



On n -Absorbing Ideals and Two Generalizations of Semiprime Ideals

Hojjat Mostafanasab¹ and Ahmad Yousefian Darani

Department of Mathematics and Applications,
University of Mohaghegh Ardabili,
P. O. Box 179, Ardabil, Iran
e-mail : h.mostafanasab@gmail.com (H. Mostafanasab)
yousefian@uma.ac.ir (A. Yousefian Darani)

Abstract : Let R be a commutative ring and n be a positive integer. A proper ideal I of R is called an n -absorbing ideal if whenever $x_1 \cdots x_{n+1} \in I$ for $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$, then there are n of the x_i 's whose product is in I . We give a generalization of Prime Avoidance Theorem. Also, an n -Absorbing Avoidance Theorem is proved. Moreover, we introduce the notions of quasi- n -absorbing ideals and of semi- n -absorbing ideals. We say that a proper ideal I of R is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal if whenever $a^n b \in I$ for $a, b \in R$, then $a^n \in I$ or $a^{n-1}b \in I$. A proper ideal I of R is said to be a semi- n -absorbing ideal if whenever $a^{n+1} \in I$ for $a \in R$, then $a^n \in I$.

Keywords : n -absorbing ideals; quasi- n -absorbing ideals; semi- n -absorbing ideals.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 13A15; 13F05; 13G05.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper R denotes a commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$. Recall that a proper ideal I of a ring R is said to be a *semiprime ideal* if whenever $a^2 \in I$ for some $a \in R$, then $a \in I$. Clearly, I is a semiprime ideal of R if and only if $J^2 \subseteq I$ implies

¹Corresponding author.

that $J \subseteq I$ for every ideal J of R . Badawi [1] said that a proper ideal I of R is a *2-absorbing ideal* of R if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ and $abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $ac \in I$ or $bc \in I$. He proved that I is a 2-absorbing ideal of R if and only if whenever I_1, I_2, I_3 are ideals of R with $I_1 I_2 I_3 \subseteq I$, then $I_1 I_2 \subseteq I$ or $I_1 I_3 \subseteq I$ or $I_2 I_3 \subseteq I$. Anderson and Badawi [2] generalized the notion of 2-absorbing ideals to n -absorbing ideals. A proper ideal I of R is called an *n -absorbing* (resp. a *strongly n -absorbing*) ideal if whenever $x_1 \cdots x_{n+1} \in I$ for $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$ (resp. $I_1 \cdots I_{n+1} \subseteq I$ for ideals I_1, \dots, I_{n+1} of R), then there are n of the x_i 's (resp. n of the I_i 's) whose product is in I . Clearly, every strongly n -absorbing ideal is an n -absorbing ideal, but for $n > 2$ the converse may not hold. For more studies concerning 2-absorbing (submodules) ideals we refer to [3–12]. These concepts motivate us to introduce some generalizations of semiprime ideals. We say that a proper ideal I of R is called a *quasi- n -absorbing* (resp. *strongly quasi- n -absorbing*) ideal if whenever $a^n b \in I$ for $a, b \in R$ (resp. $I_1^n I_2 \subseteq I$ for ideals I_1, I_2 of R), then $a^n \in I$ or $a^{n-1} b \in I$ (resp. $I_1^n \subseteq I$ or $I_1^{n-1} I_2 \subseteq I$). It is obvious that every strongly quasi- n -absorbing ideal is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal. Also, a quasi-1-absorbing ideal is just a prime ideal. A proper ideal I of R is called a *semi- n -absorbing* (resp. *strongly semi- n -absorbing*) ideal if whenever $a^{n+1} \in I$ for $a \in R$ (resp. $J^{n+1} \subseteq I$ for ideal J of R), then $a^n \in I$ (resp. $J^n \subseteq I$). With these definitions a semiprime ideal is just a semi-1-absorbing (strongly semi-1-absorbing) ideal. Let M be a nonzero R -module. We say that M is *secondary* precisely when, for each $r \in R$, either $rM = M$ or there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r^n M = 0$. When this is the case, $P := \sqrt{(0 :_R M)}$ is a prime ideal of R : in these circumstances, we say that M is a P -secondary R -module. A *secondary ideal* of R is just a secondary submodule of the R -module R (see [13]). A domain V with the quotient field K is said to be a *valuation domain* if for every $x \in K$, $x \in V$ or $x^{-1} \in V$. A *von-Neumann regular ring* is a ring R such that for every a in R there exists an x in R such that $a = axa$.

We recall from [14] the Prime Avoidance Theorem: Let P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n , $n \geq 2$, be ideals of R such that at most two of P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n are not prime. Let I be an ideal of R such that $I \subseteq P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \dots \cup P_n$. Then $I \subseteq P_i$ for some i with $1 \leq i \leq n$. In [8], Payrovi and Babaei stated the 2-Absorbing Avoidance Theorem.

In section 2, we generalize the Prime Avoidance Theorem. Let I be an ideal of a ring R . We prove that if

$$I \subseteq J \cup K \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_1} P_{i,1}^{(1)}) \cup (\cup_{i=2}^{n_2} (P_{i,2}^{(1)} \cap P_{i,2}^{(2)})) \cup \dots \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_m} (P_{i,m}^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap P_{i,m}^{(m)}))$$

where J, K are ideals of R and $P_{i,j}^{(k)}$'s are prime ideals of R , then either $I \subseteq J$ or $I \subseteq K$ or $I \subseteq P_{i,j}^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap P_{i,j}^{(j)}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq m$ and some $1 \leq i \leq n_j$.

We prove an n -Absorbing Avoidance Theorem as follows: Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_m ($m \geq 2$) be ideals of R such that I_i be an n_i -absorbing ideal of R for every $3 \leq i \leq m$. Suppose that $I_i \not\subseteq (I_j :_R x^{n_j-1}) \subset R$ for every $x \in \sqrt{I_j} \setminus I_j$ with $i \neq j$. If I is an ideal of R such that $I \subseteq I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_m$, then $I \subseteq I_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$. Moreover, let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_m ($m \geq 2$) be ideals of R and I_i be an n_i -absorbing ideal of R for every $2 \leq i \leq m$. Suppose that $I_i \not\subseteq (I_j :_R x^{n_j-1}) \subset R$ for every $x \in \sqrt{I_j} \setminus I_j$ with $i \neq j$. If I is an ideal of R and e is an idempotent

element of R such that $I + e \subseteq I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_m$, then we show that $(I, e) \subseteq I_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$.

In section 3, we give many properties of quasi- n -absorbing ideals, for example we show that if I_i is a quasi- n_i -absorbing ideal of a ring R for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, then $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_k$ is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal for $n = n_1 + \dots + n_k$. It is proved that for ideals I_1, I_2, \dots, I_t of a ring R :

1. If I_1 is quasi- n -absorbing and I_2 is quasi- m -absorbing for $m < n$, then $I_1 \cap I_2$ is quasi- $(n + 1)$ -absorbing.
2. If I_1, I_2, \dots, I_t are quasi- n -absorbing, then $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_t$ is quasi- $(n + t)$ -absorbing.
3. If I_i is quasi- n_i -absorbing for every $1 \leq i \leq t$ with $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_t$ and $t > 2$, then $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_t$ is quasi- $(n_t + 2)$ -absorbing.

Also, it is shown that if I is a secondary ideal of a ring R and J is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R , then $I \cap J$ is secondary. For an ideal I of a Prüfer domain R we show that the following assertions hold:

1. If I is a strongly quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R , then $I[X]$ is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of $R[X]$.
2. If $I[X]$ is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of $R[X]$, then I is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R .

In section 4, it is shown that if I is a semiprime ideal of a ring R , then I is a semi- i -absorbing (resp. quasi- j -absorbing) ideal of R for every $i \geq 1$ (resp. $j > 1$). Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ be a decomposable ring and L be a proper ideal of R . Then we prove that the following statements are equivalent:

1. L is a quasi-2-absorbing ideal of R ;
2. Either $L = I_1 \times R_2$ where I_1 is a quasi-2-absorbing ideal of R_1 or $L = R_1 \times I_2$ where I_2 is a quasi-2-absorbing ideal of R_2 or $L = I_1 \times I_2$ where I_1 is a semiprime ideal of R_1 and I_2 is a semiprime ideal of R_2 .

2 Properties of n -Absorbing Ideals

First, we give a generalization of the Prime Avoidance Theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (Generalized prime avoidance theorem). *Let I be an ideal of a ring R . If*

$$I \subseteq J \cup K \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_1} P_{i,1}^{(1)}) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_2} (P_{i,2}^{(1)} \cap P_{i,2}^{(2)})) \cup \dots \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_m} (P_{i,m}^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap P_{i,m}^{(m)}))$$

where J, K are ideals of R and $P_{i,j}^{(k)}$'s are prime ideals of R , then either $I \subseteq J$ or $I \subseteq K$ or $I \subseteq P_{i,j}^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap P_{i,j}^{(j)}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq m$ and some $1 \leq i \leq n_j$.

Proof. We use induction on m . The case when $m = 1$ is just the Prime Avoidance Theorem. Let $m > 1$ and assume that the claim holds for all positive integers less than m . Let

$$I \subseteq J \cup K \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_1} P_{i,1}^{(1)}) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_2} (P_{i,2}^{(1)} \cap P_{i,2}^{(2)})) \cup \dots \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_m} (P_{i,m}^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap P_{i,m}^{(m)}))$$

where J, K are ideals of R and $P_{i,j}^{(k)}$'s are prime ideals of R . If

$$I \subseteq J \cup K \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_1} P_{i,1}^{(1)}) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_2} (P_{i,2}^{(1)} \cap P_{i,2}^{(2)})) \cup \dots \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_{m-1}} (P_{i,m-1}^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap P_{i,m-1}^{(m-1)})),$$

then by the induction hypothesis we are done. Suppose that

$$I \not\subseteq J \cup K \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_1} P_{i,1}^{(1)}) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_2} (P_{i,2}^{(1)} \cap P_{i,2}^{(2)})) \cup \dots \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_{m-1}} (P_{i,m-1}^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap P_{i,m-1}^{(m-1)})),$$

Since

$$I \subseteq J \cup K \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_1} P_{i,1}^{(1)}) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_2} (P_{i,2}^{(1)} \cap P_{i,2}^{(2)})) \cup \dots \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_{m-1}} (P_{i,m-1}^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap P_{i,m-1}^{(m-1)})) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_m} (P_{i,m}^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap P_{i,m}^{(m)})),$$

the induction hypothesis implies that $I \subseteq P_{i,m}^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap P_{i,m}^{(m-1)}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n_m$.

There are two cases:

Case 1. Let $I \subseteq P_{i,m}^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap P_{i,m}^{(m-1)}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n_m$. Notice that

$$I \subseteq J \cup K \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_1} P_{i,1}^{(1)}) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_2} (P_{i,2}^{(1)} \cap P_{i,2}^{(2)})) \cup \dots \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_{m-1}} (P_{i,m-1}^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap P_{i,m-1}^{(m-1)})) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_m} P_{i,m}^{(m)}),$$

so we have that $I \subseteq P_{j,m}^{(m)}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n_m$. Thus $I \subseteq P_{j,m}^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap P_{j,m}^{(m)}$.

Case 2. Assume that there exists $1 \leq t < n_m$ such that $I \subseteq P_{i,m}^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap P_{i,m}^{(m-1)}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $I \not\subseteq \cup_{i=t+1}^{n_m} (P_{i,m}^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap P_{i,m}^{(m-1)})$. Because

$$I \subseteq J \cup K \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_1} P_{i,1}^{(1)}) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_2} (P_{i,2}^{(1)} \cap P_{i,2}^{(2)})) \cup \dots \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_{m-1}} (P_{i,m-1}^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap P_{i,m-1}^{(m-1)})) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^t P_{i,m}^{(m)}) \cup (\cup_{i=t+1}^{n_m} (P_{i,m}^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap P_{i,m}^{(m-1)})),$$

by the induction hypothesis we deduce that $I \subseteq P_{k,m}^{(m)}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq t$, whence $I \subseteq P_{k,m}^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap P_{k,m}^{(m)}$. \square

Theorem 2.2 ([2, Theorem 2.5]). *Let I be an n -absorbing ideal of a ring R . Then there are at most n prime ideals of R minimal over I .*

Theorem 2.3 ([2, Theorem 2.14]). *Let I be an n -absorbing ideal of a ring R such that I has exactly n minimal prime ideals, say P_1, \dots, P_n . Then $P_1 \cdots P_n \subseteq I$.*

Corollary 2.4. *Let I be an n -absorbing ideal of a ring R such that I has exactly n minimal prime ideals. Then $(\sqrt{I})^n \subseteq I$.*

Proposition 2.5 ([2, Corollary 3.6]). *Let $n \geq 2$ and $I \subset \sqrt{I}$ be an n -absorbing ideal of a ring R . Suppose that $x \in \sqrt{I} \setminus I$ and $x^n \in I$, but $x^{n-1} \notin I$. Then $(I :_R x^{n-1})$ is a prime ideal of R .*

Theorem 2.6. *Let I be an n -absorbing ideal of a ring R with distinct minimal prime ideals P_1, \dots, P_n . Suppose that $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in R$ be such that $x_i \in P_i \setminus P_n$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Then $(I :_R x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}) = P_n$. In particular, if x is an element of R such that $x \in (P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_{n-1}) \setminus P_n$, then $(I :_R x^{n-1}) = P_n$.*

Proof. Assume that $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in R$ be such that $x_i \in P_i \setminus P_n$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Since $x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} \notin P_n$, then $(I :_R x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}) \subseteq P_n$. Let $y \in P_n$. By Theorem 2.3 we have that $x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}y \in P_1 \cdots P_{n-1}P_n \subseteq I$. Hence $y \in (I :_R x_1 \cdots x_{n-1})$ and so the equality holds. \square

Theorem 2.7. *Let I be a strongly n -absorbing ideal of a ring R with distinct minimal prime ideals P_1, \dots, P_m ($m \leq n$). Suppose that $x_1, \dots, x_{m-1} \in R$ be such that $x_i \in P_i \setminus P_m$ for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$. Then $(I :_R x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_{m-1}^{n_{m-1}}) = P_m$ for positive integers n_1, \dots, n_{m-1} with $n-1 = n_1 + \cdots + n_{m-1}$.*

Proof. Regarding [2, Theorem 6.2] the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.6. \square

Definition 2.8. Suppose that m, n are positive integers with $m > n$. A proper ideal I of a ring R is called (m, n) -absorbing if whenever $a_1a_2 \cdots a_m \in I$ for $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in R$, then the product of n of the a_i 's is in I .

Theorem 2.9. *Let I be a proper ideal of a ring R and $m > n$. Then I is (m, n) -absorbing if and only if I is n -absorbing.*

Proof. The “if” part has a routine verification. For the converse, let I be (m, n) -absorbing and let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$ be such that $a_1a_2 \cdots a_{n+1} \in I$. Then

$a_1a_2 \cdots a_{n+1} \overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^{m-n-1 \text{ times}} \in I$. Since I is proper, then the product of n of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} is in I . Consequently I is n -absorbing. \square

Proposition 2.10. *Let V be a valuation domain with the quotient field K and let I be a proper ideal of V . Then I is an n -absorbing ideal of V if and only if whenever $x_1x_2 \cdots x_{n+1} \in I$ with $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} \in K$, then there are n of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} whose product is in I .*

Proof. Assume that I is an n -absorbing ideal of V . Let $x_1x_2 \cdots x_{n+1} \in I$ for some $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} \in K$ such that $x_1x_2 \cdots x_n \notin I$. If $x_{n+1} \notin V$, then $x_{n+1}^{-1} \in V$, since V is valuation. So $x_1 \cdots x_nx_{n+1}x_{n+1}^{-1} = x_1 \cdots x_n \in I$, a contradiction. Hence $x_{n+1} \in V$. If $x_i \in V$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, then there is nothing to prove. If $x_i \notin V$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $x_1 \cdots x_{i-1}x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n+1} \in I$. \square

Proposition 2.11. *Let R be a von-Neumann regular ring. Then I is an n -absorbing ideal of R if and only if $e_1e_2 \cdots e_{n+1} \in I$ for some idempotent elements $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n+1} \in R$ implies that the product of n of e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n+1} is in I .*

Proof. Notice the fact that any finitely generated ideal of a von-Neumann regular ring R is generated by an idempotent element. \square

Theorem 2.12. *Let R be a ring. Suppose that J, K are ideals of R and I_i is an n_i -absorbing ideal of R for every $1 \leq i \leq m$. If I is an ideal of R such that $I \subseteq J \cup K \cup I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \cdots \cup I_m$, then either $I \subseteq J$ or $I \subseteq K$ or $I \subseteq \sqrt{I_i}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Proof. Assume that I is an ideal of R such that $I \subseteq J \cup K \cup I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \cdots \cup I_m$. Then $I \subseteq J \cup K \cup \sqrt{I_1} \cup \sqrt{I_2} \cup \cdots \cup \sqrt{I_m}$. Now apply Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.1. \square

Corollary 2.13. *Let I_i be an n_i -absorbing ideal of a ring R for every $1 \leq i \leq m$ ($m \geq 2$). Suppose that for every $1 \leq i \leq m$, I_i has exactly n_i minimal prime ideals. If I is an ideal of R such that $I \subseteq I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \cdots \cup I_m$, then $I^{n_i} \subseteq I_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.12 and Corollary 2.4. \square

Corollary 2.14. *Let I_i be a strongly n_i -absorbing ideal of a ring R for every $1 \leq i \leq m$ ($m \geq 2$). If I is an ideal of R such that $I \subseteq I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \cdots \cup I_m$, then $I^{n_i} \subseteq I_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.12 and [2, Theorem 6.1]. \square

Theorem 2.15 (n -Absorbing avoidance theorem). *Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_m ($m \geq 2$) be ideals of R such that I_i be an n_i -absorbing ideal of R for every $3 \leq i \leq m$. Suppose that $I_i \not\subseteq (I_j :_R x^{n_j-1}) \subset R$ for every $x \in \sqrt{I_j} \setminus I_j$ with $i \neq j$. If I is an ideal of R such that $I \subseteq I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \cdots \cup I_m$, then $I \subseteq I_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Proof. Suppose that I is an ideal of R such that $I \subseteq I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \cdots \cup I_m$. By Theorem 2.12, either $I \subseteq I_1$ or $I \subseteq I_2$ or $I \subseteq \sqrt{I_i}$ for some $3 \leq i \leq m$. If $I \subseteq I_1$ or $I \subseteq I_2$, then we are done. So, we assume that $I \subseteq \sqrt{I_j}$ for some $3 \leq j \leq m$. Let $I \not\subseteq I_j$. Hence there exists $x \in I \setminus I_j$, and so $x \in \sqrt{I_j} \setminus I_j$. Then we may assume that $I \subseteq I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \cdots \cup I_m$ is an efficient covering of ideals of R . Therefore $I = \cup_{i=1}^m (I_i \cap I)$ is an efficient union. So, [15, Lemma 2.1] implies that $(\cap_{i \neq k} I_i) \cap I \subseteq I_k \cap I$. Thus by hypothesis, $I_i \not\subseteq (I_j :_R x^{n_j-1}) \subset R$ for every $i \neq j$. Then, for every $i \neq j$ there exists $r_i \in I_i \setminus (I_j :_R x^{n_j-1})$. Set $r = \prod_{i \neq j} r_i$. Thus $rx \in (\cap_{i \neq j} I_i) \cap I \subseteq I_j \cap I$. Therefore $r \in (I_j :_R x^{n_j-1})$ which is a contradiction, because by Proposition 2.5, $(I_j :_R x^{n_j-1})$ is a prime ideal of R . Consequently $I \subseteq I_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$. \square

Theorem 2.16. *Let I be an ideal of a ring R and let $a \in R$. If*

$$I + a \subseteq J \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_1} P_{i,1}^{(1)}) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_2} (P_{i,2}^{(1)} \cap P_{i,2}^{(2)})) \cup \cdots \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n_m} (P_{i,m}^{(1)} \cap \cdots \cap P_{i,m}^{(m)}))$$

where J is an ideal of R and $P_{i,j}^{(k)}$'s are prime ideals of R , then either $(I, a) \subseteq J$ or $(I, a) \subseteq P_{i,j}^{(1)} \cap \cdots \cap P_{i,j}^{(j)}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq m$ and some $1 \leq i \leq n_j$.

Proof. By using [16, Theorem 12] and by a similar manner to that of Theorem 2.1. \square

Corollary 2.17. *Let R be a ring, J be an ideal of R and I_i be an n_i -absorbing ideal of R for every $1 \leq i \leq m$ ($m \geq 1$). If I is an ideal of R and a is an element of R such that $I + a \subseteq J \cup I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_m$, then either $(I, a) \subseteq J$ or $(I, a) \subseteq \sqrt{I_i}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Corollary 2.18. *Let I_i be an n_i -absorbing ideal of a ring R for every $1 \leq i \leq m$ ($m \geq 2$). Suppose that for every $1 \leq i \leq m$, I_i has exactly n_i minimal prime ideals. If I is an ideal of R and $a \in R$ such that $I + a \subseteq I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_m$, then $(I, a)^{n_i} \subseteq I_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Corollary 2.19. *Let I_i be a strongly n_i -absorbing ideal of a ring R for every $1 \leq i \leq m$ ($m \geq 2$). If I is an ideal of R and $a \in R$ such that $I + a \subseteq I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_m$, then $(I, a)^{n_i} \subseteq I_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Theorem 2.20. *Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_m ($m \geq 2$) be ideals of R and I_i be an n_i -absorbing ideal of R for every $2 \leq i \leq m$. Suppose that $I_i \not\subseteq (I_j :_R x^{n_j-1}) \subset R$ for every $x \in \sqrt{I_j} \setminus I_j$ with $i \neq j$. If I is an ideal of R and e is an idempotent element of R such that $I + e \subseteq I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_m$, then $(I, e) \subseteq I_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.17, we deduce that either $(I, e) \subseteq I_1$ or $(I, e) \subseteq \sqrt{I_j}$ for some $2 \leq j \leq m$. The first case leads us to the claim. In the second case we have $I \subseteq \sqrt{I_j}$ and $e \in I_j$. If $I \subseteq I_j$, then there is nothing to prove. So, we assume that $I \not\subseteq I_j$. Hence, there exists $x \in I \setminus I_j$ and then $x \in \sqrt{I_j} \setminus I_j$. If $I + e \subseteq I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_m$ is an efficient covering of I , then $(\cap_{i \neq j} I_i) \cap I \subseteq I_j \cap I$. On the other hand, by our hypothesis we have that for every $i \neq j$ there exists $r_i \in I_i \setminus (I_j :_R x^{n_j-1})$. Set $r = \prod_{i \neq j} r_i$. Thus $rx \in (\cap_{i \neq j} I_i) \cap I \subseteq I_j$. Therefore $r \in (I_j :_R x^{n_j-1})$ which is a contradiction, since $(I_j :_R x^{n_j-1})$ is a prime ideal of R . Consequently $I + e \subseteq I_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$, and so $(I, e) \subseteq I_i$. \square

3 Quasi- n -Absorbing Ideals

We begin this section with the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let I be an ideal of a ring R . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. I is quasi- n -absorbing;
2. For each $a \in R$ with $a^n \notin I$, $(I :_R a^n) = (I :_R a^{n-1})$;
3. For every $a \in R$ and every ideal J of R with $a^n J \subseteq I$, either $a^n \in I$ or $a^{n-1} J \subseteq I$.

Proof. The proof is easy. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let R be a ring. Then 0 is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R if and only if for each $a \in R$, either $a^n = 0$ or $\text{ann}_R(a^n) = \text{ann}_R(a^{n-1})$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let $f : R \rightarrow R'$ be a homomorphism of rings.*

1. *If I' is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of R' , then $f^{-1}(I')$ is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of R .*
2. *If f is an epimorphism and I is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of R containing $\text{Ker}(f)$, then $f(I)$ is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of R' .*

Proof. 1. Let I' is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R' and $a^n b \in f^{-1}(I')$ for some $a, b \in R$. Then $f(a)^n f(b) \in I'$. Hence either $f(a)^n \in I'$ or $f(a)^{n-1} f(b) \in I'$, and thus either $a^n \in f^{-1}(I')$ or $a^{n-1} b \in f^{-1}(I')$. So $f^{-1}(I')$ is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R .

2. Assume that f is an epimorphism and I is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R such that $\text{Ker}(f) \subseteq I$. Let $a', b' \in R'$ and $(a')^n b' \in f(I)$. So there exist $a, b \in R$ such that $f(a) = a'$ and $f(b) = b'$, and $f(a^n b) = (a')^n b' \in f(I)$. Since $\text{Ker}(f) \subseteq I$, then $a^n b \in I$. It implies that either $a^n \in I$ or $a^{n-1} b \in I$. Therefore either $(a')^n \in f(I)$ or $(a')^{n-1} b' \in f(I)$. Consequently $f(I)$ is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R' . □

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.3 we have the following result.

Corollary 3.4. *Let R be a ring and I be an ideal of R .*

1. *If R' is a subring of R and I is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of R , then $I \cap R'$ is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of R' .*
2. *Let J be an ideal of R with $J \subseteq I$. Then I is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of R if and only if I/J is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of R/J .*

Corollary 3.5. *Let I be an ideal of a ring R . Then $\langle I, X \rangle$ is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of $R[X]$ if and only if I is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of R .*

Proof. By Corollary 3.4(2) and regarding the isomorphism $\langle I, X \rangle / \langle X \rangle \simeq I$ in $R[X] / \langle X \rangle \simeq R$ we have the result. □

Let M be an R -module. The set of all zero divisors on M is:

$$Z_R(M) = \{r \in R \mid \text{there exists an element } 0 \neq x \in M \text{ such that } rx = 0\}.$$

Proposition 3.6. *Let R be a ring, S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R , and I a proper ideal of R . Then*

1. If I is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of R with $I \cap S = \emptyset$, then $S^{-1}I$ is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of $S^{-1}R$.
2. If $S^{-1}I$ is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of $S^{-1}R$, $S \cap Z_R(R/I) = \emptyset$, then I is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of R .

Proof. 1. Let $a, b \in R, s, t \in S$ such that $(\frac{a}{s})^n \frac{b}{t} \in S^{-1}I$. Then there exists $u \in S$ such that $ua^nb \in I$. Since I is quasi- n -absorbing and $ua^nb \in I$, then $a^n \in I$ or $ua^{n-1}b \in I$. So $(\frac{a}{s})^n \in S^{-1}I$ or $(\frac{a}{s})^{n-1} \frac{b}{t} = \frac{ua^{n-1}b}{us^{n-1}t} \in S^{-1}I$. Therefore $S^{-1}I$ is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of $S^{-1}R$.

2. Let $a, b \in R$ such that $a^nb \in I$. Then $\frac{a^n b}{1} = (\frac{a}{1})^n \frac{b}{1} \in S^{-1}I$. Therefore $(\frac{a}{1})^n \in S^{-1}I$ or $(\frac{a}{1})^{n-1} \frac{b}{1} \in S^{-1}I$. If $(\frac{a}{1})^n \in S^{-1}I$, then for some $\nu \in S, \nu a^n \in I$. Since $\nu \in S$ and $S \cap Z_R(R/I) = \emptyset$, then $a^n \in I$. Similarly, if $(\frac{a}{1})^{n-1} \frac{b}{1} \in S^{-1}I$, then $a^{n-1}b \in I$. Consequently I is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R . \square

Definition 3.7. Let $m > n$ be positive integers. A proper ideal I of a ring R is called quasi- (m, n) -absorbing if whenever $a^{m-1}b \in I$ for $a, b \in R$, then $a^n \in I$ or $a^{n-1}b \in I$.

Proposition 3.8. Let I be a proper ideal of R and $m > n$ be positive integers. Then I is quasi- (m, n) -absorbing if and only if I is quasi- n -absorbing.

Proof. Assume that I is quasi- (m, n) -absorbing. Let $a^nb \in I$ for some $a, b \in R$. Since $n \leq m - 1$, then $a^{m-1}b \in I$. Therefore $a^n \in I$ or $a^{n-1}b \in I$. Consequently I is quasi- n -absorbing. Now, suppose that I is quasi- n -absorbing. Let $a^{m-1}b \in I$ for some $a, b \in R$. Therefore $a^na^{(m-1-n)}b \in I$. Hence $a^n \in I$ or $a^{n-1}a^{(m-1-n)}b = a^{(m-2)}b \in I$. Repeating this method implies that $a^n \in I$ or $a^{n-1}b \in I$. Thus I is quasi- (m, n) -absorbing. \square

Proposition 3.9. Let I be a proper ideal of a ring R .

1. I is prime if and only if I is quasi-1-absorbing if and only if I is 1-absorbing.
2. If I is quasi- n -absorbing, then it is quasi- i -absorbing for all $i \geq n$.
3. If I is prime, then it is quasi- n -absorbing for all $n \geq 1$.
4. If I is n -absorbing, then it is quasi- n -absorbing.
5. If I is quasi- n -absorbing for some $n \geq 1$, then there exists the least $n_0 \geq 1$ such that I is quasi- n_0 -absorbing. In this case, I is quasi- n -absorbing for all $n \geq n_0$ and it is not quasi- i -absorbing for $n_0 > i > 0$.

Proof. Every statement has a routine verification. \square

Proposition 3.10. Let I be a proper ideal of a ring R . If I is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R , then $\sqrt{I} = \{x \in R \mid x^n \in I\}$, the converse holds if I is primary.

Proof. First, assume that I is quasi- n -absorbing. Clearly $\{x \in R \mid x^n \in I\} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Now, let $x \in \sqrt{I}$. Then there exists $m \geq 1$ such that $x^m \in I$. If $m \leq n$, then $x^n \in I$. If $m > n$, then by Proposition 3.8 we have that I is quasi- (m, n) -absorbing. So, $x^{m-1}x \in I$ implies that $x^n \in I$. Therefore $\sqrt{I} = \{x \in R \mid x^n \in I\}$. Conversely, assume that $\sqrt{I} = \{x \in R \mid x^n \in I\}$ and I is primary. Let $a^n b \in I$ for some $a, b \in R$. If $a^n \in I$, then we are done. Therefore, suppose that $a^n \notin I$. Hence $a \notin \sqrt{I}$, and so $a^{n-1}b \in I$. Consequently I is quasi- n -absorbing. \square

The following remark shows that the two concepts of quasi- $(n+1)$ -absorbing ideals ($(n+1)$ -absorbing ideals) and of quasi- n -absorbing ideals are different in general.

Remark 3.11. Let p, q be distinct prime numbers. By [2, p. 1650], $p^n\mathbb{Z}$ is an n -absorbing ideal of \mathbb{Z} . So $p^n\mathbb{Z} \cap q\mathbb{Z}$ is an $(n+1)$ -absorbing ideal, [2, Theorem 2.1](c). Then $p^n\mathbb{Z} \cap q\mathbb{Z}$ is quasi- $(n+1)$ -absorbing. If $p^n\mathbb{Z} \cap q\mathbb{Z}$ is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal, then $p^n q \in p^n\mathbb{Z} \cap q\mathbb{Z}$ implies that either $p^n \in q\mathbb{Z}$ or $p^{n-1}q \in p^n\mathbb{Z}$, which is a contradiction.

Proposition 3.12. Let $\{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of prime ideals of a ring R . Then $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} P_\lambda$ is a quasi- i -absorbing ideal for every $i \geq 2$.

Proof. Let $I = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} P_\lambda$. By Proposition 3.9(2), it is sufficient to we show that I is a quasi-2-absorbing ideal. Suppose that $a^2b \in I$ for some $a, b \in R$. Since every P_λ is prime and $a^2b \in P_\lambda$, then $ab \in P_\lambda$. Therefore $ab \in I$, and so we conclude that I is a quasi-2-absorbing ideal. \square

Remark 3.13. Let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n+1} be distinct prime numbers. Then by Proposition 3.12, $\mathbb{Z}(p_1 p_2 \dots p_{n+1}) = \mathbb{Z}p_1 \cap \mathbb{Z}p_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathbb{Z}p_{n+1}$ is a quasi- i -absorbing ideal of \mathbb{Z} for every $i \geq 2$. But, clearly $\mathbb{Z}(p_1 p_2 \dots p_{n+1})$ is not an n -absorbing ideal. This remark shows that the two concepts of quasi- n -absorbing ideals and of n -absorbing ideals are different in general.

A commutative ring R is called *semiprimitive* if $Jac(R) = 0$, [17]. A commutative ring is semiprimitive if and only if it is a subdirect product of fields, [18, p. 179].

As a direct consequence of Proposition 3.12 we have the following result.

Corollary 3.14. Let R be a ring.

1. For every proper ideal I of R , \sqrt{I} is a quasi- i -absorbing ideal of R for every $i \geq 2$.
2. $Nil(R)$ and $Jac(R)$ are quasi- i -absorbing ideals of R for every $i \geq 2$.
3. If R is a semiprimitive ring, then 0 is a quasi- i -absorbing ideal in R for every $i \geq 2$.

Proposition 3.15. Let R be a ring. The following statements are equivalent:

1. For every elements $a, b \in R$, $a^n = ra^{n-1}b$ for some $r \in R$ or $a^{n-1}b = sa^{n-1}b$ for some $s \in R$;
2. Every proper ideal of R is quasi-n-absorbing.

Proof. Straightforward. □

Proposition 3.16. *Let R be a ring. The following statements are equivalent:*

1. For every ideals I, J of R , $I^n = I^n J$ or $I^{n-1} J = I^n J$;
2. For every ideals I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{n+1} of R , $(I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_n)^n \subseteq I_1 I_2 \dots I_{n+1}$ or $(I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_n)^{n-1} I_{n+1} \subseteq I_1 I_2 \dots I_{n+1}$;
3. Every proper ideal of R is strongly quasi-n-absorbing.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{n+1} are ideals of R . By part (1),

$$(I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_n)^n = (I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_n)^n I_{n+1} \subseteq I_1 I_2 \dots I_{n+1},$$

or

$$(I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_n)^{n-1} I_{n+1} = (I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_n)^n I_{n+1} \subseteq I_1 I_2 \dots I_{n+1}.$$

(2) \Rightarrow (1) For ideals I, J of R , we have from (2), $I^n = \overbrace{(I \cap \dots \cap I)^n}^{n \text{ times}} \subseteq I^n J$ or

$$I^{n-1} J = \overbrace{(I \cap \dots \cap I)^{n-1}}^{n \text{ times}} J \subseteq I^n J.$$

(1) \Leftrightarrow (3) Is trivial. □

Proposition 3.17. *Let I be a proper ideal of R .*

1. If for every ideals I_1, I_2 of R , we have $I_1^n I_2 \subseteq I \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2 \Rightarrow [I_1^n \subseteq I \text{ or } I_1^{n-1} I_2 \subseteq I]$, then I is strongly quasi-n-absorbing.
2. If for every ideals I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{n+1} of R , we have

$$I_1 I_2 \dots I_{n+1} \subseteq I \text{ and } I \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_{n+1} \Rightarrow$$

$$[I_1 \dots \widehat{I}_i \dots I_{n+1} \subseteq I, \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq n + 1]$$

then I is a strongly n-absorbing ideal.

Proof. 1. Assume that I is an ideal that satisfies the hypothesis atated in 1.

Let $J_1^n J_2 \subseteq I$ for some ideals J_1, J_2 of R . Then $(J_1 + I)^n (J_2 + I) \subseteq I$, so we have $(J_1 + I)^n \subseteq I$ or $(J_1 + I)^{n-1} (J_2 + I) \subseteq I$. Thus $J_1^n \subseteq I$ or $J_1^{n-1} J_2 \subseteq I$.

2. The proof is similar to that of 1. □

Notice that in Remark 3.11 we can observe that the intersection of two quasi-n-absorbing ideals may not be quasi-n-absorbing.

Proposition 3.18. *Let $\{I_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a chain of quasi- n -absorbing ideals. Then $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda$ is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal.*

Proof. Let $I = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda$ and suppose that $a^n b \in I$ for some $a, b \in R$. If $a^n \in I_\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $a^n \in I$. So we may assume that there is $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $a^n \notin I_{\lambda_0}$, then $a^n \notin I_\lambda$ for each $I_\lambda \subseteq I_{\lambda_0}$. Since all ideals in $\{I_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ are quasi- n -absorbing, it follows that $a^{n-1}b \in I_\lambda$ for each $I_\lambda \subseteq I_{\lambda_0}$. Thus $a^{n-1}b \in I_\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, so that $a^{n-1}b \in I$. We deduce that I is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal. \square

Proposition 3.19. *Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k be ideals of R . If I_i is a quasi- n_i -absorbing ideal of R for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, then $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_k$ is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal for $n = n_1 + \dots + n_k$.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in R$ be such that $a^n b \in I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_k$. Since I_i 's are quasi- n_i -absorbing, then, for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, either $a^{n_i} \in I_i$ or $a^{n_i-1}b \in I_i$. If for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, $a^{n_i} \in I_i$, then $a^n \in I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_k$. If for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, $a^{n_i-1}b \in I_i$, then $a^{n-1}b \in I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_k$. Otherwise, without loss of generality we may assume that there exists $1 \leq j < k$ such that $a^{n_i} \in I_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq j$ and $a^{n_i-1}b \in I_i$ for every $j+1 \leq i \leq k$. Hence $a^{n-1}b \in I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_k$ which shows that $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_k$ is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal. \square

Theorem 3.20. *Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_t be ideals of R .*

1. *If I_1 is quasi- n -absorbing and I_2 is quasi- m -absorbing for $m < n$, then $I_1 \cap I_2$ is quasi- $(n+1)$ -absorbing.*
2. *If I_1, I_2, \dots, I_t are quasi- n -absorbing, then $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_t$ is quasi- $(n+t)$ -absorbing.*
3. *If I_i is quasi- n_i -absorbing for every $1 \leq i \leq t$ with $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_t$ and $t > 2$, then $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_t$ is quasi- (n_t+2) -absorbing.*

Proof. 1. Let $a, b \in R$ be such that $a^{n+1}b \in I_1 \cap I_2$. We show that $a^{n+1} \in I_1 \cap I_2$ or $a^n b \in I_1 \cap I_2$. Since I_1 is quasi- n -absorbing, then by Proposition 3.8 it is quasi- $(n+2, n)$ -absorbing. Therefore either $a^n \in I_1$ or $a^{n-1}b \in I_1$. Also, I_2 is quasi- m -absorbing, again by Proposition 3.8 either $a^m \in I_2$ or $a^{m-1}b \in I_2$. There are four cases.

Case 1. Suppose that $a^n \in I_1$ and $a^m \in I_2$. Then $a^n \in I_1 \cap I_2$.

Case 2. Suppose that $a^n \in I_1$ and $a^{m-1}b \in I_2$. Then $a^n b \in I_1 \cap I_2$.

Case 3. Suppose that $a^{n-1}b \in I_1$ and $a^m \in I_2$. Then $a^{n-1}b \in I_1 \cap I_2$.

Case 4. Suppose that $a^{n-1}b \in I_1$ and $a^{m-1}b \in I_2$. Then $a^{n-1}b \in I_1 \cap I_2$. Consequently $I_1 \cap I_2$ is quasi- $(n+1)$ -absorbing.

2. Induction on t : For $t = 1$ there is nothing to prove. Let $t > 1$ and assume that for $t-1$ the claim holds. Then $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_{t-1}$ is quasi- $(n+t-1)$ -absorbing. Since I_t is quasi- n -absorbing, then it is quasi- $(n+t-2)$ -absorbing, by Proposition 3.9(2). Therefore $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_t$ is quasi- $(n+t)$ -absorbing, by part 1.

3. Induction on t : For $t = 3$ apply parts 1 and 2. Let $t > 3$ and suppose that for $t-1$ the claim holds. Hence $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_{t-1}$ is quasi- $(n_{t-1}+2)$ -absorbing. We

have the following cases:

Case 1. Let $n_{t-1} + 2 < n_t$. In this case $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_t$ is quasi- $(n_t + 1)$ -absorbing, by part 1. Therefore $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_t$ is quasi- $(n_t + 2)$ -absorbing.

Case 2. Let $n_{t-1} + 2 = n_t$. Thus $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_t$ is quasi- $(n_t + 2)$ -absorbing, by part 2.

Case 3. Let $n_{t-1} + 2 > n_t$. Then $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_t$ is quasi- $(n_{t-1} + 3)$ -absorbing, by part 1. Since $n_{t-1} + 3 = n_t + 2$, then $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_t$ is quasi- $(n_t + 2)$ -absorbing. \square

Proposition 3.21. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ be a decomposable ring, I_1 a proper ideal of R_1 and I_2 a proper ideal of R_2 . Then I_1 (resp. I_2) is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R_1 (resp. R_2) if and only if $I_1 \times R_2$ (resp. $R_1 \times I_2$) is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R .*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose that I_1 is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R_1 . Let $(a_1, a_2)^n(b_1, b_2) \in I_1 \times R_2$ for some $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in R$. Hence $a_1^n b_1 \in I_1$, and so either $a_1^n \in I_1$ or $a_1^{n-1} b_1 \in I_1$. Therefore either $(a_1, a_2)^n \in I_1 \times R_2$ or $(a_1, a_2)^{n-1}(b_1, b_2) \in I_1 \times R_2$. Consequently $I_1 \times R_2$ is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R .

(\Leftarrow) Assume that $I_1 \times R_2$ is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R . Let $a^n b \in I_1$ for some $a, b \in R_1$. Then $(a, 1)^n(b, 1) \in I_1 \times R_2$. Hence $(a, 1)^n \in I_1 \times R_2$ or $(a, 1)^{n-1}(b, 1) \in I_1 \times R_2$. Therefore $a^n \in I_1$ or $a^{n-1} b \in I_1$. So I_1 is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R_1 . \square

A strategy similar to Theorem 3.20 leads us to the following theorem:

Theorem 3.22. *Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_t be ideals of rings R_1, R_2, \dots, R_t , respectively.*

1. *If I_1 is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R_1 and I_2 is a quasi- m -absorbing ideal of R_2 for $m < n$, then $I_1 \times I_2$ is a quasi- $(n + 1)$ -absorbing ideal of $R_1 \times R_2$.*
2. *If I_1, I_2, \dots, I_t are quasi- n -absorbing ideals of R_1, R_2, \dots, R_t , respectively, then $I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_t$ is a quasi- $(n + t)$ -absorbing ideal of $R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_t$.*
3. *If I_i is a quasi- n_i -absorbing ideal of R_i for every $1 \leq i \leq t$ with $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_t$ and $t > 2$, then $I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_t$ is a quasi- $(n_t + 2)$ -absorbing ideal of $R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_t$.*

Proof. 1. Let $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in R_1 \times R_2$ be such that $(a_1, a_2)^{n+1}(b_1, b_2) \in I_1 \times I_2$. Therefore $a_1^{n+1} b_1 \in I_1$ and $a_2^{n+1} b_2 \in I_2$. Since I_1 is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R_1 , then $a_1^n \in I_1$ or $a_1^{n-1} b_1 \in I_1$. Also, I_2 is a quasi- m -absorbing ideal of R_2 and $a_2^{n+1} b_2 = a_2^m(a_2^{n+1-m} b_2) \in I_2$, so $a_2^m \in I_2$ or $a_2^{m-1}(a_2^{n+1-m} b_2) = a_2^n b_2 \in I_2$. Consider the following cases.

Case 1. Assume that $a_1^n \in I_1$ and $a_2^m \in I_2$. Then $(a_1, a_2)^n \in I_1 \times I_2$.

Case 2. Assume that $a_1^n \in I_1$ and $a_2^n b_2 \in I_2$. Then $(a_1, a_2)^n(b_1, b_2) \in I_1 \times I_2$.

Case 3. Assume that $a_1^{n-1} b_1 \in I_1$ and $a_2^m \in I_2$. Then $(a_1, a_2)^{n-1}(b_1, b_2) \in I_1 \times I_2$.

Case 4. Assume that $a_1^{n-1} b_1 \in I_1$ and $a_2^n b_2 \in I_2$. Then $(a_1, a_2)^n(b_1, b_2) \in I_1 \times I_2$. Consequently $I_1 \times I_2$ is a quasi- $(n + 1)$ -absorbing ideal of $R_1 \times R_2$.

2. We use induction on t . For $t = 1$ there is nothing to prove. Let $t > 1$ and assume that for $t - 1$ the claim holds. Then $I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_{t-1}$ is a quasi- $(n + t - 1)$ -absorbing

ideal of $R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_{t-1}$. Since I_t is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R_t , then it is quasi- $(n+t-2)$ -absorbing, by Proposition 3.9(2). Therefore $I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_t$ is a quasi- $(n+t)$ -absorbing ideal of $R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_t$ by 1.

3. Induction on t : For $t = 3$ apply parts 1 and 2. Let $t > 3$ and suppose that for $t - 1$ the claim holds. Hence $I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_{t-1}$ is a quasi- $(n_{t-1} + 2)$ -absorbing ideal of $R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_{t-1}$. We consider the following cases:

Case 1. Let $n_{t-1} + 2 < n_t$. In this case $I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_t$ is a quasi- $(n_t + 1)$ -absorbing ideal of $R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_t$ by part 1. Therefore $I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_t$ is a quasi- $(n_t + 2)$ -absorbing ideal of $R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_t$.

Case 2. Let $n_{t-1} + 2 = n_t$. Thus $I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_t$ is a quasi- $(n_t + 2)$ -absorbing ideal of $R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_t$ by part 2.

Case 3. Let $n_{t-1} + 2 > n_t$. Then $I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_t$ is a quasi- $(n_{t-1} + 3)$ -absorbing of $R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_t$ by part 1. Since $n_{t-1} + 3 = n_t + 2$, then $I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_t$ is quasi- $(n_t + 2)$ -absorbing. \square

Theorem 3.23. *Let I be a secondary ideal of a ring R . If J is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R , then $I \cap J$ is secondary.*

Proof. Assume that I is a P -secondary ideal of R , and let $a \in R$. If $a \in P = \sqrt{(0 :_R I)}$, then clearly $a \in \sqrt{(0 :_R I \cap J)}$. If $a \notin P$, then $a^n \notin P$, and so $a^n I = I$. We show that $a(I \cap J) = I \cap J$. Suppose that $x \in I \cap J$. There is an element $b \in I$ such that $x = a^n b \in J$. Since J is quasi- n -absorbing we get $a^n \in J$ or $a^{n-1} b \in J$. If $a^n \in J$, then $I = a^n I \subseteq J$ and so $a(I \cap J) = aI = I = I \cap J$. If $a^{n-1} b \in J$, then $x = a^n b \in a(I \cap J)$ and we are done. \square

Let R be a ring with identity. We recall that if $f = a_0 + a_1 X + \cdots + a_t X^t$ is a polynomial on the ring R , then *content* of f is defined as the ideal of R , generated by the coefficients of f , i.e. $c(f) = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Let T be an R -algebra and c the function from T to the ideals of R defined by $c(f) = \cap \{I \mid I \text{ is an ideal of } R \text{ and } f \in IT\}$ known as the content of f . Note that the content function c is nothing but the generalization of the content of a polynomial $f \in R[X]$. The R -algebra T is called a *content R -algebra* if the following conditions hold:

1. For all $f \in T$, $f \in c(f)T$.
2. (Faithful flatness) For any $r \in R$ and $f \in T$, the equation $c(rf) = rc(f)$ holds and $c(1_T) = R$.
3. (Dedekind-Mertens content formula) For each $f, g \in T$, there exists a natural number n such that $c(f)^n c(g) = c(f)^{n-1} c(fg)$.

For more information on content algebras and their examples we refer to [19–21]. In [7] Nasehpour gave the definition of a Gaussian R -algebra as follows: Let T be an R -algebra such that $f \in c(f)T$ for all $f \in T$. T is said to be a Gaussian R -algebra if $c(fg) = c(f)c(g)$, for all $f, g \in T$.

Example 3.24 ([7]). Let T be a content R -algebra such that R is a Prüfer domain. Since every nonzero finitely generated ideal of R is a cancellation ideal of R , the Dedekind-Mertens content formula causes T to be a Gaussian R -algebra.

Theorem 3.25. *Let R be a Prüfer domain, T a content R -algebra and I an ideal of R .*

1. *If I is a strongly quasi- n -absorbing (resp. strongly semi- n -absorbing) ideal of R , then IT is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of T .*
2. *If IT is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of T , then I is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of R .*

Proof. 1. Assume that I is a strongly quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R . Let $f^n g \in IT$ for some $f, g \in T$. Then $c(f^n g) \subseteq I$. Since R is a Prüfer domain and T is a content R -algebra, then T is a Gaussian R -algebra. Therefore $c(f^n g) = c(f)^n c(g) \subseteq I$. Since I is a strongly quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R , $c(f)^n \subseteq I$ or $c(f)^{n-1} c(g) \subseteq I$. So $f^n \in c(f)^n T \subseteq IT$ or $f^{n-1} g \in c(f)^{n-1} T \subseteq IT$. Consequently IT is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of T .

2. Note that since T is a content R -algebra, $IT \cap R = I$ for every ideal I of R . Now, apply Corollary 3.4(1). \square

The algebra of all polynomials over an arbitrary ring with an arbitrary number of indeterminates is an example of content algebras.

Corollary 3.26. *Let R be a Prüfer domain and I be an ideal of R .*

1. *If I is a strongly quasi- n -absorbing (resp. strongly semi- n -absorbing) ideal of R , then $I[X]$ is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of $R[X]$.*
2. *If $I[X]$ is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of $R[X]$, then I is a quasi- n -absorbing (resp. semi- n -absorbing) ideal of R .*

4 Semi- n -Absorbing Ideals

Suppose that m, n are positive integers with $m > n$. A more general concept than semi- n -absorbing ideals is the concept of semi- (m, n) -absorbing ideals. A proper ideal I of a ring R is called a *semi- (m, n) -absorbing ideal* if whenever $a^m \in I$ for $a \in R$, then $a^n \in I$. It is easy to see that every semi- (m, n) -absorbing ideal is a semi- n -absorbing ideal.

Note that a semiprime ideal is just a semi-1-absorbing ideal.

Theorem 4.1. *Let I be a proper ideal of R and m, n be positive integers with $m > n$.*

1. *If I is quasi- n -absorbing, then it is semi- (m, n) -absorbing.*
2. *I is semi- (m, n) -absorbing if and only if I is semi- (m, k) -absorbing for each $m > k \geq n$ if and only if I is semi- (i, j) -absorbing for each $m \geq i > j \geq n$.*
3. *If I is semi- (m, n) -absorbing, then it is semi- (mk, nk) -absorbing for every positive integer k .*

4. If I is semi- (m, n) -absorbing and semi- (r, s) -absorbing for some positive integers $r > s$, then it is semi- (mr, ns) -absorbing.

Proof. 1. Is trivial.

2. Straightforward.

3. Suppose that I is a semi- (m, n) -absorbing ideal of R . Let $a \in R$ and k be a positive integer such that $a^{mk} \in I$. Then $(a^k)^m \in I$. Since I is semi- (m, n) -absorbing, $(a^k)^n = a^{nk} \in I$, and so I is semi- (mk, nk) -absorbing.

4. Assume that I is semi- (m, n) -absorbing and semi- (r, s) -absorbing for some positive integers $r > s$. Let $a^{mr} \in I$. Since I is semi- (m, n) -absorbing, $a^{nr} \in I$, and since I is semi- (r, s) -absorbing, $a^{ns} \in I$. Hence I is semi- (mr, ns) -absorbing. \square

Corollary 4.2. Let I be a proper ideal of R .

1. If I is quasi- n -absorbing, then it is semi- n -absorbing.
2. Let $t \leq n$ be an integer. If I is semi- $(n+1, t)$ -absorbing, then it is semi- $(nk+i, tk)$ -absorbing for all $k \geq i \geq 1$.
3. If I is semi- n -absorbing, then it is semi- $(nk+i, nk)$ -absorbing for all $k \geq i \geq 1$.
4. If I is semi- n -absorbing, then it is semi- $(nk+j)$ -absorbing for all $k > j \geq 0$.
5. If I is semi- n -absorbing, then it is semi- (nk) -absorbing for every positive integer k .
6. If I is semiprime, then it is semi- k -absorbing for every positive integer k .
7. If I is semiprime, then for every $k \geq 1$ and every $a \in R$, $a^k \in I$ implies that $a \in I$.
8. If I is semi- n -absorbing, then it is semi- $((n+1)^t, n^t)$ -absorbing for all $t \geq 1$.
9. If I is semiprime, then it is quasi- k -absorbing for every $k > 1$.

Proof. 1. By Theorem 4.1(1).

2. Suppose that I is semi- $(n+1, t)$ -absorbing. Then by Theorem 4.1(3), I is semi- $(nk+k, tk)$ -absorbing, for every positive integer k . Again by Theorem 4.1(2), I is semi- $(nk+i, tk)$ -absorbing for every $k \geq i \geq 1$.

3. In part 2 get $t = n$.

4. By part 3.

5. Is a special case of 4.

6. Is a direct consequence of 5.

7. By part 6.

8. By Theorem 4.1(4).

9. Assume that I is semiprime. Let $a^k b \in I$ for some $a, b \in R$ and some $k > 1$. Then $(ab)^k \in I$. Therefore $ab \in I$, by part 7. So I is quasi- k -absorbing. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n be ideals of R . If for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, I_i is a semiprime ideal, then $I_1 I_2 \cdots I_n$ is a semi- n -absorbing ideal. In particular, if I is a semiprime ideal of R , then I^n is a semi- n -absorbing ideal.*

Proof. Use Corollary 4.2(7). \square

Remark 4.4. *Let I be an ideal of a ring R . If I^{n+1} is a strongly semi- n -absorbing ideal, then $I^{n+1} = I^n$. In particular, if I^2 is a semiprime ideal, then I is idempotent.*

The following remark shows that the two concepts of semi- n -absorbing ideals and of semi- $(n + 1)$ -absorbing ideals are different in general.

Remark 4.5. *Let $n > 1$, R be a ring and P be a prime ideal of R . By Proposition 4.3, P^{n+1} is a semi- $(n + 1)$ -absorbing ideal. If P^{n+1} is a semi- n -absorbing ideal, then $P^{n+1} = P^n$. Consequently, for any prime number p , $p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}$ is a semi- $(n + 1)$ -absorbing ideal of \mathbb{Z} which is not a semi- n -absorbing ideal.*

Proposition 4.6. *Let I be an ideal of a ring R . If I is such that for every ideal J of R , we have $J^{n+1} \subseteq I \subseteq J \Rightarrow J^n \subseteq I$, then I is strongly semi- n -absorbing.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 3.17(1). \square

Proposition 4.7. *Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n be semi-2-absorbing ideals of R . Then $I_1 I_2 \cdots I_n$ is a semi- $(3^n - 1)$ -absorbing ideal.*

Proof. Suppose that $a^{3^n} \in I_1 I_2 \cdots I_n$ for some $a \in R$. For every $1 \leq i \leq n$, $a^{3^n} \in I_i$ and I_i is semi-2-absorbing, then $a^{2^n} \in I_i$. Therefore $a^{n2^n} \in I_1 I_2 \cdots I_n$. On the other hand $n2^n \leq 3^n - 1$. So $a^{3^n - 1} \in I_1 I_2 \cdots I_n$ which shows that $I_1 I_2 \cdots I_n$ is semi- $(3^n - 1)$ -absorbing. \square

Theorem 4.8. *Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k be ideals of R . If I_i is a semi- n_i -absorbing ideal of R for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, then $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \cdots \cap I_k$ is a semi- $(n - 1)$ -absorbing ideal for $n = \prod_{i=1}^k (n_i + 1)$.*

Proof. Let $a \in R$ be such that $a^n \in I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \cdots \cap I_k$. Then for every $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$\left(a^{\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^k (n_j + 1)} \right)^{(n_i + 1)} \in I_i.$$

Since I_i 's are semi- n_i -absorbing, then, for each $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$a \left[n_i \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^k (n_j + 1) \right] \in I_i.$$

Note that for every $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$n_i \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^k (n_j + 1) \leq \prod_{i=1}^k (n_i + 1) - 1 = n - 1.$$

So we have $a^{n-1} \in I_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq k$. Hence $a^{n-1} \in I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \cdots \cap I_k$ which implies that $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \cdots \cap I_k$ is a semi- $(n-1)$ -absorbing ideal. \square

Proposition 4.9. *Let I_1, I_2 be ideals of R and m, n be positive integers.*

1. *If I_1 is quasi- m -absorbing and I_2 is semi- n -absorbing, then $I_1 I_2$ is semi- $(n(m+1) + m)$ -absorbing.*
2. *If I_1 is quasi- $(2m)$ -absorbing and I_2 is semi- m -absorbing, then $I_1 I_2$ is semi- $(m(m+2))$ -absorbing.*

Proof. 1. Assume that $a^{(n+1)(m+1)} \in I_1 I_2$ for some $a \in R$. Since I_1 is quasi- m -absorbing and $a^{(n+1)(m+1)} \in I_1$, then $a^m \in I_1$. On the other hand I_2 is semi- n -absorbing and $a^{(n+1)(m+1)} \in I_2$, then $a^{n(m+1)} \in I_2$. Consequently $a^{n(m+1)+m} \in I_1 I_2$, and so $I_1 I_2$ is semi- $(n(m+1) + m)$ -absorbing.

2. Suppose that $a^{(m+1)^2} \in I_1 I_2$ for some $a \in R$. Since I_1 is quasi- $(2m)$ -absorbing and $a^{(m+1)^2} \in I_1$, then $a^{2m} \in I_1$. Since I_2 is semi- m -absorbing and $a^{(m+1)^2} \in I_2$, then $a^{m^2} \in I_2$. Hence $a^{m^2+2m} \in I_1 I_2$ which shows that $I_1 I_2$ is semi- $(m(m+2))$ -absorbing. \square

Let R be a ring and I be an ideal of R . We denote by $I^{[n]}$ the ideal of R generated by the n -th powers of all elements of I . If $n!$ is a unit in R , then $I^{[n]} = I^n$, see [22].

Proposition 4.10. *Let I be an ideal of a ring R . Then I is semi- n -absorbing if and only if $J^{[n+1]} \subseteq I$ implies that $J^{[n]} \subseteq I$ for every ideal J of R .*

Proof. The proof is easy. \square

Corollary 4.11. *Let R be a ring such that $n!$ is a unit in R . Then every semi- n -absorbing ideal of R is strongly semi- n -absorbing.*

Proposition 4.12. *Let R be a ring. The following statements are equivalent:*

1. *For every ideal I of R , $I^{[n]} \subseteq I^{n+1}$;*
2. *For all ideals I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{n+1} of R we have $(I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \cdots \cap I_{n+1})^{[n]} \subseteq I_1 I_2 \cdots I_{n+1}$;*

3. For every elements $a \in R$, $a^n = ra^{n+1}$ for some $r \in R$;
4. Every ideal I of R is semi- n -absorbing.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) For ideals I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{n+1} of R , we get from 1,

$$(I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_{n+1})^{[n]} \subseteq (I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_{n+1})^{n+1} \subseteq I_1 I_2 \dots I_{n+1}.$$

(2) \Rightarrow (1) For an ideal I of R , by 2 we have that $I^{[n]} = \overbrace{(I \cap \dots \cap I)}^{n+1 \text{ times}}^{[n]} \subseteq I^{n+1}$. So we have $I^{[n]} \subseteq I^{n+1}$.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (3) and (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) are easy. □

Proposition 4.13. *Let R be a ring. The following statements are equivalent:*

1. For every ideal I of R , $I^{n+1} = I^n$;
2. For every ideals I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{n+1} of R we have $(I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_{n+1})^n \subseteq I_1 I_2 \dots I_{n+1}$;
3. Every ideal I of R is strongly semi- n -absorbing.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Proposition 4.12. □

Remark 4.14. *Let $\{I_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of semi- n -absorbing ideals of R . Then $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda$ is semi- n -absorbing.*

The following remark shows that the two concepts of semi- n -absorbing ideals and of quasi- n -absorbing (n -absorbing) ideals are different in general.

Remark 4.15. *Let p, q be distinct prime numbers. By Proposition 4.3, $p^n \mathbb{Z}$ is a semi- n -absorbing ideal of \mathbb{Z} . Therefore Remark 4.14 implies that $p^n \mathbb{Z} \cap q \mathbb{Z}$ is a semi- n -absorbing ideal of \mathbb{Z} , but it is not quasi- n -absorbing, by Remark 3.11.*

Proposition 4.16. *For any ring R there exists a unique least semi- n -absorbing ideal.*

Proof. Set $\mathcal{I}^{(n)} = \bigcap \{I \mid I \text{ is a semi-}n\text{-absorbing ideal of } R\}$. By Remark 4.14, $\mathcal{I}^{(n)}$ is the least semi- n -absorbing ideal. □

By notation in the the proof of the previous proposition we have the following remark:

Remark 4.17. *Let R be a ring. First of all, we know that $Nil(R)$ (the set of all nilpotent elements of R) is the intersection of all prime ideals of R , then $\mathcal{I}^{(1)} \subseteq Nil(R)$. Suppose that $x \in Nil(R)$, then there is a positive integer m such that $x^m = 0 \in \mathcal{I}^{(1)}$. Hence $\mathcal{I}^{(1)}$ semiprime implies that $x \in \mathcal{I}^{(1)}$. Thus $\mathcal{I}^{(1)} = Nil(R)$.*

Proposition 4.18. *The following statements hold:*

1. $\mathcal{I}^{(1)} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{I}^{(n)}$.
2. $\mathcal{I}^{(nk)} \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{(n)}$ for every positive integer k .
3. $\mathcal{I}^{(n)} \subseteq I^n$ for every semiprime ideal I .

Proof. 1. By Corollary 4.2(6) every semiprime ideal is semi- n -absorbing for every $n \geq 1$. Then $\mathcal{I}^{(n)} \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{(1)}$ for every $n \geq 1$.

2. By Corollary 4.2(5).

3. By Proposition 4.3. □

Proposition 4.19. *Let R_1, R_2 be rings. If I_1 is a semi- n -absorbing ideal of R_1 and I_2 is a semi- n -absorbing ideal of R_2 , then $I_1 \times I_2$ is a semi- n -absorbing ideal of $R_1 \times R_2$.*

Proof. Let $(a, b)^{n+1} \in I_1 \times I_2$ for some $a \in R_1$ and $b \in R_2$. Then $a^{n+1} \in I_1$ and $b^{n+1} \in I_2$. Since I_1 is semi- n -absorbing, then $a^n \in I_1$, and since I_2 is semi- n -absorbing, then $b^n \in I_2$. Hence $(a, b)^n \in I_1 \times I_2$ which shows that $I_1 \times I_2$ is semi- n -absorbing. □

Proposition 4.20. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ be a decomposable ring and L be a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R . Then either $L = I_1 \times R_2$ where I_1 is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R_1 or $L = R_1 \times I_2$ where I_2 is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R_2 or $L = I_1 \times I_2$ where I_1 is a semi- $(n-1)$ -absorbing ideal of R_1 and I_2 is a semi- $(n-1)$ -absorbing ideal of R_2 .*

Proof. Regarding Proposition 3.21 we only investigate the case when $L = I_1 \times I_2$ in which I_1 is a proper ideal of R_1 and I_2 is a proper ideal of R_2 . Let $a^n \in I_1$ for some $a \in R_1$. Therefore $(a, 1)^n(1, 0) \in I_1 \times I_2$. Since I_2 is proper, then $(a, 1)^n \notin I_1 \times I_2$. Hence $(a, 1)^{n-1}(1, 0) \in I_1 \times I_2$, because $I_1 \times I_2$ is a quasi- n -absorbing ideal of R . Thus $a^{n-1} \in I_1$ which shows that I_1 is a semi- $(n-1)$ -absorbing ideal of R_1 . Similarly we can show that I_2 is a semi- $(n-1)$ -absorbing ideal of R_2 . □

Proposition 4.21. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ be a decomposable ring and L be a proper ideal of R . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. L is a quasi-2-absorbing ideal of R ;
2. Either $L = I_1 \times R_2$ where I_1 is a quasi-2-absorbing ideal of R_1 or $L = R_1 \times I_2$ where I_2 is a quasi-2-absorbing ideal of R_2 or $L = I_1 \times I_2$ where I_1 is a semiprime ideal of R_1 and I_2 is a semiprime ideal of R_2 .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) By Proposition 4.20.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Assume that $L = I_1 \times I_2$ for some semiprime ideal I_1 of R_1 and some semiprime ideal I_2 of R_2 . Then, by Proposition 4.19, $L = I_1 \times I_2$ is a semiprime ideal of $R = R_1 \times R_2$. Thus $L = I_1 \times I_2$ is a quasi-2-absorbing ideal of $R = R_1 \times R_2$, by Corollary 4.2(9). □

Acknowledgement : We would like to thank the referee for his\her comments and suggestions on the manuscript.

References

- [1] A. Badawi, On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 75 (2007) 417-429.
- [2] D.F. Anderson, A. Badawi, On n -absorbing ideals of commutative rings, *Comm. Algebra* 39 (2011) 1646-1672.
- [3] A. Badawi, U. Tekir, E. Yetkin, On 2-absorbing primary ideals in commutative rings, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* 51 (4) (2014), 1163-1173.
- [4] A. Badawi, U. Tekir, E. Yetkin, On weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals of commutative rings, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 52 (1) (2015) 97-111.
- [5] A. Badawi, A. Yousefian Darani, On weakly 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, *Houston J. Math.* 39 (2013) 441-452.
- [6] H. Mostafanasab, E. Yetkin, U. Tekir, A. Yousefian Darani, On 2-absorbing primary submodules of modules over commutative rings, *An. Şt. Univ. Ovidius Constanta*, (2015). <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1503.00308.pdf>
- [7] P. Nasehpour, On the Anderson-Badawi $\omega_{R[X]}(I[X]) = \omega_R(I)$ conjecture, arXiv:1401.0459, (2014). <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1401.0459v3.pdf>
- [8] Sh. Payrovi, S. Babaei, On the 2-absorbing ideals in comutative rings, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc.* 23 (2013) 1511-1526.
- [9] A. Yousefian Darani, H. Mostafanasab, Co-2-absorbing preradicals and submodules, *J. Algebra Appl.* 14 (7) (2015) 1550113 (23 pages).
- [10] A. Yousefian Darani, H. Mostafanasab, On 2-absorbing preradicals, *J. Algebra Appl.* 14 (2) (2015) 1550017 (22 pages).
- [11] A. Yousefian Darani, F. Soheilnia, 2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing submodules, *Thai J. Math.* 9 (3) (2011) 577-584.
- [12] A. Yousefian Darani, F. Soheilnia, On n -absorbing submodules, *Math. Comm.* 17 (2012) 547-557.
- [13] I.G. Macdonald, Secondary representation of modules over a commutative ring, *Symposia Mathematica*, Vol. XI (Convegno di Algebra Commutativa, INDAM, Rome, 1971) 23-43. Academic Press, London, 1973.
- [14] R. Y. Sharp, *Steps in Commutative Algebra*, second edition, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 51, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [15] C.P. Lu, Unions of prime submodules, *Houston J. Math.* 23 (2) (1997) 203-213.

- [16] C. Gottlieb, On finite unions of ideals and cosets, *Comm. Algebra* 22 (8) (1994) 3087-3097.
- [17] T.Y. Lam, *A First Course in Noncommutative Rings*, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc 1991.
- [18] T.Y. Lam, *Exercises in Classical Ring Theory*, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc 2003.
- [19] D.G. Northcott, A generalization of a theorem on the content of polynomials, *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* 55 (1959) 282-288.
- [20] J. Ohm, D.E. Rush, Content modules and algebras, *Math. Scand.* 31 (1972) 49-68.
- [21] D.E. Rush, Content algebras, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 21 (3) (1978) 329-334.
- [22] D.D. Anderson, K.R. Knopp, R.L. Lewin, Ideals generated by powers of elements, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 49 (1994) 373-376.

(Received 19 February 2015)

(Accepted 12 June 2015)