

# Regularity of Full Order-Preserving Transformation Semigroups on Some Dictionary Posets

W. Mora and Y. Kemprasit

**Abstract :** For a poset  $X$ , let  $OT(X)$  be the full order-preserving transformation semigroup on  $X$ . The following results are known. If  $X$  is any nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $OT(X)$  is a regular semigroup, that is, for every  $\alpha \in OT(X)$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$  for some  $\beta \in OT(X)$ . If  $X$  is an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $OT(X)$  is regular if and only if  $X$  is closed and bounded. We deal with the regularity of  $OT(A \times A, \leq_d)$  where  $\phi \neq A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\leq_d$  is the dictionary partial order on  $A \times A$ . We have that if  $A$  is infinite, then the chain  $(A \times A, \leq_d)$  is neither order-isomorphic to a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$  nor order-isomorphic to an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Our purpose is to show that  $OT(A \times A, \leq_d)$  is regular if and only if  $A$  is finite.

**Keywords :** Full order-preserving transformation semigroup, regular semigroup  
**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification :** 20M17, 20M20

## 1 Introduction

An element  $a$  of a semigroup  $S$  is called *regular* if  $a = aba$  for some  $b \in S$ , and  $S$  is called a *regular semigroup* if every element of  $S$  is regular.

For a nonempty set  $X$ , let  $T(X)$  be the full transformation semigroup on  $X$ , that is,  $T(X)$  is the semigroup, under composition, of all mappings  $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ . The image of  $x$  under  $\alpha \in T(X)$  is written by  $x\alpha$ , and the range (image) of  $\alpha \in T(X)$  is denoted by  $\text{ran } \alpha$ . It is well-known that  $T(X)$  is a regular semigroup ([1], page 4 or [2], page 63).

A mapping  $\varphi$  from a poset  $X$  into a poset  $Y$  is said to be *order-preserving* if

$$\forall x, x' \in X, \quad x \leq x' \text{ in } X \Rightarrow x\varphi \leq x'\varphi \text{ in } Y.$$

The posets  $X$  and  $Y$  are said to be *order-isomorphic* if there is an order-preserving bijection  $\varphi$  from  $X$  onto  $Y$  such that  $\varphi^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$  is order-preserving.

If  $X$  is a poset, let  $OT(X)$  be the subsemigroup of  $T(X)$  consisting of all order-preserving mappings, that is,

$$OT(X) = \{ \alpha \in T(X) \mid \alpha \text{ is order-preserving} \}.$$

It is known from [1, page 203] that  $OT(X)$  is regular if  $X$  is a finite chain. In 2000, Y. Kemprasit and T. Changphas [3] extended this result to any chain which is order-isomorphic to a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the set of integers with their natural order. It was also proved in [3] that if  $X$  is an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , the set of real numbers with usual order, then  $OT(X)$  is regular if and only if  $X$  is closed and bounded. In [4], the authors generalized full order-preserving transformation semigroups by using sandwich multiplication and investigated their regularity and also provided some isomorphism theorems.

The results in [3] motivate us to consider the regularity of  $OT(A \times A, \leq_d)$  where  $\phi \neq A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\leq_d$  is the dictionary partial order, that is ,

$$(a, b) \leq_d (c, d) \text{ if and only if } \begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \quad a < c \text{ or} \\ \text{(ii)} \quad a = c \text{ and } b \leq d. \end{array}$$

Then  $(A \times A, \leq_d)$  is a chain. If  $A$  is finite, then  $(A \times A, \leq_d)$  is a finite chain, and hence  $OT(A \times A, \leq_d)$  is a regular semigroup. If  $A$  is infinite, then  $A \times A$  is countably infinite, so  $(A \times A, \leq_d)$  is not isomorphic to any interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ . It will be shown that for an infinite subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(A \times A, \leq_d)$  is not order-isomorphic to any subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Our main purpose is to show that for any  $\phi \neq A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $OT(A \times A, \leq_d)$  is regular if and only if  $A$  is finite.

## 2 Main Results

Let  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^-$  denote the set of positive integers and the set of negative integers, respectively. If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  is infinite, then  $A$  has one of following properties:

- (i)  $A$  is bounded below but not bounded above.
- (ii)  $A$  is bounded above but not bounded below.
- (iii)  $A$  is neither bounded below nor bounded above.

Then (i), (ii) and (iii) imply respectively that

- (i)',  $A = \{ a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \}$  where  $a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < \dots$  ,
- (ii)',  $A = \{ a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}^- \}$  where  $a_{-1} > a_{-2} > a_{-3} > \dots$  and
- (iii)'  $A = \{ a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \}$  where  $\dots < a_{-2} < a_{-1} < a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots$  .

We first show that for an infinite subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the chain  $(A \times A, \leq_d)$  is not order-isomorphic to a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

For convenience, if  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are subsets of a chain, we write  $S_1 < S_2$  if  $x < y$  for all  $x \in S_1$  and  $y \in S_2$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *For an infinite subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(A \times A, \leq_d)$  is not order-isomorphic to a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* First, we recall that for a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < \dots$ , then  $\{ x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \}$  has no upper bound in  $S$ . Also, if  $x_1 > x_2 > x_3 > \dots$ , then  $\{ x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \}$  has no lower bound in  $S$ .

**Case 1 :**  $A = \{ a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \}$  where  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots$  or  $A = \{ a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \}$

where  $\dots < a_{-1} < a_0 < a_1 < \dots$ . Then  $(a_2, a_1)$  is an upper bound of  $\{(a_1, a_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  and  $(a_1, a_1) <_d (a_1, a_2) <_d (a_1, a_3) <_d \dots$ . Hence we have that  $(A \times A, \leq_d)$  is not order-isomorphic to a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Case 2 :**  $A = \{a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}^-\}$  where  $a_{-1} > a_{-2} > \dots$ . Then  $(a_{-2}, a_{-1})$  is a lower bound of  $\{(a_{-1}, a_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}^-\}$  and  $(a_{-1}, a_{-1}) >_d (a_{-1}, a_{-2}) >_d (a_{-1}, a_{-3}) >_d \dots$ . It follows that  $(A \times A, \leq_d)$  is not order-isomorphic to a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

To obtain the main result, the following fact is needed.

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are elements of  $T(X)$  such that  $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$ , then  $\text{ran}(\beta\alpha) = \text{ran } \alpha$  and  $x\beta\alpha = x$  for all  $x \in \text{ran } \alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{ran } \alpha = \text{ran}(\alpha\beta\alpha) \subseteq \text{ran}(\beta\alpha) \subseteq \text{ran } \alpha$ , we have  $\text{ran}(\beta\alpha) = \text{ran } \alpha$ . If  $x \in X$ , then  $x\alpha = x\alpha\beta\alpha = (x\alpha)\beta\alpha$ . This implies that  $x\beta\alpha = x$  for all  $x \in \text{ran } \alpha$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\phi \neq A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $OT(A \times A, \leq_d)$  is a regular semigroup if and only if  $A$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A$  is infinite. Let  $c \in A$  be a fixed element and define  $\alpha : A \times A \rightarrow A \times A$  by

$$(\{x\} \times A)\alpha = \{(c, x)\} \quad \text{for all } x \in A,$$

that is,

$$(x, y)\alpha = (c, x) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A.$$

Then we have

$$\text{ran } \alpha = \{c\} \times A. \quad (2.1)$$

Since  $\{x\} \times A < \{y\} \times A$  and  $(c, x) <_d (c, y)$  for all  $x, y \in A$  with  $x < y$ , we deduce that  $\alpha \in OT(A \times A, \leq_d)$ . To show that  $\alpha$  is not regular in  $OT(A \times A, \leq_d)$ , suppose on the contrary that  $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$  for some  $\beta \in OT(A \times A, \leq_d)$ . By (1) and Lemma 2.2,

$$(c, x)\beta\alpha = (c, x) \quad \text{for all } x \in A. \quad (2.2)$$

**Case 1 :**  $A = \{a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  where  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots$  or  $A = \{a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  where  $\dots < a_{-1} < a_0 < a_1 < \dots$ . Then  $c < e$  for some  $e \in A$ . Hence  $(c, x) <_d (e, e)$  for all  $x \in A$ . This implies that  $(c, x)\beta\alpha \leq_d (e, e)\beta\alpha$  for all  $x \in A$ . By (2),

$$(c, x) \leq_d (e, e)\beta\alpha \quad \text{for all } x \in A.$$

Since  $(e, e)\beta\alpha \in \text{ran } \alpha$ , by (1),  $(e, e)\beta\alpha = (c, f)$  for some  $f \in A$ . Consequently,

$$(c, x) \leq_d (c, f) \quad \text{for all } x \in A,$$

so  $x \leq f$  for all  $x \in A$ . This is a contradiction since  $A$  has no maximum.

**Case 2 :**  $A = \{ a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}^- \}$  where  $a_{-1} > a_{-2} > \dots$ . Then  $r < c$  for some  $r \in A$ . Thus  $(r, r) <_d (c, x)$  for all  $x \in A$  which implies that  $(r, r)\beta\alpha \leq_d (c, x)\beta\alpha$  for all  $x \in A$ . Therefore we have from (2) that

$$(r, r)\beta\alpha \leq_d (c, x) \quad \text{for all } x \in A.$$

But  $(r, r)\beta\alpha \in \text{ran } \alpha$ , so by (1),  $(r, r)\beta\alpha = (c, s)$  for some  $s \in A$ . Hence

$$(c, s) \leq_d (c, x) \quad \text{for all } x \in A.$$

This implies that  $s \leq x$  for all  $x \in A$  which is a contradiction since  $A$  has no minimum.

This proves that if  $OT(A \times A, \leq_d)$  is regular, then  $A$  is finite. The converse holds because  $(A \times A, \leq_d)$  is a finite chain if  $A$  is finite.  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** (1) The given proof of Proposition 2.1 uses the basic fact of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . As mentioned previously, if a chain  $X$  is order-isomorphic to a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $OT(X)$  is a regular semigroup. Then Proposition 2.1 can be referred as a corollary of Theorem 2.3.

(2) From the proof of Theorem 2.3, we define  $\alpha \in OT(A \times A, \leq_d)$  depending on a given  $c \in A$  where  $A$  is an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\alpha$  can be written as  $\alpha_c$ . Observe that  $\alpha_{c_1} \neq \alpha_{c_2}$  if  $c_1 \neq c_2$  in  $A$ . Since each  $\alpha_c$  is a nonregular element of  $OT(A \times A, \leq_d)$ , we deduce that  $OT(A \times A, \leq_d)$  has an infinite number of nonregular elements. Since every constant mapping from  $A \times A$  into  $A \times A$  is a regular element of  $OT(A \times A, \leq_d)$ , it follows that  $OT(A \times A, \leq_d)$  also contains an infinite number of regular elements.

## References

- [1] P.M. Higgins, *Techniques of semigroup theory*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1992.
- [2] J.M. Howie, *Fundamentals of semigroup theory*, Clarendon Press. Oxford, 1995.
- [3] Y. Kemprasit and T. Changphas, Regular order-preserving transformation semigroups, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **62** (2000), 511-524.
- [4] Y. Kemprasit and S. Jaidee, Regularity and isomorphism theorems of generalized order-preserving transformation semigroups, *Vietnam J. Math.* **33** (2000), 253-260.

(Received 25 May 2006)

W. Mora and Y. Kemprasit  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Chulalongkorn University  
Bangkok 10330, THAILAND.  
e-mail: `Yupaporn.K@chula.ac.th`