, r') \in E$ . Therefore,  $A = E_{r'} \in X/E$  and hence  $A \in X/E$ . Thus  $X/E = E(\alpha)$  as required.  $\square$

For each  $\alpha \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ , by Lemma 3.5,  $A \in X/E$  is said to be *saturated* of  $\alpha$  if  $A\alpha \in X/E$ .

The following results prove useful in characterizing a maximal element in  $\text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . If  $U \in X/E$  is non-saturated of  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha|_U$  is not an injection, then  $\alpha$  is not maximal.*

*Proof.* Let  $U \in X/E$  be non-saturated of  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha|_U$  is not an injection. Then  $U\alpha \subset A$  for some  $A \in X/E$ . Let  $a \in A \setminus U\alpha$ . Then  $a \notin R$ . Since  $\alpha|_U$  is not injective, there are distinct elements  $u_1, u_2 \in U$  such that  $u_1\alpha = u_2\alpha$ . Since  $|A \cap R| = 1$ , we assume  $u_1 \notin R$ . Let  $\beta \in T(X)$  be defined by

$$x\beta = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } x = u_1, \\ x\alpha & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $(a, u_2\alpha) \in E$  and  $\alpha \in T_E(X)$ , we deduce that  $\beta \in T_E(X)$ . Since  $\alpha|_R : R \rightarrow R$  is a bijection,  $\beta|_R$  is also. Since  $R\beta = R$  and by Theorem 1.1,  $\beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . Claim that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Let  $x\beta = y\beta$ . Then  $x\beta = a$  or  $x\beta = x\alpha$ . If  $y\beta = x\beta = a$ , then  $x = u_1 = y$  and hence  $x\alpha = y\alpha$ . If  $y\beta = x\beta = x\alpha \neq a$ , then  $y \neq u_1$ , so  $x\alpha = y\beta = y\alpha$ . Consequently,  $\ker \beta \subseteq \ker \alpha$ . If  $x\beta \in X\alpha$ , then  $x\beta \neq a$ , so that  $x\beta = x\alpha$ . Moreover,  $X\alpha \subseteq X\alpha \cup \{a\} = X\beta$ . By virtue of Theorem 3.1,  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Since  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , it follows that  $\alpha$  is not maximal in  $\text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  be such that  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and  $U \in X/E$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *If  $U$  is saturated of  $\alpha$ , then  $U\alpha = U\beta$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\alpha|_U$  is an injection, then  $U\alpha = U\beta$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Assume that  $U$  is saturated of  $\alpha$ . Then there exists  $A \in X/E$  such that  $U\alpha = A$ . Since  $\alpha \leq \beta$  by Corollary 3.2 (iv), it follows that  $A = U\alpha \subseteq U\beta$ . Since  $X/E$  is a partition of  $X$  and by Lemma 2.1, we deduce that  $U\beta \subseteq A$ . Hence  $U\alpha = U\beta$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $\alpha|_U$  is an injection. By Lemma 2.6, there exists  $A \in X/E$  such that  $U = A\alpha^{-1}$ . By Corollary 3.2 (iv), we get that  $U\alpha \subseteq U\beta$ . Also,  $U\beta \subseteq A$ . Now we show  $U\alpha = U\beta$ . Indeed, if there is some  $y \in U\beta \setminus U\alpha$ , then  $y \in A \setminus U\alpha$ . Let  $x \in U$  with  $y = x\beta$ . Then  $x \neq r \in U \cap R$  and  $x\alpha \neq x\beta$ . Since  $\alpha|_U$  is injective, we have  $x\alpha \neq r\alpha = r\beta$  and  $x\alpha \neq z\beta$  for any  $z \in U \setminus \{x, r\}$ . So  $x\alpha \in U\alpha \setminus U\beta$ , which implies that  $U\alpha \not\subseteq U\beta$ , a contradiction. Hence  $U\alpha = U\beta$ .  $\square$

From Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7, we characterize a maximal element in  $\text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  as follows.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . If  $\alpha$  is a surjection, then  $\alpha$  is maximal.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\alpha$  is a surjection. Let  $\beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  be such that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . By Theorem 3.1,  $X\alpha \subseteq X\beta$ . It follows from assumption that  $X\alpha = X\beta$ . Hence by Corollary 3.2 (i), we conclude that  $\alpha = \beta$ . Consequently,  $\alpha$  is a maximal element of  $\text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ .  $\square$

The converse of Theorem 3.8 is not necessarily true. We now show that there exists a maximal element of  $\text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  which is not surjective.

**Example 3.9.** Let  $X = \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$ ,  $X/E = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, \{6, 7, 8\}\}$  and  $R = \{1, 3, 6\}$ . Define  $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$  by

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 6 & 8 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\alpha \in T_E(X, R)$  and  $\alpha$  is not surjective. By Theorem 1.2,  $\alpha$  is regular. Let  $\beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  be such that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Then by Theorem 3.1, we have  $X\alpha \subseteq X\beta$ . Thus  $X\beta = X\alpha$  or  $X\beta = X$ . Suppose that  $X\beta = X$ . Then  $x_1\beta = 6, x_2\beta = 7$  and  $x_3\beta = 8$  for some  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . By Lemma 2.6,  $(x_1, x_2), (x_1, x_3) \in E$ . Since  $x_1\beta, x_3\beta \in X\alpha$ , by Theorem 3.1 (ii), we deduce that  $x_1\alpha = x_1\beta = 6$  and  $x_3\alpha = x_3\beta = 8$ , which implies that  $x_1 = 1$  and  $x_3 = 2$ . It follows that  $x_2 \in \{1, 2\} = \{x_1, x_3\}$ . This is a contradiction with  $\beta$  is a mapping. Hence  $X\beta = X\alpha$ . We can conclude that  $\alpha = \beta$  by Corollary 3.2 (i). Consequently,  $\alpha$  is a maximal element.

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . Suppose that  $\alpha$  is not surjective. Then  $\alpha$  is maximal if and only if for every non-saturated  $A$  of  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha|_A$  is an injection.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\alpha$  is maximal and not surjective. Let  $A \in X/E$  be non-saturated of  $\alpha$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $\alpha|_A$  must be an injection.

Conversely, suppose that each non-saturated  $A$  of  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha|_A$  is an injection. Let  $\beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  be such that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Then by Theorem 3.1, we obtain that  $X\alpha \subseteq X\beta$ . On the other hand, let  $A \in X/E$ . If  $A$  is saturated of  $\alpha$ , then by Lemma 3.7 (i), we deduce that  $A\alpha = A\beta$ . If  $A$  is non-saturated of  $\alpha$ , then  $\alpha|_A$  is an injection. By Lemma 3.7 (ii), we obtain that  $A\alpha = A\beta$ . Hence  $X\beta \subseteq X\alpha$  by Lemma 2.7. Therefore  $X\alpha = X\beta$ . From Corollary 3.2 (i), it follows that  $\alpha = \beta$  and thus  $\alpha$  is a maximal element of  $\text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ .  $\square$

Next, we characterize minimal elements of  $\text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ .

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . Then  $\alpha$  is minimal if and only if  $X\alpha = R$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\alpha$  is minimal. For each  $x \in X$ , let  $r_x \in R$  be such that  $(x, r_x) \in E$ . Define  $\beta : X \rightarrow X$  by  $x\beta = r_x\alpha$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $\beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  and  $X\beta = R$ . If  $a\alpha = b\alpha$ , then by Lemma 2.6, we have  $(a, b) \in E$ . Thus  $r_a = r_b$  and so  $a\beta = r_a\alpha = r_b\alpha = b\beta$ . Hence  $\ker \alpha \subseteq \ker \beta$ . Let  $x \in X$  be such that  $x\alpha \in X\beta$ . Then  $x\alpha = x'\beta = r_{x'}\alpha$  for some  $x' \in X$  and by Lemma 2.6,  $(x, r_{x'}) \in E$ , whence  $r_x = r_{x'}$ . Therefore  $x\alpha = x'\beta = r_{x'}\alpha = r_x\alpha = x\beta$ . Hence (ii) in Theorem 3.1 holds. Obviously,  $X\beta = R = R\alpha \subseteq X\alpha$ . It follows from Theorem 3.1 that  $\beta \leq \alpha$ . By assumption,  $\alpha = \beta$ . Hence  $X\alpha = X\beta = R$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $X\alpha = R$ . Let  $\beta \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  be such that  $\beta \leq \alpha$ . By Theorem 3.1, it follows that  $X\alpha = R = R\beta \subseteq X\beta \subseteq X\alpha$ . Thus  $X\alpha = X\beta$ . It follows from Corollary 3.2 (i) that  $\alpha = \beta$ . Hence  $\alpha$  is minimal.  $\square$

Let  $\leq$  be a partial order on a semigroup  $S$ . An element  $b \in S$  is called an *upper cover* for  $a \in S$  if  $a < b$  and there exists no  $c \in S$  such that  $a < c < b$ . A *lower cover* is defined dually.

Finally, the following results are concerned with the existence of an upper cover and a lower cover for elements of  $\text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ .

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . If  $\alpha$  is not maximal, then  $\alpha$  has an upper cover.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\alpha$  is not maximal. So  $\alpha$  is not surjective. Let  $a \in X \setminus X\alpha$ . Then there exists  $A \in X/E$  such that  $a \in A$ . Let  $U = A\alpha^{-1}$ . Then  $U\alpha \subseteq A$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $U \in X/E$ . Since  $a \notin X\alpha$ ,  $U\alpha \neq A$ . Therefore  $U$  is non-saturated. By Theorem 3.10, we have that  $\alpha|_U$  is not an injection. Let  $\beta$  be defined as in the proof of Lemma 3.6, and we will show that  $\beta$  is an upper cover of  $\alpha$ . Suppose that  $\alpha < \gamma \leq \beta$  for some  $\gamma \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . Then by Theorem 3.1, we obtain that  $X\alpha \subset X\gamma \subseteq X\beta = X\alpha \cup \{a\}$  and thus  $X\gamma = X\beta$ . It follows from Corollary 3.2 (i) that  $\gamma = \beta$ . Hence  $\beta$  is an upper cover of  $\alpha$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$ . If  $\alpha$  is not minimal, then  $\alpha$  has a lower cover.*

*Proof.* If  $\alpha$  is not minimal, then  $X\alpha \neq R$ . Let  $y \in X\alpha \setminus R$ . Then  $y \in E_r$  for some  $r \in R$ . Define  $\beta : X \rightarrow X$  by

$$x\beta = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } x \in y\alpha^{-1}, \\ x\alpha & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\beta$  is well-defined and  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Let  $r' \in R$  be such that  $r'\alpha = r$ . For each  $x \in y\alpha^{-1}$ ,  $(x\alpha, r'\alpha) = (y, r) \in E$ . By Lemma 2.6,  $(x, r') \in E$ . Thus  $y\alpha^{-1} \subseteq E_{r'}$ . If  $x \in E_{r'} \setminus y\alpha^{-1}$ , then  $(x\beta, r'\beta) = (x\alpha, r'\alpha) = (x\alpha, r) \in E$ . Hence  $E_{r'}\beta \subseteq E_r$ . For each  $s \in R \setminus \{r'\}$ , by Lemma 2.1, there exists  $s' \in R$  such that  $E_s\beta = E_s\alpha \subseteq E_{s'}$ . From Lemma 2.1, we obtain that  $\beta \in T_E(X)$ . Since  $R \subseteq X \setminus y\alpha^{-1}$ , we get  $R\beta = R\alpha = R$  and hence  $\beta \in T_E(X, R)$ . Since  $\alpha$  is regular,  $\beta$  is also.

Now, we will show that  $\beta \leq \alpha$  by using Theorem 3.1. Let  $a, b \in X$  be such that  $a\alpha = b\alpha$ . If  $a\alpha = y$ , then  $a\beta = r = b\beta$ . Otherwise,  $a\beta = a\alpha = b\alpha = b\beta$ . Hence  $\ker \alpha \subseteq \ker \beta$ . Suppose that  $x\alpha \in X\beta$  where  $x \in X$ . Since  $X\beta = X\alpha \setminus \{y\}$ ,  $x\alpha \neq y$  and hence  $x \notin y\alpha^{-1}$ . Therefore,  $x\beta = x\alpha$ . Obviously,  $X\beta = X\alpha \setminus \{y\} \subseteq X\alpha$ . Hence  $\beta \leq \alpha$ .

Finally, to show that  $\beta$  is a lower cover for  $\alpha$ , let  $\gamma \in \text{Reg}(T_E(X, R))$  be such that  $\beta \leq \gamma < \alpha$ . Then by Theorem 3.1,  $X\alpha \setminus \{y\} = X\beta \subseteq X\gamma \subset X\alpha$ , which implies  $X\beta = X\gamma$ . By Corollary 3.2 (i), we conclude that  $\beta = \gamma$ . Consequently,  $\alpha$  has a lower cover.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments :** The first author would like to show gratitude to the Science Achievement Scholarship of Thailand (SAST) for the full scholarship to the first author and support in academic activities. The corresponding author was supported by Chiang Mai University.

## References

- [1] J.M. Howie, *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, Clarendon, Oxford University Press, Oxford New York, 1995.
- [2] V. Vagner, Generalized groups, *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR* 84 (1952) 1119-1122.
- [3] K. Nambooripad, The natural partial order on a regular semigroup, *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society (Series 2)* 23 (3) (1980) 249-260.
- [4] H. Mitsch, A natural partial order for semigroups, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 97 (3) (1986) 384-388.
- [5] Carol G. Doss, *Certain Equivalence Relations in Transformation Semigroups*, Masters Thesis, University of Tennessee, 1955.
- [6] H. Pei, Regularity and Greens relations for semigroups of transformations that preserve an equivalence, *Communications in Algebra* 33 (1) (2005) 109-118.

- [7] -----, Semigroups of transformations preserving an equivalence relation and a cross-section, *Communications in Algebra* 32 (5) (2004) 1917-1935.
- [8] N. Sawatraksa, P. Kammoo, C. Namnak, E-inversive elements in some semigroups of transformations that preserve equivalence, *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, Special Issue: Annual Meeting in Mathematics 2017 (2017) 127-132.
- [9] L. Sun, H. Pei, Z. Cheng, Naturally ordered transformation semigroups preserving an equivalence, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society* 78 (1) (2008) 117-128.
- [10] J. Araújo, J. Konieczny, Automorphism groups of centralizers of idempotents, *Journal of Algebra* 269 (1) (2003) 227-239.
- [11] L. Sun, W. Deng, H. Pei, Naturally ordered transformation semigroups preserving an equivalence relation and a cross-section, *Algebra Colloquium* 18 (3) (2011) 523-532.
- [12] N. Sawatraksa, C. Namnak, On regularity of transformation semigroups preserving equivalence with restricted cross-section, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences* 102 (11) (2017) 2659-2666.

(Received 4 January 2018)

(Accepted 18 June 2019)