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#### Abstract

An element $a$ of a semigroup $S$ is called regular if $a=a b a$ for some $b \in S$, and $S$ is called a regular semigroup if every element of $S$ is regular. For a group $G$, denote by $\operatorname{MHom}(G)$ the semigroup, under composition, of all multihomomorphisms of $G$ into itself. It is known that the elements of $\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$ are precisely $I_{k, a}$ where $k, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $I_{k, a}(\bar{x})=\overline{a x}+k \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\left|\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)\right|=\sum_{k \mid n} k$. Our purpose is to show that for $k, a \in \mathbb{Z}, I_{k, a}$ is a regular element of the semigroup $\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$ if and only if $a$ and $\frac{(n, k)}{(n, k, a)}$ are relatively prime, and $\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$ is a regular semigroup if and only if $n$ is square-free.
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## 1 Introduction

The cardinality of a set $X$ is denoted by $|X|$.
By a multifunction from a nonempty set $X$ into a nonempty set $Y$, we mean a function $f: X \rightarrow P^{*}(Y)$ where $P(Y)$ is the power set of $Y$ and $P^{*}(Y)=P(Y) \backslash\{\emptyset\}$. For $A \subseteq X$, let $f(A)=\bigcup_{a \in A} f(a)$.

Continuity of multifunctions between two topological spaces were studied by Whyburn [6], Smithson [4] and Feichtinger [2]. Multihomomorphisms between groups were defined naturally in [5] as follows: A multifunction $f$ from a group $G$ into a group $G^{\prime}$ is called a multihomomorphism if $f(x y)=f(x) f(y)(=\{$ st $\mid s \in$ $f(x)$ and $t \in f(y)\})$ for all $x, y \in G$. Denote by $\operatorname{MHom}\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)$ the set of all multihomomorphisms from $G$ into $G^{\prime}$, and write $\operatorname{MHom}(G)$ for $\operatorname{MHom}(G, G)$. Clearly, $\operatorname{MHom}(G)$ is a semigroup under composition.

For cyclic groups $G$ and $G^{\prime}$, the elements of $\operatorname{MHom}\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)$ were characterized and $\left|\operatorname{MHom}\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)\right|$ was determined in [5] and moreover, necessary and sufficient conditions for $f \in \operatorname{MHom}\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)$ to be surjective, that is, $\bigcup_{x \in G} f(x)=G^{\prime}$, were given in [3]. In [1], the authers provided remarkable necessary conditions for $f$
belonging to $\operatorname{MHom}\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)$ when $G^{\prime}$ is a subgroup of the additive group $(\mathbb{R},+$ ) and a subgroup of the multiplicative group $\left(\mathbb{R}^{*}, \cdot\right)$ where $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of real numbers and $\mathbb{R}^{*}=\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of integers, $\mathbb{Z}^{+}=\{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x>0\}$ and for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, let $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$ be the additive group of integers modulo $n$. The congruence class modulo $n$ of $x$ will be denoted by $\bar{x}$. Then $\mathbb{Z}_{n}=\{\bar{x} \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}\}=\{\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \ldots, \overline{n-1}\}$ and $\left|\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right|=n$. For $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$, not all 0 , the g.c.d. of $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}$ is denoted by $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$. It is clearly seen that $k \mathbb{Z}_{n}=(k, n) \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \mathbb{Z}_{n}+l \mathbb{Z}_{n}=(k, l) \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, not both 0 . If $k, a \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the multifunction $I_{k, a}$ from $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ into itself by

$$
I_{k, a}(\bar{x})=\overline{a x}+k \mathbb{Z}_{n} \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

The following results are known.
Theorem 1.1. ([5]) $\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)=\left\{I_{k, a} \mid k, a \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$.
Theorem 1.2. ([5]) The following statements hold.
(i) If $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, k|n, l| n, a \in\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}, b \in\{0,1, \ldots, l-1\}$ and $I_{k, a}=I_{l, b}$, then $k=l$ and $a=b$.
(ii) $\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)=\left\{I_{k, a}\left|k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, k\right| n\right.$ and $\left.a \in\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}\right\}$.
(iii) $\left|\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)\right|=\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \\ k \mid n}} k$.

Note that in Theorem 1.2, (iii) is directly obtained from (i) and (ii).
An element $a$ of a semigroup $S$ is called regular if $a=a b a$ for some $b \in S$. Denote by $\operatorname{Reg}(S)$ the set of all regular elements of $S$. If every element of $S$ is regular, that is, $\operatorname{Reg}(S)=S, S$ is called a regular semigroup. Our purpose is to show that for $k, a \in \mathbb{Z}, I_{k, a}$ is a regular element of $\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$ if and only if $a$ and $\frac{(n, k)}{(n, k, a)}$ are relatively prime, and $\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$ is a regular semigroup if and only if $n$ is square-free. Recall that $n$ is called square-free if for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a>1, a^{2} \nmid n$. Hence $n$ is square-free if and only if either $n=1$ or $n$ is a product of distinct primes.

## 2 The Regularity of $\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$

Throughout this section, let $n$ be a positive integer. The following three lemmas are needed.
Lemma 2.1. If $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}, r \neq 0$ and $t \neq 0$ are such that $r \left\lvert\,\left(s, \frac{t}{(s, t)}\right)\right.$, then $r^{2} \mid t$.
Proof. From the asumption, $r \mid s$ and $r \left\lvert\, \frac{t}{(s, t)}\right.$. Then $r(s, t) \mid t$. Hence $r \mid s$ and $r \mid t$ which implies that $r \mid(s, t)$, and thus $r^{2} \mid r(s, t)$. But $r(s, t) \mid t$, so $r^{2} \mid t$.

Lemma 2.2. For $k, l, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
I_{k, a} I_{l, b}= \begin{cases}I_{(k, a l), a b} & \text { if } k \neq 0 \\ I_{a l, a b} & \text { if } k=0\end{cases}
$$

Proof. For $x \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{k, a} I_{l, b}(\bar{x})=I_{k, a}\left(\overline{b x}+l \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right) \\
& =\bar{a}\left(\overline{b x}+l \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)+k \mathbb{Z}_{n} \\
& =\overline{a b x}+a l \mathbb{Z}_{n}+k \mathbb{Z}_{n} \\
& = \begin{cases}\overline{a b x}+(k, a l) \mathbb{Z}_{n}=I_{(k, a l), a b}(\bar{x}) & \text { if } k \neq 0, \\
\overline{a b x}+a l \mathbb{Z}_{n}=I_{a l, a b}(\bar{x}) & \text { if } k=0,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

so the lemma is proved.
Lemma 2.3. If $k, l, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ are such that $I_{k, a}=I_{l, b}$, then $k \mathbb{Z}_{n}=l \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and $(n, k) \mid(a-b)$.

Proof. We have that $k \mathbb{Z}_{n}=I_{k, a}(\overline{0})=I_{l, b}(\overline{0})=l \mathbb{Z}_{n}$. Then $I_{k, a}=I_{k, b}$, so $\bar{a}+k \mathbb{Z}_{n}=$ $I_{k, a}(\overline{1})=I_{k, b}(\overline{1})=\bar{b}+k \mathbb{Z}_{n}$. Hence $\overline{a-b}=\overline{k t}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, thus $n \mid(a-b-k t)$. Since $(n, k) \mid n$ and $(n, k) \mid k t$, it follows that $(n, k) \mid(a-b)$.

Theorem 2.4. For $k, a \in \mathbb{Z}, I_{k, a}$ is a regular element of the semigroup $\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$ if and only if a and $\frac{(n, k)}{(n, k, a)}$ are relatively prime.

Proof. First, assume that $I_{k, a}$ is a regular element of $\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$. Then there are $l, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $I_{k, a}=I_{k, a} I_{l, b} I_{k, a}$. By Lemma 2.2, $I_{k, a} I_{l, b} I_{k, a}=I_{s, a^{2} b}$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, and so by Lemma 2.3, $(n, k) \mid\left(a^{2} b-a\right)$. This implies that $\frac{(n, k)}{(n, k, a)} \left\lvert\, \frac{a}{(n, k, a)}(a b-1)\right.$. But $\frac{(n, k)}{(n, k, a)}$ and $\frac{a}{(n, k, a)}$ are relatively prime, thus $\left.\frac{(n, k)}{(n, k, a)} \right\rvert\,(a b-1)$. Therefore $a b+\frac{(n, k)}{(n, k, a)} t=1$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consequently, $a$ and $\frac{(n k)}{(n, k, a)}$ are relatively prime.

Conversely, assume that $a$ and $\frac{(n, k)}{(n, k, a)}$ are relatively prime. Then there are $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a b+\frac{(n, k)}{(n, k, a)} c=1$. It follows that $\overline{\left(a^{2} b-a\right) x}=\overline{(a b-1) a x}=$ $\overline{\left(\frac{(n, k)}{(n, k, a)} c a x\right)}=(n, k) \overline{\left(\frac{a}{(n, k, a)} c x\right)} \in(n, k) \mathbb{Z}_{n}=k \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consequently, $\overline{a^{2} b x}+k \mathbb{Z}_{n}=\overline{a x}+k \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 2.2,

$$
I_{k, a} I_{k, b} I_{k, a}= \begin{cases}I_{(k, a(k, b k)), a^{2} b}=I_{k, a^{2} b} & \text { if } k \neq 0 \\ I_{0, a^{2} b}=I_{k, a^{2} b} & \text { if } k=0\end{cases}
$$

Thus for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}, I_{k, a} I_{k, b} I_{k, a}(\bar{x})=\overline{a^{2} b x}+k \mathbb{Z}_{n}=\overline{a x}+k \mathbb{Z}_{n}=I_{k, a}(\bar{x})$, so $I_{k, a} I_{k, b} I_{k, a}=I_{k, a}$. Hence $I_{k, a}$ is a regular element of $\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$, as desired.

Corollary 2.5. Let $Q F$ be the set of all square-free positive integers. Then the following statements hold.
(i) $\operatorname{Reg}\left(M \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \left\{I_{k, a}\left|k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, k\right| n, a \in\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\} \text { and }\left(a, \frac{k}{(k, a)}\right)=1\right\} \\
= & \left\{I_{k, a}|k \in Q F, k| n \text { and } a \in\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{I_{k, a}\left|k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \backslash Q F, k\right| n, a \in\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\} \text { and }\left(a, \frac{k}{(k, a)}\right)=1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) $\left|\operatorname{Reg}\left(\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)\right)\right|$

$$
=\sum_{\substack{k \in Q F \\ k \mid n}} k+\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \backslash Q F \\ k \mid n}}\left|\left\{a \in\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\} \left\lvert\,\left(a, \frac{k}{(k, a)}\right)=1\right.\right\}\right|
$$

Proof. (i) The first equality follows from Theorem 1.2(ii) and Theorem 2.4 and the second equality is obtained from Lemma 2.1.
(ii) is obtained from (i) and Theorem 1.2(i).

Theorem 2.6. The semigroup $\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$ is regular if and only if $n$ is squarefree.

Proof. From Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 2.4, we have respectively that

$$
\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)=\left\{I_{k, a} \mid k, a \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Reg}\left(\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)\right)=\left\{I_{k, a} \mid k, a \in \mathbb{Z} \text { and }\left(a, \frac{(n, k)}{(n, k, a)}\right)=1\right\}
$$

First, assume that $n$ is not square-free. Then there exists an integer $r>1$ such that $r^{2} \mid n$. Then $\left(r, \frac{(n, n)}{(n, n, r)}\right)=\left(r, \frac{n}{r}\right)=r>1$ which implies that $I_{n, r} \in$ $\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right) \backslash \operatorname{Reg}\left(\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)\right)$. This proves that if $\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$ is a regular semigroup, then $n$ is square-free.

For the converse, assume that $n$ is square-free. Then $k$ is square-free for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$with $k \mid n$. Therefore we deduce from Corollary 2.5 (i) that

$$
\operatorname{Reg}\left(\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)\right)=\left\{I_{k, a}\left|k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, k\right| n \text { and } a \in\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}\right\}
$$

By Theorem 1.2(ii), we have $\operatorname{Reg}\left(\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)\right)=\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$. Hence $\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$ is a regular semigroup.

The following corollary is obtained directly from Theorem 1.2(iii) and Theorem 2.6.

Corollary 2.7. For any prime $p, \operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p},+\right)$ is a regular semigroup of order $1+p$.

Example 2.8. By Theorem 1.2(iii) and Theorem 2.6, $\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6},+\right)$ is a regular semigroup of order $1+2+3+6=12$.

By Corollary 2.5(ii),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\operatorname{Reg}\left(\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{20},+\right)\right)\right|= & (1+2+5+10)+\left|\left\{a \in\{0,1,2,3\} \left\lvert\,\left(a, \frac{4}{(4, a)}\right)=1\right.\right\}\right| \\
& +\left|\left\{a \in\{0,1, \ldots, 19\} \left\lvert\,\left(a, \frac{20}{(20, a)}\right)=1\right.\right\}\right| \\
= & 18+(3+15) \\
= & 36
\end{aligned}
$$

since
for $a \in\{0,1,2,3\},\left(a, \frac{4}{(4, a)}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow a \in\{0,1,3\}$
and
for $a \in\{0,1, \ldots, 19\},\left(a, \frac{20}{(20, a)}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow a \in\{0,1,3,4,5,7,8,9,11,12,13$, $15,16,17,19\}$.
By Theorem 1.2(iii),
$\left|\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{20},+\right) \backslash \operatorname{Reg}\left(\operatorname{MHom}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{20},+\right)\right)\right|=(1+2+4+5+10+20)-36$

$$
=42-36=6
$$
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