



E-Inversive Elements in Some Semigroups of Transformations that Preserve Equivalence

Nares Sawatraksa, Punyapat Kammoo and Chaiwat Namnak¹

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Naresuan University
Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand

e-mail : naress58@nu.ac.th (N. Sawatraksa)

punyapatk59@nu.ac.th (P. Kammoo)

chaiwatn@nu.ac.th (C. Namnak)

Abstract : Let X be a nonempty set and $T(X)$ the full transformation semigroup on a set X . For an equivalence relation E on X and a cross-section R of the partition X/E induced by E , let

$$T_{E^*}(X) = \{\alpha \in T(X) : \forall x, y \in X, (x, y) \in E \Leftrightarrow (x\alpha, y\alpha) \in E\} \text{ and}$$

$$T_E(X, R) = \{\alpha \in T(X) : R\alpha = R \text{ and } \forall x, y \in X, (x, y) \in E \Rightarrow (x\alpha, y\alpha) \in E\}.$$

Then $T_{E^*}(X)$ and $T_E(X, R)$ are subsemigroups of $T(X)$. In this paper, we describe the E -inversive elements of $T_{E^*}(X)$ and $T_E(X, R)$. We also show that $T_{E^*}(X)$ and $T_E(X, R)$ are E -inversive semigroups in terms of the cardinality of X/E and R , respectively.

Keywords : transformation semigroup; equivalence relation; E -inversive element; E -inversive semigroup.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 20M20.

1 Introduction

An element a of a semigroup S is called E -inversive if there exists x in S such that ax is idempotent of S . A semigroup S is called an E -inversive semigroup if

¹ Corresponding author.

every element of S is E -inversive. Clearly, regular semigroups, finite semigroups and eventually regular semigroups are E -inversives. Basic properties of E -inversive semigroups were given by Catino and Miccoli [1], Mitsch [2] and Mitsch and Petrich [3, 4] and Gigoń [5].

The full transformation semigroup on a nonempty set X is denoted by $T(X)$, that is, $T(X)$ is the semigroup of all mappings $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ under composition. The semigroup $T(X)$ is known to be regular [6]. Hence $T(X)$ is an E -inversive semigroup.

Let E be an equivalence relation on X . Pei [7] has introduced a family of subsemigroups of $T(X)$ defined by

$$T_E(X) = \{\alpha \in T(X) : \forall x, y \in X, (x, y) \in E \Rightarrow (x\alpha, y\alpha) \in E\}$$

and call it the *semigroup of transformations that preserve an equivalence on X* . He has studied Green's relations and regularity on $T_E(X)$. Recently, Deng, Zeng and Xu [8] introduced the subsemigroup of $T_E(X)$ as follows:

$$T_{E^*}(X) = \{\alpha \in T(X) : \forall x, y \in X, (x, y) \in E \Leftrightarrow (x\alpha, y\alpha) \in E\}.$$

The authors considered regularity of elements and Green's relations for $T_{E^*}(X)$.

Let R be a cross-section of the partition X/E induced by E . In [9], Araújo and Konieczny defined a subsemigroup of $T(X)$ as follows:

$$T(X, E, R) = \{\alpha \in T(X) : R\alpha \subseteq R \text{ and } \forall x, y \in X, (x, y) \in E \Rightarrow (x\alpha, y\alpha) \in E\}.$$

Clearly, $T(X, E, R) \subseteq T_E(X)$. They have been proved that the semigroups $T(X, E, R)$ are precisely the centralizers of idempotents of $T(X)$. After year, they discussed regularity of elements and Green's relations for $T(X, E, R)$ in [10]. Now, we consider the following subset of $T_E(X)$:

$$T_E(X, R) = \{\alpha \in T(X) : R\alpha = R \text{ and } \forall x, y \in X, (x, y) \in E \Rightarrow (x\alpha, y\alpha) \in E\}.$$

Then $T_E(X, R)$ is a subsemigroup of $T(X, E, R)$.

The aim of this paper is to give necessary and sufficient condition for the elements of $T_{E^*}(X)$ and $T_E(X, R)$ are E -inversives. Moreover, a necessary and sufficient condition for $T_{E^*}(X)$ and $T_E(X, R)$ to be an E -inversive semigroup is given in terms of $|X/E|$ and $|R|$, respectively.

In the remainder, let E be an equivalence relation on a set X and R a cross-section of the partition X/E . Denote by X/E the quotient set.

2 Main Results

We denote composition of two mappings obtained by performing first α and then β . We first provide that $T_E(X)$ and $T(X, E, R)$ are E -inversive semigroups. We remark that in view of this fact, if S is any one of $T_E(X)$ and $T(X, E, R)$, then S contains a constant mapping. It thus follows that every $\alpha \in S$ and a constant mapping β of S , $\alpha\beta$ is also constant and hence $\alpha\beta$ is an idempotent element of S . We immediately obtain:

Proposition 2.1. *The semigroups $T_E(X)$ and $T(X, E, R)$ are *E*-inversive semigroups.*

We have mentioned that every regular element is *E*-inversive. But there exists an *E*-inversive element of a semigroup *S* which is not regular as shown in the following example.

Example 2.2. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ and $X/E = \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}, \{6, 7\}\}$. Define $\alpha \in T(X)$ by

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 6 & 7 & 6 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\alpha \in T_E(X)$, hence α is *E*-inversive. Suppose that α is regular. Then $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$ for some $\beta \in T_E(X)$. Since $1 = 7\alpha = 7\alpha\beta\alpha = 1\beta\alpha$ and $3 = 4\alpha = 4\alpha\beta\alpha = 3\beta\alpha$, we obtain that $1\beta = 7$ and $3\beta \in \{4, 5\}$. Since $(1, 3) \in E$ and $\beta \in T_E(X)$, $(1\beta, 3\beta) \in E$ which is a contradiction. Hence α is not a regular element of $T_E(X)$.

To prove the main theorem, the following lemma is needed.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\alpha \in T_{E^*}(X)$. If α is idempotent, then $A\alpha \subseteq A$ for all $A \in X/E$.*

Proof. Suppose that α is idempotent. Then $\alpha^2 = \alpha$. Let $A \in X/E$ and $a \in A$. Then $a\alpha^2 = a\alpha$ and hence $(a\alpha, (a\alpha)\alpha) \in E$. Since $\alpha \in T_{E^*}(X)$, it follows that $(a, a\alpha) \in E$. From $a \in A$, we deduce that $a\alpha \in A$. Therefore, $A\alpha \subseteq A$. \square

The nature of regular elements in $T_{E^*}(X)$ and condition under which $T_{E^*}(X)$ is regular were considered in [8].

Theorem 2.4. [8, Theorem 3.1] *Let $\alpha \in T_{E^*}(X)$. Then α is regular if and only if $A \cap X\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for all $A \in X/E$.*

Theorem 2.5. [8, Theorem 3.2] *$T_{E^*}(X)$ is a regular semigroup if and only if $|X/E|$ is finite.*

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\alpha \in T_{E^*}(X)$. Then α is *E*-inversive if and only if $A \cap X\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for all $A \in X/E$.*

Proof. Suppose that α is *E*-inversive. Then there exists $\beta \in T_{E^*}(X)$ such that $\alpha\beta$ is idempotent. Let $A \in X/E$. Then $A\beta \subseteq B$ for some $B \in X/E$. By Lemma 2.3, we deduce that $B\alpha\beta \subseteq B$. Let $b \in B$. Then $b\alpha\beta \in B$. If $a \in A$, then $a\beta \in B$ and so $(b\alpha\beta, a\beta) \in E$. Since $\beta \in T_{E^*}(X)$, it follows that $(b\alpha, a) \in E$. Thus $b\alpha \in A$. Hence $B\alpha \subseteq A$. Consequently, $A \cap X\alpha \neq \emptyset$.

Conversely, it follows from Theorem 2.4 and the fact that every regular element is *E*-inversive. \square

The next result follows immediately from Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.6.

Corollary 2.7. *Let $\alpha \in T_{E^*}(X)$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) α is a regular element.
- (2) α is an E -inversive element.
- (3) $A \cap X\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for all $A \in X/E$.

Corollary 2.7 and Theorem 2.5 can be summarized as follows:

Corollary 2.8. *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $T_{E^*}(X)$ is a regular semigroup.
- (2) $T_{E^*}(X)$ is an E -inversive semigroup.
- (3) $|X/E|$ is finite.

The following theorem characterizes the regular elements of $T_E(X, R)$. Denote E_r the E -class containing r for all $r \in R$.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\alpha \in T_E(X, R)$. Then α is regular if and only if $\alpha|_R$ is an injection.*

Proof. Suppose that α is regular. Then there exists $\beta \in T_E(X, R)$ such that $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$. Let $r, s \in R$ be such that $r\alpha = s\alpha$. Since $\beta \in T_E(X, R)$, $R\beta = R$ and hence $r = r'\beta$ and $s = s'\beta$ for some $r', s' \in R$. Since $R\alpha = R$, there exist $r'', s'' \in R$ such that $r' = r''\alpha$ and $s' = s''\alpha$. We have that

$$r' = r''\alpha = r''\alpha\beta\alpha = r'\beta\alpha = r\alpha = s\alpha = s'\beta\alpha = s''\alpha\beta\alpha = s''\alpha = s'.$$

This implies that $r = r'\beta = s'\beta = s$. Hence $\alpha|_R$ is an injection, as required.

Conversely, assume that $\alpha|_R$ is an injection. Claim that for every $r \in R$, there exists $r' \in R$ such that $E_r \cap X\alpha = E_{r'}\alpha$. Let $r \in R$. Since $R\alpha = R$, there is $r' \in R$ such that $r = r'\alpha$. Since $\alpha \in T_E(X)$, it then follows that $E_{r'}\alpha \subseteq E_r \cap X\alpha$. For the reverse inclusion, if $y \in E_r \cap X\alpha$, then $y = x\alpha$ for some $x \in X$. This implies that $x \in E_s$ for some $s \in R$ and so $s\alpha = r$. By assumption and $s\alpha = r'\alpha$, we have $s = r'$. Hence $y \in E_{r'}\alpha$. This shows that $E_r \cap X\alpha = E_{r'}\alpha$. So we have the claim.

For each $r \in R$, we choose $a_r \in R$ such that $E_r \cap X\alpha = E_{a_r}\alpha$. Thus $r = a_r\alpha$. For each $y \in (E_r \cap X\alpha) \setminus \{r\}$, we choose $a_y \in E_{a_r}$ such that $a_y\alpha = y$. Define $\beta_r : E_r \rightarrow E_{a_r}$ by

$$x\beta_r = \begin{cases} a_x & \text{if } x \in X\alpha, \\ a_r & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then β_r is well-defined, $E_r\beta_r \subseteq E_{a_r}$ and $r\beta_r = a_r \in R$. Let $\beta : X \rightarrow X$ be defined by $\beta|_{E_r} = \beta_r$ for all $r \in R$. Since R is a cross-section of the partition X/E induced by E , β is well-defined. Obviously, $\beta \in T_E(X)$ and $R\beta \subseteq R$. Let $r \in R$. Then $r\alpha = s$ for some $s \in R$. Thus $s\beta_s = a_s$ for some $a_s \in R$ with $a_s\alpha = s$. Therefore, $a_s\alpha = r\alpha$. By assumption, we have that $a_s = r$ and thus

$s\beta = s\beta|_{E_s} = s\beta_s = a_s = r$. It follows that $R\beta = R$ and therefore $\beta \in T_E(X, R)$. Let $x \in X$. Then $x\alpha \in E_r$ for some $r \in R$. Thus

$$x\alpha\beta\alpha = (x\alpha)\beta|_{E_r}\alpha = (x\alpha)\beta_r\alpha = a_{x\alpha}\alpha = x\alpha$$

and therefore $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$. Hence α is regular. \square

We also have the following theorem for which characterizes when $T_E(X, R)$ is a regular semigroup.

Theorem 2.10. $T_E(X, R)$ is a regular semigroup if and only if $|R|$ is finite.

Proof. Suppose that R is an infinite set. Let $r \in R$. Then $R \setminus \{r\}$ is also infinite and $|R \setminus \{r\}| = |R|$. Thus there exists a bijection $\varphi : R \setminus \{r\} \rightarrow R$. Choose and fix $r' \in R \setminus \{r\}$. Define $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$x\alpha = \begin{cases} r' & \text{if } x \in E_r, \\ s\varphi & \text{if } x \in E_s. \end{cases}$$

Then $\alpha \in T_E(X)$. Since $r\alpha = r'$ and $\varphi : R \setminus \{r\} \rightarrow R$, we get that $R\alpha \subseteq R$. Let $s \in R$. Since φ is surjective, $s = t\varphi$ for some $t \in R \setminus \{r\}$. Since $t \neq r$, it follows that $t\alpha = t\varphi = s$. Therefore $R \subseteq R\alpha$. Hence $\alpha \in T_E(X, R)$. Since $r' \in R$, $r' = r''\varphi$ for some $r'' \in R \setminus \{r\}$. This implies that $r'' \neq r$ and $r''\alpha = r''\varphi = r' = r\alpha$. Consequently, $\alpha|_R$ is not injective. By Theorem 2.9, we have that α is not regular. Hence $T_E(X)$ is not a regular semigroup.

Conversely, suppose that R is finite. Let $\alpha \in T_E(X, R)$. Then $R\alpha = R$ and so $\alpha|_R : R \rightarrow R$ is a surjection. By the finiteness of R , $\alpha|_R$ is injective. From Theorem 2.9, α is regular. We conclude that $T_E(X, R)$ is a regular semigroup. \square

The next theorem use [6, page 4] that if $\alpha \in T(X)$ and $\alpha^2 = \alpha$, then $x\alpha = x$ for all $x \in X\alpha$.

Theorem 2.11. Let $\alpha \in T_E(X, R)$. Then α is E -inversive if and only if $\alpha|_R$ is an injection.

Proof. Suppose that α is E -inversive. Then there exists $\beta \in T_E(X, R)$ such that $\alpha\beta$ is idempotent. Let $r, s \in R$ be such that $r\alpha = s\alpha$. Since $\alpha\beta \in T_E(X, R)$, we have $R\alpha\beta = R$. Thus $r, s \in X\alpha\beta$. Since $\alpha\beta$ is idempotent and $r\alpha = s\alpha$, we deduce that $r = r\alpha\beta = s\alpha\beta = s$. Thereby $\alpha|_R$ is an injection.

Conversely, if $\alpha|_R$ is injective, then α is regular by Theorem 2.9. Therefore α is E -inversive. \square

As a consequence of Theorems 2.9 and 2.11 are useful to obtain this result.

Corollary 2.12. Let $\alpha \in T_E(X, R)$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) α is a regular element.
- (2) α is an E -inversive element.

(3) $\alpha|_R$ is an injection.

As a consequence of Corollary 2.12 and Theorem 2.10, the following result follows readily.

Corollary 2.13. *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $T_E(X, R)$ is a regular semigroup.
- (2) $T_E(X, R)$ is an E -inversive semigroup.
- (3) $|R|$ is finite.

Acknowledgements : The authors are grateful to the referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and their useful comments.

References

- [1] F. Catino, M.M. Miccoli, On semidirect product of semigroups*, *Note di Matematica* 9 (1989) 189-194.
- [2] H. Mitsch, Subdirect product of E -inversive semigroups, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 48 (1990) 66-78.
- [3] H. Mitsch, M. Petrich, Basic properties of E -inversive semigroups, *Comm. Algebra* 28 (2000) 5169-5182.
- [4] H. Mitsch, M. Petrich, Restricting idempotents in E -inversive semigroups, *Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 67 (2001) 555-570.
- [5] R.S. Gigoń, Some results on E -inversive semigroups, *Quasigroup and Related Systems* 20 (2012) 53-60.
- [6] A.H. Clifford, G.B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, *Math. Surveys of the American Mathematical Society*, Rhode Island, 1961.
- [7] H. Pei, Regularity and Green's relations for semigroups of transformations that preserve an equivalence, *Comm. Algebra* 33 (2005) 109-118.
- [8] L. Deng, J. Zeng, B. Xu, Green's relations and regularity for semigroups of transformations that preserve double direction equivalence, *Semigroup Forum* 80 (2010) 416-425.
- [9] J. Araújo, J. Konieczny, Automorphism groups of centralizers of idempotents, *J. Algebra* 269 (2003) 227-239.
- [10] J. Araújo, J. Konieczny, Semigroups of transformations preserving an equivalence relation and a cross-section, *Comm. Algebra* 32 (2004) 1917-1935.

(Received 2 March 2017)

(Accepted 16 June 2017)