



# On the Least (Ordered) Semilattice Congruence in Ordered $\Gamma$ -Semigroups

M. Siripitukdet and A. Iampan

**Abstract :** In this paper, we firstly characterize the relationship between the (ordered) filters, (ordered)  $s$ -prime ideals and (ordered) semilattice congruences in ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups. Finally, we give some characterizations of semilattice congruences and ordered semilattice congruences on ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups and prove that

1.  $n$  is the least semilattice congruence,
2.  $\mathcal{N}$  is the least ordered semilattice congruence,
3.  $\mathcal{N}$  is not the least semilattice congruence in general.

**Keywords :** Ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup; (ordered) filter; (ordered)  $s$ -prime ideal; Least (ordered) semilattice congruence.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification :** 20M99, 06F99, 06B10.

## 1 Preliminaries

In 1998, Gao [8] gives some characterizations of semilattice congruences and ordered semilattice congruences on ordered semigroups. Now we also characterize the semilattice congruences and ordered semilattice congruences on ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups and give some characterizations of semilattice congruences and ordered semilattice congruences on ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups analogous to the characterizations of semilattice congruences and ordered semilattice congruences on ordered semigroups.

Let  $M$  and  $\Gamma$  be any two nonempty sets.  $M$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup [3, 4] if there exists a mapping  $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ , written as  $(a, \gamma, b) \rightarrow a\gamma b$ , satisfying the following identity  $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$  for all  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . A  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $M$  is called a *commutative*  $\Gamma$ -semigroup if  $a\gamma b = b\gamma a$  for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . A nonempty subset  $K$  of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $M$  is called a *sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup* of  $M$  if  $a\gamma b \in K$  for all  $a, b \in K$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

For examples of  $\Gamma$ -semigroups, see [1, 3, 4].

A partially ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $M$  is called an *ordered*  $\Gamma$ -semigroup (*po- $\Gamma$ -semigroup*) if for any  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $a \leq b$  implies  $a\gamma c \leq b\gamma c$  and  $c\gamma a \leq c\gamma b$ .

**Example 1.** For  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ , let  $M = [0, a]$  and  $\Gamma = [0, b]$ . Then  $M$  is an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup under usual multiplication and usual partial order relation.

**Example 2.** Fix  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $M$  be the set of all integers of the form  $mn + 1$  and  $\Gamma$  denote the set of all integers of the form  $mn + m - 1$  where  $n$  is an integer. Then  $M$  is an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup under usual addition and usual partial order relation.

Throughout this paper,  $M$  stands for an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. For nonempty subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $M$  and a nonempty subset  $\Gamma'$  of  $\Gamma$ , let  $A\Gamma'B := \{a\gamma b : a \in A, b \in B \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma'\}$ . If  $A = \{a\}$ , then we also write  $\{a\}\Gamma'B$  as  $a\Gamma'B$ , and similarly if  $B = \{b\}$  or  $\Gamma' = \{\gamma\}$ . A nonempty subset  $A$  of  $M$  is called a *left (right) ideal* of  $M$  [7] if  $M\Gamma A \subseteq A$  ( $A\Gamma M \subseteq A$ ).  $A$  is called an *ideal* of  $M$  if it is both a left ideal and a right ideal of  $M$ . A left ideal (right ideal, ideal)  $A$  of  $M$  is called an *ordered left ideal (right ideal, ideal)* of  $M$  if for any  $b \in M$  and  $a \in A, b \leq a$  implies  $b \in A$ .

The following definitions in this paper are introduced analogous some definitions in [5, 7, 8].

A left ideal (right ideal, ideal)  $A$  of  $M$  is called an *s-prime left ideal (right ideal, ideal)* of  $M$  if for any  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma, a\gamma b \in A$  implies  $a \in A$  or  $b \in A$ . Equivalently, for any subsets  $B$  and  $C$  of  $M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma, B\gamma C \subseteq A$  implies  $B \subseteq A$  or  $C \subseteq A$ . An *s-prime left ideal (right ideal, ideal)*  $A$  of  $M$  is called an *ordered s-prime left ideal (right ideal, ideal)* of  $M$  if  $A$  is an ordered left ideal (right ideal, ideal) of  $M$ . Let

$$SP(M) := \{A : A \text{ is an } s\text{-prime ideal of } M\},$$

$$OSP(M) := \{A : A \text{ is an ordered } s\text{-prime ideal of } M\}.$$

Then  $\emptyset \neq OSP(M) \subseteq SP(M)$ .

For a subset  $H$  of  $M$  and  $a \in M$ , denote  $(H) := \{t \in M : t \leq h \text{ for some } h \in H\}$ ,  $[H) := \{t \in M : h \leq t \text{ for some } h \in H\}$  and  $a \cup H := \{a\} \cup H$ . For  $H = \{a\}$ , we also write  $(\{a\})$  as  $(a)$ . Clearly,  $H \subseteq (H)$ ,  $((H)) = (H)$  and for any subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $M$  with  $A \subseteq B$ , we have  $(A) \subseteq (B)$ . A sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $F$  of  $M$  is called a *left (right) filter* of  $M$  if for any  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma, a\gamma b \in F$  implies  $b \in F$  ( $a \in F$ ).  $F$  is called a *filter* of  $M$  if it is both a left filter and a right filter of  $M$ . A left filter (right filter, filter)  $F$  of  $M$  is called an *ordered left filter (right filter, filter)* of  $M$  if for any  $b \in M$  and  $a \in F, a \leq b$  implies  $b \in F$ . The intersection of all filters (ordered filters) of  $M$  containing a nonempty subset  $A$  of  $M$  is the filter (ordered filter) of  $M$  generated by  $A$ . For  $A = \{x\}$ , let

$$n(x) \text{ denote the filter of } M \text{ generated by } \{x\},$$

$$N(x) \text{ denote the ordered filter of } M \text{ generated by } \{x\}.$$

An equivalence relation  $\sigma$  on  $M$  is called a *congruence* [2] if for any  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma, (a, b) \in \sigma$  implies  $(a\gamma c, b\gamma c) \in \sigma$  and  $(c\gamma a, c\gamma b) \in \sigma$ . A congruence  $\sigma$

on  $M$  is called a *semilattice congruence* [6] if for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $(a\gamma a, a) \in \sigma$  and  $(a\gamma b, b\gamma a) \in \sigma$ . A semilattice congruence  $\sigma$  on  $M$  is called an *ordered semilattice congruence* if for any  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $a \leq b$  implies  $(a, a\gamma b) \in \sigma$ . Now, let

$$SC(M) := \{\sigma : \sigma \text{ is a semilattice congruence on } M\},$$

$$OSC(M) := \{\sigma : \sigma \text{ is an ordered semilattice congruence on } M\}.$$

Then  $\emptyset \neq OSC(M) \subseteq SC(M)$ .

For a nonempty subset  $A$  of  $M$ , define equivalence relations on  $M$  as follows:

$$\sigma_A := \{(x, y) \in M \times M : x, y \in A \text{ or } x, y \notin A\},$$

$$n := \{(x, y) \in M \times M : n(x) = n(y)\},$$

$$\mathcal{N} := \{(x, y) \in M \times M : N(x) = N(y)\}.$$

We note here that  $\sigma_A = \sigma_{M \setminus A}$ .

For any congruence  $\sigma$  on  $M$  and  $x \in M$ , let

$$f(x)_\sigma \text{ denote the filter of } M \text{ generated by } \sigma\text{-class } (x)_\sigma,$$

$$t \text{ denote the filter of } M \text{ generated by } \bigcup_{y \in (x)_\sigma} n(y),$$

$$F(x)_\sigma \text{ denote the ordered filter of } M \text{ generated by } \sigma\text{-class } (x)_\sigma,$$

$$T \text{ denote the ordered filter of } M \text{ generated by } \bigcup_{y \in (x)_\sigma} N(y).$$

The following results are also necessary for our considerations.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $F$  be a nonempty subset of  $M$ . Then  $F$  is a left filter of  $M$  if and only if  $M \setminus F = \emptyset$  or  $M \setminus F$  is an  $s$ -prime left ideal of  $M$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $F$  is a left filter of  $M$  and  $M \setminus F \neq \emptyset$ . First to show that  $M \setminus F$  is a left ideal of  $M$ , let  $x \in M, y \in M \setminus F$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Since  $F$  is a left filter of  $M$  and  $y \notin F, x\gamma y \in M \setminus F$ . Thus  $M \setminus F$  is a left ideal of  $M$ . Next, let  $x, y \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  be such that  $x\gamma y \in M \setminus F$ . Since  $F$  is a sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $M, x \in M \setminus F$  or  $y \in M \setminus F$ . Thus  $M \setminus F$  is an  $s$ -prime left ideal of  $M$ .

Conversely, if  $M \setminus F = \emptyset$ , then  $F = M$ . Hence  $F$  is a left filter of  $M$ . Assume that  $M \setminus F$  is an  $s$ -prime left ideal of  $M$ . First to show that  $F$  is a sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $M$ , let  $x, y \in F$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $x\gamma y \in F$  because  $M \setminus F$  is an  $s$ -prime left ideal of  $M$ . Thus  $F$  is a sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $M$ . Next, let  $x, y \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  be such that  $x\gamma y \in F$ . Then  $y \in F$  because  $M \setminus F$  is a left ideal of  $M$ , so  $F$  is a left filter of  $M$ . □

A similar result holds if we replace the word “left” by “right”. Then we get the following.

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $F$  be a nonempty subset of  $M$ . Then  $F$  is a filter of  $M$  if and only if  $M \setminus F = \emptyset$  or  $M \setminus F$  is an  $s$ -prime ideal of  $M$ .*

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $F$  be a nonempty subset of  $M$ . Then  $F$  is an ordered left filter of  $M$  if and only if  $M \setminus F = \emptyset$  or  $M \setminus F$  is an ordered  $s$ -prime left ideal of  $M$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $F$  is an ordered left filter of  $M$  and  $M \setminus F \neq \emptyset$ . By Theorem 1.1,  $M \setminus F$  is an  $s$ -prime left ideal of  $M$ . Now, let  $x \in M$  and  $y \in M \setminus F$  be such that  $x \leq y$ . Then  $x \in M \setminus F$  because  $F$  is an ordered left filter of  $M$ , so  $M \setminus F$  is an ordered  $s$ -prime left ideal of  $M$ .

Conversely, if  $M \setminus F = \emptyset$ , then  $F = M$ . Hence  $F$  is an ordered left filter of  $M$ . Assume that  $M \setminus F$  is an ordered  $s$ -prime left ideal of  $M$ . By Theorem 1.1,  $F$  is a left filter of  $M$ . Now, let  $x \in M$  and  $y \in F$  be such that  $y \leq x$ . Then  $x \in F$  because  $M \setminus F$  is an ordered left ideal of  $M$ , so  $F$  is an ordered left filter of  $M$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $F$  be a nonempty subset of  $M$ . Then  $F$  is an ordered filter of  $M$  if and only if  $M \setminus F = \emptyset$  or  $M \setminus F$  is an ordered  $s$ -prime ideal of  $M$ .*

## 2 Semilattice Congruences and Ordered Semilattice Congruences

In this section, we characterize the relationship between the semilattice congruences, filters and  $s$ -prime ideals in ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups. Likewise, the relationship between the ordered semilattice congruences, ordered filters and ordered  $s$ -prime ideals in ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups are characterized.

The following lemmas are necessary for the main results and the first two lemmas are easy to verify.

**Lemma 2.1.** *An equivalence relation  $\sigma$  on  $M$  is a congruence if and only if for any  $a, b, c, d \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $(a, b) \in \sigma$  and  $(c, d) \in \sigma$  imply  $(a\gamma c, b\gamma d) \in \sigma$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $\sigma \in SC(M)$ , then the following statements hold.*

- (a) *For each  $x \in M$ , the  $\sigma$ -class  $(x)_\sigma$  is a sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $M$ .*
- (b) *The set  $M/\sigma := \{(x)_\sigma : x \in M\}$  is a commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup under the multiplication defined by  $(x)_\sigma \gamma (y)_\sigma = (x\gamma y)_\sigma$  for all  $(x)_\sigma, (y)_\sigma \in M/\sigma$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be a subset of  $M$  and  $\sigma_A \in SC(M)$ . If  $x \in M \setminus A$  and  $a \in A$  with  $x\mu a \notin A$  (resp.  $a\mu x \notin A$ ) for some  $\mu \in \Gamma$ , then  $x\gamma a \notin A$  (resp.  $a\gamma x \notin A$ ) for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $x \in M \setminus A$ ,  $a \in A$  and  $x\mu a \notin A$  for some  $\mu \in \Gamma$ . Then  $(x, x\mu a) \in \sigma_A$ , so  $(x)_{\sigma_A} = (x\mu a)_{\sigma_A}$ . Suppose that there exists  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that

$x\gamma a \in A$ . Then  $(a, x\gamma a) \in \sigma_A$ . Thus  $(a)_{\sigma_A} = (x\gamma a)_{\sigma_A}$ . By Lemma 2.2 (b),  $(x)_{\sigma_A} = (x\mu a)_{\sigma_A} = (x\mu x\gamma a)_{\sigma_A} = (x\gamma a)_{\sigma_A} = (a)_{\sigma_A}$ . Thus  $(x, a) \in \sigma_A$ , so  $a \notin A$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore  $x\gamma a \notin A$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of this result, we obtain

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a nonempty subset of  $M$ . Then  $\sigma_A \in SC(M)$  if and only if one of  $A$  or  $M \setminus A$  is an  $s$ -prime ideal of  $M$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $\sigma_A \in SC(M)$ . If  $A = M$ , then  $A \in SP(M)$ . Suppose that  $A \subset M$ . Then  $M \setminus A \neq \emptyset$ . First to show that  $A$  and  $M \setminus A$  are sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroups of  $M$ , let  $x, y \in A$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $(x\gamma y, y\gamma y) \in \sigma_A$  and  $(y\gamma y, y) \in \sigma_A$  because  $(x, y) \in \sigma_A$ , so  $(x\gamma y, y) \in \sigma_A$ . Hence  $x\gamma y \in A$ , so  $A$  is a sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $M$ . The same argument applies to  $M \setminus A$ , we have  $M \setminus A$  is a sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $M$ . Next, consider the following two cases:

**Case 1:**  $M\Gamma A \subseteq A$ . Then  $A\Gamma M \subseteq A$  because  $(x\gamma a, a\gamma x) \in \sigma_A$  and  $x\gamma a \in A$  for all  $x \in M, a \in A$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Hence  $A$  is an ideal of  $M$ .

**Case 2:**  $M\Gamma A \not\subseteq A$ . Then there exist  $x \in M, a \in A, \mu \in \Gamma$  but  $x\mu a \notin A$ . Since  $A$  is a sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $M$ ,  $x \notin A$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $x\gamma a \notin A$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Thus  $(x, x\gamma a) \in \sigma_A$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . By Lemma 2.2 (b),  $(x)_{\sigma_A} = (x\gamma a)_{\sigma_A} = (x)_{\sigma_A}\gamma(a)_{\sigma_A}$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Obviously,  $M \setminus A = (x)_{\sigma_A}$  and  $A = (a)_{\sigma_A}$ , so  $M \setminus A = (M \setminus A)\gamma A$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . This implies that

$$M \setminus A = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (M \setminus A)\gamma A = (M \setminus A)\Gamma A.$$

Therefore

$$(M \setminus A)\Gamma M = (M \setminus A)\Gamma(A \cup (M \setminus A)) \subseteq ((M \setminus A)\Gamma A) \cup (M \setminus A) = M \setminus A,$$

so  $M \setminus A$  is a right ideal of  $M$ . Since  $(x\mu a, a\mu x) \in \sigma_A$  and  $x\mu a \notin A, a\mu x \notin A$ . By symmetry,  $M \setminus A$  is a left ideal of  $M$ . This proves that  $M \setminus A$  is an ideal of  $M$ .

Assume that  $A$  is an ideal of  $M$ . Let  $x, y \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  be such that  $x\gamma y \in A$ . If  $x, y \notin A$ , then  $(x, y) \in \sigma_A$ . Thus  $(x\gamma x, x) \in \sigma_A$  and  $(x\gamma x, x\gamma y) \in \sigma_A$ , so  $(x, x\gamma y) \in \sigma_A$ . Thus  $x\gamma y \notin A$ , which is impossible. Hence  $A \in SP(M)$ . Similarly, we can show that if  $M \setminus A$  is an ideal of  $M$ , then  $M \setminus A \in SP(M)$ .

Conversely, assume that  $A \in SP(M)$ . Now, let  $x, y \in M$  be such that  $(x, y) \in \sigma_A, c \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then we have the following two cases:

**Case 1:**  $x, y \in A$ . Then  $c\gamma x, c\gamma y, x\gamma c, y\gamma c \in A$  because  $A$  is an ideal of  $M$ . Thus  $(c\gamma x, c\gamma y) \in \sigma_A$  and  $(x\gamma c, y\gamma c) \in \sigma_A$ .

**Case 2:**  $x, y \notin A$ . Then  $c\gamma x \in A$  if and only if  $c\gamma y \in A$ . Thus  $(c\gamma x, c\gamma y) \in \sigma_A$ . By symmetry,  $(x\gamma c, y\gamma c) \in \sigma_A$ .

Hence  $\sigma_A$  is a congruence on  $M$ . Next, let  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $a \in A$  if and only if  $a\gamma a \in A$ , so  $(a, a\gamma a) \in \sigma_A$ . Similarly, we have  $a\gamma b \in A$  if and only if  $b\gamma a \in A$ , so  $(a\gamma b, b\gamma a) \in \sigma_A$ . This proves that  $\sigma_A \in SC(M)$ . Similarly, we can show that if  $M \setminus A \in SP(M)$ , then  $\sigma_A \in SC(M)$ . Hence the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *If  $A$  is a nonempty subset of  $M$ , then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\sigma_A \in OSC(M)$ .
- (b) *One of  $A$  or  $M \setminus A$  is an ordered  $s$ -prime ideal of  $M$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $\sigma_A \in OSC(M)$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $A \in SP(M)$  or  $M \setminus A \in SP(M)$ . Assume that  $A \in SP(M)$ . Now, let  $x \in M$  and  $a \in A$  be such that  $x \leq a$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $(x, x\gamma a) \in \sigma_A$ , so  $x \in A$  because  $x\gamma a \in A$ . Hence  $A \in OSP(M)$ . Similarly, we can show that if  $M \setminus A \in SP(M)$ , then  $M \setminus A \in OSP(M)$ .

Conversely, assume that  $A \in OSP(M)$ . Then  $\sigma_A \in SC(M)$  by Lemma 2.4. Now, let  $a, b \in M$  be such that  $a \leq b$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . If  $a \in A$ , then  $a\gamma b \in A$ . If  $a \notin A$ , then  $b \notin A$  and so  $a\gamma b \notin A$ . Hence  $(a, a\gamma b) \in \sigma_A$ , so  $\sigma_A \in OSC(M)$ . Similarly, we can show that if  $M \setminus A \in OSP(M)$ , then  $\sigma_A \in OSC(M)$ .

Hence the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *If  $x \in M$  and  $\sigma \in SC(M)$ , then the following statements hold.*

- (a)  $f(x)_\sigma = \{a \in M : a \in (x)_\sigma \text{ or } u\gamma a \in (x)_\sigma \text{ for some } u \in f(x)_\sigma \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ .
- (b)  $f(x)_\sigma = t$ .
- (c) *If  $b \in f(x)_\sigma$ , then  $f(b)_\sigma \subseteq f(x)_\sigma$ .*
- (d)  $\sigma = \{(x, y) \in M \times M : f(x)_\sigma = f(y)_\sigma\}$ .

**Proof.** (a) Let

$$N := \{a \in M : a \in (x)_\sigma \text{ or } u\gamma a \in (x)_\sigma \text{ for some } u \in f(x)_\sigma \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$$

It is clear that  $(x)_\sigma \subseteq N \subseteq f(x)_\sigma$ . Conversely, to show that  $N$  is a filter of  $M$ , let  $a, b \in N$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . If  $u_1\gamma_1 a, u_2\gamma_2 b \in (x)_\sigma$  for some  $u_1, u_2 \in f(x)_\sigma$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ , then  $u_1\gamma_1 a\gamma u_2\gamma_2 b \in (x)_\sigma$  by Lemma 2.2 (a). It follows from Lemma 2.2 (b) that

$$(x)_\sigma = (u_1\gamma_1 a\gamma u_2\gamma_2 b)_\sigma = (u_1\gamma_1 a\gamma b\gamma_2 u_2)_\sigma = (u_1\gamma_1 u_2\gamma_2 a\gamma b)_\sigma.$$

Thus  $a\gamma b \in N$  because  $u_1\gamma_1 u_2 \in f(x)_\sigma$ . Similarly, it is easy to verify in the remain cases that  $a\gamma b \in N$ . Hence  $N$  is a sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $M$ . We note here that for any  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $a\gamma b \in N$  implies  $b\gamma a \in N$ . Next, let  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  be such that  $a\gamma b \in N$ . Since  $N \subseteq f(x)_\sigma$ , we have  $a, b \in f(x)_\sigma$ . Since  $a\gamma b \in N$ ,  $a\gamma b \in (x)_\sigma$  or  $u\alpha a\gamma b \in (x)_\sigma$  for some  $u \in f(x)_\sigma$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Thus  $b \in N$ . Since  $b\gamma a \in N$ ,  $a \in N$ . Hence  $N$  is a filter of  $M$ , so  $f(x)_\sigma \subseteq N$ . Therefore  $N = f(x)_\sigma$ .

(b) From the fact that  $(x)_\sigma \subseteq \bigcup_{y \in (x)_\sigma} n(y)$ , we get  $f(x)_\sigma \subseteq t$ . On the other hand,

we have  $n(y) \subseteq f(x)_\sigma$  for all  $y \in (x)_\sigma$ . Thus  $\bigcup_{y \in (x)_\sigma} n(y) \subseteq f(x)_\sigma$ , so  $t \subseteq f(x)_\sigma$ .

Therefore  $f(x)_\sigma = t$ .

(c) Let  $b \in f(x)_\sigma$ . By (a), we have  $b \in (x)_\sigma$  or  $u\alpha b \in (x)_\sigma$  for some  $u \in f(x)_\sigma$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Thus  $(x)_\sigma = (b)_\sigma$  or  $(x)_\sigma = (u\alpha b)_\sigma$  which implies that  $(b)_\sigma \subseteq f(x)_\sigma$ . Therefore  $f(b)_\sigma \subseteq f(x)_\sigma$ .

(d) Let

$$\tau := \{(x, y) \in M \times M : f(x)_\sigma = f(y)_\sigma\}.$$

It is clear that  $\sigma \subseteq \tau$ . Conversely, let  $x, y \in M$  be such that  $(x, y) \in \tau$ . Then  $f(x)_\sigma = f(y)_\sigma$ , so  $x \in f(y)_\sigma$  and  $y \in f(x)_\sigma$ . By (a), if  $x \in (y)_\sigma$  or  $y \in (x)_\sigma$ , then  $(x)_\sigma = (y)_\sigma$ . Let  $u_1\gamma_1x \in (y)_\sigma$  and  $u_2\gamma_2y \in (x)_\sigma$  for some  $u_1, u_2 \in f(x)_\sigma = f(y)_\sigma$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2 (b) that  $(x)_\sigma = (u_2\gamma_2y)_\sigma = (u_2\gamma_2y\gamma_2y)_\sigma = (x\gamma_2y)_\sigma = (x\gamma_2u_1\gamma_1x)_\sigma = (x\gamma_2x\gamma_1u_1)_\sigma = (x\gamma_1u_1)_\sigma = (u_1\gamma_1x)_\sigma = (y)_\sigma$ . Hence  $(x, y) \in \sigma$ , so  $\sigma = \tau$ .  $\square$

Immediately from Lemma 2.6, we have

**Corollary 2.7.** *If  $x \in M$  and  $\sigma \in SC(M)$ , then  $f(x)_\sigma = \{a \in M : a \in (x)_\sigma \text{ or } u\gamma a \in (x)_\sigma \text{ or } a\mu v \in (x)_\sigma \text{ or } u\gamma a\mu v \in (x)_\sigma \text{ for some } u, v \in f(x)_\sigma \text{ and } \gamma, \mu \in \Gamma\}$ .*

**Corollary 2.8.** *If  $x \in M$ , then the following statements hold.*

(a)  $n \in SC(M)$ .

(b)  $f(x)_n = n(x)$ .

(c)  $n(x) = \{a \in M : a \in (x)_n \text{ or } u\gamma a \in (x)_n \text{ for some } u \in n(x) \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ .

**Proof.** (a) Let  $a, b \in M$  be such that  $(a, b) \in n, c \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $n(a) = n(b)$ . Since  $b\gamma c \in n(b\gamma c)$ , we have  $b, c \in n(b\gamma c)$ . Thus  $n(a) = n(b) \subseteq n(b\gamma c)$ , so  $a, c \in n(b\gamma c)$ . Hence  $a\gamma c \in n(b\gamma c)$ , so  $n(a\gamma c) \subseteq n(b\gamma c)$ . Similarly,  $n(b\gamma c) \subseteq n(a\gamma c)$ . Therefore  $n(a\gamma c) = n(b\gamma c)$ , so  $(a\gamma c, b\gamma c) \in n$ . Similarly,  $(c\gamma a, c\gamma b) \in n$ . This proves that  $n$  is a congruence on  $M$ . Next, let  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $a \in n(a\gamma a)$  because  $a\gamma a \in n(a\gamma a)$ , so  $n(a) \subseteq n(a\gamma a)$ . Since  $a \in n(a), a\gamma a \in n(a)$ . Hence  $n(a\gamma a) \subseteq n(a)$ , so  $n(a\gamma a) = n(a)$ . Therefore  $(a\gamma a, a) \in n$ . Since  $a\gamma b \in n(a\gamma b), b\gamma a \in n(a\gamma b)$ . Thus  $n(b\gamma a) \subseteq n(a\gamma b)$ . Similarly,  $n(a\gamma b) \subseteq n(b\gamma a)$ . Hence  $n(a\gamma b) = n(b\gamma a)$ , so  $(a\gamma b, b\gamma a) \in n$ . Therefore  $n \in SC(M)$ .

(b) By (a) and Lemma 2.6 (b),  $f(x)_n = t$  where  $t$  is the filter of  $M$  generated by  $\bigcup_{y \in (x)_n} n(y)$ . We note here that

$$\bigcup_{y \in (x)_n} n(y) = n(x).$$

Hence  $t = n(x)$ , so  $f(x)_n = n(x)$ .

(c) By (b) and Lemma 2.6 (a),

$$\begin{aligned} n(x) &= f(x)_n \\ &= \{a \in M : a \in (x)_n \text{ or } u\gamma a \in (x)_n \text{ for some } u \in f(x)_n \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma\} \\ &= \{a \in M : a \in (x)_n \text{ or } u\gamma a \in (x)_n \text{ for some } u \in n(x) \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.9.** *If  $x \in M$  and  $\sigma \in OSC(M)$ , then the following statements hold.*

- (a)  $F(x)_\sigma = \{a \in M : a \in [(x)_\sigma] \text{ or } u\gamma a \in [(x)_\sigma] \text{ for some } u \in F(x)_\sigma \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ .
- (b)  $F(x)_\sigma = T$ .
- (c) If  $b \in F(x)_\sigma$ , then  $F(b)_\sigma \subseteq F(x)_\sigma$ .
- (d)  $\sigma = \{(x, y) \in M \times M : F(x)_\sigma = F(y)_\sigma\}$ .

**Proof.** (a) Let

$$N := \{a \in M : u\gamma a \in [(x)_\sigma] \text{ or } a \in [(x)_\sigma] \text{ for some } u \in F(x)_\sigma \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$$

It is clear that  $(x)_\sigma \subseteq N \subseteq F(x)_\sigma$ . Conversely, to show that  $N$  is an ordered filter of  $M$ , let  $a, b \in N$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . If  $u_1\gamma_1 a, u_2\gamma_2 b \in [(x)_\sigma]$  for some  $u_1, u_2 \in F(x)_\sigma$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ , then  $y_1 \leq u_1\gamma_1 a$  and  $y_2 \leq u_2\gamma_2 b$  for some  $y_1, y_2 \in (x)_\sigma$ . Thus  $y_1\gamma y_2 \leq u_1\gamma_1 a\gamma u_2\gamma_2 b$  and  $y_1\gamma y_2 \in (x)_\sigma$  by Lemma 2.2 (a). Hence  $(y_1\gamma y_2, y_1\gamma y_2\gamma u_1\gamma_1 a\gamma u_2\gamma_2 b) \in \sigma$  which implies that  $(x, x\gamma u_1\gamma_1 a\gamma u_2\gamma_2 b) \in \sigma$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2 (b) that

$$(x)_\sigma = (x\gamma u_1\gamma_1 a\gamma u_2\gamma_2 b)_\sigma = (x\gamma u_1\gamma_1 a\gamma b\gamma_2 u_2)_\sigma = (x\gamma u_1\gamma_1 u_2\gamma_2 a\gamma b)_\sigma.$$

Thus  $a\gamma b \in N$  because  $x\gamma u_1\gamma_1 u_2 \in F(x)_\sigma$ . Similarly, it is easy to verify in the remain cases that  $a\gamma b \in N$ . Hence  $N$  is a sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $M$ . We note here that for any  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $a\gamma b \in N$  implies  $b\gamma a \in N$ . Let  $a, b \in M$  be such that  $a\gamma b \in N$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Since  $N \subseteq F(x)_\sigma$ , we have  $a, b \in F(x)_\sigma$ . Since  $a\gamma b \in N$ ,  $a\gamma b \in [(x)_\sigma]$  or  $u\alpha a\gamma b \in [(x)_\sigma]$  for some  $u \in F(x)_\sigma$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Thus  $b \in N$ . Since  $b\gamma a \in N$ ,  $a \in N$ . Hence  $N$  is a filter of  $M$ . Next, let  $b \in M$  and  $a \in N$  be such that  $a \leq b$ . Then  $a \in [(x)_\sigma]$  or  $u\alpha a \in [(x)_\sigma]$  for some  $u \in F(x)_\sigma$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  which implies that  $b \in [(x)_\sigma]$  or  $u\alpha b \in [(x)_\sigma]$ . Thus  $b \in N$ , so  $N$  is an ordered filter of  $M$ . Hence  $F(x)_\sigma \subseteq N$ , so  $N = F(x)_\sigma$ .

(b) It is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.6 (b).

(c) Let  $b \in F(x)_\sigma$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . By (a), we have  $b \in [(x)_\sigma]$  or  $u\alpha b \in [(x)_\sigma]$  for some  $u \in F(x)_\sigma$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Thus  $(x)_\sigma = (x\gamma b)_\sigma$  or  $(x)_\sigma = (x\gamma u\alpha b)_\sigma$  which implies that  $(b)_\sigma \subseteq F(x)_\sigma$ . Therefore  $F(b)_\sigma \subseteq F(x)_\sigma$ .

(d) Let

$$\tau := \{(x, y) \in M \times M : F(x)_\sigma = F(y)_\sigma\}.$$

It is clear that  $\sigma \subseteq \tau$ . Conversely, let  $x, y \in M$  be such that  $(x, y) \in \tau$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $F(x)_\sigma = F(y)_\sigma$ , so  $x \in F(y)_\sigma$  and  $y \in F(x)_\sigma$ . By (a), it suffices to show that the following case is satisfied. If  $u_1\gamma_1 x \in [(y)_\sigma]$  and  $u_2\gamma_2 y \in [(x)_\sigma]$  for some  $u_1, u_2 \in F(x)_\sigma = F(y)_\sigma$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ , then  $(y, y\gamma u_1\gamma_1 x) \in \sigma$  and  $(x, x\gamma u_2\gamma_2 y) \in \sigma$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2 (b) that



$$(u_2\gamma_2y)_\sigma = (u_2\gamma_2y\gamma u_1\gamma_1x)_\sigma = (u_1\gamma_1x\gamma u_2\gamma_2y)_\sigma = (u_1\gamma_1x)_\sigma.$$

Hence  $(x)_\sigma = (x\gamma u_2\gamma_2y)_\sigma = (u_2\gamma_2y\gamma x)_\sigma = (u_1\gamma_1x\gamma x)_\sigma = (u_1\gamma_1x)_\sigma = (u_2\gamma_2y)_\sigma = (u_2\gamma_2y\gamma y)_\sigma = (y\gamma u_2\gamma_2y)_\sigma = (y\gamma u_1\gamma_1x)_\sigma = (y)_\sigma$ , so  $(x, y) \in \sigma$ . Similarly, it is easy to verify in the remain cases that  $(x, y) \in \sigma$ . Therefore  $\sigma = \tau$ .  $\square$

Immediately from Lemma 2.9, we have

**Corollary 2.10.** *If  $x \in M$  and  $\sigma \in OSC(M)$ , then  $F(x)_\sigma = \{a \in M : a \in [(x)_\sigma]$  or  $u\gamma a \in [(x)_\sigma]$  or  $a\mu v \in [(x)_\sigma]$  or  $u\gamma a\mu v \in [(x)_\sigma]$  for some  $u, v \in F(x)_\sigma$  and  $\gamma, \mu \in \Gamma\}$ .*

**Corollary 2.11.** *If  $x \in M$ , then the following statements hold.*

- (a)  $\mathcal{N} \in OSC(M)$ .
- (b)  $F(x)_\mathcal{N} = N(x)$ .
- (c)  $N(x) = \{a \in M : a \in [(x)_\mathcal{N}]$  or  $u\gamma a \in [(x)_\mathcal{N}]$  for some  $u \in N(x)$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma\}$ .

**Proof.** (a) By the similarity of the proof of Corollary 2.8 (a), we have  $\mathcal{N} \in SC(M)$ . Now, let  $a, b \in M$  be such that  $a \leq b$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $a \in N(a\gamma b)$  because  $a\gamma b \in N(a\gamma b)$ , so  $N(a) \subseteq N(a\gamma b)$ . Since  $a \in N(a), b \in N(a)$ . Thus  $a\gamma b \in N(a)$ , so  $N(a\gamma b) \subseteq N(a)$ . Hence  $N(a) = N(a\gamma b)$ , so  $(a, a\gamma b) \in \mathcal{N}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{N} \in OSC(M)$ .

(b) It is similar to the proof of Corollary 2.8 (b).

(c) It is similar to the proof of Corollary 2.8 (c).

Hence the proof is completed.  $\square$

### 3 Main Results

In last section, we characterize the least semilattice congruences and ordered semilattice congruences on ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups and show that  $\mathcal{N}$  is not the least semilattice congruence on ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups in general.

**Theorem 3.1.**

$$(a) \quad n = \bigcap_{I \in SP(M)} \sigma_I.$$

$$(b) \quad \mathcal{N} = \bigcap_{I \in OSP(M)} \sigma_I.$$

$$(b) \quad n \subseteq \mathcal{N}.$$

**Proof.** (a) Let

$$\tau := \bigcap_{I \in SP(M)} \sigma_I.$$

Let  $x, y \in M$  be such that  $(x, y) \in n$ . Then  $n(x) = n(y)$ . Suppose that there exists  $I \in SP(M)$  such that  $(x, y) \notin \sigma_I$ . By Corollary 1.2,  $M \setminus I$  is a filter of  $M$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $x \in I$  and  $y \in M \setminus I$ . Then  $x \in n(x) = n(y) \subseteq M \setminus I$ , which is impossible. Hence  $(x, y) \in \sigma_I$  for all  $I \in SP(M)$ , so  $(x, y) \in \tau$ . Conversely, let  $x, y \in M$  be such that  $(x, y) \in \tau$ . Then  $(x, y) \in \sigma_I$  for all  $I \in SP(M)$ . Suppose that  $(x, y) \notin n$ . Then  $n(x) \neq n(y)$ . By Corollary 2.8 (b),  $f(x)_n = n(x) \neq n(y) = f(y)_n$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $f(x)_n \not\subseteq f(y)_n$ . By Lemma 2.6 (c),  $x \notin f(y)_n$ . Then  $(x, y) \notin \sigma_{M \setminus f(y)_n}$ . Since  $M \setminus f(y)_n \neq \emptyset$ , it follows from Corollary 1.2 that  $M \setminus f(y)_n \in SP(M)$ . This implies that  $(x, y) \in \sigma_{M \setminus f(y)_n}$ , which is impossible. Hence  $(x, y) \in n$ , this proves that  $n = \bigcap \{\sigma_I : I \in SP(M)\}$ .

(b) It is similar to the proof of (a).

(c) Since  $OSP(M) \subseteq SP(M)$ , it follows from (a) and (b) that  $n \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ .

Hence the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $\sigma \in SC(M)$ , then the following statements hold.*

$$(a) \quad \sigma = \bigcap_{x \in M} \sigma_{M \setminus f(x)_\sigma}.$$

(b)  $n \subseteq \sigma$ , i.e.,  $n$  is the least element of  $SC(M)$ .

**Proof.** (a) Let

$$\tau := \bigcap_{x \in M} \sigma_{M \setminus f(x)_\sigma}.$$

Let  $x, y \in M$  be such that  $(x, y) \in \sigma$ . Then  $f(x)_\sigma = f(y)_\sigma$  by Lemma 2.6 (d). Suppose that  $(x, y) \notin \sigma_{M \setminus f(a)_\sigma}$  for some  $a \in M$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $x \in M \setminus f(a)_\sigma$  and  $y \notin M \setminus f(a)_\sigma$ . Then  $y \in f(a)_\sigma$ , it follows from Lemma 2.6 (c) that  $x \in f(x)_\sigma = f(y)_\sigma \subseteq f(a)_\sigma$ . It is impossible, so  $(x, y) \in \sigma_{M \setminus f(a)_\sigma}$  for all  $a \in M$ . Conversely, let  $x, y \in M$  be such that  $(x, y) \in \tau$ . Then  $(x, y) \in \sigma_{M \setminus f(a)_\sigma}$  for all  $a \in M$ . Suppose that  $(x, y) \notin \sigma$ . By Lemma 2.6 (d),  $f(x)_\sigma \neq f(y)_\sigma$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $f(x)_\sigma \not\subseteq f(y)_\sigma$ . By Lemma 2.6 (c),  $x \notin f(y)_\sigma$ . Then  $(x, y) \notin \sigma_{M \setminus f(y)_\sigma}$ , which is impossible. Hence  $(x, y) \in \sigma$ , this proves that

$$\sigma = \bigcap_{x \in M} \sigma_{M \setminus f(x)_\sigma}.$$

(b) By Corollary 1.2,  $M \setminus f(x)_\sigma = \emptyset$  or  $M \setminus f(x)_\sigma \in SP(M)$  for all  $x \in M$ . Thus

$$\{\sigma_{M \setminus f(x)_\sigma} : x \in M\} \subseteq \{\sigma_I : I \in SP(M)\}.$$

By (a) and Theorem 3.1 (a),  $n \subseteq \sigma$ . Therefore  $n$  is the least semilattice congruence on  $M$ .  $\square$

By the similarity of the proof of Theorem 3.2, we obtain

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $\sigma \in OSC(M)$ , then the following statements hold.*

- (a)  $\sigma = \bigcap_{x \in M} \sigma_{M \setminus F(x)_\sigma}$ .
- (b)  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \sigma$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{N}$  is the least element of  $OSC(M)$ .

Immediately from Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3, we have

**Corollary 3.4.**

- (a)  $n = \bigcap_{x \in M} \sigma_{M \setminus n(x)}$ .
- (b)  $\mathcal{N} = \bigcap_{x \in M} \sigma_{M \setminus \mathcal{N}(x)}$ .

We shall give an example of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $M$  with  $\mathcal{N}$  is not the least semilattice congruence on  $M$ .

**Example 3.5.** Let  $M = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\Gamma = \{\gamma\}$  with the multiplication defined by

$$x\gamma y = \begin{cases} b & \text{if } x, y \in \{a, b\}, \\ c & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

First to show that  $M$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, suppose not. Then there exist  $x, y, z \in M$  such that  $(x\gamma y)\gamma z \neq x\gamma(y\gamma z)$ . If  $(x\gamma y)\gamma z = b$ , then  $x, y, z \in \{a, b\}$ . Thus  $x\gamma(y\gamma z) = b$ , which is impossible. If  $x\gamma(y\gamma z) = b$ , then  $x, y, z \in \{a, b\}$ . Thus  $(x\gamma y)\gamma z = b$ , which is impossible. Hence  $(x\gamma y)\gamma z = x\gamma(y\gamma z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$ . Obviously,  $x\gamma y = y\gamma x$  for all  $x, y \in M$ . Therefore  $M$  is a commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

Define a relation  $\leq$  on  $M$  as follows:

$$\leq := \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (d, d), (b, c), (b, d), (c, d)\}.$$

Then  $(M, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set. Let  $x, y \in M$  be such that  $x \leq y$ . Since  $x\gamma c = c = c\gamma x$  and  $x\gamma d = c = d\gamma x$  for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $b \leq c$ ,  $x\gamma z \leq y\gamma z$  and  $z\gamma x \leq z\gamma y$  for all  $z \in M$ . Hence  $M$  is an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. We shall show that  $SC(M) = \{n, \mathcal{N}\}$  and  $n \subset \mathcal{N}$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= M \times M, \\ \sigma_2 &= \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (d, d), (a, b), (b, a), (c, d), (d, c)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in SC(M)$ . Since  $(a\gamma a, a) = (b, a)$  and  $(d\gamma d, d) = (c, d)$ ,  $\sigma_2 \subseteq \sigma$  for all  $\sigma \in SC(M)$ . Let  $\sigma \in SC(M)$ . Then we have the following two cases:

**Case 1:**  $(b, c) \in \sigma$ . Since  $(a, b) \in \sigma$ ,  $(a, c) \in \sigma$ . Thus  $(a, d), (b, d) \in \sigma$  because  $(c, d) \in \sigma$ . Hence  $\sigma = \sigma_1$ .

**Case 2:**  $(b, c) \notin \sigma$ . If  $(a, c) \in \sigma$ , then  $(b, c) \in \sigma$  because  $(b, a) \in \sigma$ , which is impossible. If  $(a, d) \in \sigma$ , then  $(a, c) \in \sigma$  because  $(d, c) \in \sigma$ , which is impossible. If  $(b, d) \in \sigma$ , then  $(b, c) \in \sigma$  because  $(d, c) \in \sigma$ , which is impossible. Hence  $\sigma = \sigma_2$ .

This proves that  $SC(M) = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ . We shall show that  $\sigma_1 = \mathcal{N}$  and  $\sigma_2 = n$ . We can easily get all ideals of  $M$  as follows:

$$P_1 = M, P_2 = \{c, d\}, P_3 = \{b, c\}, P_4 = \{c\}, P_5 = \{a, b, c\}, P_6 = \{b, c, d\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $SP(M) = \{P_1, P_2\}$  and  $OSP(M) = \{P_1\}$ . By Theorem 3.1, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{N} = \bigcap_{I \in OSP(M)} \sigma_I = \sigma_{P_1} = M \times M = \sigma_1$$

and

$$n = \bigcap_{I \in SP(M)} \sigma_I = \sigma_{P_1} \cap \sigma_{P_2} = \sigma_{P_2}.$$

We note here that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{P_2} &= \{(x, y) \in M \times M : x, y \in P_2 \text{ or } x, y \notin P_2\} \\ &= \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (d, d), (a, b), (b, a), (c, d), (d, c)\} \\ &= \sigma_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $n = \sigma_2$ , so  $n \subset \mathcal{N}$ .

**Acknowledgement:** The authors are grateful to the referee for his useful comments.

## References

- [1] A. Iampan and M. Siripitukdet, On minimal and maximal ordered left ideals in  $po$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroups, *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, **2**(2004), 275–282.
- [2] A. Seth, Idempotent-separating congruences on inverse  $\Gamma$ -semigroups, *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, **37**(1997), 285–290.
- [3] M. K. Sen and N. K. Saha, On  $\Gamma$ -semigroup I, *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, **78**(1986), 180–186.

- [4] N. K. Saha, On  $\Gamma$ -semigroup II, *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, **79**(1987), 331–335.
- [5] S. K. Lee and S. S. Lee, Left (right) filters on  $po$ -semigroups, *Kangweon-Kyungki Mathematical Journal*, **8**(2000), 43–45.
- [6] Y. I. Kwon, The filters of the ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups, *Journal of the Korea Society of Mathematical Education Series B : The Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **4**(1997), 131–135.
- [7] Y. I. Kwon and S. K. Lee, The weakly semi-prime ideals of  $po$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroups, *Kangweon-Kyungki Mathematical Journal*, **5**(1997), 135–139.
- [8] Z. Gao, On the least property of the semilattice congruences on  $po$ -semigroups, *Semigroup Forum*, **56**(1998), 323–333.

(Received 21 July 2006)

M. Siripitukdet and A. Iampan  
Department of Mathematics  
Naresuan University  
Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand.  
e-mail : [manojs@nu.ac.th](mailto:manojs@nu.ac.th), [aiyaredi@nu.ac.th](mailto:aiyaredi@nu.ac.th)