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#### Abstract

This paper bases on the well-studied semigroup $T(X, Y)$ of all transformations on $X$ with restricted range $Y \subseteq X$. We introduce the semigroup $T_{P}(X, Y)$ of all non-empty subsets of $T(X, Y)$ under the operation $A B:=\{a b:$ $a \in A, b \in B\}$. We determine the idempotent and regular elements in $T_{P}(X, Y)$ for the case that $|Y|=2$. In particular, we characterize the (maximal) regular subsemigroups of $T_{P}(X, Y)$, the largest semiband, and the (maximal) idempotent subsemigroups of $T_{P}(X, Y)$.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $X=\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we denote by $T(X)$ the monoid of all full transformations on $X$ (functions from $X$ to $X$ ). The operation is the composition of functions. In the paper, we will write functions from the right, $x \alpha$ rather than $\alpha(x)$ and compose from the left to the right, $x(\alpha \beta)=(x \alpha) \beta$ rather than $(\alpha \beta)(x)=\alpha(\beta(x))$, $\alpha, \beta \in T(X), x \in X$.

[^0]We denote by $\operatorname{im\alpha }$ the image (the range) of $\alpha$, i.e. $\operatorname{im\alpha }:=X \alpha:=\{x \alpha: x \in X\}$ and by rank $\alpha$ the cardinality of $\operatorname{im\alpha }$, i.e. $\operatorname{rank} \alpha:=|i m \alpha|$. The kernel of $\alpha$ is the set $\operatorname{ker} \alpha:=\{(x, y): x, y \in X, x \alpha=y \alpha\}$. It is an equivalence relation and thus ker $\alpha$ corresponds uniquely to a partition of $X$ into blocks. The transformation $\alpha$ is called idempotent if $\alpha \alpha=\alpha$. Notice that $\alpha$ is idempotent if and only if $\alpha$ restricted to $i m \alpha$ is the identity mapping on $i m \alpha$. For more information about transformation semigroups and semigroups see [1] and [2], respectively.
By several reasons, it can happen that all transformations under consideration have a range in a proper subset of $X$. Let $Y$ be a non-empty subset of $X$, say $Y=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right\}$ for some $m \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Note that $X=Y$ if $n=m$. Let us consider the set $T(X, Y):=\{\alpha \in T(X): i m \alpha \subseteq Y\}$. In particular, $T(X, Y)$ is a subsemigroup of $T(X)$, which is a semigroup of transformations with restricted range due to J. S. V. Symons [3. Transformation semigroups with restricted range have been widely investigated (see for example [4, [5, [6, [7, 8, (9). If $Y$ is a oneelement set, say $Y=\left\{y_{1}\right\}$, then $T(X, Y)$ is an one-element set, too, since the only transformation in $T(X, Y)$ is the constant mapping with the image $y_{1}$.
Let us now consider a two-element subset $Y$ of $X$, say $Y=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}$. Then, $T(X, Y)$ consists of the constant mapping with image $y_{1}$ (denoted by $c_{1}$ ), the constant mapping with image $y_{2}$ (denoted by $c_{2}$ ), and mappings with non-trivial kernel. For any $\alpha \in T(X, Y)$, we define a mapping $\alpha^{*} \in T(X, Y)$ by

$$
x \alpha^{*}:=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
y_{2} & \text { if } & x \alpha=y_{1} \\
y_{1} & \text { if } & x \alpha=y_{2} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is easy to verify that $c_{1}^{*}=c_{2},\left(\alpha^{*}\right)^{*}=\alpha, \alpha \beta=\alpha$, and $\alpha \beta^{*}=\alpha^{*}$, whenever $\beta \in T(X, Y)$ is an idempotent with rank 2. We observe that $\alpha \beta=c_{i}$, whenever $y_{1} \beta=y_{2} \beta=c_{i}(i \in\{1,2\})$. For any non-empty set $A \subseteq T(X, Y)$, we put $A^{*}:=\left\{\alpha^{*}: \alpha \in A\right\}$.
This motivates the consideration of the following four subsets of $T(X, Y)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}:=\{\alpha \in T(X, Y): \alpha \text { is idempotent with rank } 2\} ; \\
& T_{2}:=\left\{\alpha^{*}: \alpha \in T_{1}\right\} ; \\
& T_{2+i}:=\left\{\alpha \in T(X, Y): y_{1} \alpha=y_{2} \alpha=c_{i}\right\} \text { for } i \in\{1,2\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $T_{4}=\left\{\alpha^{*}: \alpha \in T_{3}\right\}$. It is easy to verify that $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}\right\}$ is a partition of $T(X, Y)$. For any non-empty set $A \subseteq T(X, Y)$ and any $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$, we put

$$
A_{i}:=A \cap T_{i} .
$$

Clearly, $A=A_{1} \dot{\cup} A_{2} \dot{\cup} A_{3} \dot{\cup} A_{4}$.
Let $A, B \subseteq T(X, Y)$ be non-empty sets. If $B_{1} \neq \emptyset$, i.e. there is an idempotent $\beta \in B$ with rank 2 such that $\alpha \beta=\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in A$, then $A \subseteq A B$ and $A=A B$ if $B=B_{1}$. If $B_{3} \neq \emptyset$, i.e. there is $\beta \in B$ with $y_{1} \beta=y_{2} \beta=c_{1}$ and $\alpha \beta=c_{1}$ for all $\alpha \in A$, then $c_{1} \in A B$ and $A B=\left\{c_{1}\right\}$ if $B=B_{3}$. By the same reasons, we have $c_{2} \in A B$, whenever $B_{4} \neq \emptyset$ and $A B=\left\{c_{2}\right\}$, whenever $B=B_{4}$. If $B \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$, then $A B=B$ since $\alpha c_{i}=c_{i}$ for all $i=1,2$ and all $\alpha \in A$. Finally if $B_{2} \neq \emptyset$, i.e.
there is an idempotent $\beta^{*}$ with rank 2 such that $\beta \in B$ and $\alpha \beta=\alpha^{*}$ for all $\alpha \in A$, then $A^{*} \subseteq A B$ and $A^{*}=A B$ if $B=B_{2}$. So, if $B_{1} \neq \emptyset$ and $B_{2} \neq \emptyset$, then the set $R_{A}:=A \cup A^{*}$ is contained in $A B$ and $R_{A}=A B$ if $B=B_{1} \cup B_{2}$. The notation $R_{A}$ is due to the Green's relation $\mathcal{R}$. Notice that, two transformations $\alpha, \beta \in T(X, Y)$ are $\mathcal{R}$-related, in symbols $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$, if and only if $\operatorname{ker} \alpha=\operatorname{ker} \beta[2]$. We observe that $\operatorname{ker} \alpha=\operatorname{ker} \beta$ if and only if $\alpha=\beta$ or $\alpha=\beta^{*}$, i.e. the $\mathcal{R}$-class of any $\alpha \in T(X, Y)$ is $\left\{\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right\}$. Throughout this paper, we will use these observations without to refer to them.
Let $T_{p}(X, Y)$ be the set of all non-empty subsets of $T(X, Y)$ and let us establish $T_{p}(X, Y)$ with a binary operation $\cdot$ defined by

$$
A \cdot B:=\{a b: a \in A, b \in B\}
$$

for $A, B \in T_{p}(X, Y)$. Clearly, • is associative. We will write $A B$ rather than $A \cdot B$. Notice the semigroup $T(X, Y)$ can be isomorphic embedded into $T_{P}(X, Y)$ by $\Phi: T(X, Y) \rightarrow T_{P}(X, Y)$ with $\alpha \mapsto\{\alpha\}$ for $\alpha \in T(X, Y)$. The purpose of this paper is the study of several basic properties of the semigroup $T_{P}(X, Y)$.
The next section deals with the set $E$ of all idempotents in $T_{P}(X, Y)$, i.e. with elements $A \in T_{P}(X, Y)$ satisfying $A A=A$. It is easy to see that $T(X, Y)$ is not a band (take any $\beta \in T_{1}$ and we have $\beta^{*} \beta^{*}=\left(\beta^{*}\right)^{*}=\beta$ ). Therefore, $T_{P}(X, Y)$ is also not a band since $T(X, Y)$ can be isomorphically embedded into $T_{P}(X, Y)$. We will determine the set $E$ and characterize all maximal subsemigroups consisting entirely of idempotents. Moreover, we determine the semigroup generated by $E$, i.e. the greatest semiband within $T_{P}(X, Y)$. The third section is devoted to the regular elements. A set $A \in T_{P}(X, Y)$ is called regular if there is $B \in T_{P}(X, Y)$ such that $A B A=A$. We characterize the set of all regular elements in $T_{P}(X, Y)$. It is a proper subset of $T_{P}(X, Y)$, i.e. $T_{P}(X, Y)$ is not regular. But we can provide all maximal regular subsemigroups of $T_{P}(X, Y)$. Finally, we will obtain the least subsemigroup of $T_{P}(X, Y)$ containing all regular elements.

## 2 The Idempotent Elements

Note that an idempotent element $A \in E$ of $T_{P}(X, Y)$ is a subsemigroup of $T(X, Y)$, i.e. $A \leq T(X, Y)$, since $A A=A$. But conversely, not each subsemigroup of $T(X, Y)$ is idempotent in $T_{P}(X, Y)$. For example, $T_{4}$ is a subsemigroup of $T(X, Y)$ but $T_{4} \notin E$ since $T_{4} T_{4}=\left\{c_{2}\right\} \varsubsetneqq T_{4}$. The following proposition characterizes all the idempotents in $T_{P}(X, Y)$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $A \in T_{P}(X, Y)$. Then $A \in E$ if and only if the following three conditions are satisfied:
(i) $R_{A}=A$ (i.e. $A=A^{*}$ ) if $A_{2} \neq \emptyset$.
(ii) $A \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ if $A_{1} \cup A_{2}=\emptyset$.
(iii) $c_{i} \in A$ if $A_{2+i} \neq \emptyset, i=1,2$.

Proof. Suppose that (i), (ii), and (iii) are satisfied.
Assume that $A_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Then $A \subseteq A A$. Let now $\alpha \in A A$. Then there are $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in A$
with $\alpha=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}$. If $\alpha_{2} \in A_{1}$ then $\alpha=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{1} \in A$. If $\alpha_{2} \in A_{2}$ then $A_{2} \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{1}^{*} \in A^{*}=A$ by (i). Let $i \in\{1,2\}$. If $\alpha_{2} \in A_{2+i}$ then $A_{2+i} \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}=c_{i} \in A$ by (iii).
Admit that $A_{1}=\emptyset$. Then $A_{2}=\emptyset$ by (i), i.e. $A_{1} \cup A_{2}=\emptyset$. Thus, $A \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ by (ii) and we have $A A=A$. Suppose now that $A A=A$ and we have to show that (i), (ii), and (iii) are satisfied. Admit that $A_{2} \neq \emptyset$. Then $A^{*} \subseteq A A=A$ and thus $A=\left(A^{*}\right)^{*} \subseteq A^{*}$. This shows that $A=A^{*}$ and we have (i). Admit that $A_{1} \cup A_{2}=\emptyset$, i.e. $A \subseteq A_{3} \cup A_{4}$. Then $A=A A \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$. This shows (ii). Let $i \in\{1,2\}$ and suppose that $A_{2+i} \neq \emptyset$. Then we obtain $c_{i} \in A A=A$, i.e. we have shown (iii).

By Proposition 2.1, it is easy to verify that the following both sets $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are subsets of $E$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1}:=\left\{R_{A}: \emptyset \neq A \subseteq T_{1}\right\} \text { and } \\
& D_{2}:=\left\{A \cup B \cup\left\{c_{i}\right\}: \emptyset \neq A \subseteq T_{1}, B \subseteq T_{2+i}, i=1,2\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.2. We have $D_{1} D_{2} \cap E=\emptyset$.
Proof. Let $A \in D_{1}$ and $A^{\prime} \in D_{2}$. Then there are a non-empty set $\widehat{A} \subseteq T_{1}$ and a set $\widehat{B} \subseteq T_{2+i}$ such that $A^{\prime}=\widehat{A} \cup \widehat{B} \cup\left\{c_{i}\right\}$ for some $i \in\{1,2\}$. This gives $A A^{\prime}=A \cup\left\{c_{i}\right\}$. We have $\left(A A^{\prime}\right)_{2} \neq \emptyset\left(\right.$ since $\left.A_{2} \neq \emptyset\right)$ but $c_{i}^{*} \notin A A^{\prime}$ (since $A \subseteq T_{1} \cup T_{2}$ ). Thus, $A A^{\prime} \notin E$ by Proposition 2.1.

Lemma 2.2 shows that $T_{P}(X, Y)$ is not orthodox, i.e., its idempotent set does not form a subsemigroup and it arises the question for the (maximal) idempotent subsemigroups of $T_{P}(X, Y)$. A semigroup $S$ is a maximal idempotent subsemigroup of $T_{P}(X, Y)$ if $S \subseteq E$ and each subsemigroup of $T_{P}(X, Y)$, which covers $S$ properly, consists not entirely of idempotents. Let us put

$$
E_{1}:=E \backslash D_{1}
$$

Lemma 2.3. $E_{1}$ is a maximal idempotent subsemigroup of $T_{P}(X, Y)$.
Proof. Let $A, B \in E_{1}$.
Suppose that $A_{1} \neq \emptyset$ and $B_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Since both $A$ and $B$ do not belong to $D_{1}$, we have $A_{2}=\emptyset$ or $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} \subseteq A$ as well as $B_{2}=\emptyset$ or $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} \subseteq B$. If $(A B)_{2}=\emptyset$ then $B_{2}=\emptyset$ since $A_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $A B=A \cup C$, where $C \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$. Notice that $A_{2}=\emptyset$ since $(A B)_{2}=\emptyset$ and $A B=A \cup C$. Thus $A B$ is an idempotent by Proposition 2.1. Because $(A B)_{2}=\emptyset$, we have $A B \in E_{1}$. If $(A B)_{2} \neq \emptyset$ then $A_{2} \neq \emptyset$ or $B_{2} \neq \emptyset$, i.e. $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} \subseteq A$ (if $A_{2} \neq \emptyset$ ) or $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} \subseteq B$ (if $B_{2} \neq \emptyset$ ). This provides $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} \subseteq A B$. In both cases, we obtain $A B=R_{A} \cup\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$. Thus $A B$ is an idempotent by Proposition [2.1, and in particular, $A B \in E_{1}$.
Suppose that $A_{1}=\emptyset$ or $B_{1}=\emptyset$. Then $A \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ (if $A_{1}=\emptyset$, i.e. $A_{1} \cup A_{2}=\emptyset$ ) or $B \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ (if $B_{1}=\emptyset$, i.e. $B_{1} \cup B_{2}=\emptyset$ ) by Proposition 2.1] Hence, $A B \subseteq$ $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$, i.e. $A B$ is idempotent by Proposition 2.1 and in particular, $A B \in E_{1}$.
We have shown that $E_{1}$ is a semigroup and it remains to show that $E_{1}$ is maximal. But this fact becomes clear by Lemma 2.2 and the fact $D_{2} \subseteq E_{1}$.

Now we put

$$
E_{2}:=E \backslash D_{2}
$$

It is easy to check that $R_{A}, R_{A} \cup\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} \in E_{2}$, for any $A \subseteq T_{1} \cup T_{2}$.
Lemma 2.4. $E_{2}$ is a maximal idempotent subsemigroup of $T_{P}(X, Y)$.
Proof. Let $A, B \in E_{2}$. If $A \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ or $B \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$, then $A B \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$, and $A B \in E_{2}$. Suppose now that $A, B \nsubseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$. Then $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} \subseteq A$ or $A \cap\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}=\emptyset$ and the same for $B$.
Suppose that $A_{1} \neq \emptyset$ and $B_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Then $A B=A \cup A^{*} \cup C$ (if $B_{2} \neq \emptyset$ ) or $A B=A \cup C$ (if $B_{2}=\emptyset$ ), where $C=\emptyset$ or $C=\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$. Since $A \in E_{2}$, it is easy to verify that $A B$ is idempotent by Proposition 2.1. Clearly, $A \cup A^{*} \cup C \notin D_{2}$ and $A \cup C \notin D_{2}$. Thus, $A B \in E_{2}$.
Suppose now that $B_{1}=\emptyset$. Then $B \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ by Proposition 2.1 and thus $A B=$ $B \in E_{2}$.
Suppose that $A_{1}=\emptyset$ but $B_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Then $A \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ by Proposition 2.1 and thus $A B=A \in E_{2}$. or $A B=\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$. Notice that $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} \in E_{2}$. Therefore, we have $A B \in E_{2}$.
So, we have shown that $E_{2}$ is a semigroup. It remains to show that $E_{2}$ is maximal, which is clear by Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $D_{1} \subseteq E_{2}$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $S \subseteq E$. Then $S$ is a maximal idempotent subsemigroup of $T_{P}(X, Y)$ if and only if $S=E_{1}$ or $S=E_{2}$.

Proof. One direction is clear by Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 Suppose now that $S$ is a maximal idempotent subsemigroup. Since $S \subset E$, we obtain $S \subseteq E \backslash D_{1}=E_{1}$ or $S \subseteq E \backslash D_{2}=E_{2}$ by Lemma [2.2. Hence, $S=E_{1}$ or $S=E_{2}$ because of the maximality of $S$.

Finally, we determine the greatest semiband in $T_{P}(X, Y)$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{3} & :=\left\{R_{A} \cup\left\{c_{i}\right\}: \emptyset \neq A \subseteq T_{1}, i=1,2\right\} \text { and } \\
E_{3} & :=E \cup D_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.6. $E_{3}$ is the greatest semiband in $T_{P}(X, Y)$.
Proof. We have to show that $E_{3}$ is the least subsemigroup of $T_{P}(X, Y)$ containing E.

Let $A \subseteq T_{1}$ and let $i \in\{1,2\}$. Then $R_{A}\left(T_{1} \cup\left\{c_{i}\right\}\right)=R_{A} \cup\left\{c_{i}\right\}$, where both elements $R_{A}$ and $\left(T_{1} \cup\left\{c_{i}\right\}\right)$ are idempotent. This shows that $D_{3}$ belongs to the least subsemigroup of $T_{P}(X, Y)$ containing $E$.
For the converse direction, we have to show that the product of elements in $E_{3}$ belongs to $E_{3}$. First, we check $E E \subseteq E_{3}$. By Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.4 and the fact that $D_{1} \cap D_{2}=\emptyset$, it is enough to check the case that one factor belongs to $D_{1}$ and the other factor belongs to $D_{2}$. For this let $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq T_{1}, k \in\{1,2\}$, and $B \subseteq T_{k+2}$. Further, let $R_{G} \in D_{1}$, where $\emptyset \neq G \subseteq T_{1}$. Then we get that
$\left(A \cup B \cup\left\{c_{k}\right\}\right) R_{G}=R_{A \cup B \cup\left\{c_{k}\right\}} \in E$ and $R_{G}\left(A \cup B \cup\left\{c_{k}\right\}\right)=R_{G} \cup\left\{c_{k}\right\} \in D_{3}$. It is easy to verify that the product of an idempotent with an element from $D_{3}$ is equal to a product $e_{1} e_{2} e_{3}$ of three idempotents $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ and thus, it is equal to a product of two idempotents (if $e_{1} e_{2}$ or $e_{2} e_{3}$ is an idempotent) or of two elements in $D_{3}$ (if both $e_{1} e_{2}$ and $e_{2} e_{3}$ are in $D_{3}$ ). So, it remains to show that $D_{3} D_{3} \subseteq E$. Indeed, let $\emptyset \neq A, A^{\prime} \subseteq T_{1}$ and $i, i^{\prime} \in\{1,2\}$. Then $\left(R_{A} \cup\left\{c_{i}\right\}\right)\left(R_{A^{\prime}} \cup\left\{c_{i^{\prime}}\right\}\right)=$ $R_{A} \cup\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} \in E$.

## 3 The Regular Elements

This section is devoted to the regular subsemigroups of $T_{p}(X, Y)$. Clearly, each idempotent is regular. Hence, we have still to find the regular elements in $T_{p}(X, Y)$ which are not idempotent. For this let

$$
\widehat{T}_{i+2}:=\left\{A \subseteq T_{i+2}: c_{i} \in A\right\} \cup\{\emptyset\} \text { for } i=1,2
$$

Lemma 3.1. If $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq T_{2}, B \in \widehat{T}_{3}$, and $C \in \widehat{T}_{4}$ then $A \cup B \cup C$ is regular in $T_{p}(X, Y)$.
Proof. We can calculate $(A \cup B \cup C) T_{2}=A^{*} \cup B^{*} \cup C^{*}$ and $\left(A^{*} \cup B^{*} \cup C^{*}\right) A=$ $A \cup B \cup C$. Because of $\left(A^{*} \cup B^{*} \cup C^{*}\right) B=\left\{c_{1}\right\} \subseteq B$ if $B \neq \emptyset$ and $\left(A^{*} \cup B^{*} \cup C^{*}\right) C=$ $\left\{c_{2}\right\} \subseteq C$ if $C \neq \emptyset$, we obtain $(A \cup B \cup C) T_{2}(A \cup B \cup C)=A \cup B \cup C$. Therefore, $A \cup B \cup C$ is regular in $T_{p}(X, Y)$.

We observe that, if $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq T_{2}, B \in \widehat{T}_{3}$, and $C \in \widehat{T}_{4}$, then $A \cup B \cup C$ is not idempotent by Proposition 2.1. Hence the set

$$
D_{4}:=\left\{A \cup B \cup C: \emptyset \neq A \subseteq T_{2}, B \in \widehat{T}_{3}, C \in \widehat{T}_{4}\right\}
$$

is a set of non-idempotent regular elements in $T_{p}(X, Y)$. Moreover, we have:
Lemma 3.2. If $A \in T_{p}(X, Y)$ is regular then $A \in E \cup D_{4}$.
Proof. Let $A \in T_{p}(X, Y)$ be regular. Then there is $B \in T_{p}(X, Y)$ such that $A B A=A$.
If $A_{3} \neq \emptyset$ then $c_{1} \in A B A=A$. This shows that $A_{3} \in \widehat{T}_{3}$. By the same reason, we obtain that $A_{4} \in \widehat{T}_{4}$ if $A_{4} \neq \emptyset$.
Suppose now that $A_{2}=\emptyset$ and $A_{1}=\emptyset$. Then $A \subseteq T_{3} \cup T_{4}$ and $A=A B A \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$, i.e. $A \in E$ by Proposition 2.1 Suppose that $A_{2}=\emptyset$ and $A_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Then by the previous observations concerning $A_{3}$ and $A_{4}$, we obtain $A \in E$ by Proposition 2.1. Admit now that $A_{2} \neq \emptyset$. Clearly, then $B_{1} \cup B_{2} \neq \emptyset$. If $A_{1} \neq \emptyset$ then $(B A)_{2} \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $A^{*} \subseteq A B A=A$, i.e. $A=A^{*}$ (it follows from $A=\left(A^{*}\right)^{*} \subseteq A^{*}$ ) and we obtain $A \in E$ by Proposition 2.1. If $A_{1}=\emptyset$ then $A=A_{2} \cup A_{3} \cup A_{4} \in D_{4}$.

Proposition 3.3. Any $A \in T_{p}(X, Y)$ is regular if and only if $A \in E \cup D_{4}$.
Proof. Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 give the assertion.

It is easy to verify that $D_{3} \cap D_{4}=\emptyset$. Hence, the regular elements do not form a semigroup. We are asking for the maximal regular subsemigroups of $T_{p}(X, Y)$. A semigroup $S$ is a maximal regular subsemigroup of $T_{P}(X, Y)$ if $S$ is regular and each subsemigroup of $T_{P}(X, Y)$, which covers $S$ properly, is not regular.

Lemma 3.4. $E_{1} \cup D_{4}$ is a semigroup.
Proof. We have to show that the product of two elements in $E_{1} \cup D_{4}$ belongs to $E_{1} \cup D_{4}$. By Lemma 2.3, it is enough to verify the case that at least one of the factors belongs to $D_{4}$. For this let $G \in E_{1}, \emptyset \neq A, A^{\prime} \subseteq T_{2}, B, B^{\prime} \in \widehat{T}_{3}$, and $C, C^{\prime} \in \widehat{T}_{4}$. Then $(A \cup B \cup C)\left(A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime} \cup C^{\prime}\right)=A^{*} \cup B^{*} \cup C^{*} \cup D$ with $D \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$, where $A^{*} \cup B^{*} \cup C^{*} \cup D \in E$ by Proposition 2.1. Because $\left(A^{*} \cup B^{*} \cup C^{*} \cup D\right) \cap T_{2}=\emptyset$, we have $A^{*} \cup B^{*} \cup C^{*} \cup D \in E_{1}$.
Suppose that $G_{2} \neq \emptyset$. Then $G=G^{*}$ and $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} \subseteq G$. This implies $(A \cup B \cup C) G=$ $R_{A \cup B \cup C} \cup\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} \in E_{1}$ and $G(A \cup B \cup C)=G^{*}=G \in E_{1}$.
Suppose that $G_{2}=\emptyset$. If $G_{1}=\emptyset$, then $G \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ and thus $(A \cup B \cup C) G, G(A \cup$ $B \cup C) \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$, i.e. $(A \cup B \cup C) G, G(A \cup B \cup C) \in E_{1}$. Admit now that $G_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Then $(A \cup B \cup C) G=A \cup B \cup C \cup D^{\prime}$ with $D^{\prime}:=(A \cup B \cup C)\left(G_{3} \cup G_{4}\right) \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$. Let $B^{\prime}:=B \in \widehat{T}_{3}$ if $c_{1} \notin D^{\prime}$ and let $B^{\prime}:=B \cup\left\{c_{1}\right\} \in \widehat{T}_{3}$ if $c_{1} \in D^{\prime}$. In the same matter, we define $C^{\prime} \in \widehat{T}_{4}$. Then $A \cup B \cup C \cup D^{\prime}=A \cup B^{\prime} \cup C^{\prime} \in D_{4}$. Finally, we have $G(A \cup B \cup C)=G^{*} \cup\left((B \cup C) \cap\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}\right)$. Notice, we have $G^{*}=G_{1}^{*} \cup G_{3}^{*} \cup G_{4}^{*}$, where $\emptyset \neq G_{1}^{*} \subseteq T_{2}$ and $G_{3}^{*} \in \widehat{T}_{4}$ as well as $G_{4}^{*} \in \widehat{T}_{3}$. Thus, $G(A \cup B \cup C)=G^{*} \cup\left((B \cup C) \cap\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}\right) \in D_{4}$ by the same argumentation as above.

Proposition 3.5. $E_{1} \cup D_{4}$ is a maximal regular subsemigroup of $T_{p}(X, Y)$.
Proof. $E_{1} \cup D_{4}$ is a semigroup by Lemma 3.4. This semigroup is regular since for any $A \in D_{4}$, we have $A T_{2} A=A$ (see the proof of Lemma 3.1), where $T_{2} \in D_{4}$. It remains to show that $E_{1} \cup D_{4}$ is maximal. It is easy to see that $D_{1}$ is the set of all regular elements in $T_{p}(X, Y)$ which not belong to $E_{1} \cup D_{4}$. By Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.6 we have $D_{1} D_{2} \subseteq D_{3}$, where $D_{2} \subseteq E_{1}$ and $D_{3} \cap\left(E \cup D_{4}\right)=$ $\emptyset$. This shows that $E_{1} \cup D_{4}$ is a maximal regular subsemigroup of $T_{p}(X, Y)$ by Lemma 3.2.

Let us denote by $D_{5}$ the set of all $A \in D_{4}$ with $A_{3} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $A_{4} \neq \emptyset$.
Lemma 3.6. $E_{2} \cup D_{5}$ is a semigroup.
Proof. We have to show that the product of two elements in $E_{2} \cup D_{5}$ belongs to $E_{2} \cup D_{5}$ again. It is enough to verify the case that at least one of the both factors belongs to $D_{5}$. For this let $G \in E_{2}, \emptyset \neq A, A^{\prime} \subseteq T_{2}, B, B^{\prime} \in \widehat{T}_{3}$, and $C, C^{\prime} \in \widehat{T}_{4}$ such that $B \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $C \neq \emptyset$ and $B^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $C^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. Then $(A \cup B \cup C)\left(A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime} \cup C^{\prime}\right)=A^{*} \cup B^{*} \cup C^{*}$ or $(A \cup B \cup C)\left(A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime} \cup C^{\prime}\right)=$ $A^{*} \cup B^{*} \cup C^{*} \cup\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$, where $A^{*} \subseteq T_{1}, C^{*}, C^{*} \cup\left\{c_{1}\right\} \in \widehat{T}_{3}$, and $B^{*}, B^{*} \cup\left\{c_{2}\right\} \in \widehat{T}_{4}$ such that $B^{*} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $C^{*} \neq \emptyset$. Then, $(A \cup B \cup C)\left(A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime} \cup C^{\prime}\right)$ is
idempotent by Proposition 2.1 and moreover, $(A \cup B \cup C)\left(A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime} \cup C^{\prime}\right) \in E_{2}$ since $B^{*} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $C^{*} \neq \emptyset$.
If $G_{1}=\emptyset$, then $G \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$. It follows that $G(A \cup B \cup C),(A \cup B \cup C) G \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$. Therefore, $G(A \cup B \cup C),(A \cup B \cup C) G \in E_{2}$. If $G_{1} \neq \emptyset$, we notice that $G_{3} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $G_{4} \neq \emptyset$. It follows that

$$
G(A \cup B \cup C)= \begin{cases}G^{*} & \text { if } B \cup C=\emptyset \\ G^{*} \cup\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

We observe that $G^{*}=G$ (if $G_{2} \neq \emptyset$ ) and $G^{*} \subseteq T_{2} \cup T_{3} \cup T_{4}$ such that $\left(G^{*}\right)_{2} \neq \emptyset$ and $\left(G^{*}\right)_{3} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\left(G^{*}\right)_{4} \neq \emptyset$ (if $G_{2}=\emptyset$ ). Therefore, $G(A \cup B \cup C) \in E_{2}$ if $G_{2} \neq \emptyset$ and $G(A \cup B \cup C) \in D_{5}$ if $G_{2}=\emptyset$. On the other hand, we have

$$
(A \cup B \cup C) G=\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
A \cup B \cup C \cup\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} & \in D_{5} & \text { if } & G_{2}=\emptyset \text { and } G_{3} \neq \emptyset \\
A \cup B \cup C & \in D_{5} & \text { if } & G_{2}=\emptyset \text { and } G_{3}=\emptyset \\
R_{A \cup B \cup C} & \in E_{2} & \text { if } & G_{2} \neq \emptyset \text { and } G_{3}=\emptyset \\
R_{A \cup B \cup C} \cup\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} & \in E_{2} & \text { if } & G_{2} \neq \emptyset \text { and } G_{3} \neq \emptyset .
\end{array}\right.
$$

This shows that $(A \cup B \cup C) G \in E_{2} \cup D_{5}$.
Proposition 3.7. $E_{2} \cup D_{5}$ is a maximal regular subsemigroup of $T_{p}(X, Y)$.
Proof. By Lemma [3.6] we know that $E_{2} \cup D_{5}$ is a semigroup. Since $T_{2} \in D_{5}$ and $A T_{2} A=A$ for all $A \in D_{5} \subseteq D_{4}$ (see the proof of Lemma 3.1), the semigroup $E_{2} \cup D_{5}$ is regular.
It remains to show that $E_{2} \cup D_{5}$ is maximal. We show that any semigroup which covers $E_{2} \cup D_{5}$ properly, contains non-regular elements. For this let $A$ be a regular element, which not belongs to $E_{2} \cup D_{5}$. It is easy to verify that $A \in D_{2} \cup\left(D_{4} \backslash D_{5}\right)$. Suppose that $A \in D_{2}$. Then $B A \in D_{3}$ for all $B \in D_{1}$ by Lemma [2.2] where $D_{1} \subseteq E_{2}$ and $D_{3} \cap D_{4}=\emptyset$, i.e. $B A$ is not regular for all $B \in D_{1} \subseteq E_{2}$.
Suppose now that $A \in D_{4} \backslash D_{5}$. Then there are $\emptyset \neq A^{\prime} \subseteq T_{2}$ and $B \in \widehat{T}_{2+k}$ for some $k \in\{1,2\}$ such that $A=A^{\prime} \cup B$. We have $T_{2} \in D_{5}$, we calculate $A T_{2}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{*} \cup B^{*}$, where $B^{*} \in\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\widehat{T}_{3} & \text { if } & k=2 \\ \widehat{T}_{4} & \text { if } & k=1\end{array}\right.$ and $\emptyset \neq\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{*} \subseteq T_{1}$, i.e. $A T_{2} \in D_{2}$.

Theorem 3.8. Let $S \leq T_{p}(X, Y)$. Then $S$ is a maximal regular subsemigroup of $T_{p}(X, Y)$ if and only if $S=E_{1} \cup D_{4}$ or $S=E_{2} \cup D_{5}$.

Proof. One direction is clear by Proposition [3.5 and Proposition 3.7 Suppose now that $S$ is a maximal regular subsemigroup of $T_{p}(X, Y)$. By Lemma 3.2, we have $S \subseteq E \cup D_{4}$. Assume that $S \nsubseteq E_{1} \cup D_{4}$ and $S \nsubseteq E_{2} \cup D_{5}$. Then there are $A \in S \backslash\left(E_{1} \cup D_{4}\right)$ and $B \in S \backslash\left(E_{2} \cup D_{5}\right)$. Since $A, B \in E \cup D_{4}$, we have $A \in D_{1}$ and $B \in D_{2} \cup\left(D_{4} \backslash D_{5}\right)$. If $B \in D_{2}$ then $A B \in D_{3}$ by Lemma 2.2
Admit now that $B \in D_{4} \backslash D_{5}$. Then there are $\emptyset \neq C^{\prime} \subseteq T_{2}$ and $C \in \widehat{T}_{2+i}$ for some $i \in\{1,2\}$ such that $B=C \cup C^{\prime}$. Since $A^{*}=A=R_{A_{1}}$ we can calculate $A B=A \cup\left\{c_{i}\right\}$, i.e. $A B \in D_{3}$, too. But $D_{3} \cap\left(E \cup D_{4}\right)=\emptyset$. This contradicts $A B \in S \subseteq E \cup D_{4}$. Consequently, $S \subseteq E_{1} \cup D_{4}$ or $S \subseteq E_{2} \cup D_{5}$. Finally, the maximality of $S$ provides $S=E_{1} \cup D_{4}$ or $S=E_{2} \cup D_{5}$.

Finally, we determine the least semigroup containing all regular elements in $T_{p}(X, Y)$, i.e. the least semigroup containing $E \cup D_{4}$.

Proposition 3.9. The least semigroup containing all regular elements in $T_{p}(X, Y)$ is $E \cup D_{3} \cup D_{4}$.

Proof. Notice, $E \cup D_{3}$ is the greatest semiband in $T_{p}(X, Y)$ by Proposition 2.6. Therefore, it is clear that the least semigroup containing all regular elements of $T_{p}(X, Y)$ covers $E \cup D_{3} \cup D_{4}$. So, it remains to show that $E \cup D_{3} \cup D_{4}$ is a semigroup. Notice that $E_{1} \cup D_{4}$ is a regular semigroup by Lemma 3.4. Thus, it is enough to check that $D_{1} D_{4}$ and $D_{4} D_{1}$ as well as $D_{3} D_{4}$ and $D_{4} D_{3}$ are subsets of $E \cup D_{3} \cup D_{4}$.
Let $R_{A^{\prime}} \in D_{1}$ with $\emptyset \neq A^{\prime} \subseteq T_{1}$ and let $A \cup B \cup C \in D_{4}$ with $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq T_{2}, B \in \widehat{T}_{3}$, and $C \in \widehat{T}_{4}$. Then $R_{A^{\prime}}(A \cup B \cup C)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}R_{A^{\prime}} \cup\left\{c_{1}\right\} & \in D_{3} & \text { if } B \neq \emptyset \text { and } C=\emptyset \\ R_{A^{\prime}} \cup\left\{c_{2}\right\} & \in D_{3} & \text { if } B=\emptyset \text { and } C \neq \emptyset \\ R_{A^{\prime}} \cup\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} & \in E & \text { if } B \neq \emptyset \text { and } C \neq \emptyset \\ R_{A^{\prime}} & \in E & \text { if } B=\emptyset \text { and } C=\emptyset .\end{array}\right.$ This shows that $D_{1} D_{4} \in E \cup D_{3} \subseteq E \cup D_{3} \cup D_{4}$. On the other hand, we have $(A \cup B \cup C) R_{A^{\prime}}=R_{A \cup B \cup C}$. By Proposition [2.1, we can check that $R_{A \cup B \cup C}$ is idempotent. This provides $D_{4} D_{1} \subseteq E \subseteq E \cup D_{3} \cup D_{4}$. Let additional $i \in\{1,2\}$. Then $\left\{c_{i}\right\}(A \cup B \cup C)=D$ for some $\emptyset \neq D \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ and $(A \cup B \cup C)\left\{c_{i}\right\}=$ $\left\{c_{i}\right\}$. Hence, $\left(R_{A^{\prime}} \cup\left\{c_{i}\right\}\right)(A \cup B \cup C)=R_{A^{\prime}}(A \cup B \cup C) \cup D$ and $(A \cup B \cup$ $C)\left(R_{A^{\prime}} \cup\left\{c_{i}\right\}\right)=(A \cup B \cup C) R_{A^{\prime}} \cup\left\{c_{i}\right\}$. By the previous observations, we obtain $\left(R_{A^{\prime}} \cup\left\{c_{i}\right\}\right)(A \cup B \cup C)=R_{A^{\prime}} \cup D^{\prime} \in E \cup D_{3}$, where $D \subseteq D^{\prime} \subseteq\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$, and $(A \cup B \cup C)\left(R_{A^{\prime}} \cup\left\{c_{i}\right\}\right)=R_{A \cup B \cup C} \cup\left\{c_{i}\right\} \in E \cup D_{3}$. So, we have shown that $D_{3} D_{4}, D_{4} D_{3} \subseteq E \cup D_{3}$.
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