Thai Journal of Mathematics : 75–86 Special Issue: Annual Meeting in Mathematics 2017

http://thaijmath.in.cmu.ac.th ISSN 1686-0209



# Bi-Bases of $\Gamma$ -Semigroups

### Pisit Kummoon<sup>†</sup> and Thawhat Changphas<sup>†,‡,1</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Khon Kaen University Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand e-mail : pisit\_2534@hotmail.com (P. Kummoon) <sup>‡</sup>Centre of Excellence in Mathematics, CHE, Si Ayuttaya Rd., Bangkok 10400, Thailand e-mail : thacha@kku.ac.th (T. Changphas)

**Abstract**: Based on the results of bi-ideals generated by a non-empty subset of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup S, we introduce in this paper the concept of bi-bases of S. Using the quasi-order on S defined by the principal bi-ideals of S we characterize when a non-empty subset of S is a bi-base of S.

**Keywords** : Γ-semigroup; bi-ideal; two-sided base; bi-base; quasi-order. **2010 Mathematics Subject Classification** : 20M20; 20M17.

## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let S be a semigroup. A subset A of S is called a *two-sided base* or simply *base* of S if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $S = A \cup SA \cup AS \cup SAS;$
- (ii) if B is a subset of A such that  $S = B \cup SB \cup BS \cup SBS$ , then B = A.

This notion was introduced and studied by Fabrici [1]. Indeed, the author described the structure of semigroups containing two-sided bases.

This is an algebraic structure, generalized the concept of semigroups, called a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup introduced by Sen [2]. This notion has been widely studied, see [3–18]. Let S and  $\Gamma$  be the set of all functions (or mappings) from  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  into  $\{6, 7, 8\}$ , and from  $\{6, 7, 8\}$  into  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , respectively. It is observed that S is

Copyright 2018 by the Mathematical Association of Thailand. All rights reserved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Corresponding author.

not a semigroup under the composition of functions. Consider the operation, for  $a, b \in S$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , by

$$(a\alpha b)(x) = a(\alpha(b(x)))$$
 for all  $x \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ 

we have that

- (i)  $a\alpha b \in S$  for all  $a, b \in S$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ;
- (ii)  $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$  for all  $a, b, c \in S$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Formally, let S and  $\Gamma$  be any two non-empty sets. Then S is called a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup [15] if, for any  $a, b \in S$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $a\alpha b$  is defined, and the following hold:

- (i)  $a\alpha b \in S$  for all  $a, b \in S$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ;
- (ii)  $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$  for all  $a, b, c \in S$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

**Example 1.1.** [19] Let S := [0, 1] be a unit interval and  $\Gamma := \left\{\frac{1}{n} \mid n \text{ is a positive interval} \right\}$ . Then S is a  $\Gamma$  consistence up denotes up denotes used prediction.

interger  $\}$ . Then S is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup under the usual multiplication.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the concept of bi-bases of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, and extend some of Fabrici's results.

Let S be a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, and A, B non-empty subsets of S. The set product  $A\Gamma B$  is defined by:

$$A\Gamma B := \{a\alpha b \mid a \in A, b \in B, \alpha \in \Gamma\}.$$

For  $a \in S$ , we write  $B\Gamma a$  for  $B\Gamma\{a\}$ , and similarly for  $a\Gamma B$ .

A non-empty subset A of a  $\Gamma\text{-semigroup}\ S$  is called a  $\Gamma\text{-subsemigroup}\ [2]$  of S if

$$A\Gamma A \subseteq A$$

That is,  $a\alpha a' \in A$  for all  $a, a' \in A$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

A  $\Gamma$ -subsemigroup B of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup S is called a *bi*- $\Gamma$ -*ideal* [19] of S if

### $B\Gamma S\Gamma B\subseteq B.$

This notion generalizes the notion of one-sided and two-sided  $\Gamma$ -ideals of S.

Let S be a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, and  $B_i$  a bi- $\Gamma$ -ideal of S for all  $i \in I$ . It is known that if  $\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -ideal of S (see, [19]). Moreover, for a non-empty subset A of S, the intersection of all bi- $\Gamma$ -ideals of S, denoted by  $(A)_b$ ,

non-empty subset A of S, the intersection of all bi- $\Gamma$ -ideals of S, denoted by  $(A)_b$ , is the smallest bi- $\Gamma$ -ideal of S containing A. And it is of the form

$$(A)_b = A \cup A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A$$

(see, [19]). In particular, for  $A = \{a\}$ , we write  $(\{a\})_b$  by  $(a)_b$ .

**Example 1.2.** [19] Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of all positive integers and  $\Gamma = \{5\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{N}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup under usual addition. We have:

- (1) For  $A = \{2\}, (A)_b = \{2\} \cup \{9\} \cup \{15, 16, 17, \ldots\}.$
- (2) For  $B = \{3, 4\}, (B)_b = \{3, 4\} \cup \{11, 12, 13\} \cup \{17, 18, 19, \ldots\}.$

## 2 Main Results

We begin this section with the following definition of bi-bases of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

**Definition 2.1.** Let S be a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. A subset B of S is called a *bi-base* of S if it satisfies the following two conditions:

(i) 
$$S = (B)_b$$
;

(ii) if A is a subset of B such that  $S = (A)_b$ , then A = B.

**Example 2.2.** Consider the  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$  with  $\Gamma = \{\alpha\}$  and

$\alpha$	a	b	c	d	e
a	b	a	d	c	a
b	a	b	c	d	b
c	d	c	d	c	c
d	c	d	c	d	d
e	a	b	c	d	e

Then  $B = \{e\}$  is a bi-base of S. But  $B' = \{b\}$  is not a bi-base of S.

**Example 2.3.** Consider the  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with  $\Gamma = \{\gamma, \delta\}$  and

$\gamma$	a	b	c	d	δ	a	b	c	d
a	a	b	c	d	a	b	a	d	c
b	b	a	d	c	b	a	b	c	d
c	c	d	c	d	c	d	c	d	c
d	d	c	d	c	d	c	d	c	d

Then  $B_1 = \{a\}$  and  $B_2 = \{b\}$  are bi-bases of S. But  $B'_2 = \{a, b\}$  is not a bi-base of S.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let B be a bi-base of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup S. Let  $a, b \in B$ . If  $a \in b\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma S\Gamma b$ , then a = b.

*Proof.* Assume that  $a \in b\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma S\Gamma b$ , and suppose that  $a \neq b$ . Let

 $A := B \setminus \{a\}.$ 

Then  $A \subset B$ . Since  $a \neq b, b \in A$ . We will show that  $(A)_b = S$ . Clearly,  $(A)_b \subseteq S$ . We have

$$(B)_b = S.$$

Let  $x \in S$ . Then

 $x \in B \cup B\Gamma B \cup B\Gamma S\Gamma B.$ 

Case 1:  $x \in B$ .

**Subcase 1.1:**  $x \neq a$ . Then  $x \in B \setminus \{a\} = A \subseteq (A)_b$ .



Subcase 1.2: x = a. By assumption, we have

$$x = a \in b\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma S\Gamma b \subseteq A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A \subseteq (A)_b.$$

**Case 2:**  $x \in B\Gamma B$ . Then  $x = b_1 \gamma b_2$  for some  $b_1, b_2 \in B$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**Subcase 2.1:**  $b_1 = a$  and  $b_2 = a$ . By assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_1 \gamma b_2 \quad \in \quad (b\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma S\Gamma b) \Gamma(b\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma S\Gamma b) \\ &= \quad b\Gamma b\Gamma b\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma b\Gamma b\Gamma S\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma S\Gamma b\Gamma b\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma S\Gamma b\Gamma b\Gamma b\Gamma S\Gamma b \\ &\subseteq \quad A\Gamma A\Gamma A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma A\Gamma A\Gamma S\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A\Gamma A\Gamma A \\ \quad \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A\Gamma A\Gamma S\Gamma A \\ &\subseteq \quad A\Gamma S\Gamma A \\ &\subseteq \quad (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

**Subcase 2.2:**  $b_1 \neq a$  and  $b_2 = a$ . By assumption and  $A = B \setminus \{a\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_1 \gamma b_2 &\in (B \setminus \{a\}) \Gamma(b \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b) \\ &= (B \setminus \{a\}) \Gamma b \Gamma b \cup (B \setminus \{a\}) \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma b \\ &\subseteq A \Gamma A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

**Subcase 2.3:**  $b_1 = a$  and  $b_2 \neq a$ . By assumption and  $A = B \setminus \{a\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_1 \gamma b_2 &\in (b\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma S\Gamma b)\Gamma(B \setminus \{a\}) \\ &= b\Gamma b\Gamma(B \setminus \{a\}) \cup b\Gamma S\Gamma b\Gamma(B \setminus \{a\}) \\ &\subseteq A\Gamma A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A\Gamma A \\ &\subseteq A\Gamma S\Gamma A \\ &\subseteq (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

**Subcase 2.4:**  $b_1 \neq a$  and  $b_2 \neq a$ . From  $A = B \setminus \{a\}$ , hence

$$x = b_1 \gamma b_2 \in (B \setminus \{a\}) \Gamma(B \setminus \{a\}) = A \Gamma A \subseteq (A)_b.$$

**Case 3:**  $x \in B\Gamma S\Gamma B$ . Then  $x = b_3\gamma_1s\gamma_2b_4$  for some  $b_3, b_4 \in B, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$  and  $s \in S$ .

**Subcase 3.1:**  $b_3 = a$  and  $b_4 = a$ . By assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_3 \gamma_1 s \gamma_2 b_4 &\in (b\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma S\Gamma b)\Gamma S\Gamma (b\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma S\Gamma b) \\ &= b\Gamma b\Gamma S\Gamma b\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma b\Gamma S\Gamma b\Gamma S\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma S\Gamma b\Gamma S\Gamma b\Gamma b \\ \cup b\Gamma S\Gamma b\Gamma S\Gamma b\Gamma S\Gamma b \\ &\subseteq A\Gamma A\Gamma S\Gamma A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma A\Gamma S\Gamma A\Gamma S\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A\Gamma S\Gamma A\Gamma A \\ \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A\Gamma S\Gamma A\Gamma S\Gamma A \\ &\subseteq A\Gamma S\Gamma A \\ &\subseteq (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

**Subcase 3.2:**  $b_3 \neq a$  and  $b_4 = a$ . By assumption and  $A = B \setminus \{a\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_3 \gamma_1 s \gamma_2 b_3 &\in (B \setminus \{a\}) \Gamma S \Gamma (b \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b) \\ &= (B \setminus \{a\}) \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma b \cup (B \setminus \{a\}) \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma b \\ &\subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

**Subcase 3.3:**  $b_3 = a$  and  $b_4 \neq a$ . By assumption and  $A = B \setminus \{a\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_3 \gamma_1 s \gamma_2 b_4 &\in (b \Gamma b \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b) \Gamma S \Gamma (B \setminus \{a\}) \\ &= b \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma (B \setminus \{a\}) \cup b \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma (B \setminus \{a\}) \\ &\subseteq A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

**Subcase 3.4:**  $b_3 \neq a$  and  $b_4 \neq a$ . From  $A = B \setminus \{a\}$ , hence

$$x = b_3 \gamma_1 s \gamma_2 b_4 \in (B \setminus \{a\}) \Gamma S \Gamma(B \setminus \{a\}) = A \Gamma S \Gamma A \subseteq (A)_b.$$

This implies  $(A)_b = S$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore, a = b.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let B be a bi-base of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup S. Let  $a, b, c \in B$ . If  $a \in c\Gamma b \cup c\Gamma S\Gamma b$ , then a = b or a = c.

*Proof.* Assume that  $a \in c\Gamma b \cup c\Gamma S\Gamma b$ , and suppose that  $a \neq b$  and  $a \neq c$ . Let

$$A := B \setminus \{a\}.$$

Then  $A \subset B$ . Since  $a \neq b$  and  $a \neq c$ , we have  $b, c \in A$ . We will show that  $(A)_b = S$ . Clearly,  $(A)_b \subseteq S$ . We have

$$(B)_b = S.$$

Let  $x \in S$ . Then

 $x \in B \cup B\Gamma B \cup B\Gamma S\Gamma B.$ 

Case 1:  $x \in B$ .

**Subcase 1.1:**  $x \neq a$ . Then  $x \in B \setminus \{a\} = A \subseteq (A)_b$ .

Subcase 1.2: x = a. By assumption, we have

$$x = a \in c\Gamma b \cup c\Gamma S\Gamma b \subseteq A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A \subseteq (A)_b.$$

**Case 2:**  $x \in B\Gamma B$ . Then  $x = b_1 \gamma b_2$  for some  $b_1, b_2 \in B$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**Subcase 2.1:**  $b_1 = a$  and  $b_2 = a$ . By assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_1 \gamma b_2 \quad \in \quad (c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b) \Gamma (c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b) \\ &= \quad c \Gamma b \Gamma c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma b \Gamma c \Gamma S \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma c \Gamma S \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma c \Gamma S \Gamma b \\ &\subseteq \quad A \Gamma A \Gamma A \Gamma A \cap A \Gamma A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \Gamma A \\ & \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq \quad A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq \quad (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

**Subcase 2.2:**  $b_1 \neq a$  and  $b_2 = a$ . By assumption and  $A = B \setminus \{a\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_1 \gamma b_2 \quad \in \quad (B \setminus \{a\}) \Gamma(c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b) \\ &= \quad (B \setminus \{a\}) \Gamma c \Gamma b \cup (B \setminus \{a\}) \Gamma c \Gamma S \Gamma b \\ &\subseteq \quad A \Gamma A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq \quad A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq \quad (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

**Subcase 2.3:**  $b_1 = a$  and  $b_2 \neq a$ . By assumption and  $A = B \setminus \{a\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_1 \gamma b_2 &\in (c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b) \Gamma(B \setminus \{a\}) \\ &= c \Gamma b \Gamma(B \setminus \{a\}) \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma(B \setminus \{a\}) \\ &\subseteq A \Gamma A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

**Subcase 2.4:**  $b_1 \neq a$  and  $b_2 \neq a$ . From  $A = B \setminus \{a\}$ , hence

$$x = b_1 \gamma b_2 \in (B \setminus \{a\}) \Gamma(B \setminus \{a\}) = A \Gamma A \subseteq (A)_b.$$

**Case 3:**  $x \in B\Gamma S\Gamma B$ . Then  $x = b_3\gamma_1s\gamma_2b_4$  for some  $b_3, b_4 \in B, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$  and  $s \in S$ .

**Subcase 3.1:**  $b_3 = a$  and  $b_4 = a$ . By assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_{3}\gamma_{1}s\gamma_{2}b_{4} \quad \in \quad (c\Gamma b \cup c\Gamma S\Gamma b)\Gamma S\Gamma(c\Gamma b \cup c\Gamma S\Gamma b) \\ &= \quad c\Gamma b\Gamma S\Gamma c\Gamma b \cup c\Gamma b\Gamma S\Gamma c\Gamma S\Gamma b \cup c\Gamma S\Gamma b\Gamma S\Gamma c\Gamma b \\ \quad \cup c\Gamma S\Gamma b\Gamma S\Gamma c\Gamma S\Gamma b \\ &\subseteq \quad A\Gamma A\Gamma S\Gamma A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma A\Gamma S\Gamma A\Gamma S\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A\Gamma S\Gamma A\Gamma A \\ \quad \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A\Gamma S\Gamma A\Gamma S\Gamma A \\ &\subseteq \quad A\Gamma S\Gamma A \\ &\subseteq \quad (A)_{b}. \end{aligned}$$

**Subcase 3.2:**  $b_3 \neq a$  and  $b_4 = a$ . By assumption and  $A = B \setminus \{a\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_3 \gamma_1 s \gamma_2 b_3 &\in (B \setminus \{a\}) \Gamma S \Gamma (c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b) \\ &= (B \setminus \{a\}) \Gamma S \Gamma c \Gamma b \cup (B \setminus \{a\}) \Gamma S \Gamma c \Gamma S \Gamma b \\ &\subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

**Subcase 3.3:**  $b_3 = a$  and  $b_4 \neq a$ . By assumption and  $A = B \setminus \{a\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_3 \gamma_1 s \gamma_2 b_4 &\in (c \Gamma b \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b) \Gamma S \Gamma (B \setminus \{a\}) \\ &= c \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma (B \setminus \{a\}) \cup c \Gamma S \Gamma b \Gamma S \Gamma (B \setminus \{a\}) \\ &\subseteq A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

**Subcase 3.4:**  $b_3 \neq a$  and  $b_4 \neq a$ . From  $A = B \setminus \{a\}$ , hence

$$x = b_3 \gamma_1 s \gamma_2 b_4 \in (B \setminus \{a\}) \Gamma S \Gamma(B \setminus \{a\}) = A \Gamma S \Gamma A \subseteq (A)_b.$$

This implies  $(A)_b = S$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore, a = b.

To characterised when a non-empty subset of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup is a bi-base of the  $\Gamma$ -semigroup we need the quasi-order defined as follows:

**Definition 2.6.** Let S be a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. Define a *quasi-order* on S by, for any  $a, b \in S$ ,

$$a \leq_b b :\Leftrightarrow (a)_b \subseteq (b)_b.$$

The following examples show that the order  $\leq_b$  defined above is not, in general, a partial order.

**Example 2.7.** From Example 2.3, we have that  $(a)_b \subseteq (b)_b$  (i.e.,  $a \leq_b b$ ) and  $(b)_b \subseteq (a)_b$  (i.e.,  $b \leq_b a$ ), but  $a \neq b$ . Thus,  $\leq_b$  is not a partial order on S.

**Example 2.8.** Consider the  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S = \{u, v, x, y, z\}$  with  $\Gamma = \{\alpha, \beta\}$  and

$\alpha$	u	v	x	y	z	$\beta$	u	v	x	y	
u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	u	
v	$\mid u$	z	y	x	v	v	u	y	v	z	
x	u	y	v	z	x	x	u	v	x	y	
y	u	x	z	v	y	y	u	z	y	x	
z	u	v	x	y	z	z	u	x	z	v	

We have that  $(v)_b \subseteq (x)_b$  (i.e.,  $v \leq_b x$ ) and  $(x)_b \subseteq (v)_b$  (i.e.,  $x \leq_b v$ ). But  $v \neq x$ . Thus,  $\leq_b$  is not a partial order on S.

**Lemma 2.9.** Let B be a bi-base of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup S. If  $a, b \in B$  such that  $a \neq b$ , then neither  $a \leq_b b$ , nor  $b \leq_b a$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $a, b \in B$  such that  $a \neq b$ . Suppose that  $a \leq_b b$ ; then

$$a \in (a)_b \subseteq (b)_b$$

By assumption, we have  $a \neq b$ , so

82

$$a \in b\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma S\Gamma b.$$

By Lamma 2.4, a = b. This is a contradiction. The case  $b \leq_b a$  can be proved similarly.

**Lemma 2.10.** Let B be a bi-base of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup S. Let  $a, b, c \in B$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$  and  $s \in S$ :

- (1) If  $a \in \{b\gamma_1c\} \cup \{b\gamma_1c\} \cap \{b\gamma_1c\} \cup \{b\gamma_1c\} \cap S \cap \{b\gamma_1c\}$ , then a = b or a = c.
- (2) If  $a \in \{b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c\} \cup \{b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c\} \Gamma \{b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c\} \cup \{b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c\} \Gamma S \Gamma \{b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c\}$ , then a = b or a = c.

*Proof.* (1) Assume that  $a \in \{b\gamma_1 c\} \cup \{b\gamma_1 c\} \cup \{b\gamma_1 c\} \cup \{b\gamma_1 c\} \cap S\Gamma\{b\gamma_1 c\}$ , and suppose that  $a \neq b$  and  $a \neq c$ . Let

$$A := B \setminus \{a\}.$$

Then  $A \subset B$ . Since  $a \neq b$  and  $a \neq c$ , we have  $b, c \in A$ . We will show that  $(B)_b \subseteq (A)_b$ , if suffices to show that  $B \subseteq (A)_b$ . Let  $x \in B$ . If  $x \neq a$ , then  $x \in A$ , and so  $x \in (A)_b$ . If x = a, then by assumption we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= a \quad \in \quad \{b\gamma_1c\} \cup \{b\gamma_1c\} \Gamma\{b\gamma_1c\} \cup \{b\gamma_1c\} \Gamma S \Gamma\{b\gamma_1c\} \\ &\subseteq \quad A \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma A \Gamma A \Gamma A \Gamma A \cap A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq \quad A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq \quad (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $B \subseteq (A)_b$ . This implies  $(B)_b \subseteq (A)_b$ . Since B is a bi-base of S,

$$S = (B)_b \subseteq (A)_b \subseteq S.$$

Therefore,  $S = (A)_b$ . This is a contradiction. (2) Assume that  $a \in \{b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c\} \cup \{b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c\} \Gamma\{b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c\} \cup \{b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c\} \Gamma\{b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c\}$ , and suppose that  $a \neq b$  and  $a \neq c$ . Let

$$A := B \setminus \{a\}$$

Then  $A \subset B$ . Since  $a \neq b$  and  $a \neq c$ , we have  $b, c \in A$ . We will show that  $(B)_b \subseteq (A)_b$ , if suffices to show that  $B \subseteq (A)_b$ . Let  $x \in B$ . If  $x \neq a$ , then  $x \in A$ , and so  $x \in (A)_b$ . If x = a, then by assumption we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= a \quad \in \quad \{b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c\} \cup \{b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c\} \Gamma\{b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c\} \cup \{b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c\} \Gamma S \Gamma\{b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c\} \\ &\subseteq \quad A \Gamma S \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \cup A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq \quad A \Gamma S \Gamma A \\ &\subseteq \quad (A)_b. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $B \subseteq (A)_b$ . This implies  $(B)_b \subseteq (A)_b$ . Since B is a bi-base of S,

$$S = (B)_b \subseteq (A)_b \subseteq S.$$

Therefore,  $S = (A)_b$ . This is a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.11.** Let B be a bi-base of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup S.

- (1) For any  $a, b, c \in B$ ,  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma$ , if  $a \neq b$  and  $a \neq c$ , then  $a \leq b \gamma_1 c$ .
- (2) For any  $a, b, c \in B$ ,  $\gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \Gamma$  and  $s \in S$ , if  $a \neq b$  and  $a \neq c$ , then  $a \not\leq_b b\gamma_2 s\gamma_3 c$ .

*Proof.* (1) For any  $a, b, c \in B$ ,  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma$ , let  $a \neq b$  and  $a \neq c$ . Suppose that

$$a \leq_b b\gamma_1 c$$
,

we have

$$a \in (a)_b \subseteq (b\gamma_1 c)_b = \{b\gamma_1 c\} \cup \{b\gamma_1$$

By Lamma 2.10 (1), it follows that a = b or a = c. This contradicts to assumption.

(2) For any  $a, b, c \in B$ ,  $\gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \Gamma$  and  $s \in S$ , let  $a \neq b$  and  $a \neq c$ . Suppose that

$$a \leq_b b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c$$
,

we have

$$a \in (a)_b \subseteq (b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c)_b$$
  
= { $b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c$ }  $\cup$  { $b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c$ }  $\Gamma$ { $b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c$ }  $\cup$  { $b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c$ }  $\Gamma$ { $b\gamma_1 s \gamma_2 c$ }

By Lamma 2.10 (2), it follows that a = b or a = c. This contradicts to assumption.

The following theorem characterizes when a non-empty subset of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup S is a bi-base of S.

**Theorem 2.12.** A non-empty subset B of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup S is a bi-base of S if and only if B satisfies the following conditions:

83

- (1) For any  $x \in S$ ,
  - (1.a) there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $x \leq_b b$ ; or
  - (1.b) there exist  $b_1, b_2 \in B$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $x \leq b_1 \gamma b_2$ ; or
  - (1.c) there exist  $b_3, b_4 \in B, s \in S$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$  such that  $x \leq b b_3 \gamma_1 s \gamma_2 b_4$ .
- (2) For any  $a, b, c \in B$ ,  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma$ , if  $a \neq b$  and  $a \neq c$ , then  $a \leq b \gamma_1 c$ .
- (3) For any  $a, b, c \in B$ ,  $\gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \Gamma$  and  $s \in S$ , if  $a \neq b$  and  $a \neq c$ , then  $a \leq b \gamma_2 s \gamma_3 c$ .

*Proof.* Assume first that B is a bi-base of S. Then

$$S = (B)_b.$$

To show that (1) holds, let  $x \in S$ . Then

$$x \in B \cup B\Gamma B \cup B\Gamma S\Gamma B.$$

We consider three cases:

**Case 1 :**  $x \in B$ . Then x = b for some  $b \in B$ . This implies  $(x)_b \subseteq (b)_b$ . Hence,  $x \leq_b b$ .

**Case 2**:  $x \in B\Gamma B$ . Then  $x = b_1 \gamma b_2$  for some  $b_1, b_2 \in B$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . This implies  $(x)_b \subseteq (b_1 \gamma b_2)_b$ . Hence,  $x \leq b b_1 \gamma b_2$ .

**Case 3**:  $x \in B\Gamma S\Gamma B$ . Then  $x = b_3\gamma_1s\gamma_2b_4$  for some  $b_3, b_4 \in B, s \in S$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ . This implies  $(x)_b \subseteq (b_3\gamma_2s\gamma_3b_4)_b$ . Hence,  $x \leq b_3\gamma_1s\gamma_2b_4$ .

The validity of (2) and (3) follow, respectively, from Lemma 2.11 (1), and Lemma 2.11 (2).

Conversely, assume that the conditions (1), (2) and (3) hold. We will show that B is a bi-base of S. To show that  $S = (B)_b$ . Clearly,  $(B)_b \subseteq S$ . By (1),

$$S \subseteq (B)_b$$

and

$$S = (B)_b$$

It remains to show that B is a minimal subset of S with the property:  $S = (B)_b$ . Suppose that  $S = (A)_b$  for some  $A \subset B$ . Since  $A \subset B$ , there exists  $b \in B \setminus A$ . Since  $b \in B \subseteq S = (A)_b$  and  $b \notin A$ , it follows that

$$b \in A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A.$$

There are two cases to consider:

**Case 1:**  $b \in A\Gamma A$ . Then  $b = a_1\gamma_1a_2$  for some  $a_1, a_2 \in A$  and  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma$ . We have  $a_1, a_2 \in B$ . Since  $b \notin A$ , so  $b \neq a_1$  and  $b \neq a_2$ . Since  $b = a_1\gamma_1a_2$ ,  $(b)_b \subseteq (a_1\gamma_1a_2)_b$ . Hence,  $b \leq_b a_1\gamma_1a_2$ . This contradicts to (2).

**Case 2:**  $b \in A\Gamma S\Gamma A$ . Then  $b = a_3\gamma_2 s\gamma_3 a_4$  for some  $a_3, a_4 \in A, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \Gamma$  and  $s \in S$ . Since  $b \notin A$ , we have  $b \neq a_3$  and  $b \neq a_4$ . Since  $A \subset B$ ,  $a_3, a_4 \in B$ . Since  $b = a_3\gamma_2 s\gamma_3 a_4$ , so  $(b)_b \subseteq (a_3\gamma_2 s\gamma_3 a_4)_b$ . Hence,  $b \leq a_3\gamma_2 s\gamma_3 a_4$ . This contradicts to (3).

Therefore, B is a bi-base of S as required, and the proof is completed.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.13.** Let B be a bi-base of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup S. Then B is a  $\Gamma$ -subsemigroup of S if and only if for any  $a, b \in B$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ ,  $a\beta b = a$  or  $a\beta b = b$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in B$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ . If B is a  $\Gamma$ -subsemigroup of S, then  $a\beta b \in B$ . Since  $a\beta b \in a\Gamma b \cup a\Gamma S\Gamma b$ , it follows by Lemma 2.5 that  $a\beta b = a$  or  $a\beta b = b$ . The opposite direction is clear.

**Acknowledgements :** The second author is supported by the Centre of Excellence in Mathematics, the Commission on Higher Education, Thailand.

## References

- I. Fabrici, Two-sided bases of semigroups, Matematický časopis 3 (2009) 181-188.
- [2] M.K. Sen, On Γ-semigroups, Algebra and Its Applications (New Delhi, 1981), Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics 91, Decker, New York (1984), 301-308.
- [3] A. Baser, M.Y. Abbasi, On generalized bi-Γ-ideals in Γ-semigroups, Quasigroups and Related Systems 23 (2015) 181-186.
- [4] R. Chinram, On quasi-gamma-ideals in gamma-semigroups, Science Asia 32 (2006) 351-353.
- [5] A.H. Clifford, Totally ordered commutative semigroups, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 64 (1958) 305-316.
- [6] R.A. Good, D.R. Hughes, Associated groups for a semigroup, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 58 (6) (1952) 624-625.
- [7] A. Iampan, Note on bi-ideals in Γ-semigroups, International Journal of Algebra 3 (2009) 181-188.
- [8] K.M. Kapp, On bi-ideals and quasi-ideals in semigroups, Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen 16 (1969) 179-185.
- [9] S. Lajos, On the bi-ideals in semigroups, Proceedings of the Japan Academy 45 (1969) 710-712.
- [10] F.E. Masat, A generalization of right simple semigroups, Fundamenta Mathematicae 101 (2) (1978) 159-170.
- [11] M. Petrich, Introduction to Semigroups, Charles E Merrill Publishing Company, Ohio, 1973.
- [12] P. Petro, T. Xhillari, Green's theorem and minimal guasi-ideals in Γsemigroups, International Journal of Algebra 5 (2011) 461-470.



- Thai  $J.\ M$ ath. (Special Issue, 2018)/ P. Kummoon and T. Changphas
- [13] N.K. Saha, On Γ-semigroup II, Bulletin of Calcutta Mathematical Society 79 (1987) 331-335.
- [14] N.K. Saha, On Γ-semigroups, Bulletin of Calcutta Mathematical Society 79 (1987) 331-335.
- [15] M.K. Sen, N.K. Saha, On Γ-semigroup I, Bulletin of Calcutta Mathematical Society 78 (1986) 180-186.
- [16] M. Siripitukdet, A. Iampan, On the ideal extensions in Γ-semigroups, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal 48 (2008) 585-591.
- [17] O. Steinfeld, Quasi-Ideals in Rings and Semigroups, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1978.
- [18] T. Tamura, One-sided bases and translations of a semigroup, Mathematica Japonica 3 (1955) 137-141.
- [19] R. Chinram, C. Jirojkul, On bi-Γ-ideals in Γ-semigroups, Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology 29 (2007) 231-231.

(Received 4 March 2017) (Accepted 30 July 2017)

 $\mathbf{T}\mathrm{HAI}\ \mathbf{J.}\ \mathbf{M}\mathrm{ATH}.$  Online @ http://thaijmath.in.cmu.ac.th