



# Monoid of Cohypersubstitutions of Type $\tau = (n)^1$

Darunee Boonchari and Kittisak Saengsuran<sup>2</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mahasarakham University  
Mahasarakham 44150, Thailand

e-mail : [daruni.b@msu.ac.th](mailto:daruni.b@msu.ac.th) (D. Boonchari)

[kittisak.s@msu.ac.th](mailto:kittisak.s@msu.ac.th) (K. Saengsuran)

**Abstract :** A mapping  $\sigma$  which assigns to every  $n_i$ -ary cooperation symbol  $f_i$  an  $n_i$ -ary coterms of type  $\tau = (n_i)_{i \in I}$  is said to be a cohypersubstitution of type  $\tau$ . The concepts of cohypersubstitutions were introduced in [1]. Every cohypersubstitution  $\sigma$  of type  $\tau$  induces a mapping  $\hat{\sigma}$  on the set of all coterms of type  $\tau$ . The set of all cohypersubstitutions of type  $\tau$  under the binary operation  $\hat{\circ}$  which is defined by  $\sigma_1 \hat{\circ} \sigma_2 := \hat{\sigma}_1 \circ \sigma_2$  for all  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \text{Cohyp}(\tau)$  forms a monoid which is called the monoid of cohypersubstitution of type  $\tau$ . In this research, we characterize all idempotent and regular elements of  $\text{Cohyp}(n)$  and characterize some Green's relations  $L$  and  $R$  on  $\text{Cohyp}(n)$ .

**Keywords :** cohypersubstitutions; coterms; superpositions; idempotent elements; regular elements.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification :** 16W30; 20M12.

---

## 1 Introduction

Let  $A$  be a non-empty set and  $n$  be a positive integer. The  $n$ -th copower  $A^{\sqcup n}$  of  $A$  is the union of  $n$  disjoint copies of  $A$ ; formally, we define  $A^{\sqcup n}$  as the cartesian product  $A^{\sqcup n} := \underline{n} \times A$ , where  $\underline{n} := \{1, \dots, n\}$ . An element  $(i, a)$  in this copower corresponds to the element  $a$  in the  $i$ -th copy of  $A$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>This research was supported by the Faculty of Science of Mahasarakham University Thailand

<sup>2</sup>Corresponding author.

A co-operation on  $A$  is a mapping  $f^A : A \rightarrow A^{\sqcup n}$  for some  $n \geq 1$ ; the natural number  $n$  is called the arity of the co-operation  $f^A$ . We also need to recall that any  $n$ -ary co-operation  $f^A$  on set  $A$  can be uniquely expressed as a pair  $(f_1^A, f_2^A)$  of mappings,  $f_1^A : A \rightarrow \underline{n}$  and  $f_2^A : A \rightarrow A$ ; the first mapping gives the labelling used by  $f^A$  in mapping elements to copies of  $A$ , and the second mapping tells us what element of  $A$  any element is mapped to.

We shall denote by  $cO_A^{(n)} = \{f^A : A \rightarrow A^{\sqcup n}\}$  the set of all  $n$ -ary co-operations defined on  $A$ , and by  $cO_A := \cup_{n \geq 1} cO_A^{(n)}$  the set of all finitary co-operations defined on  $A$ . An indexed coalgebra is a pair  $(A; (f_i^A)_{i \in I})$ , where  $f_i^A$  is an  $n_i$ -ary co-operation defined on  $A$ , and  $\tau = (n_i)_{i \in I}$  for  $n_i \geq 1$  is called the type of the coalgebra. Coalgebras were studied by Drbohlav [2]. In [3], the following superposition of cooperations was introduced. If  $f^A \in cO_A^{(n)}$  and  $g_0^A, \dots, g_{n-1}^A \in cO_A^{(k)}$ , then the  $k$ -ary co-operation  $f^A[g_0^A, \dots, g_{n-1}^A] : A \rightarrow A^{\sqcup k}$  is defined by

$$a \mapsto ((g_{f_1^A(a)}^A)_1(f_2^A(a)), (g_{f_1^A(a)}^A)_2(f_2^A(a)))$$

for all  $a \in A$ . The co-operation  $f^A[g_0^A, \dots, g_{n-1}^A]$  is called the *superposition* of  $f^A$  and  $g_0^A, \dots, g_{n-1}^A$ . It will also be denoted by  $comp_k^n(f^A, g_0^A, \dots, g_{n-1}^A)$ .

The *injection co-operations*  $v_i^{n,A} : A \rightarrow A^{\sqcup n}$  are special cooperations which are defined for each  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$  by  $v_i^{n,A} : A \rightarrow A^{\sqcup n}$  with  $a \mapsto (i, a)$  for all  $a \in A$ . Then we get a multi-based algebra

$$((cO_A^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}, (comp_k^n)_{k, n \geq 1}, (v_i^{n,A})_{0 \leq i \leq n-1}),$$

called the *clone of co-operations* on  $A$ . In [3] it is mentioned that this algebra is a clone, i.e. it satisfies the three clone axioms (C1), (C2), (C3). In [4], K.Denecke and K.Saengsura gave a full proof of this fact. In [4], the following coterms of type  $\tau = (n_i)_{i \in I}$  were introduced. Let  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  be an indexed set of co-operation symbols such that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $f_i$  has arity  $n_i$ . Let  $\cup\{e_j^n \mid n \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq j \leq n - 1\}$  be a set of symbols which is disjoint from the set  $\{f_i \mid i \in I\}$  such that for each  $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ ,  $e_j^n$  has arity  $n$ . Then coterms of type  $\tau$  are defined as follows:

- (i) For every  $i \in I$  the co-operation symbol  $f_i$  is an  $n_i$ -ary coterms of type  $\tau$ .
- (ii) For every  $n \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$  the symbol  $e_j^n$  is an  $n$ -ary coterms of type  $\tau$ .
- (iii) If  $t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}$  are  $n_i$ -ary coterms of type  $\tau$ , then  $f_i[t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}]$  is an  $n_i$ -ary coterms of type  $\tau$  for every  $i \in I$ , and if  $t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}$  are  $n$ -ary coterms of type  $\tau$ , then  $e_j^n[t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}]$  is an  $n$ -ary coterms of type  $\tau$  for every  $n \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ .

Let  $cT_\tau^{(n)}$  be the set of all  $n$ -ary coterms of type  $\tau$  and let  $cT_\tau := \cup_{n \geq 1} cT_\tau^{(n)}$  be the set of all (finitary) coterms of type  $\tau$ .

The superposition of coterms was introduced in [1] as follows: The operation  $S_m^n : cT_\tau^{(n)} \times (cT_\tau^{(m)})^n \rightarrow cT_\tau^{(m)}$  is defined by induction on the complexity of coterms definition, as follows:

- (i)  $S_m^n(e_i^n, t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}) := t_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ .
- (ii)  $S_{n_i}^{n_i}(f_i, e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}) := f_i$  for an  $n_i$ -ary co-operation symbol  $f_i$ .
- (iii)  $S_m^{n_j}(g_j, t_1, \dots, t_{n_j}) := g_j[t_1, \dots, t_{n_j}]$  if  $g_j$  is an  $n_j$ -ary co-operation symbol.
- (iv)  $S_m^n(f_i[s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}], t_1, \dots, t_n) := f_i[S_m^n(s_1, t_1, \dots, t_n), \dots, S_m^n(s_{n_i}, t_1, \dots, t_n)]$  where  $f_i$  is an  $n_i$ -ary co-operation symbol,  $s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}$  are  $n$ -ary coterms of type  $\tau$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are  $m$ -ary coterms of type  $\tau$ .

These operations give us a heterogeneous algebra

$$c\mathcal{T}_\tau := ((cT_\tau^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}, (S_m^n)_{m, n \geq 1}, (e_j^n)_{1 \leq j \leq n}).$$

We shall show that it is a clone, i.e., that it satisfies the clone axioms (C1),(C2),(C3).

**Theorem 1.1.** (Denecke and Saengsura [1, Proposition 2.3]) *The heterogeneous algebra  $c\mathcal{T}_\tau$  satisfies the following identities:*

- (C1)  $\hat{S}_m^p(z, \hat{S}_m^n(y_1, x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \hat{S}_m^n(y_p, x_1, \dots, x_n)) \approx \hat{S}_m^n(\hat{S}_m^p(z, y_1, \dots, y_p), x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (m, n, p \in \mathbb{N}^+),$
- (C2)  $\hat{S}_m^n(e_i^n, x_1, \dots, x_n) \approx x_i \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}^+, 1 \leq i \leq n),$
- (C3)  $\hat{S}_n^n(y, e_1^n, \dots, e_n^n) \approx y, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}^+).$

(Here  $\hat{S}_m^n, e_i^n$  are operation symbols corresponding to the clone type.)

A *cohypersubstitution* of type  $\tau$  was introduced in [1] as a mapping  $\sigma : \{f_i \mid i \in I\} \rightarrow cT_\tau$  from the set of all cooperation symbols to the set of all coterms which preserves the arities. The extension of  $\sigma$  is a mapping  $\hat{\sigma} : cT_\tau \rightarrow cT_\tau$  which is inductively defined by the following steps:

- (i)  $\hat{\sigma}[e_j^n] := e_j^n$  for every  $n \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ ,
- (ii)  $\hat{\sigma}[f_i] := \sigma(f_i)$  for every  $i \in I$ ,
- (iii)  $\hat{\sigma}[f_i[t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}]] := S_m^{n_i}(\sigma(f_i), \hat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \hat{\sigma}[t_{n_i}])$  for  $t_1, \dots, t_{n_i} \in cT_\tau^{(n)}$ .

Let  $Cohyp(\tau)$  be the set of all cohypersubstitutions of type  $\tau$ . On the set  $Cohyp(\tau)$  of all cohypersubstitutions of type  $\tau$  we may define a binary operation  $\hat{\circ}$  by  $\sigma_1 \hat{\circ} \sigma_2 := \hat{\sigma}_1 \circ \sigma_2$  where  $\circ$  is the usual composition of mappings. Let  $\sigma_{id}$  be the cohypersubstitution defined by  $\sigma_{id}(f_i) := f_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . Then we have that  $(Cohyp(\tau); \hat{\circ}, \sigma_{id})$  forms a monoid which is called the monoid of cohypersubstitution of type  $\tau$  where the cohypersubstitution  $\sigma_{id}$  satisfies the equation  $\hat{\sigma}_{id}[t] = t$  for all  $t \in cT_\tau$  (see e.g. [1]).

## 2 Main results

### 2.1 Idempotent and regular of monoid cohypersubstitutions of type $\tau = (n)$

In 2012, M. Kapeedaeng and K. Saengsura were studied the idempotent and the regular of cohypersubstitutions of type  $\tau = (2)$  and D. Boonchari and K. Saengsura were studied the idempotent and regular of cohypersubstitutions of type  $\tau = (3)$  (see [5],[6]). In this Section, we characterize all idempotent and regular cohypersubstitutions of type  $\tau = (n)$ , where  $n$  is the positive integer. Let  $S$  be a semigroup, an element  $a$  of  $S$  is called idempotent if  $aa = a$ , and called regular if there exists  $x \in S$  such that  $axa = a$ . We denote by  $E(S)$  and  $R(S)$  the set of all idempotent elements and the set of all regular elements of  $S$ , respectively (see [7]). For any  $\sigma \in Cohyp(\tau)$  and  $\tau = (n)$ , if  $\sigma(f) = t$ , we denote  $\sigma$  by  $\sigma_t$ . For any positive integer  $n$  we call the symbol  $e_j^n$  the injection symbol, for all  $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$  and for each coterms  $t$ , let  $E(t)$  be the set of all injection symbols which occur in  $t$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $t, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in CT_\tau$ , where  $\tau = (n)$ . If  $E(t) = \{e_{j-1}^n \mid \forall j \in J \text{ where } J \neq \emptyset \text{ and } J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$  and  $s_j = e_{j-1}^n$  for all  $j \in J$ , then  $t[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n] = t$*

*Proof.* We give a proof by induction on the complexity of the coterms  $t$ .

If  $t = e_{j-1}^n$ , for some  $j \in J$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} e_{j-1}^n[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n] &= s_j \\ &= e_{j-1}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that  $t = f[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n]$  and that  $t_i[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n] = t_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then we get that

$$\begin{aligned} t[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n] &= (f[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n])[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n] \\ &= f[t_1[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n], t_2[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n], \dots, t_n[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n]] \\ &= f[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n] \\ &= t. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

The next result is a condition for an element of  $Cohyp(n)$  to be idempotent.

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $\sigma_t \in Cohyp(n)$ , then  $\sigma_t$  is an idempotent if and only if  $\hat{\sigma}_t(t) = t$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\sigma_t$  is an idempotent.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \hat{\sigma}_t(t) &= \hat{\sigma}_t(\sigma_t(f)) \\ &= \sigma_t \hat{\sigma}_t(f) \\ &= \sigma_t(f) \\ &= t. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that  $\hat{\sigma}_t(t) = t$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \sigma_t \hat{\sigma}_t(f) &= \hat{\sigma}_t(\sigma_t(f)) \\ &= \hat{\sigma}_t(t) \\ &= t \\ &= \sigma_t(f). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\sigma_t$  is an idempotent. □

**Corollary 2.3.** *For every  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ ,  $\sigma_{e_i^3}$  is an idempotent and  $\sigma_{id}$  is an idempotent.*

*Proof.* Since  $\hat{\sigma}_t(e_i^n) = e_i^n$  for all  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $t \in CT_\tau^{(n)}$ , then  $\sigma_{e_i^n}(e_i^n) = e_i^n$  for all  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ . By Theorem 2.1,  $\sigma_{e_i^n}$  is an idempotent for all  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ . Also  $\sigma_{id}$  is an idempotent because it is the identity cohypersubstitution of type  $\tau = (n)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $t = f[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  and  $E(t) = \{e_{j-1}^n\}$  for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then  $\sigma_t$  is an idempotent if and only if  $t_j = e_{j-1}^n$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\sigma_t$  is an idempotent.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } f[t_1, \dots, t_j, \dots, t_n] &= \sigma_t(f) \\ &= \sigma_t \hat{\sigma}_t(f) \\ &= \hat{\sigma}_t(\sigma_t(f)) \\ &= \sigma_t(f)[\hat{\sigma}_t(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_j), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n)] \\ &= f[t_1, \dots, t_j, \dots, t_n][\hat{\sigma}_t(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_j), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n)] \\ &= f[t_1[\hat{\sigma}_t(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_j), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n)], \dots, \\ &\quad t_j[\hat{\sigma}_t(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_j), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n)], \dots, \\ &\quad t_n[\hat{\sigma}_t(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_j), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n)]]]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $t_j = t_j[\hat{\sigma}_t(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_j), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n)]$ .

Suppose that  $t_j \neq e_{j-1}^n$ . This implies that the number of cooperation symbols  $f$  which occur in coterms  $t_j$  is greater than or equal to 1 and hence  $\hat{\sigma}_t(t_j) \neq e_{j-1}^n$ . It follows that  $t_j \neq t_j[\hat{\sigma}_t(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_j), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n)]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f[t_1, \dots, t_j, \dots, t_n] &\neq f[t_1[\hat{\sigma}_t(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n)], \dots, \\ &\quad t_j[\hat{\sigma}_t(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_j), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n)], \dots, \\ &\quad t_n[\hat{\sigma}_t(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_j), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n)]]], \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Conversely, let  $t_j = e_{j-1}^n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \hat{\sigma}_t(t) &= \hat{\sigma}_t(f[t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, t_j, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_n]) \\ &= \hat{\sigma}_t(f[t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, e_{j-1}^n, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_n]) \\ &= \sigma_t(f)[\hat{\sigma}_t(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_{j-1}), \hat{\sigma}_t(e_{j-1}^n), \hat{\sigma}_t(t_{j+1}), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n)] \\ &= (f[t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, e_{j-1}^n, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_n] \\ &\quad [\hat{\sigma}_t(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_{j-1}), e_{j-1}^n, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_{j+1}), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n)]) \\ &= f[t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, e_{j-1}^n, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_n] \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1}) \\ &= t. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Now we give a characterization of a cohypersubstitution  $\sigma_t$  such that  $E(t) > 1$ , first of all we need the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $t \in cT^{(n)}$ . If  $E(t) = \{e_{j-1}^n \mid \forall j \in J \text{ where } J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ and } |J| > 1\}$  and  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in cT^{(n)}$  such that  $s_j \neq e_{j-1}^n$  for some  $j \in J$ , then  $t[s_1, \dots, s_n] \neq t$ .*

*Proof.* We give a proof by induction on the complexity of the coterms  $t$ . If  $t = e_{j-1}^n$ , then  $e_{j-1}^n[s_1, \dots, s_n] = s_j \neq e_{j-1}^n$ .

Assume that  $t = f[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  and  $t_i[s_1, \dots, s_n] \neq t_i$  for all  $t_i$  where  $e_{j-1}^n \in E(t_i)$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} t[s_1, \dots, s_n] &= (f[t_1, \dots, t_n])[s_1, \dots, s_n] \\ &= f[t_1[s_1, \dots, s_n], \dots, t_n[s_1, \dots, s_n]] \\ &\neq f[t_1, \dots, t_n]. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We obtain the following result:

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $\sigma_t \in \text{Cohyp}(n)$  such that  $E(t) = \{e_{j-1}^n \mid \forall j \in J \text{ where } J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ and } |J| > 1\}$ . Then  $\sigma_t$  is an idempotent if and only if  $t_j = e_{j-1}^n$  for all  $j \in J$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\sigma_t$  is an idempotent. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2, we have that

$$f[t_1, \dots, t_n] = f[t_1[\hat{\sigma}_t(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n)], \dots, t_n[\hat{\sigma}_t(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n)]].$$

Suppose that  $t_j \neq e_{j-1}^n$  for some  $j \in J$ . Then  $\hat{\sigma}_t(t_j) \neq e_{j-1}^n$ . Since  $e_{j-1}^n \in E(t)$ , then by Lemma 2.2 there is  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $t_k[\hat{\sigma}_t(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_j), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n)] \neq t_k$  and  $e_{j-1}^n \in E(t_k)$ . Therefore,

$$f[t_1, \dots, t_n] \neq f[t_1[\hat{\sigma}_t(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n)], \dots, t_n[\hat{\sigma}_t(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n)]].$$

This yields a contradiction. Hence,  $t_j = e_{j-1}^n$  for all  $j \in J$ .

Conversely, Assume that  $t = f[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  and  $t_j = e_{j-1}^n$  for all  $j \in J$ . Then  $\hat{\sigma}_t(t_j) = e_{j-1}^n$  for all  $j \in J$ . Since  $E(t) = \{e_{j-1}^n \mid \forall j \in J \text{ where } J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ and } |J| > 1\}$ , then by Lemma 2.1 we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_t(t) &= \hat{\sigma}_t(f[t_1, \dots, t_n]) \\ &= \sigma_t(f)[\hat{\sigma}_t(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n)] \\ &= (f[t_1, \dots, t_n])[\hat{\sigma}_t(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(t_n)] \\ &= f[t_1, \dots, t_n]. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Now, we characterize all regular elements of  $\text{Cohyp}(n)$ . By using the injection symbols which occur in the coterm  $t$ , we obtain the following result:

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $t \in \text{CT}(n)$  and  $E(t) = \{e_{j-1}^n \mid \forall j \in J \text{ where } J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ . Then  $\sigma_t$  is a regular if and only if for each  $j \in J$ ,  $e_{j-1}^n = t_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\sigma_t$  is regular. Let  $s = f[s_1, \dots, s_n] \in \text{cT}(n)$  and

$$\sigma_t \hat{\sigma}_s \hat{\sigma}_t = \sigma_t.$$

Suppose that  $t_i \neq e_{j-1}^n$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $\hat{\sigma}_s(t_i) \neq e_{j-1}^n$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_s(t) &= \hat{\sigma}_s(f[t_1, \dots, t_n]) \\ &= \sigma_s(f)[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)] \\ &= (f[s_1, \dots, s_n])[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)] \\ &= f[s_1[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)], \dots, s_n[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)]]]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\hat{\sigma}_s(t_i) \neq e_{j-1}^n$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then  $s_i[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)] \neq e_{j-1}^n$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , so  $\hat{\sigma}_t(s_i[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)]) \neq e_{j-1}^n$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_t(\hat{\sigma}_s(t)) &= \hat{\sigma}_t(f[s_1[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)], \dots, s_n[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)]]) \\ &= \sigma_t(f)[\hat{\sigma}_t(s_1[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)]), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(s_n[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)])] \\ &= (f[t_1, \dots, t_n])[\hat{\sigma}_t(s_1[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)]), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_t(s_n[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)])] \\ &\neq f[t_1, \dots, t_n] \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2}). \end{aligned}$$

This gives a contradiction. Hence  $t_i = e_{j-1}^n$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Conversely, let  $t = f[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  and assume that for each  $j \in J$ ,  $e_{j-1}^n = t_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $s = f[s_1, \dots, s_n]$  and for each  $j \in J$ ,  $s_j = e_{i-1}^n$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_s(f[t_1, \dots, t_n]) &= \sigma_s(f)[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)] \\ &= (f[s_1, \dots, s_n])[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)] \\ &= f[s_1[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)], \dots, s_n[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)]]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $t_i = e_{j-1}^n$  and  $s_j = e_{i-1}^n$ , then  $\hat{\sigma}_s(t_i) = e_{j-1}^n$  and  $s_j[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)] = e_{j-1}^n$  for all  $j \in J$ .

Then  $\hat{\sigma}_t(s_j[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)]) = e_{j-1}^n$  for all  $j \in J$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_t(\hat{\sigma}_s(t)) &= \hat{\sigma}_t(f[s_1[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)], \dots, s_n[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)]]) \\ &= (f[t_1, \dots, t_n])[s_1[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)], \dots, s_n[\hat{\sigma}_s(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(t_n)]] \\ &= f[t_1, \dots, t_n]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\sigma_t$  is regular.  $\square$

## 2.2 Green's relations of cohypersubstitutions of type $\tau = (n)$

In this section, we characterize Green's relations  $L$  and  $R$  on  $Cohyp(n)$ . First of all we define the equivalence coterms as follows: the coterms  $s, t \in CT(n)$  are said to be equivalence denoted by  $s \equiv t$  if and only if  $s = t$  or  $s$  and  $t$  are difference only the injection symbols which occurred in the coterms  $s$  and  $t$ , for instance  $t = f[e_0^2, f[e_1^2, e_0^2]] \equiv s = f[e_1^2, f[e_0^2, e_1^2]]$ , but  $t = f[e_0^2, f[e_1^2, e_0^2]]$  is not equivalence to  $r = f[e_0^2, e_1^2]$ . Then we obtain the cohypersubstitutions  $\sigma_t$  and  $\sigma_s$  which are  $R$ -related as the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.8.** *If  $t, s \in CT(n)$ , then  $\sigma_t R \sigma_s$  if and only if the following are satisfied:*

- (i)  $t \equiv s$ ,
- (ii) *there is a uniquely bijection  $\varphi : E(t) \rightarrow E(s)$  and if  $e_j^n \in E(t)$ , then  $e_j^n$  and  $\varphi(e_j^n)$  are in the same position of the coterms  $t$  and  $s$ , respectively.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\sigma_t R \sigma_s$ . Then there are  $\sigma_r, \sigma_w \in Cohyp(n)$  such that  $\sigma_t = \sigma_s \hat{\sigma} \sigma_r$  and  $\sigma_s = \sigma_t \hat{\sigma} \sigma_w$ . Let  $t = f[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  and  $s = f[s_1, \dots, s_n]$ . Suppose that  $t$  is not equivalence to  $s$ . Then there is  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $t_i$  is not equivalence to  $s_i$ .

Case 1. If  $opt(t_i) > opt(s_i)$ , then  $opt(s_i) < opt(t_i[l_1, \dots, l_n])$  for all  $l_1, \dots, l_n \in CT(n)$ , so  $s_i \neq t_i[l_1, \dots, l_n]$  for all  $l_1, \dots, l_n \in CT(n)$ . Therefore,  $f[s_1, \dots, s_n] \neq (f[t_1, \dots, t_n])[l_1, \dots, l_n]$  for all  $l_1, \dots, l_n \in CT(n)$ . This means that there is no

$\sigma_w \in Cohyp(n)$  such that  $\sigma_s = \sigma_t \hat{\circ} \sigma_w$ . This gives a contradiction.

Case 2. If  $opt(t_i) = opt(s_i)$  and the position of co-operation symbol  $f$  are different, then  $opt(t_i)$  can be equal to  $opt(s_i[l_1, \dots, l_n])$  if  $opt(l_j) = 0$  for all  $j \in J_i$  such that  $E(s_i) = \{e_{j-1}^n \mid j \in J_i \text{ and } J_i \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ , so  $l_j$  are injection symbols for all  $j \in J_i$ . Therefore, the coterm  $s_i[l_1, \dots, l_n]$  have to change only injection symbols, but the positions of the co-operation symbols  $f$  have no changed. This shows that  $t_i \neq s_i[l_1, \dots, l_n]$  for all  $l_1, \dots, l_n \in CT_{(n)}$ . There follows we get that  $f[t_1, \dots, t_n] \neq (f[s_1, \dots, s_n])[l_1, \dots, l_n]$  for all  $l_1, \dots, l_n \in CT_{(n)}$ . This gives a contradiction. Hence  $t \equiv s$ .

To prove (ii), suppose that  $|E(t)| > |E(s)|$ . Since  $t \equiv s$ , then  $t \equiv s[l_1, \dots, l_n]$  if  $opt(l_j) = 0$  for all  $j \in J$  such that  $E(s) = \{e_{j-1}^n \mid j \in J \text{ and } J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ , so the injection symbols of the coterm  $s = f[s_1, \dots, s_n]$  have to change at most  $|E(s)|$ . There follows  $|E(t)| \neq |E(s[l_1, \dots, l_n])|$  where  $opt(l_j) = 0$  for all  $j \in J$  such that  $E(s) = \{e_{j-1}^n \mid j \in J \text{ and } J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ . This gives a contradiction. Then  $|E(t)| \leq |E(s)|$ . Similarly, one can shows that  $|E(t)| \geq |E(s)|$ . Therefore,  $|E(t)| = |E(s)|$ .

Hence there is a bijection between  $E(t)$  and  $E(s)$ .

Suppose that there are  $e_j^n, e_k^n \in E(t)$  such that the position of  $e_j^n$  and  $e_k^n$  in the coterm  $t$  have the same position with  $e_l^n$  in the coterm  $s$  in somewhere. Since  $t \equiv s$ , then  $e_l^n[l_1, \dots, l_n] = e_j^n$  and  $e_l^n[l_1, \dots, l_n] = e_k^n$  if and only if  $e_j^n = e_k^n$ . Therefore, for any  $e_l^n \in E(s)$  there exists a uniquely  $e_j^n \in E(t)$  such that the position of  $e_j^n$  and  $e_l^n$  in the coterm  $t$  and  $s$  are the same, respectively. Similarly, one can shows that for any  $e_j^n \in E(t)$  there exists a uniquely  $e_l^n \in E(s)$  such that the position of  $e_j^n$  and  $e_l^n$  in the coterm  $t$  and  $s$  are the same, respectively.

We define a bijection mapping  $\varphi : E(t) \rightarrow E(s)$  by  $\varphi(x) = y$  for all  $x \in E(t)$  and  $y \in E(s)$  such that  $x$  and  $y$  have the same position in  $t$  and  $s$ , respectively. Then we finishes the prove of (ii).

Conversely, Assume that  $\sigma_t$  and  $\sigma_s$  satisfy the conditions (i) and (ii). Let  $r = f[r_1, \dots, r_n] \in CT_{(n)}$  such that  $r_j = \varphi^{-1}(e_j^n)$  for all  $j \in J$  and  $E(s) = \{e_{j-1}^n \mid j \in J \text{ for some } J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_t(\sigma_r(f)) &= \hat{\sigma}_s(f[r_1, \dots, r_n]) \\ &= \sigma_s(f)[\hat{\sigma}_s(r_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(r_n)] \\ &= (f[s_1, \dots, s_n])[\hat{\sigma}_s(r_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(r_n)] \\ &= f[s_1[\hat{\sigma}_s(r_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(r_n)], \dots, s_n[\hat{\sigma}_s(r_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(r_n)]] \end{aligned}$$

Since  $r_j = \varphi^{-1}(e_j^n)$ , then  $\hat{\sigma}_s(r_j) = \varphi^{-1}(e_j^n)$ , so  $e_j^n[\hat{\sigma}_s(r_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(r_n)] = \varphi^{-1}(e_j^n)$  for all  $j \in J$ .

Therefore,  $s_i[\hat{\sigma}_s(r_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(r_n)] = t_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . There follows  $f[t_1, \dots, t_n] = f[s_1[\hat{\sigma}_s(r_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(r_n)], \dots, s_n[\hat{\sigma}_s(r_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_s(r_n)]]$ .

Hence,  $\sigma_t(f) = \sigma_s \hat{\circ} \sigma_r$ . Similarly, one can shows that  $\sigma_s = \sigma_t \hat{\circ} \sigma_w$  for some  $\sigma_w \in Cohyp(n)$ . This implies that  $\sigma_t R \sigma_s$ . □

Next, we have to characterize some Green's relation  $L$  on  $Cohyp(n)$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** *If  $t = f[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  such that  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \{e_{j-1}^n \mid j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ , then  $\sigma_t L \sigma_s$  if and only if*



- (i)  $E(t) = E(s)$  and  
(ii) if  $s = f[s_1, \dots, s_n]$ , then there exist  $K \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\{s_k \mid k \in K\} = E(t)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $t = f[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \{e_{j-1}^n \mid j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ . Assume that  $\sigma_t L \sigma_s$ . Then there are  $\sigma_u, \sigma_v \in \text{Cohyp}(n)$  such that  $u = f[u_1, \dots, u_n], v = f[v_1, \dots, v_n] \in CT_{(n)}$  and  $\sigma_t = \sigma_u \hat{\circ} \sigma_s$  and  $\sigma_s = \sigma_v \hat{\circ} \sigma_t$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f[t_1, \dots, t_n] &= \hat{\sigma}_u(\sigma_s(f)) \\ &= \hat{\sigma}_u(f[s_1, \dots, s_n]) \\ &= \sigma_u(f)[\hat{\sigma}_u(s_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_u(s_n)] \\ &= (f[u_1, \dots, u_n])[\hat{\sigma}_u(s_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_u(s_n)] \\ &= f[u_1[\hat{\sigma}_u(s_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_u(s_n)], \dots, u_n[\hat{\sigma}_u(s_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_u(s_n)]]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $t_i = u_i[\hat{\sigma}_u(s_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_u(s_n)]$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Since  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \{e_{j-1}^n \mid j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ , then  $u_1[\hat{\sigma}_u(s_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_u(s_n)], \dots, u_n[\hat{\sigma}_u(s_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_u(s_n)] \in \{e_{j-1}^n \mid j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ . There follows from the extension of  $\sigma_u$ , there exist  $K \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $t_i = s_k$  for some  $k \in K$ , so  $E(t) \subseteq E(s)$ .

Since  $\sigma_s = \sigma_v \hat{\circ} \sigma_t$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} f[s_1, \dots, s_n] &= \hat{\sigma}_v(\sigma_t(f)) \\ &= \hat{\sigma}_v(f[t_1, \dots, t_n]) \\ &= \sigma_v(f)[\hat{\sigma}_v(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_v(t_n)] \\ &= (f[v_1, \dots, v_n])[\hat{\sigma}_v(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_v(t_n)] \\ &= f[v_1[\hat{\sigma}_v(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_v(t_n)], \dots, v_n[\hat{\sigma}_v(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_v(t_n)]]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \{e_{j-1}^n \mid j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ , then  $\hat{\sigma}_v(t_i) = t_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . This implies that the injection symbols which occurring in the coterms  $v_i[\hat{\sigma}_v(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_v(t_n)]$  are the subset of  $\{t_i \mid i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore,  $E(s) \subseteq E(t)$ .

To prove (ii), we consider the followin equation

$$f[t_1, \dots, t_n] = f[u_1[\hat{\sigma}_u(s_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_u(s_n)], \dots, u_n[\hat{\sigma}_u(s_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_u(s_n)]].$$

If  $t_i = e_{j-1}^n$  for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then  $u_i[\hat{\sigma}_u(s_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_u(s_n)] = e_{j-1}^n$ , so  $u_i$  are injection symbols for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . The extension of  $\sigma_u$ , implies that  $s_k = e_{j-1}^n$  for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $K = \{k \mid s_k = t_i \text{ for some } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ . Then we finishes the prove of (ii).

Conversely, assume that (i) and (ii) are true. For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we have that  $t_i = s_k$  for some  $k \in K$ . Then we define  $\sigma_u(f) = f[u_1, \dots, u_n]$  such that  $u_i = e_{k-1}^n$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_u(\sigma_s(f)) &= \hat{\sigma}_u(f[s_1, \dots, s_n]) \\ &= \sigma_u(f)[\hat{\sigma}_u(s_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_u(s_n)] \\ &= (f[u_1, \dots, u_n])[\hat{\sigma}_u(s_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_u(s_n)] \\ &= f[u_1[\hat{\sigma}_u(s_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_u(s_n)], \dots, u_n[\hat{\sigma}_u(s_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_u(s_n)]] \\ &= f[t_1, \dots, t_n] \\ &= \sigma_t(f). \end{aligned}$$

And we define  $\sigma_v(f) = f[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  as follow:

If  $k \in K$ , we let  $v_k = e_{i-1}^n$  and if  $r \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus K$ , we let  $v_r \equiv s_r$  such that there is a uniquely bijection  $\varphi : E(s_r) \rightarrow E(v_r)$  and satisfy that if  $e_{j-1}^n \in E(s_r)$ , then  $e_{j-1}^n$  and  $\varphi(e_{j-1}^n)$  are in the same position of the coterms  $s_r$  and  $v_r$ , respectively. Since  $E(t) = E(s)$ , then for any  $e_{j-1}^n \in E(s_r)$  such that  $e_{j-1}^n = t_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we let  $\varphi(e_{j-1}^n) = e_{i-1}^n$ . Then,  
 $v_k[t_1, \dots, t_n] = e_{i-1}^n[t_1, \dots, t_n] = t_i = s_k$  for all  $k \in K$ , and  
 $v_r[t_1, \dots, t_n] = s_r$  for all  $r \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus K$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_v(\sigma_t(f)) &= \hat{\sigma}_v(f[t_1, \dots, t_n]) \\ &= \sigma_v(f)[\hat{\sigma}_v(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_v(t_n)] \\ &= (f[v_1, \dots, v_n])[t_1, \dots, t_n] \\ &= f[v_1[t_1, \dots, t_n], \dots, v_n[t_1, \dots, t_n]] \\ &= f[s_1, \dots, s_n] \\ &= \sigma_s(f). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\sigma_t L \sigma_s$ . □

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $\sigma_s, \sigma_t \in \text{Cohyp}(n)$ . If  $E(s) = E(t)$  and  $\exists K, J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $E(s) = \{s_k \mid k \in K\}$  and  $E(t) = \{t_j \mid j \in J\}$ , then  $\sigma_t L \sigma_s$ .*

**Acknowledgements :** We would like to thank the referee for his/her helpful comments and suggestions. We also would like to thank the Faculty of Science of Mahasarakham University Thailand and the Centre of Excellence in Mathematics Thailand for the financial support.

## References

- [1] K. Denecke, K. Saengsura, Cohyperidentities and M-solid classes of coalgebras, *Discrete Mathematics* 309 (4) (2009) 772-783.
- [2] K. Drbohlav, On quasicovarieties, *Acta Fac. Rerum Natur. Univ. Comenian. Math. Mimoriadne Číslo* (1971) 17 - 20.
- [3] B. Csákány, Completeness in coalgebras, *Acta Sci. Math.* 48 (1985) 75-84.
- [4] K. Denecke, K. Saengsura, Menger Algebras and Clones of Cooperations, *Algebra Colloquium* 15:2 (2008) 223-234.
- [5] M. Krapeedaeng, K. Saengsura, Idempotent and regular elements of cohyper-substitutions of type  $\tau = (2)$ , *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences* 75 (2) (2013) 140-159.
- [6] D. Boonchari, K. Saensura, Idempotent and regular cohypersubstitutions of type  $\tau = (3)$ , *Asian-European Journal of Mathematics* 6:2 (2013).

- [7] J.M. Howie, *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*, Oxford Science Publications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.

(Received 30 September 2014)

(Accepted 7 July 2015)