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#### Abstract

Based on the results of bi-ideals generated by a non-empty subset of a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$, we introduce in this paper the concept of bi-bases of $S$. Using the quasi-order on $S$ defined by the principal bi-ideals of $S$ we characterize when a non-empty subset of $S$ is a bi-base of $S$.
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## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $S$ be a semigroup. A subset $A$ of $S$ is called a two-sided base or simply base of $S$ if it satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $S=A \cup S A \cup A S \cup S A S$;
(ii) if $B$ is a subset of $A$ such that $S=B \cup S B \cup B S \cup S B S$, then $B=A$.

This notion was introduced and studied by Fabrici [1]. Indeed, the author described the structure of semigroups containing two-sided bases.

This is an algebraic structure, generalized the concept of semigroups, called a $\Gamma$-semigroup introduced by Sen [2]. This notion has been widely studied, see [3-18]. Let $S$ and $\Gamma$ be the set of all functions (or mappings) from $\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ into $\{6,7,8\}$, and from $\{6,7,8\}$ into $\{1,2,3,4,5\}$, respectively. It is observed that $S$ is

[^0]not a semigroup under the composition of functions. Consider the operation, for $a, b \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, by
$$
(a \alpha b)(x)=a(\alpha(b(x))) \text { for all } x \in\{1,2,3,4,5\}
$$
we have that
(i) $a \alpha b \in S$ for all $a, b \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$;
(ii) $(a \alpha b) \beta c=a \alpha(b \beta c)$ for all $a, b, c \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Formally, let $S$ and $\Gamma$ be any two non-empty sets. Then $S$ is called a $\Gamma$ semigroup 15 if, for any $a, b \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $a \alpha b$ is defined, and the following hold:
(i) $a \alpha b \in S$ for all $a, b \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$;
(ii) $(a \alpha b) \beta c=a \alpha(b \beta c)$ for all $a, b, c \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Example 1.1. 19 Let $S:=[0,1]$ be a unit interval and $\Gamma:=\left\{\left.\frac{1}{n} \right\rvert\, n\right.$ is a positive interger $\}$. Then $S$ is a $\Gamma$-semigroup under the usual multiplication.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the concept of bi-bases of a $\Gamma$ semigroup, and extend some of Fabrici's results.

Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup, and $A, B$ non-empty subsets of $S$. The set product $A \Gamma B$ is defined by:

$$
A \Gamma B:=\{a \alpha b \mid a \in A, b \in B, \alpha \in \Gamma\}
$$

For $a \in S$, we write $B \Gamma a$ for $B \Gamma\{a\}$, and similarly for $a \Gamma B$.
A non-empty subset $A$ of a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ is called a $\Gamma$-subsemigroup [2] of $S$ if

$$
A \Gamma A \subseteq A
$$

That is, $a \alpha a^{\prime} \in A$ for all $a, a^{\prime} \in A$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.
A $\Gamma$-subsemigroup $B$ of a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ is called a bi- $\Gamma$-ideal 19 of $S$ if

$$
B \Gamma S \Gamma B \subseteq B
$$

This notion generalizes the notion of one-sided and two-sided $\Gamma$-ideals of $S$.
Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup, and $B_{i}$ a bi- $\Gamma$-ideal of $S$ for all $i \in I$. It is known that if $\bigcap_{i \in I} B_{i} \neq \emptyset$, then $\bigcap_{i \in I} B_{i}$ is a bi- $\Gamma$-ideal of $S$ (see, 19 ). Moreover, for a non-empty subset $A$ of $S$, the intersection of all bi- $\Gamma$-ideals of $S$, denoted by $(A)_{b}$, is the smallest bi- $\Gamma$-ideal of $S$ containing $A$. And it is of the form

$$
(A)_{b}=A \cup A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A
$$

(see, 19 ). In particular, for $A=\{a\}$, we write $(\{a\})_{b}$ by $(a)_{b}$.
Example 1.2. 19] Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of all positive integers and $\Gamma=\{5\}$. Then $\mathbb{N}$ is a $\Gamma$-semigroup under usual addition. We have:
(1) For $A=\{2\},(A)_{b}=\{2\} \cup\{9\} \cup\{15,16,17, \ldots\}$.
(2) For $B=\{3,4\},(B)_{b}=\{3,4\} \cup\{11,12,13\} \cup\{17,18,19, \ldots\}$.

## 2 Main Results

We begin this section with the following definition of bi-bases of a $\Gamma$-semigroup.
Definition 2.1. Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup. A subset $B$ of $S$ is called a bi-base of $S$ if it satisfies the following two conditions:
(i) $S=(B)_{b}$;
(ii) if $A$ is a subset of $B$ such that $S=(A)_{b}$, then $A=B$.

Example 2.2. Consider the $\Gamma$-semigroup $S=\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $\Gamma=\{\alpha\}$ and

| $\alpha$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ | $e$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $b$ | $a$ | $d$ | $c$ | $a$ |
| $b$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ | $b$ |
| $c$ | $d$ | $c$ | $d$ | $c$ | $c$ |
| $d$ | $c$ | $d$ | $c$ | $d$ | $d$ |
| $e$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ | $e$ |

Then $B=\{e\}$ is a bi-base of $S$. But $B^{\prime}=\{b\}$ is not a bi-base of $S$.
Example 2.3. Consider the $\Gamma$-semigroup $S=\{a, b, c, d\}$ with $\Gamma=\{\gamma, \delta\}$ and

| $\gamma$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ |
| $b$ | $b$ | $a$ | $d$ | $c$ |
| $c$ | $c$ | $d$ | $c$ | $d$ |
| $d$ | $d$ | $c$ | $d$ | $c$ |


| $\delta$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $b$ | $a$ | $d$ | $c$ |
| $b$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ |
| $c$ | $d$ | $c$ | $d$ | $c$ |
| $d$ | $c$ | $d$ | $c$ | $d$ |

Then $B_{1}=\{a\}$ and $B_{2}=\{b\}$ are bi-bases of $S$. But $B_{2}^{\prime}=\{a, b\}$ is not a bi-base of $S$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $B$ be a bi-base of $a \Gamma$-semigroup $S$. Let $a, b \in B$. If $a \in$ $b \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b$, then $a=b$.

Proof. Assume that $a \in b \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b$, and suppose that $a \neq b$. Let

$$
A:=B \backslash\{a\}
$$

Then $A \subset B$. Since $a \neq b, b \in A$. We will show that $(A)_{b}=S$. Clearly, $(A)_{b} \subseteq S$. We have

$$
(B)_{b}=S
$$

Let $x \in S$. Then

$$
x \in B \cup B \Gamma B \cup B \Gamma S \Gamma B .
$$

Case 1: $x \in B$.
Subcase 1.1: $x \neq a$. Then $x \in B \backslash\{a\}=A \subseteq(A)_{b}$.

Subcase 1.2: $x=a$. By assumption, we have

$$
x=a \in b \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b \subseteq A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \subseteq(A)_{b}
$$

Case 2: $x \in B \Gamma B$. Then $x=b_{1} \gamma b_{2}$ for some $b_{1}, b_{2} \in B$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.
Subcase 2.1: $b_{1}=a$ and $b_{2}=a$. By assumption, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=b_{1} \gamma b_{2} & \in(b \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b) \Gamma(b \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b) \\
& =b \Gamma b \Gamma b \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma b \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma b \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma b \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma A \Gamma A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \Gamma A \\
& \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq(A)_{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 2.2: $b_{1} \neq a$ and $b_{2}=a$. By assumption and $A=B \backslash\{a\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=b_{1} \gamma b_{2} & \in(B \backslash\{a\}) \Gamma(b \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b) \\
& =(B \backslash\{a\}) \Gamma b \Gamma b \cup(B \backslash\{a\}) \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma b \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq(A)_{b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 2.3: $b_{1}=a$ and $b_{2} \neq a$. By assumption and $A=B \backslash\{a\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=b_{1} \gamma b_{2} & \in(b \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b) \Gamma(B \backslash\{a\}) \\
& =b \Gamma b \Gamma(B \backslash\{a\}) \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma(B \backslash\{a\}) \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq(A)_{b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 2.4: $b_{1} \neq a$ and $b_{2} \neq a$. From $A=B \backslash\{a\}$, hence

$$
x=b_{1} \gamma b_{2} \in(B \backslash\{a\}) \Gamma(B \backslash\{a\})=A \Gamma A \subseteq(A)_{b}
$$

Case 3: $x \in B \Gamma S \Gamma B$. Then $x=b_{3} \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} b_{4}$ for some $b_{3}, b_{4} \in B, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$.

Subcase 3.1: $b_{3}=a$ and $b_{4}=a$. By assumption, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=b_{3} \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} b_{4} \in & (b \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b) \Gamma S \Gamma(b \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b) \\
= & b \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma b \\
& \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma b \\
\subseteq & A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \\
& \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
\subseteq & A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
\subseteq & (A)_{b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 3.2: $b_{3} \neq a$ and $b_{4}=a$. By assumption and $A=B \backslash\{a\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=b_{3} \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} b_{3} & \in(B \backslash\{a\}) \Gamma S \Gamma(b \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b) \\
& =(B \backslash\{a\}) \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma b \cup(B \backslash\{a\}) \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma b \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq(A)_{b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 3.3: $b_{3}=a$ and $b_{4} \neq a$. By assumption and $A=B \backslash\{a\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=b_{3} \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} b_{4} & \in(b \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b) \Gamma S \Gamma(B \backslash\{a\}) \\
& =b \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma(B \backslash\{a\}) \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma(B \backslash\{a\}) \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq(A)_{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 3.4: $b_{3} \neq a$ and $b_{4} \neq a$. From $A=B \backslash\{a\}$, hence

$$
x=b_{3} \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} b_{4} \in(B \backslash\{a\}) \Gamma S \Gamma(B \backslash\{a\})=A \Gamma S \Gamma A \subseteq(A)_{b}
$$

This implies $(A)_{b}=S$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $a=b$.
Lemma 2.5. Let $B$ be a bi-base of a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$. Let $a, b, c \in B$. If $a \in$ $c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b$, then $a=b$ or $a=c$.

Proof. Assume that $a \in c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b$, and suppose that $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$. Let

$$
A:=B \backslash\{a\}
$$

Then $A \subset B$. Since $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$, we have $b, c \in A$. We will show that $(A)_{b}=S$. Clearly, $(A)_{b} \subseteq S$. We have

$$
(B)_{b}=S
$$

Let $x \in S$. Then

$$
x \in B \cup B \Gamma B \cup B \Gamma S \Gamma B
$$

Case 1: $x \in B$.
Subcase 1.1: $x \neq a$. Then $x \in B \backslash\{a\}=A \subseteq(A)_{b}$.
Subcase 1.2: $x=a$. By assumption, we have

$$
x=a \in c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b \subseteq A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \subseteq(A)_{b}
$$

Case 2: $x \in B \Gamma B$. Then $x=b_{1} \gamma b_{2}$ for some $b_{1}, b_{2} \in B$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Subcase 2.1: $b_{1}=a$ and $b_{2}=a$. By assumption, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=b_{1} \gamma b_{2} & \in(c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b) \Gamma(c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b) \\
& =c \Gamma b \Gamma c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma b \Gamma c \Gamma S \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma c \Gamma S \Gamma b \\
\subseteq & A \Gamma A \Gamma A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \Gamma A \\
& \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
\subseteq & A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
\subseteq & (A)_{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 2.2: $b_{1} \neq a$ and $b_{2}=a$. By assumption and $A=B \backslash\{a\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=b_{1} \gamma b_{2} & \in(B \backslash\{a\}) \Gamma(c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b) \\
& =(B \backslash\{a\}) \Gamma c \Gamma b \cup(B \backslash\{a\}) \Gamma c \Gamma S \Gamma b \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq(A)_{b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 2.3: $b_{1}=a$ and $b_{2} \neq a$. By assumption and $A=B \backslash\{a\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=b_{1} \gamma b_{2} & \in(c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b) \Gamma(B \backslash\{a\}) \\
& =c \Gamma b \Gamma(B \backslash\{a\}) \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma(B \backslash\{a\}) \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq(A)_{b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 2.4: $b_{1} \neq a$ and $b_{2} \neq a$. From $A=B \backslash\{a\}$, hence

$$
x=b_{1} \gamma b_{2} \in(B \backslash\{a\}) \Gamma(B \backslash\{a\})=A \Gamma A \subseteq(A)_{b}
$$

Case 3: $x \in B \Gamma S \Gamma B$. Then $x=b_{3} \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} b_{4}$ for some $b_{3}, b_{4} \in B, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$.

Subcase 3.1: $b_{3}=a$ and $b_{4}=a$. By assumption, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=b_{3} \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} b_{4} \in & (c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b) \Gamma S \Gamma(c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b) \\
= & c \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma c \Gamma S \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma c \Gamma b \\
& \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma c \Gamma S \Gamma b \\
\subseteq & A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \\
& \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
\subseteq & A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
\subseteq & (A)_{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 3.2: $b_{3} \neq a$ and $b_{4}=a$. By assumption and $A=B \backslash\{a\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=b_{3} \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} b_{3} & \in(B \backslash\{a\}) \Gamma S \Gamma(c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b) \\
& =(B \backslash\{a\}) \Gamma S \Gamma c \Gamma b \cup(B \backslash\{a\}) \Gamma S \Gamma c \Gamma S \Gamma b \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq(A)_{b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 3.3: $b_{3}=a$ and $b_{4} \neq a$. By assumption and $A=B \backslash\{a\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=b_{3} \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} b_{4} & \in(c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b) \Gamma S \Gamma(B \backslash\{a\}) \\
& =c \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma(B \backslash\{a\}) \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma(B \backslash\{a\}) \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq(A)_{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 3.4: $b_{3} \neq a$ and $b_{4} \neq a$. From $A=B \backslash\{a\}$, hence

$$
x=b_{3} \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} b_{4} \in(B \backslash\{a\}) \Gamma S \Gamma(B \backslash\{a\})=A \Gamma S \Gamma A \subseteq(A)_{b}
$$

This implies $(A)_{b}=S$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $a=b$.
To characterised when a non-empty subset of a $\Gamma$-semigroup is a bi-base of the $\Gamma$-semigroup we need the quasi-order defined as follows:

Definition 2.6. Let $S$ be a $\Gamma$-semigroup. Define a quasi-order on $S$ by, for any $a, b \in S$,

$$
a \leqslant_{b} b: \Leftrightarrow(a)_{b} \subseteq(b)_{b} .
$$

The following examples show that the order $\leqslant_{b}$ defined above is not, in general, a partial order.

Example 2.7. From Example 2.3, we have that $(a)_{b} \subseteq(b)_{b}$ (i.e., $a \leqslant_{b} b$ ) and $(b)_{b} \subseteq(a)_{b}$ (i.e., $b \leqslant_{b} a$ ), but $a \neq b$. Thus, $\leqslant_{b}$ is not a partial order on $S$.

Example 2.8. Consider the $\Gamma$-semigroup $S=\{u, v, x, y, z\}$ with $\Gamma=\{\alpha, \beta\}$ and

| $\alpha$ | $u$ | $v$ | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $u$ | $u$ | $u$ | $u$ | $u$ | $u$ |
| $v$ | $u$ | $z$ | $y$ | $x$ | $v$ |
| $x$ | $u$ | $y$ | $v$ | $z$ | $x$ |
| $y$ | $u$ | $x$ | $z$ | $v$ | $y$ |
| $z$ | $u$ | $v$ | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |


| $\beta$ | $u$ | $v$ | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $u$ | $u$ | $u$ | $u$ | $u$ | $u$ |
| $v$ | $u$ | $y$ | $v$ | $z$ | $x$ |
| $x$ | $u$ | $v$ | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| $y$ | $u$ | $z$ | $y$ | $x$ | $v$ |
| $z$ | $u$ | $x$ | $z$ | $v$ | $y$ |

We have that $(v)_{b} \subseteq(x)_{b}$ (i.e., $\left.v \leqslant_{b} x\right)$ and $(x)_{b} \subseteq(v)_{b}$ (i.e., $x \leqslant_{b} v$ ). But $v \neq x$. Thus, $\leqslant_{b}$ is not a partial order on $S$.

Lemma 2.9. Let $B$ be a bi-base of a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$. If $a, b \in B$ such that $a \neq b$, then neither $a \leqslant_{b} b$, nor $b \leqslant_{b} a$.

Proof. Assume that $a, b \in B$ such that $a \neq b$. Suppose that $a \leqslant b b$; then

$$
a \in(a)_{b} \subseteq(b)_{b} .
$$

By assumption, we have $a \neq b$, so

$$
a \in b \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b .
$$

By Lamma 2.4, $a=b$. This is a contradiction. The case $b \leqslant b a$ can be proved similarly.

Lemma 2.10. Let $B$ be a bi-base of a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$. Let $a, b, c \in B$ and $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in$ $\Gamma$ and $s \in S$ :
(1) If $a \in\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\} \cup\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\} \Gamma\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\} \cup\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\} \Gamma S \Gamma\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\}$, then $a=b$ or $a=c$.
(2) If $a \in\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\} \cup\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\} \Gamma\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\} \cup\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\} \Gamma S \Gamma\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\}$, then $a=$ $b$ or $a=c$.

Proof. (1) Assume that $a \in\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\} \cup\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\} \Gamma\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\} \cup\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\} \Gamma S \Gamma\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\}$, and suppose that $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$. Let

$$
A:=B \backslash\{a\} .
$$

Then $A \subset B$. Since $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$, we have $b, c \in A$. We will show that $(B)_{b} \subseteq(A)_{b}$, if suffices to show that $B \subseteq(A)_{b}$. Let $x \in B$. If $x \neq a$, then $x \in A$, and so $x \in(A)_{b}$. If $x=a$, then by assumption we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=a & \in\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\} \cup\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\} \Gamma\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\} \cup\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\} \Gamma S \Gamma\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\} \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma A \Gamma A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq(A)_{b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $B \subseteq(A)_{b}$. This implies $(B)_{b} \subseteq(A)_{b}$. Since $B$ is a bi-base of $S$,

$$
S=(B)_{b} \subseteq(A)_{b} \subseteq S
$$

Therefore, $S=(A)_{b}$. This is a contradiction.
(2) Assume that $a \in\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\} \cup\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\} \Gamma\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\} \cup\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\} \Gamma S \Gamma\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\}$, and suppose that $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$. Let

$$
A:=B \backslash\{a\} .
$$

Then $A \subset B$. Since $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$, we have $b, c \in A$. We will show that $(B)_{b} \subseteq(A)_{b}$, if suffices to show that $B \subseteq(A)_{b}$. Let $x \in B$. If $x \neq a$, then $x \in A$, and so $x \in(A)_{b}$. If $x=a$, then by assumption we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=a & \in\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\} \cup\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\} \Gamma\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\} \cup\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\} \Gamma S \Gamma\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\} \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\
& \subseteq(A)_{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $B \subseteq(A)_{b}$. This implies $(B)_{b} \subseteq(A)_{b}$. Since $B$ is a bi-base of $S$,

$$
S=(B)_{b} \subseteq(A)_{b} \subseteq S
$$

Therefore, $S=(A)_{b}$. This is a contradiction.

Lemma 2.11. Let $B$ be a bi-base of a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$.
(1) For any $a, b, c \in B, \gamma_{1} \in \Gamma$, if $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$, then $a \not{ }_{b} b \gamma_{1} c$.
(2) For any $a, b, c \in B, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3} \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$, if $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$, then $a \not{ }_{k} b \gamma_{2} s \gamma_{3} c$.

Proof. (1) For any $a, b, c \in B, \gamma_{1} \in \Gamma$, let $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$. Suppose that

$$
a \leqslant_{b} b \gamma_{1} c
$$

we have

$$
a \in(a)_{b} \subseteq\left(b \gamma_{1} c\right)_{b}=\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\} \cup\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\} \Gamma\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\} \cup\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\} \Gamma S \Gamma\left\{b \gamma_{1} c\right\}
$$

By Lamma 2.10 (1), it follows that $a=b$ or $a=c$. This contradicts to assumption.
(2) For any $a, b, c \in B, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3} \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$, let $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$. Suppose that

$$
a \leqslant b b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \in(a)_{b} & \subseteq\left(b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right)_{b} \\
& =\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\} \cup\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\} \Gamma\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\} \cup\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\} \Gamma S \Gamma\left\{b \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} c\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lamma 2.10 (2), it follows that $a=b$ or $a=c$. This contradicts to assumption.

The following theorem characterizes when a non-empty subset of a $\Gamma$-semigroup $S$ is a bi-base of $S$.

Theorem 2.12. A non-empty subset $B$ of $a \Gamma$-semigroup $S$ is a bi-base of $S$ if and only if $B$ satisfies the following conditions:
(1) For any $x \in S$,
(1.a) there exists $b \in B$ such that $x \leqslant_{b} b$; or
(1.b) there exist $b_{1}, b_{2} \in B$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $x \leqslant_{b} b_{1} \gamma b_{2}$; or
(1.c) there exist $b_{3}, b_{4} \in B, s \in S$ and $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma$ such that $x \leqslant b b_{3} \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} b_{4}$.
(2) For any $a, b, c \in B, \gamma_{1} \in \Gamma$, if $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$, then $a \not ぬ_{b} b \gamma_{1} c$.
(3) For any $a, b, c \in B, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3} \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$, if $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$, then $a \nless b b \gamma_{2} s \gamma_{3} c$.
Proof. Assume first that $B$ is a bi-base of $S$. Then

$$
S=(B)_{b} .
$$

To show that (1) holds, let $x \in S$. Then

$$
x \in B \cup B \Gamma B \cup B \Gamma S \Gamma B .
$$

We consider three cases:
Case 1: $x \in B$. Then $x=b$ for some $b \in B$. This implies $(x)_{b} \subseteq(b)_{b}$. Hence, $x \leqslant b b$.

Case 2: $x \in В Г В$. Then $x=b_{1} \gamma b_{2}$ for some $b_{1}, b_{2} \in B$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. This implies $(x)_{b} \subseteq\left(b_{1} \gamma b_{2}\right)_{b}$. Hence, $x \leqslant_{b} b_{1} \gamma b_{2}$.

Case 3: $x \in B Г S Г B$. Then $x=b_{3} \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} b_{4}$ for some $b_{3}, b_{4} \in B, s \in S$ and $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma$. This implies $(x)_{b} \subseteq\left(b_{3} \gamma_{2} s \gamma_{3} b_{4}\right)_{b}$. Hence, $x \leqslant b b_{3} \gamma_{1} s \gamma_{2} b_{4}$.

The validity of (2) and (3) follow, respectively, from Lemma 2.11 (1), and Lemma 2.11 (2).

Conversely, assume that the conditions (1), (2) and (3) hold. We will show that $B$ is a bi-base of $S$. To show that $S=(B)_{b}$. Clearly, $(B)_{b} \subseteq S$. By (1),

$$
S \subseteq(B)_{b},
$$

and

$$
S=(B)_{b} .
$$

It remains to show that $B$ is a minimal subset of $S$ with the property: $S=(B)_{b}$. Suppose that $S=(A)_{b}$ for some $A \subset B$. Since $A \subset B$, there exists $b \in B \backslash A$. Since $b \in B \subseteq S=(A)_{b}$ and $b \notin A$, it follows that

$$
b \in A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A
$$

There are two cases to consider:
Case 1: $b \in A \Gamma A$. Then $b=a_{1} \gamma_{1} a_{2}$ for some $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A$ and $\gamma_{1} \in \Gamma$. We have $a_{1}, a_{2} \in B$. Since $b \notin A$, so $b \neq a_{1}$ and $b \neq a_{2}$. Since $b=a_{1} \gamma_{1} a_{2},(b)_{b} \subseteq\left(a_{1} \gamma_{1} a_{2}\right)_{b}$. Hence, $b \leqslant_{b} a_{1} \gamma_{1} a_{2}$. This contradicts to (2).

Case 2: $b \in A \Gamma S \Gamma A$. Then $b=a_{3} \gamma_{2} s \gamma_{3} a_{4}$ for some $a_{3}, a_{4} \in A, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3} \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$. Since $b \notin A$, we have $b \neq a_{3}$ and $b \neq a_{4}$. Since $A \subset B, a_{3}, a_{4} \in B$. Since $b=a_{3} \gamma_{2} s \gamma_{3} a_{4}$, so $(b)_{b} \subseteq\left(a_{3} \gamma_{2} s \gamma_{3} a_{4}\right)_{b}$. Hence, $b \leqslant b a_{3} \gamma_{2} s \gamma_{3} a_{4}$. This contradicts to (3).

Therefore, $B$ is a bi-base of $S$ as required, and the proof is completed.

Theorem 2.13. Let $B$ be a bi-base of $a \Gamma$-semigroup $S$. Then $B$ is a $\Gamma$-subsemigroup of $S$ if and only if for any $a, b \in B$ and $\beta \in \Gamma, a \beta b=a$ or $a \beta b=b$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in B$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$. If $B$ is a $\Gamma$-subsemigroup of $S$, then $a \beta b \in B$. Since $a \beta b \in a \Gamma b \cup a \Gamma S \Gamma b$, it follows by Lemma 2.5 that $a \beta b=a$ or $a \beta b=b$. The opposite direction is clear.
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