Thai Journal of Mathematics Volume 16 (2018) Number 2 : 305–314



http://thaijmath.in.cmu.ac.th ISSN 1686-0209

Common Fixed Point Theorems for Generalized Contractions Mappings

Sumit Chandok

Department of Applied Sciences, Khalsa College of Engineering &Technology (Punjab Technical University), Ranjit Avenue Amritsar-143001, India e-mail : chansok.s@gmail.com; chandhok.sumit@gmail.com

Abstract : In this paper, we prove some common fixed point results in the framework of metric and partially ordered metric spaces satisfying a generalized contractive condition of rational type. The proved results generalize and extend some known results in the literature.

Keywords : fixed point; rational type generalized contraction mappings; ordered metric spaces.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 46T99; 41A50; 47H10; 54H25.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

The Banach contraction mapping is one of the pivotal results of analysis. It is a very popular tool for solving existence problems in many different fields of mathematics. There are a lot of generalizations of the Banach contraction principle in the literature (see [1]- [24] and references cited therein).

Ran and Reurings [23] extended the Banach contraction principle in partially ordered sets with some applications to linear and nonlinear matrix equations. While Nieto and Rodŕiguez-López [21] extended the result of Ran and Reurings and applied their main theorems to obtain a unique solution for a first order ordinary differential equation with periodic boundary conditions. Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [3] introduced the concept of mixed monotone mappings and

Copyright 2018 by the Mathematical Association of Thailand. All rights reserved.

obtained some coupled fixed point results. Also, they applied their results on a first order differential equation with periodic boundary conditions.

Recently, many researchers have obtained fixed point, common fixed point results in metric spaces and partially ordered metric spaces. The purpose of this paper is to establish some common fixed point results satisfying a generalized contraction mappings of rational type in metric and partially ordered metric spaces.

To start with, we recall some definitions.

Definition 1.1. Let M be a nonempty subset of a metric space (X, d) and $T, f : M \to M$. A point $x \in M$ is a common fixed (respectively, coincidence) point of f and T if x = fx = Tx (respectively, fx = Tx). The set of fixed points (respectively, coincidence points) of f and T is denoted by F(f,T) (respectively, C(f,T)).

The pair (T, f) is called

- a) commutative if Tfx = fTx for all $x \in M$;
- b) compatible [16] if $\lim d(Tfx_n, fTx_n) = 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\lim Tx_n = \lim fx_n = t$ for some t in M;
- c) weakly compatible [17] if f and T commute at their coincidence points, i.e., if fTx = Tfx whenever fx = Tx.

2 Main Results

2.1 Common Fixed Point Theorems in Metric Spaces

In this section, we prove a common fixed point theorem for three single-valued mappings in the setting of metric spaces.

Theorem 2.1. Let M be a subset of a metric space (X, d). Suppose that $T, f, g : M \to M$ satisfy

$$d(Tx, fy) \leq \alpha \left(\frac{d(gx, Tx)d(gy, fy)}{d(gx, gy) + d(gx, fy) + d(gy, Tx)} \right) + \beta(d(gx, gy)) \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and for some $\alpha, \beta, \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Suppose also that $T(M) \cup f(M) \subseteq g(M)$ and (g(M), d) is complete. Then

- (i) T, f and g have a coincidence point in M;
- (ii) If the pairs (g,T) and (g,f) are weakly compatible, then T, f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. Since $T(M) \cup f(M) \subseteq g(M)$, we can choose $x_1, x_2 \in M$ so that $gx_1 = Tx_0$ and $gx_2 = fx_1$. By induction, we construct a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X

such that $gx_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n}$ and $gx_{2n+2} = fx_{2n+1}$, for every $n \ge 0$. By (2.1),

$$d(gx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1}) = d(fx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n})$$

$$\leq \alpha \left(\frac{d(gx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1})d(gx_{2n}, Tx_{2n})}{d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n}) + d(gx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n}) + d(gx_{2n}, fx_{2n+1})} \right)$$

$$+ \beta (d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n}))$$

$$= \alpha \left(\frac{d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+2})d(gx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})}{d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n}) + d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1}) + d(gx_{2n}, gx_{2n+2})} \right)$$

$$+ \beta (d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n}))$$

$$\leq \alpha \left(\frac{d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+2})d(gx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})}{d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+2})} \right)$$

$$+ \beta (d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n}))$$

$$= \alpha (d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n})) + \beta (d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n}))$$

$$= (\alpha + \beta) d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n}), \qquad (2.2)$$

which implies that

$$d(gx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1}) \leq (\alpha + \beta)d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n}).$$
(2.3)

Using, mathematical induction we have

$$d(gx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1}) \leq (\alpha + \beta)^{2n+1} d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n}).$$
(2.4)

Put $k = \alpha + \beta < 1$. Now, we shall prove that $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. For $m \ge n$, we have

$$d(gx_m, gx_n) \leq d(gx_m, gx_{m-1}) + d(gx_{m-1}, gx_{m-2}) + \dots + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n)$$

$$\leq (k^{m-1} + k^{m-2} + \dots + k^n) d(gx_1, gx_0)$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{k^n}{1-k}\right) d(gx_1, gx_0), \qquad (2.5)$$

which implies that $d(gx_m, gx_n) \to 0$, as $m, n \to \infty$. Thus $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

As (g(M), d) is complete, there is $t \in M$ such that $gx_n \to gt$ as $n \to \infty$. We shall prove that t is a coincidence point of T, f and g. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_{2n+1}, ft) &= d(Tx_{2n}, ft) \\ &\leq \alpha \left(\frac{d(gx_{2n}, Tx_{2n})d(gt, ft)}{d(gx_{2n}, gt) + d(gx_{2n}, ft) + d(gt, Tx_{2n})} \right) + \beta(d(gx_{2n}, gt)) \\ &= \alpha \left(\frac{d(gx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})d(gt, ft)}{d(gx_{2n}, gt) + d(gx_{2n}, ft) + d(gt, gx_{2n+1})} \right) + \beta(d(gx_{2n}, gt)). \end{aligned}$$

On letting $n \to \infty$, we have d(gt, ft) = 0 and hence gt = ft. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tt,gt) &= d(Tt,ft) \\ &\leq \alpha \left(\frac{d(gt,Tt)d(gt,ft)}{d(gt,gt) + d(gt,ft) + d(gt,Tt)} \right) + \beta(d(gt,gt)). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that d(Tt, gt) = 0, that is, Tt = gt. Thus we have , gt = Tt = ft, that is, t is a coincidence point of T, f and g. Then (i) holds.

Now, suppose that the pairs (g,T) and (g,f) are weakly compatible. Let z = ft = gt = Tt. Then we have gTt = Tgt and gft = fgt, which implies that Tz = fz = gz. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(gz,z) &= d(Tz,ft) \\ &\leq & \alpha \left(\frac{d(gz,Tz)d(gt,ft)}{d(gz,gt) + d(gz,ft) + d(gt,Tz)} \right) + \beta(d(gz,gt)). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that d(gz, z) = 0, that is, gz = z. Hence, we get that

$$z = gz = Tz = fz,$$

that is, z is a common fixed point of g, T and f. This makes end to the proof.

Suppose now that $z' \in M$ is another common fixed point of g, T and f, that is,

$$z' = gz' = Tz' = fz'.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(z,z') &= d(Tz,fz') \\ &\leq \alpha \left(\frac{d(gz,Tz)d(gz',fz')}{d(gz,gz') + d(gz,fz') + d(gz',Tz)} \right) + \beta(d(gz,gz')). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that d(z, z') = 0, that is, z = z'. Thus we proved the uniqueness of the common fixed point. Hence (ii) holds.

Corollary 2.2. Let M be a subset of a metric space (X,d) Suppose that $T,S : M \to M$ satisfy

$$d(Tx,Ty) \leq \alpha \left(\frac{d(Sx,Tx)d(Sy,Ty)}{d(Sx,Sy) + d(Sx,Ty) + d(Sy,Tx)} \right) + \beta(d(Sx,Sy))$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and for some $\alpha, \beta, \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Suppose also that $T(M) \subseteq S(M)$ and (S(M), d) is complete. Then

- (i) T and S have a coincidence point in M;
- (ii) If the pair (S,T) is weakly compatible, then T and S have a unique common fixed point.

Corollary 2.3. [15] Let T be a continuous self map defined on a complete metric space (X, d). Suppose that T satisfies the following contractive condition:

$$d(Tx,Ty) \leq \alpha \left(\frac{d(x,Tx)d(y,Ty)}{d(x,y) + d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)} \right) + \beta(d(x,y))$$

for all $x, y \in X$, and for some $\alpha, \beta, \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta < 1$, then T has a unique fixed point in X.

Common Fixed Point Theorems for Generalized Contractions Mappings

2.2 Common Fixed Point Theorems in Ordered Metric Spaces

In this section, we prove a common fixed point theorem in the setting of ordered metric spaces.

Definition 2.1. Suppose (X, \leq) is a partially ordered set and $T : X \to X$. T is said to be *monotone nondecreasing* if for all $x, y \in X$,

$$x \le y$$
 implies $Tx \le Ty$. (2.6)

Definition 2.2. Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and $T, f, g : X \to X$ are mappings such that $T(X) \subseteq g(X)$ and $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$. Then T and f are weakly increasing with respect to g if and only if for all $x \in X$, we have

- (a) $Tx \leq fy$ for all $y \in g^{-1}(Tx)$;
- (b) $fx \leq Ty$ for all $y \in g^{-1}(fx)$.

Various examples of such mappings are given in [19, 20].

Remark 2.4. If gx = x for all $x \in X$, then T and f are weakly increasing with respect to g implies that T and f are weakly increasing mappings. Note that the concept of weakly increasing mappings was introduced by Altun and Simsek in [2].

Theorem 2.5. Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Suppose that $T, f, g : X \to X$ satisfy

$$d(Tx, fy) \leq \alpha \left(\frac{d(gx, Tx)d(gy, fy)}{d(gx, gy) + d(gx, fy) + d(gy, Tx)} \right) + \beta(d(gx, gy)), \quad (2.7)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $gx \leq gy$ and for some $\alpha, \beta, \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Suppose that

- (i) $T(X) \subseteq g(X), f(X) \subseteq g(X)$ and g(X) is a complete subspace of X;
- (ii) T and f are weakly increasing with respect to g.

Also suppose that either

- (a) the pair (T, g) is compatible and T, g are continuous; or
- (b) the pair (f, g) is compatible and f, g are continuous.

Then T, f and g have a coincidence point, that is, there exists $t \in X$ such that gt = ft = Tt.

309

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. From (i), we can choose $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $gx_1 = Tx_0$ and $gx_2 = fx_1$. By induction, we construct a sequence $\{gx_n\}$ in X such that $gx_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n}$ and $gx_{2n+2} = fx_{2n+1}$, for every $n \ge 0$.

We claim that

$$gx_n \preceq gx_{n+1}, \quad \text{for all} \quad n \ge 1.$$
 (2.8)

Since T and f are weakly increasing mappings with respect to g, we obtain

$$gx_1 = Tx_0 \preceq fy, \forall y \in g^{-1}(Tx_0).$$

Since $gx_1 = Tx_0$, then $x_1 \in g^{-1}(Tx_0)$, and we get

$$gx_1 = Tx_0 \preceq fx_1 = gx_2.$$

Again,

$$gx_2 = fx_1 \preceq Ty, \forall y \in g^{-1}(fx_1).$$

Since $x_2 \in g^{-1}(fx_1)$, we get

$$gx_2 = fx_1 \preceq Tx_2 = gx_3.$$

By induction on n, we conclude that

$$gx_1 \preceq gx_2 \preceq \ldots \preceq gx_{2n+1} \preceq gx_{2n+2} \preceq \ldots$$

Thus our claim (2.8) holds.

Since $gx_{2n} \leq gx_{2n+1}$, for all $n \geq 1$, from (2.7), we have

$$d(gx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1}) = d(fx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n})$$

$$\leq \alpha \left(\frac{d(gx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1})d(gx_{2n}, Tx_{2n})}{d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n}) + d(gx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n}) + d(gx_{2n}, fx_{2n+1})} \right)$$

$$+ \beta (d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n}))$$

$$= \alpha \left(\frac{d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+2})d(gx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})}{d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n}) + d(gx_{2n}, gx_{2n+2})} \right)$$

$$+ \beta (d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n}))$$

$$\leq \alpha \left(\frac{d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+2})d(gx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})}{d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+2})} \right)$$

$$+ \beta (d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n}))$$

$$= \alpha (d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n})) + \beta (d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n}))$$

$$= (\alpha + \beta) d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n}), \qquad (2.9)$$

which implies that

$$d(gx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1}) \leq (\alpha + \beta)d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n}).$$
(2.10)

Using, mathematical induction we have

$$d(gx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1}) \leq (\alpha + \beta)^{2n+1} d(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n}).$$
(2.11)

Put $k = \alpha + \beta < 1$. Now, we shall prove that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. For $m \ge n$, we have

$$d(gx_m, gx_n) \leq d(gx_m, gx_{m-1}) + d(gx_{m-1}, gx_{m-2}) + \dots + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n)$$

$$\leq (k^{m-1} + k^{m-2} + \dots + k^n) d(gx_1, gx_0)$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{k^n}{1-k}\right) d(gx_1, gx_0), \qquad (2.12)$$

which implies that $d(gx_m, gx_n) \to 0$, as $m, n \to \infty$. Thus $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Since (g(X), d) is complete, there exists $t \in X$ such that $gx_n \to gt$ as $n \to \infty$.

Suppose that condition (a) holds. Let z = qt. Then we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_{2n} = z.$$

Since the pair (T, g) is compatible, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(g(Tx_{2n}), T(gx_{2n})) = 0.$$
(2.13)

Also, from the continuity of T and g, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(g(Tx_{2n}), T(gx_{2n})) = d(gz, Tz).$$
(2.14)

Now, using (2.13) and (2.14), by the uniqueness of the limit, we have d(gz, Tz) = 0, that is, gz = Tz. Using (2.7), we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} d(gz,fz) &=& d(Tz,fz) \\ &\leq& \alpha \left(\frac{d(gz,Tz)d(gz,fz)}{d(gz,gz) + d(gz,fz) + d(gz,Tz)} \right) + \beta(d(gz,gz)), \end{array}$$

which implies that gz = fz. Thus, we have gz = fz = Tz, and z is a coincidence point of T, g and f.

If condition (b) holds, then by following the same arguments, we get the result.

Corollary 2.6. Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Suppose that $T, S : X \to X$ satisfy

$$d(Tx,Ty) \leq \alpha \left(\frac{d(Sx,Tx)d(Sy,Ty)}{d(Sx,Sy) + d(Sx,Ty) + d(Sy,Tx)} \right) + \beta(d(Sx,Sy)) \quad (2.15)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $Sx \leq Sy$ and for some $\alpha, \beta, \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Suppose that

(i) $T(X) \subseteq S(X)$, and S(X) is a complete subspace of X;

- (ii) T is monotone S-non-decreasing;
- (iii) the pair (T, S) is compatible and T, S are continuous.

Then T and S have a coincidence point, that is, there exists $t \in X$ such that St = Tt.

Other consequences of our results are the following for the mappings involving contractions of integral type.

Denote by Λ the set of functions $\mu : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following hypotheses:

(h1) μ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$;

(h2) for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have $\int_0^{\epsilon} \mu(t) dt > 0$.

Corollary 2.7. Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Suppose that $T, f, g : X \to X$ satisfy

$$\int_0^{d(Tx,fy)} \psi(t)dt \leq \alpha \int_0^{\frac{d(gx,Tx)d(gy,fy)}{d(gx,gy)+d(gy,Tx)+d(gx,fy)}} \psi(t)dt + \beta \int_0^{d(gx,gy)} \psi(t)dt$$

for all $x, y \in X$ for which $gx \leq gy$ are comparable, $\psi \in \Lambda$ and for some $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta < 1$.

Suppose that

- (i) $T(X) \subseteq g(X)$, $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$ and g(X) is a complete subspace of X;
- (ii) T and f are weakly increasing with respect to g.

Also suppose that either

- (a) the pair (T, g) is compatible and T, g are continuous; or
- (b) the pair (f,g) is compatible and f,g are continuous.

Then T, f and g have a coincidence point, that is, there exists $t \in X$ such that gt = ft = Tt.

Acknowledgement(s) : The author is thankful to the learned referee for valuable suggestions.

References

- R.P. Agarwal, M.A. El-Gebeily, D. O'Regan, Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Appl. Anal. 87 (2008) 109-116.
- [2] I. Altun, H. Simsek, Some fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces and application, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2010 (2010) Article ID 621492, 17 pages.

- [3] T.G. Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, Nonlinear Anal. 65 (2006) 1379-1393.
- [4] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 29 (2002) 531-536.
- [5] S. Chandok, Some common fixed point theorems for generalized *f*-weakly contractive mappings, J. Appl. Math. Informatics 29 (2011) 257-265.
- [6] S. Chandok, Some common fixed point theorems for generalized nonlinear contractive mappings, Comput. Math. Appl. 62 (2011) 3692-3699.
- [7] S. Chandok, Common fixed points, invariant approximation and generalized weak contractions, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2012 (2012) Article ID 102980.
- [8] S. Chandok, Common fixed points for generalized nonlinear contractive mappings in metric spaces, Mat. Vesnik 65 (1) (2013) 29-34.
- [9] S. Chandok, Some common fixed point results for generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Anal. Opt. 4 (2013) (in press).
- [10] S. Chandok, M.S. Khan, K.P.R. Rao, Some coupled common fixed point theorems for a pair of mappings satisfying a contractive condition of rational type without monotonicity, Internat. J. Math. Anal. 7 (9) (2013) 433-440.
- [11] S. Chandok, J.K. Kim, Fixed point theorem in ordered metric spaces for generalized contractions mappings satisfying rational type expressions, J. Nonlinear Functional Anal. Appl. 17 (3) (2012) 301-306.
- [12] S. Chandok, T.D. Narang, M.A. Taoudi, Some common fixed point results in partially ordered metric spaces for generalized rational type contraction mappings, Veitnam J. Math. (accepted).
- [13] J. Harjani, B. Lopez, K. Sadarangani, A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a contractive condition of rational type on a partially ordered metric space, Abstract Appl. Anal. 2010 (2010) Article ID 190701.
- [14] D.S. Jaggi, Some unique fixed point theorems, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 8 (1977) 223-230.
- [15] D.S. Jaggi, B.K. Das, An extension of Banach's fixed point theorem through rational expression, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 72 (1980) 261-264.
- [16] G.Jungck, Compatible mapping and common fixed points, Int. J. Math. Math Sci. 9 (1986) 771-779.
- [17] G. Jungck, B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 29 (1998) 227-238.

- [18] M. Imdad, S. Chauhan, Z. Kadelburg, Fixed point theorems for mappings with common limit range property satisfying generalized (ψ, ϕ) -weak contractive conditions, Math. Sci. (2013) (in press).
- [19] H.K. Nashine, B. Samet, Fixed point results for mappings satisfying (ψ, ϕ) -weakly contractive condition in partially ordered metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 74 (2011) 2201-2209.
- [20] H.K. Nashine, B. Samet, J.K. Kim, Fixed point results for contractions involving generalized altering distances in ordered metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2011:5 (2011) doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-5.
- [21] J.J. Nieto, R.R. López, Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, Order 22 (2005) 223-239.
- [22] V.C. Rajic, S. Radenovic, S. Chauhan, Common fixed point of generalized weakly contractive maps in partial metric spaces, Acta Math. Sci. 33 (5) (2013) (in press).
- [23] A.C.M. Ran, M.C.B. Reurings, A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (5) (2004) 1435-1443.
- [24] C. Vetro, S. Chauhan, E. Karapinar, W. Shatanawi, Fixed points of weakly compatible mappings satisfying generalized φ-weak contractions, Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc. (2013) (accepted).

(Received 26 November 2012) (Accepted 7 May 2013)

THAI J. MATH. Online @ http://thaijmath.in.cmu.ac.th