



# On $\phi$ –Quasiconformally Symmetric $N(k)$ –Contact Metric Manifolds

Avik De<sup>†</sup> and Yoshio Matsuyama<sup>‡,1</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematical and Actuarial Sciences, University Tunku  
Abdul Rahman, Selangor 43000, Malaysia  
e-mail : [de.math@gmail.com](mailto:de.math@gmail.com)

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, Chuo University, 1-13-27 Kasuga  
Bunkyo, Tokyo 1128551, Japan  
e-mail : [matuyama@math.chuo-u.ac.jp](mailto:matuyama@math.chuo-u.ac.jp)

**Abstract :** The object of the present paper is to study locally and globally  $\phi$ –quasiconformally symmetric  $N(k)$ –metric manifolds. We prove that a globally  $\phi$ –quasiconformally  $N(k)$ –contact metric manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) is Sasakian. Some observations for a 3-dimensional locally  $\phi$ –symmetric  $N(k)$ –contact metric manifold are given. We also give an example of a 3-dimensional locally  $\phi$ –quasiconformally symmetric  $N(k)$ –contact metric manifold.

**Keywords :**  $N(k)$ –contact manifold; quasiconformal curvature tensor;  $\eta$ –Einstein manifold.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification :** 53C25; 53C40.

---

## 1 Introduction

The notion of locally symmetry of a Riemannian manifold has been weakened by many authors in several ways to a different extent. As a weaker version of local symmetry, T. Takahasi [1] introduced the notion of locally  $\phi$ –symmetry, De et al. [2] introduced the notion of  $\phi$ –recurrent Sasakian manifold. In the context of contact geometry the notion of  $\phi$ –symmetry is introduced and studied by Boeckx, Bueken and Vanhecke [3] with several examples. In a recent paper De and Gazi

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author.

[4] studied locally  $\phi$ -recurrent  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds. Also De, Özgür and Mondal [5] studied  $\phi$ -quasiconformally symmetric Sasakian manifolds. In the present paper we study  $\phi$ -quasiconformally symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds which generalizes the results of De, Özgür and Mondal [5] and also the result of Blair, Koufogiorgos and Sharma [6].

Let  $(M, g)$  be a  $(2n+1)$ ,  $(n \geq 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. The notion of the quasiconformal curvature tensor was introduced by Yano and Sawaki [7]. According to them a quasiconformal curvature tensor is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} C^*(X, Y)Z &= aR(X, Y)Z + b[S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y \\ &\quad + g(Y, Z)QX - g(X, Z)QY] \\ &\quad - \frac{r}{2n+1} \left[ \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right] [g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y], \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $a, b$  are constants,  $S$  is the Ricci tensor,  $Q$  is the Ricci operator defined by  $S(X, Y) = g(QX, Y)$  and  $r$  is the scalar curvature of the manifold  $M$ . If  $a = 1$  and  $b = -\frac{1}{2n-1}$ , then (1.1) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} C^*(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{2n-1} [S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y \\ &\quad + g(Y, Z)QX - g(X, Z)QY] + \frac{r}{2n(2n-1)} [g(Y, Z)X \\ &\quad - g(X, Z)Y] = C(X, Y)Z, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $C$  is the conformal curvature tensor. In [8], De and Matsuyama studied quasiconformally flat Riemannian manifolds satisfying certain condition on the Ricci tensor. From Theorem 5 of [8], it can be proved that a 4-dimensional quasiconformally flat semi-Riemannian manifold is the Robertson-Walker spacetime, Robertson-Walker spacetime is the warped product  $I \times_f M^*$ , where  $M^*$  is a space of constant curvature and  $I$  is an open interval [9]. From (1.1) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W C^*)(X, Y)Z &= a(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z + b[(\nabla_W S)(Y, Z)X \\ &\quad - (\nabla_W S)(X, Z)Y + g(Y, Z)(\nabla_W Q)X - g(X, Z)(\nabla_W Q)Y] \\ &\quad - \frac{dr(W)}{2n+1} \left[ \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right] [g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

If the condition  $\nabla C^* = 0$  holds on  $M$ , then  $M$  is called quasiconformally symmetric, where  $\nabla$  denotes the Levi-Civita connection on  $M$ . It is known [10] that a quasiconformally symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold for  $k \neq 0$  is a manifold of constant curvature  $k$ . This fact means that a quasiconformally symmetric condition is too strong for a  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold. In [1], Takahashi introduced a weaker condition which is locally symmetry for a Sasakian manifold that satisfies the condition

$$\phi^2(\nabla_X R)(Y, Z)W = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $X, Y, Z, W$  are horizontal vector fields which means that it is horizontal with respect to the contact form  $\eta$  of the local fibering, namely, a horizontal vector is nothing but a vector which is orthogonal to  $\xi$ . In [6], Blair, Koufogiorgos and Sharma studied locally  $\phi$ -symmetric 3-dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds.

In (1.4), if  $X, Y, Z, W$  are not horizontal vectors, then we call the manifold globally  $\phi$ -symmetric.

In this paper we introduce a weaker condition than quasiconformally symmetry that satisfies

$$\phi^2(\nabla_W C^*)(X, Y)Z = 0, \tag{1.5}$$

which is called globally  $\phi$ -quasiconformally symmetric for arbitrary vector fields  $X, Y, Z, W$  on  $M$ . If  $X, Y, Z, W$  are horizontal vectors, then the manifold is called locally  $\phi$ -quasiconformally symmetric.

The paper is organized as follows: After preliminaries in Section 3, we consider globally  $\phi$ -quasiconformally symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds and prove that such a  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is Sasakian. Section 4 deals with 3-dimensional locally  $\phi$ -quasiconformally symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold. We prove that a 3-dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is locally  $\phi$ -quasiconformally symmetric if and only if it is locally  $\phi$ -symmetric. Finally we construct an example of a 3-dimensional locally  $\phi$ -quasiconformally symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold.

## 2 Preliminaries

A  $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold  $M$  is said to admit an almost contact metric structure if it admits a tensor field  $\phi$  of type  $(1, 1)$ , a vector field  $\xi$  and a 1-form  $\eta$  satisfying

$$(a) \ \phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad (b) \ \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad (c) \ \phi\xi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (d) \ \eta \circ \phi = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

An almost contact metric structure is said to be normal if the induced almost complex structure  $J$  on the product manifold  $M \times R$  defined by

$$J(X, f \frac{d}{dt}) = (\phi X - f\xi, \eta(X) \frac{d}{dt})$$

is integrable, where  $X$  is tangent to  $M$ ,  $t$  is the coordinate of  $R$  and  $f$  is a smooth function on  $M \times R$ . Let  $g$  be a compatible Riemannian metric with almost contact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$ , that is

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y). \tag{2.2}$$

Then  $M$  becomes an almost contact metric manifold equipped with an almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ . From (2.1) and (2.2) it can be easily seen that

$$(a) \ g(X, \phi Y) = -g(\phi X, Y), \quad (b) \ g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \tag{2.3}$$

for all vector fields  $X, Y$ . An almost contact metric structure becomes a contact metric structure if

$$g(X, \phi Y) = d\eta(X, Y), \quad (2.4)$$

for all vector fields  $X, Y$ . The 1-form  $\eta$  is then called a contact form and  $\xi$  is its characteristic vector field. We define a (1,1) tensor field  $h$  by  $h = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\xi\phi$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes the Lie derivative. Then  $h$  is symmetric and satisfies  $h\phi = -\phi h$ . We have  $Tr.h = Tr.\phi h = 0$  and  $h\xi = 0$ . Also

$$\nabla_X\xi = -\phi X - \phi hX \quad (2.5)$$

holds in a contact metric manifold. A normal contact metric manifold is a Sasakian manifold. An almost contact metric manifold is Sasakian if and only if

$$(\nabla_X\phi)(Y) = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X, \quad X, Y \in TM, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian metric  $g$ . A contact metric manifold  $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  for which  $\xi$  is a Killing vector field is said to be a  $K$ -contact metric manifold. A Sasakian manifold is  $K$ -contact but not conversely. However a 3-dimensional  $K$ -contact manifold is Sasakian [11]. It is well known that the tangent sphere bundle of a flat Riemannian manifold admits a contact metric structure satisfying  $R(X, Y)\xi = 0$  [12]. On the other hand on a Sasakian manifold the following relation holds:

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y. \quad (2.7)$$

As a generalisation of both  $R(X, Y)\xi = 0$  and the Sasakian case : D. E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos and B. J. Papantoniou [13] introduced the  $(k, \mu)$ - nullity distribution on a contact metric manifold and gave several reasons for studying it. The  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution  $N(k, \mu)$  [13] of a contact metric manifold  $M$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} N(k, \mu) &: p \longrightarrow N_p(k, \mu) \\ &= \{W \in T_pM : R(X, Y)W = (kI + \mu h)(g(Y, W)X - g(X, W)Y)\}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $X, Y \in TM$ , where  $(k, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . A contact metric manifold  $M$  with  $\xi \in N(k, \mu)$  is called a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold. In particular on a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold, we have

$$R(X, Y)\xi = k[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] + \mu[\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY]. \quad (2.8)$$

On a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold  $k \leq 1$ . If  $k = 1$ , the structure is Sasakian ( $h = 0$  and  $\mu$  is indeterminate) and if  $k < 1$ , then the  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity condition determines the curvature of  $M$  completely [13]. In fact, for a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold, the condition of being Sasakian, a  $K$ -contact manifold,  $k = 1$  and  $h = 0$  are all equivalent.

The  $k$ -nullity distribution  $N(k)$  of a Riemannian manifold  $M$  is defined by [14]

$$N(k) : p \longrightarrow N_p(k) = \{Z \in T_pM : R(X, Y)Z = k[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y]\},$$

$k$  being a constant. If the characteristic vector field  $\xi \in N(k)$ , then we call the manifold an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold [14]. If  $k = 1$ , then the manifold is Sasakian and if  $k = 0$ , then the manifold is locally isometric to the product  $E^{n+1}(0) \times S^n(4)$  for  $n > 1$  and flat for  $n = 1$  [12]. In a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact manifold if  $\mu = 0$ , then the manifold becomes an  $N(k)$ -contact manifold.

In [15],  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold were studied in details. For more details we refer to ([6], [16]).

In a  $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold  $M$ , the following relations hold:

$$h^2 = (k - 1)\phi^2, \quad k \leq 1, \tag{2.9}$$

$$(\nabla_X \phi)(Y) = g(X + hX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)(X + hX), \tag{2.10}$$

$$R(\xi, X)Y = k[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X], \tag{2.11}$$

$$S(X, \xi) = 2nk\eta(X), \tag{2.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S(X, Y) &= 2(n - 1)g(X, Y) + 2(n - 1)g(hX, Y) \\ &\quad + [2(1 - n) + 2nk]\eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad m \geq 1, \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

$$r = 2n(2n - 2 + k), \tag{2.14}$$

$$S(\phi X, \phi Y) = S(X, Y) - 2nk\eta(X)\eta(Y) - 4(n - 1)g(hX, Y), \tag{2.15}$$

$$(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = g(X + hX, \phi Y), \tag{2.16}$$

$$R(X, Y)\xi = k[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y], \tag{2.17}$$

$$\eta(R(X, Y)Z) = k[g(Y, Z)\eta(X) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)], \tag{2.18}$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y, Z$  where  $R$  is the Riemannian curvature tensor and  $S$  is the Ricci tensor.

### 3 Globally $\phi$ -Quasiconformally Symmetric $N(k)$ -Contact Metric Manifolds

**Definition 3.1.** A  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold  $M$  is said to be globally  $\phi$ -quasiconformally symmetric if the quasiconformal curvature tensor  $C^*$  satisfies

$$\phi^2(\nabla_W C^*)(X, Y)Z = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

for all vector fields  $X, Y, Z, W \in \chi(M)$ .

A contact metric manifold is said to be an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold if the Ricci tensor of the manifold is of the form

$$S(X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y), \tag{3.2}$$

where  $a, b$  are smooth functions on  $M$  and  $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ .

Here we state the following Lemma due to Baikoussis and Koufogiorgos [17]:

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $M$  be an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold of dimension  $(2n + 1)$ ,  $(n \geq 1)$ . If  $\xi$  belongs to the  $k$ -nullity distribution, then  $k = 1$  and the structure is Sasakian.*

Let us suppose that the manifold  $M$  is globally  $\phi$ -quasiconformally symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold. Then by definition

$$\phi^2(\nabla_W C^*)(X, Y)Z = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Using (2.1)(a), we have

$$-(\nabla_W C^*)(X, Y)Z + \eta((\nabla_W C^*)(X, Y)Z)\xi = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Using (1.3) in (3.4), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & -ag((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z, U) - bg(X, U)(\nabla_W S)(Y, Z) \\ & + bg(Y, U)(\nabla_W S)(X, Z) - bg(Y, Z)g((\nabla_W Q)X, U) \\ & + bg(X, Z)g((\nabla_W Q)Y, U) + \frac{1}{2n+1}dr(W)\left[\frac{a}{2n} + 2b\right] \\ & [g(Y, Z)g(X, U) - g(X, Z)g(Y, U)] + a\eta((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z)\eta(U) \\ & + b(\nabla_W S)(Y, Z)\eta(U)\eta(X) - b(\nabla_W S)(X, Z)\eta(Y)\eta(U) \\ & + bg(Y, Z)\eta((\nabla_W Q)X)\eta(U) - bg(X, Z)\eta((\nabla_W Q)Y)\eta(U) \\ & - \frac{1}{2n+1}dr(W)\left[\frac{a}{2n} + 2b\right][g(Y, Z)\eta(X) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)]\eta(U) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Put  $X = U = e_i$ , in (3.5), where  $\{e_i\}$  is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold and taking summation over  $i$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & -[a + (2n - 1)b](\nabla_W S)(Y, Z) - \{bg((\nabla_W Q)e_i, e_i) \\ & - \frac{2n-1}{2n+1}dr(W)\left[\frac{a}{2n} + 2b\right] - b\eta((\nabla_W Q)\xi)\}g(Y, Z) \\ & + bg((\nabla_W Q)Y, Z) + a\eta((\nabla_W R)(\xi, Y)Z) - b(\nabla_W S)(\xi, Z)\eta(Y) \\ & - b\eta((\nabla_W Q)Y)\eta(Z) + \frac{1}{2n+1}dr(W)\left[\frac{a}{2n} + 2b\right]\eta(Y)\eta(Z) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Putting  $Z = \xi$  in (3.6) and using (2.1)(a) and (2.3)(b), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -[a + (2n - 1)b](\nabla_W S)(Y, \xi) - \{bdr(W) - \frac{2n-1}{2n+1}dr(W)\left[\frac{a}{2n} + 2b\right] \\ & - b\eta((\nabla_W Q)\xi)\}\eta(Y) + a\eta((\nabla_W R)(\xi, Y)\xi) - b(\nabla_W S)(\xi, \xi)\eta(Y) \\ & + \frac{1}{2n+1}dr(W)\left[\frac{a}{2n} + 2b\right]\eta(Y) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \eta((\nabla_W Q)\xi) & = g(\nabla_W Q\xi, \xi) - g(Q(\nabla_W \xi), \xi) \\ & = S(\phi X, \xi) + S(\phi hX, \xi) \\ & = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned}
 g((\nabla_W R)(\xi, Y)\xi, \xi) &= g(\nabla_W R(\xi, Y)\xi, \xi) - g(R(\nabla_W \xi, Y)\xi, \xi) \\
 &\quad - g(R(\xi, \nabla_W Y)\xi, \xi) - g(R(\xi, Y)\nabla_W \xi, \xi). \tag{3.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.11), we get by using (2.1)(b)

$$g(R(\xi, Y)\xi, \xi) = 0.$$

Since  $\nabla g = 0$ , we obtain from above

$$g(\nabla_W R(\xi, Y)\xi, \xi) + g(R(\xi, Y)\xi, \nabla_W \xi) = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

Again using (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(R(\xi, \nabla_W Y)\xi, \xi) &= kg(\eta(\nabla_W Y)\xi - \nabla_W Y, \xi) \\
 &= k[\eta(\nabla_W Y) - \eta(\nabla_W Y)] \\
 &= 0. \tag{3.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

By using (2.5), (2.11) and (2.1)(d), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(R(\nabla_W \xi, Y)\xi, \xi) &= g(R(-\phi W - \phi hW, Y)\xi, \xi) \\
 &= -g(R(\phi W, Y)\xi, \xi) - g(R(\phi hW, Y)\xi, \xi) \\
 &= -kg(\eta(Y)\phi W - \eta(\phi W)Y, \xi) - kg(\eta(Y)\phi hW - \eta(\phi hW)Y, \xi) \\
 &= -k\eta(Y)g(\phi W, \xi) - k\eta(Y)g(\phi hW, \xi) \\
 &= 0 \text{ (since } \phi \text{ is skew symmetric and } \phi\xi = 0\text{)}. \tag{3.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) in (3.9) yields

$$g((\nabla_W R)(\xi, Y)\xi, \xi) = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

From (2.11) by using (2.5) and  $\phi\xi = 0$ , we get

$$(\nabla_W S)(\xi, \xi) = \nabla_W S(\xi, \xi) - 2S(\nabla_W \xi, \xi) = -2S(-\phi W - \phi hW, \xi) = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

By the use of (3.8), (3.13) and (3.14), from (3.7), we obtain

$$(\nabla_W S)(Y, \xi) = \frac{1}{2n+1} dr(W)\eta(Y), \text{ if } a + (2n-1)b \neq 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Because  $a + (2n-1)b = 0$  will imply  $C^* = aC$ , from (1.1). So, we can not take  $a + (2n-1)b = 0$ . Putting  $Y = \xi$  in (3.15) we get  $dr(W) = 0$ . This implies  $r$  is constant. So from (3.15), we have

$$(\nabla_W S)(Y, \xi) = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Now we have

$$(\nabla_W S)(Y, \xi) = \nabla_W S(Y, \xi) - S(\nabla_W Y, \xi) - S(Y, \nabla_W \xi).$$

Using (2.12) and (2.5) in the above relation, it follows that

$$(\nabla_W S)(Y, \xi) = 2nk(\nabla_W \eta)(Y) + S(Y, \phi W + \phi hW). \quad (3.17)$$

In virtue of (3.17), (2.16) and (2.3)(a), we get

$$(\nabla_W S)(Y, \xi) = -2nkg(\phi W + \phi hW, Y) + S(Y, \phi W + \phi hW). \quad (3.18)$$

By (3.16) and (3.18), we have

$$2nkg(\phi W + \phi hW, Y) - S(Y, \phi W + \phi hW) = 0. \quad (3.19)$$

Replacing  $Y$  by  $\phi Y$  in (3.19) and using (2.1)(d), (2.2) and (2.15), we get

$$2nkg(\phi W + \phi hW, \phi Y) - S(\phi Y, \phi W + \phi hW) = 0$$

or,

$$2nk[g(W + hW, Y) - \eta(W + hW)\eta(Y)] - S(Y, W + hW) + 2nk\eta(W + hW)\eta(Y) + 4(n-1)g(hY, W + hW) = 0$$

or,

$$2nkg(Y, W) + 2nkg(Y, hW) - S(Y, W) - S(Y, hW) + 4(n-1)g(Y, hW) + 4(n-1)g(Y, h^2W) = 0$$

since  $g(X, hY) = g(hX, Y)$ . Now by (2.9), (2.13) and (2.1)(a) this implies

$$S(Y, W) + S(Y, hW) = 2nkg(Y, W) + [2nk + 4(n-1)]g(Y, hW) + 4(n-1)(k-1)g(Y, -W + \eta(W)\xi)$$

or,

$$S(Y, W) + 2(n-1)g(Y, hW) - 2(n-1)(k-1)g(Y, W) + 2(n-1)(k-1)\eta(Y)\eta(W) = [2nk - 4(n-1)(k-1)]g(Y, W) + [2nk + 4(n-1)]g(Y, hW) + 4(n-1)(k-1)\eta(Y)\eta(W),$$

which implies,

$$S(Y, W) = 2(n+k-1)g(Y, W) + 2(nk+n-1)g(Y, hW) + 2(n-1)(k-1)\eta(Y)\eta(W). \quad (3.20)$$

Replacing  $W$  by  $hW$  and using (2.13), (2.9) and (2.1)(a), we get from (3.20)

$$-2kg(Y, hW) = -2nk(k-1)g(Y, W) + 2nk(k-1)\eta(Y)\eta(W).$$

Since we may assume that  $k \neq 0$ , this implies

$$g(Y, hW) = n(k-1)g(Y, W) - n(k-1)\eta(Y)\eta(W). \quad (3.21)$$



From (3.20) and (3.21), we get

$$S(Y, W) = Ag(Y, W) + B\eta(Y)\eta(W), \tag{3.22}$$

where  $A = 2[(n + k - 1) + n(k - 1)(nk + n - 1)]$

and  $B = 2[(n - 1)(k - 1) - n(k - 1)(nk + n - 1)]$

are constants. So, the manifold is an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold with constant coefficients. Thus we state the following:

**Proposition 3.3.** *A  $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional globally  $\phi$ -quasiconformally symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold with constant coefficients.*

In view of Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.3 we have the following:

**Theorem 3.4.** *A  $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional ( $n \geq 1$ ) globally  $\phi$ -quasiconformally symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is a Sasakian manifold.*

If  $k = 1$ , then the manifold reduces to a Sasakian manifold. In this case from (3.22) it follows that the manifold is an Einstein manifold. Thus we obtain the following:

**Proposition 3.5.** *A  $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional globally  $\phi$ -quasiconformally symmetric Sasakian manifold is an Einstein manifold.*

The above proposition have been proved by De, Özgür and Mondal [5].

## 4 3-Dimensional Locally $\phi$ -Quasiconformally Symmetric $N(k)$ -Contact Metric Manifolds

In a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= g(Y, Z)QX - g(X, Z)QY + S(Y, Z)X \\ &\quad - S(X, Z)Y + \frac{r}{2}[g(X, Z)Y - g(Y, Z)X], \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where  $Q$  is the Ricci-operator, that is,  $g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y)$  and  $r$  is the scalar curvature of the manifold. Now putting  $Z = \xi$  in (4.1) and using (2.1)(a), (2.3)(b) and (2.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)\xi &= \eta(Y)QX - \eta(X)QY \\ &\quad + 2k[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] + \frac{r}{2}[\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Using (2.17) in (4.2), we get

$$(k - \frac{r}{2})[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] = \eta(X)QY - \eta(Y)QX. \tag{4.3}$$

Putting  $Y = \xi$  in (4.3) and using (2.12), we get

$$QX = (\frac{r}{2} - k)X + (3k - \frac{r}{2})\eta(X)\xi. \tag{4.4}$$

Therefore it follows from (4.4) that

$$S(X, Y) = (\frac{r}{2} - k)g(X, Y) + (3k - \frac{r}{2})\eta(X)\eta(Y). \tag{4.5}$$

Using (4.1),(4.4) and (4.5) in (1.1) we get for  $n = 3$

$$\begin{aligned} C^*(X, Y)Z &= [a(\frac{r}{2} - 2k) + 2b(\frac{r}{2} - k) - \frac{r}{3}(\frac{a}{2} + 2b)][g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \\ &\quad + [a(3k - \frac{r}{2}) + b(\frac{r}{2} - k)][g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi] \\ &\quad + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X - \eta(X)\eta(Y)Z \\ &= (a + b)(r - 2k)[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \\ &\quad + [\frac{r}{2}(b - a) + k(3a - b)][g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi] \\ &\quad + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X - \eta(X)\eta(Y)Z. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Taking the covariant differentiation to the both sides of the equation (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W C^*)(X, Y)Z &= dr(W)(a + b)[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \\ &\quad + \frac{dr(W)}{2}(b - a)[g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi] \\ &\quad + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X - \eta(X)\eta(Y)Z \\ &\quad + [\frac{r}{2}(b - a) + k(3a - b)][g(Y, Z)(\nabla_W \eta)(X)\xi] \\ &\quad + g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\nabla_W \xi - g(X, Z)(\nabla_W \eta)(Y)\xi \\ &\quad - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\nabla_W \xi + (\nabla_W \eta)(Y)\eta(Z)X \\ &\quad + \eta(Y)(\nabla_W \eta)(Z)X - (\nabla_W \eta)(X)\eta(Y)Z \\ &\quad - \eta(X)(\nabla_W \eta)(Y)Z. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Now, assume that  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  are horizontal vector fields. So, (4.7) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W C^*)(X, Y)Z &= dr(W)(a + b)[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \\ &\quad + [\frac{r}{2}(b - a) + k(3a - b)][g(Y, Z)(\nabla_W \eta)(X)\xi] \\ &\quad - g(X, Z)(\nabla_W \eta)(Y)\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Using (2.1)(c) we obtain from (4.8)

$$\phi^2(\nabla_W C^*)(X, Y)Z = dr(W)(a + b)[g(X, Z)Y - g(Y, Z)X]. \tag{4.9}$$

Assume  $\phi^2(\nabla_W C^*)(X, Y)Z = 0$ . If  $a + b = 0$ , then putting  $a = -b$  into (1.1) we find for  $n = 3$

$$C^*(X, Y)Z = aC(X, Y)Z,$$

where  $C$  is the weyl conformal curvature tensor. But for a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold since  $C = 0$ , we obtain  $C^* = 0$ . Therefore  $a + b \neq 0$ . Then the equation (4.9) implies  $dr(W) = 0$ . Hence we conclude the following:

**Theorem 4.1.** *A 3-dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is locally  $\phi$ -quasiconformally symmetric if and only if the scalar curvature  $r$  is constant.*

In [6] Blair et al proved the following:  
 A 3-dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is locally  $\phi$ -symmetric if and only if the scalar curvature is constant.

Using the above result of Blair et al and Theorem 4.1, we state the following:

**Theorem 4.2.** *A 3-dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is locally  $\phi$ -quasiconformally symmetric if and only if it is locally  $\phi$ -symmetric.*

## 5 Example

In this section, we construct an example of a locally  $\phi$ -quasiconformally symmetric 3-dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact manifold. We consider 3-dimensional manifold  $M = \{(x, y, z) \in R^3\}$ , where  $(x, y, z)$  are the standard coordinate in  $R^3$ . Let  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  be linearly independent global frame on  $M$  given by

$$[e_2, e_3] = 2e_1, \quad [e_3, e_1] = \frac{3}{2}e_2, \quad [e_1, e_2] = \frac{1}{2}e_3.$$

Let  $g$  be the Riemannian metric defined by

$$g(e_1, e_3) = g(e_2, e_3) = g(e_1, e_2) = 0, \quad g(e_1, e_1) = g(e_2, e_2) = g(e_3, e_3) = 1.$$

Let  $\eta$  be the 1-form defined by

$$\eta(U) = g(U, e_1)$$

for any  $U \in \chi(M)$ . Let  $\phi$  be the (1, 1)-tensor field defined by

$$\phi e_1 = 0, \quad \phi e_2 = e_3, \quad \phi e_3 = -e_2.$$

Using the linearity of  $\phi$  and  $g$  we have

$$\eta(e_1) = 1,$$

$$\phi^2(U) = -U + \eta(U)e_1$$

and

$$g(\phi U, \phi W) = g(U, W) - \eta(U)\eta(W)$$

for any  $U, W \in \chi(M)$ . Moreover

$$he_1 = 0, \quad he_2 = -\frac{1}{2}e_2 \quad \text{and} \quad he_3 = \frac{1}{2}e_3.$$

The Riemannian connection  $\nabla$  of the metric tensor  $g$  is given by the Koszul's formulae as

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) &= Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) \\ &\quad - g(X, [Y, Z]) - g(Y, [X, Z]) + g(Z, [X, Y]). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(\nabla_{e_2} e_3, e_1) &= e_2g(e_3, e_1) + e_3g(e_1, e_2) - e_1g(e_2, e_3) \\ &\quad - g(e_2, [e_3, e_1]) - g(e_3, [e_2, e_1]) + g(e_1, [e_2, e_3]) \\ &= 1 = 2g\left(\frac{1}{2}e_1, e_1\right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$2g(\nabla_{e_2} e_3, e_2) = 0 = 2g\left(\frac{1}{2}e_1, e_2\right)$$

and

$$2g(\nabla_{e_2} e_3, e_3) = 0 = 2g\left(\frac{1}{2}e_1, e_3\right).$$

Therefore, we have  $\nabla_{e_2} e_3 = \frac{1}{2}e_1$ .

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_1} e_1 &= 0, \nabla_{e_1} e_2 = 0, \nabla_{e_1} e_3 = 0, \\ \nabla_{e_2} e_1 &= -\frac{1}{2}e_3, \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = 0, \nabla_{e_2} e_3 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, \\ \nabla_{e_3} e_1 &= \frac{3}{2}e_2, \nabla_{e_3} e_2 = -\frac{3}{2}e_1, \nabla_{e_3} e_3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the manifold satisfies the relation

$$\nabla_{e_2} e_1 = -\phi e_2 - \phi(he_2)$$

and

$$\nabla_{e_3} e_1 = -\phi e_3 - \phi(he_3).$$

Hence we have

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X - \phi hX,$$

for any vector field  $X$ . Hence the manifold is a contact metric manifold for  $e_1 = \xi$ . Now, we find the curvature tensors as

$$\begin{aligned} R(e_2, e_1)e_1 &= \frac{3}{4}e_2, R(e_3, e_1)e_1 = \frac{3}{4}e_3, R(e_2, e_3)e_1 = 0, \\ R(e_2, e_3)e_2 &= \frac{3}{4}e_3, R(e_2, e_1)e_3 = 0, R(e_2, e_3)e_3 = -\frac{3}{4}e_2, \\ R(e_1, e_2)e_2 &= \frac{3}{4}e_1, R(e_1, e_3)e_3 = \frac{3}{4}e_1, R(e_1, e_3)e_2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the expressions of  $R(e_2, e_1)e_1$  and  $R(e_3, e_1)e_1$  we conclude the manifold is a  $N(\frac{3}{4})$ -contact metric manifold.

The Ricci tensors of this manifold are given as follows:

$$S(e_1, e_1) = \frac{3}{2}, S(e_2, e_2) = 0, S(e_3, e_3) = 0.$$

Hence the scalar curvature is

$$r = \frac{3}{2} = \text{constant}.$$

Therefore, in view of the Theorem 4.1, we can say that the manifold is locally  $\phi$ -quasiconformally symmetric.

## References

- [1] T. Takahashi, Sasakian  $\phi$ -symmetric spaces, *Tohoku Math. J.* 29 (1977) 91-113.
- [2] U.C. De, A.A. Shaikh, S. Biswas, On  $\phi$ -recurrent Sasakian manifolds, *Novi sad J. Math.* 33 (2003) 43-48.
- [3] E. Boeckx, P. Bueken, L. Vanhecke,  $\phi$ -symmetric contact metric spaces, *Glasgow Math. J.* 41 (1999) 409-416.
- [4] U.C. De, A.K. Gazi, On  $\phi$ -recurrent  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* 50 (2008) 101-112.
- [5] U.C. De, C. Özgür, A.K. Mondal, On  $\phi$ -quasiconformally symmetric Sasakian manifolds, *Indagationes Mathematicae* 20 (2009) 191-200.
- [6] D.E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos, R. Sharma, A classification of 3-dimensional contact metric manifolds with  $Q\phi = \phi Q$ , *Kodai Math. J.* 13 (1990) 391-401.
- [7] K. Yano, S. Sawaki, Riemannian manifolds admitting a conformal transformation group, *J. Diff. Geom.* 2 (1968) 161-184.
- [8] U.C. De, Y. Matsuyama, On quasiconformally flat manifolds, *SUT. J. Math.* 42 (2006) 295-303.

- [9] B. O'Neill, *Semi Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity*, Academy Press, Inc., 1983.
- [10] U.C. De, A.A. Shaikh, *Complex Manifolds and Contact Manifolds*, Alpha Science Publishers, U.K., 2009.
- [11] J.B. Jun, U.K. Kim, On 3-dimensional almost contact metric manifolds, *Kyungpook Math. J.* 34 (1994) 293-301.
- [12] D.E. Blair, Two remarks on contact metric structures, *Tohoku Math. J.* 29 (1977) 319-324.
- [13] D.E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos, B.J. Papantoniou, Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition, *Israel J. Math.* 91 (1995) 189-214.
- [14] S. Tanno, Ricci curvature of contact Riemannian manifolds, *Tohoku Math. J.* 40 (1988) 441-448.
- [15] C. Baikoussis, D.E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos, A Decomposition of the Curvature Tensor of a Contact Manifold satisfying  $R(X, Y)\xi = k(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y)$ , Mathematics Technical Report, University of Ioanniana, 1992.
- [16] D.E. Blair, H. Chen, A classification of 3-dimensional contact metric manifolds with  $Q\phi = \phi Q$ , *Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics Academia Sinica* 20 (1992) 379-383.
- [17] C. Baikoussis, T. Koufogiorgos, On a type of contact manifolds, *J. of Geometry* 46 (1993) 1-9.

(Received 14 December 2015)

(Accepted 20 October 2017)