



Non-Archimedean Random Stability of σ -Quadratic Functional Equation

IZ. EL-Fassi^{†,1} and S. Kabbaj[†]

[†] Departement of Mathematics, University of Ibn Tofail
Faculty of sciences, Kenitra, Morocco.
e-mail : Izidd-math@hotmail.fr (IZ. EL-Fassi)
e-mail : samkabaj@yahoo.fr (S. Kabbaj)

Abstract : The aim of this paper is to investigate the generalized Hyers - Ulam stability of the following quadratic functional equation

$$f(ax + by) = a^2g(x) + b^2h(y) + \frac{ab}{2}[f(x + y) - f(x + \sigma(y))]$$

in non-Archimedean RN-spaces, by using the fixed point method.

Keywords : random Banach spaces; fixed point method; stability; quadratic functional equation.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 46S50; 47H10; 39B82; 39B52.

1 Introduction

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [1] in 1940. D. H. Hyers [2] gave a first affirmative partial answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Hyers theorem was generalized by T. Aoki [3] for additive mappings and by Rassias [4] for linear mappings. The paper of Rassias [4] has been influential in the development of what is now known as the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability or Hyers-Ulam Rassias stability of functional equations. A generalization of the Rassias theorem was obtained by Găvruta [5] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference with a general control function in the spirit of Rassias approach.

¹ Corresponding author

The functional equation

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) \quad (1.1)$$

is called the quadratic functional equation. A generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for the quadratic functional equation was proved by F. Skof [6] for the function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ where X is a normal space and Y is a Banach space. Cholewa [7] noticed that the theorem of Skof is still true if the relevant domain X is replaced by an abelian group. Czerwik [8] proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the quadratic functional equation (1.1). Park [9] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation in Banach modules over a \mathbb{C}^* algebra. The stability problem of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by number mathematicians ([10–19]).

In [20], A. Najati and G. Park showed that the functional equation

$$f(ax+by) = a^2f(x) + b^2f(y) + \frac{ab}{2}[f(x+y) - f(x-y)] \quad (1.2)$$

is equivalent to the quadratic functional equation (1.1), if a, b are rational numbers such that $a^2 + b^2 \neq 1$ and, they proved the stability problem of this equation.

Throughout this paper, assume that X be a vector space over a non-Archimedean field \mathbb{K} , (Y, μ, T) is a non-Archimedean random Banach space over \mathbb{K} and suppose $\sigma(\sigma(x)) = x$ and $\sigma(x+y) = \sigma(x) + \sigma(y)$, for all $x, y \in X$.

In this paper, using the fixed point method, we will prove the generalized stability of the following equation:

$$f(ax+by) = a^2g(x) + b^2h(y) + \frac{ab}{2}[f(x+y) - f(x+\sigma(y))] \quad (1.3)$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

In the sequel, we shall adopt the usual terminologies, notions, and conventions of the theory of non-Archimedean random normed spaces (non-ARN-spaces) as in [21–23]. In this paper, the space of all probability distribution functions is denoted by Δ^+ . Elements of Δ^+ are functions $F : \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, such that F is left continuous and nondecreasing on \mathbb{R} and $F(0) = 0, F(+\infty) = 1$. It's clear that the subset

$$D^+ := \{F \in \Delta^+ : l^-F(+\infty) = 1\},$$

where $l^-f(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x^-} f(t)$, is a subset of Δ^+ . The space Δ^+ is partially ordered by the usual point-wise ordering of functions, i.e., $F \leq G$ if and only if $F(t) \leq G(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The maximal element for Δ^+ in this order is the distribution function ε_0 given by

$$\varepsilon_0(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we give the definition and theorems that are important in the following.

Theorem 2.1 ([24]). *Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space and let $J : X \rightarrow X$ be a strict contractive mapping with a Lipschitz constant $0 < L < 1$. If there exists a nonnegative integer k such that $d(J^{k+1}x, J^kx) < \infty$ for some $x \in X$, then the followings are true:*

1. the sequence $\{J^n x\}$ converge to a fixed point x^* for J ,
2. x^* is the unique fixed point of J in

$$X^* = \{y \in X, d(J^k x, y) < \infty\},$$

3. if $y \in X^*$, then

$$d(y, x^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(Jy, y).$$

Definition 2.2 ([23]). *A mapping $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous triangular norm (briefly, a continuous t-norm) if T satisfies the following conditions:*

1. T is commutative and associative;
2. T is continuous;
3. $T(a, 1) = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$;
4. $T(a, b) \leq T(c, d)$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Typical examples of continuous t-norms are $T_p(a, b) = ab$, $T_M(a, b) = \min(a, b)$ and $T_L(a, b) = \max(a+b-1, 0)$ (the Lukasiewicz t-norm). Recall (see [25, 26]) that if T is a t-norm and $\{x_n\}$ is a given sequence of numbers in $[0, 1]$, $T_{i=1}^n x_i$ is defined recurrently by $T_{i=1}^1 x_i = x_1$ and $T_{i=1}^n x_i = T(T_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i, x_n) = T(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for $n \geq 1$. $T_{i=n}^\infty x_i$ is defined as $T_{i=1}^\infty x_{n+i}$. It is known([26]) that for the Lukasiewicz t-norm the following holds:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T_L)_{i=1}^\infty x_{n+i} = 1 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x_n) < \infty.$$

Definition 2.3. *By a non-Archimedean field, we mean a field \mathbb{K} equipped with a function (valuation) $|\cdot| : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for all $r, s \in \mathbb{K}$, the following conditions hold:*

1. $|r| = 0$ if and only if $r = 0$;
2. $|rs| = |r||s|$;
3. $|r + s| \leq \max(|r|, |s|)$ for all $r, s \in \mathbb{K}$.

Clearly, $|1| = |-1| = 1$ and $|n| \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The function $|\cdot|$ is called the trivial valuation if $|r| = 1, \forall r \in \mathbb{K}, r \neq 0$, and $|0| = 0$.

Definition 2.4. Let X be a vector space over a scalar field \mathbb{K} with a non-Archimedean non-trivial valuation $|\cdot|$. A function $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is non-Archimedean norm (valuation) if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;
2. $\|rx\| = |r|\|x\|$ for all $r \in \mathbb{K}$ and $x \in X$;
3. $\|x + y\| \leq \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Then, $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a non-Archimedean space. Due to the fact that

$$\|x_m - x_n\| \leq \max\{\|x_{j+1} - x_j\| : m \leq j \leq n - 1\},$$

in which $n > m$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy if and only if $\{x_{n+1} - x_n\}$ converges to zero in a non-Archimedean normed space. In a complete non-Archimedean space, every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Definition 2.5 ([27]). A non-Archimedean random normed space (briefly, non-Archimedean RN-space) is a triple (X, μ, T) , where X is a linear space over a non-Archimedean field \mathbb{K} , T is a continuous t -norm, and μ is a mapping from X into D^+ such that, the following conditions hold:

1. $\mu_x(t) = \varepsilon_0(t)$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;
2. $\mu_{\alpha x}(t) = \mu_x(\frac{t}{|\alpha|})$ for all $x \in X, t \geq 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$;
3. $\mu_{x+y}(\max(t, s)) \geq T(\mu_x(t), \mu_y(s))$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t, s \geq 0$.

It is easy to see that if (3) holds, then (3'): $\mu_{x+y}(t + s) \geq T(\mu_x(t), \mu_y(s))$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t, s \geq 0$.

Every non-Archimedean normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ defines a non-Archimedean RN-space (X, μ, T_M) where

$$\mu_x(t) = \frac{t}{t + \|x\|}$$

for all $t > 0$ and $x \in X$.

Definition 2.6. Let (X, μ, T) be a non-Archimedean RN-space.

1. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent to x in X if for all $t > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{x_n - x}(t) = 1$;
2. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be Cauchy sequence in X if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t > 0$, there exist a positive integer n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$ and $p > 0$, we have

$$\mu_{x_{n+p} - x_n}(t) > 1 - \varepsilon;$$

3. A non-Archimedean RN-space (X, μ, T) is said to be complete (i.e., (X, μ, T) is called a non-Archimedean random Banach space) if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent to a point in X .

Theorem 2.7 ([23]). *If (X, μ, T) is a non-Archimedean RN-space and $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $x_n \rightarrow x$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{x_n}(t) = \mu_x(t)$ almost everywhere.*

3 Stability of Equation (1.3) in non-Archimedean RN-Spaces

In the rest of the paper, we take $f, g, h : X \rightarrow Y$ and we define

$$D_g^h f(x, y) = f(ax + by) - a^2g(x) - b^2h(y) - \frac{ab}{2}[f(x + y) - f(x + \sigma(y))]$$

where a, b in $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

Theorem 3.1 ([28, Theorem 2.1]). *A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies*

$$f(ax + by) = a^2f(x) + b^2f(y) + \frac{ab}{2}[f(x + y) - f(x + \sigma(y))] \tag{3.1}$$

if and only if f satisfies

$$f(x + y) + f(x + \sigma(y)) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) \text{ and } f(x + \sigma(x)) = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Now using fixed point approach to the non-Archimedean RN-space under arbitrary t-norm, we prove the stability of the σ -quadratic functional equation $D_g^h f(x, y) = 0$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let \mathbb{K} be a non-Archimedean field, X be a vector space over \mathbb{K} and (Y, μ, T_M) be a non-Archimedean random Banach space over \mathbb{K} . Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow D^+$ ($\varphi(x, y)$ is denoted by $\varphi_{x,y}$) be a function such that for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \lambda < 4$*

$$\varphi_{2x,2y}(\lambda t) \geq \varphi_{x,y}(t), \tag{3.3}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. If $f, g, h : X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping such that

$$\mu_{D_g^h f(x,y)}(t) \geq \varphi_{x,y}(t), \tag{3.4}$$

and $f(0) = g(0) = h(0) = 0$, then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3.1) and

$$\mu_{f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(x + \sigma(x)) - Q(x)}(t) \geq \phi_{x,x}\left(\frac{4 - \lambda}{4}t\right), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\mu_{g(x)-\frac{1}{2}g(x+\sigma(x))-Q(x)}(t) \geq T_M(\phi_{ax,ax}(\frac{(4-\lambda)a^2}{4}t), T_M(\varphi_{x,0}(a^2t), \varphi_{x+\sigma(x),0}(2a^2t))), \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\mu_{h(x)-\frac{1}{2}h(x+\sigma(x))-Q(x)}(t) \geq T_M(\phi_{bx,bx}(\frac{(4-\lambda)b^2}{4}t), T_M(\varphi_{0,x}(b^2t), \varphi_{0,x+\sigma(x)}(2b^2t))), \quad (3.7)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$, where

$$\phi_{x,y}(t) = T_M(T_M(\psi_{x,y}(t), \psi_{x+\sigma(x),y+\sigma(y)}(2t)), \psi_{x+\sigma(x),y+\sigma(y)}(4t)),$$

and

$$\psi_{x,y}(t) = T_M(\varphi_{\frac{x}{a},\frac{y}{b}}(t), \varphi_{\frac{x}{a},\frac{\sigma(y)}{b}}(t), \varphi_{\frac{x}{a},0}(\frac{t}{2}), \varphi_{0,\frac{y}{b}}(\frac{t}{2})).$$

Moreover

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2n}} (f(2^n x) - \frac{1}{2} f(2^n x + 2^n \sigma(x))).$$

Proof. Putting $y = 0$ in (3.4) we get

$$\mu_{f(ax)-a^2g(x)}(t) \geq \varphi_{x,0}(t) \quad (3.8)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Similarly, for all $y \in X$, we can put $x = 0$ in (3.4) to obtain

$$\mu_{f(by)-b^2h(y)}(t) \geq \varphi_{0,y}(t). \quad (3.9)$$

Also replace y by $\sigma(y)$ in (3.4)

$$\mu_{D_g^h f(x,\sigma(y))}(t) \geq \varphi_{x,\sigma(y)}(t). \quad (3.10)$$

Hence, (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) imply

$$\mu_{D_g^h f(x,y)+D_g^h f(x,\sigma(y))-2D_g^h f(x,0)-2D_g^h f(0,y)}(t) \geq T_M(\mu_{D_g^h f(x,y)}(t), \mu_{D_g^h f(x,\sigma(y))}(t),$$

$$\mu_{2D_g^h f(x,0)}(t), \mu_{2D_g^h f(0,y)}(t)),$$

i.e.,

$$\mu_{D_g^h f(x,y)+D_g^h f(x,\sigma(y))-2D_g^h f(x,0)-2D_g^h f(0,y)}(t) \geq T_M(\varphi_{x,y}(t), \varphi_{x,\sigma(y)}(t), \varphi_{x,0}(\frac{t}{2}), \varphi_{0,y}(\frac{t}{2}))$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Then, we have

$$\mu_{f(ax+by)+f(ax+b\sigma(y))-2f(ax)-2f(by)}(t) \geq T_M(\varphi_{x,y}(t), \varphi_{x,\sigma(y)}(t), \varphi_{x,0}(\frac{t}{2}), \varphi_{0,y}(\frac{t}{2})) \quad (3.11)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Replacing x by $\frac{x}{a}$ and y by $\frac{y}{b}$ in (3.11) we get

$$\mu_{f(x+y)+f(x+\sigma(y))-2f(x)-2f(y)}(t) \geq \psi_{x,y}(t), \quad (3.12)$$

where $\psi_{x,y}(t) = T_M(\varphi_{\frac{x}{a}, \frac{y}{b}}(t), \varphi_{\frac{x}{a}, \frac{\sigma(y)}{b}}(t), \varphi_{\frac{x}{a}, 0}(\frac{t}{2}), \varphi_{0, \frac{y}{b}}(\frac{t}{2}))$.

Also, we can replace x by $x + \sigma(x)$ and y by $y + \sigma(y)$ in (3.12) we get

$$\mu_{f(x+\sigma(x)+y+\sigma(y))+f(x+\sigma(x)+y+\sigma(y))-2f(x+\sigma(x))-2f(y+\sigma(y))}(t) \geq \psi_{x+\sigma(x), y+\sigma(y)}(t) \quad (3.13)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Now we put $F(x) = f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(x + \sigma(x))$ and by (3.12) and (3.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_{F(x+y)+F(x+\sigma(y))-2F(x)-2F(y)}(t) \\ & \geq T_M(\mu_{f(x+y)+f(x+\sigma(y))-2f(x)-2f(y)}(t), \\ & \quad \mu_{-\frac{1}{2}f(x+\sigma(x)+y+\sigma(y))-\frac{1}{2}f(x+\sigma(x)+y+\sigma(y))+f(x+\sigma(x))+f(y+\sigma(y))}(t)) \\ & \geq T_M(\psi_{x,y}(t), \mu_{f(x+\sigma(x)+y+\sigma(y))+f(x+\sigma(x)+y+\sigma(y))-2f(x+\sigma(x))-2f(y+\sigma(y))}(2t)) \\ & \geq T_M(\psi_{x,y}(t), \psi_{x+\sigma(x), y+\sigma(y)}(2t)), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\mu_{F(x+y)+F(x+\sigma(y))-2F(x)-2F(y)}(t) \geq T_M(\psi_{x,y}(t), \psi_{x+\sigma(x), y+\sigma(y)}(2t)). \quad (3.14)$$

If we replace in the first y by x in (3.14) and in the second x and y by $x + \sigma(x)$ in (3.12), we obtain

$$\mu_{F(2x)+F(x+\sigma(x))-4F(x)}(t) \geq T_M(\psi_{x,x}(t), \psi_{x+\sigma(x), x+\sigma(x)}(2t)) \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\mu_{2f(2x+2\sigma(x))-4f(x+\sigma(x))}(t) \geq \psi_{x+\sigma(x), x+\sigma(x)}(t). \quad (3.16)$$

From (3.15) and (3.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{F(2x)-4F(x)}(t) & \geq T_M(\mu_{F(2x)+F(x+\sigma(x))-4F(x)}(t), \mu_{-F(x+\sigma(x))}(t)) \\ & \geq T_M(T_M(\psi_{x,x}(t), \psi_{x+\sigma(x), x+\sigma(x)}(2t)), \mu_{F(x+\sigma(x))}(t)) \\ & = T_M(T_M(\psi_{x,x}(t), \psi_{x+\sigma(x), x+\sigma(x)}(2t)), \mu_{\frac{-1}{4}(2f(2x+2\sigma(x))-4f(x+\sigma(x)))}(t)) \\ & = T_M(T_M(\psi_{x,x}(t), \psi_{x+\sigma(x), x+\sigma(x)}(2t)), \mu_{2f(2x+2\sigma(x))-4f(x+\sigma(x))}(4t)) \\ & \geq T_M(T_M(\psi_{x,x}(t), \psi_{x+\sigma(x), x+\sigma(x)}(2t)), \psi_{x+\sigma(x), x+\sigma(x)}(4t)). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\mu_{F(2x)-4F(x)}(t) \geq \phi_{x,x}(t) \quad (3.17)$$

where $\phi_{x,y}(t) = T_M(T_M(\psi_{x,y}(t), \psi_{x+\sigma(x), y+\sigma(y)}(2t)), \psi_{x+\sigma(x), y+\sigma(y)}(4t))$.

Now, we define the set S by

$$S := \{F : X \rightarrow Y\}$$

and introduce a generalized metric on S as follows

$$d_\phi(F, G) = \inf\{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \mu_{F(x)-G(x)}(\varepsilon t) \geq \phi_{x,x}(t), \forall x \in X, \forall t > 0\}. \quad (3.18)$$

Then, it is easy to verify that (S, d_ϕ) is complete (see [29]). We define an operator $J : S \rightarrow S$ by

$$JL(x) = \frac{L(2x)}{4},$$

for all $x \in X$.

Let $F, G \in S$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ be an arbitrary constant with $d_\phi(F, G) \leq \varepsilon$, that is,

$$\mu_{F(x)-G(x)}(\varepsilon t) \geq \phi_{x,x}(t) \quad (3.19)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{JF(x)-JG(x)}\left(\frac{\lambda\varepsilon t}{4}\right) &= \mu_{\frac{F(2x)}{4}-\frac{G(2x)}{4}}\left(\frac{\lambda\varepsilon t}{4}\right) = \mu_{F(2x)-G(2x)}(\lambda\varepsilon t) \\ &\geq \phi_{2x,2x}(\lambda t) \\ &\geq \phi_{x,x}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$, that is, $d_\phi(JF, JG) \leq \frac{\lambda\varepsilon}{4}$. We hence conclude that

$$d_\phi(JF, JG) \leq \frac{\lambda}{4}d_\phi(F, G)$$

for any $F, G \in S$.

As $0 < \lambda < 4$, then operator J is strictly contractive.

It follows from (3.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{JF(x)-F(x)}\left(\frac{\varepsilon t}{4}\right) &= \mu_{\frac{F(2x)}{4}-F(x)}\left(\frac{\varepsilon t}{4}\right) = \mu_{F(2x)-4F(x)}(\varepsilon t) \\ &\geq \phi_{x,x}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$, that is,

$$d_\phi(JF, F) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} < \infty.$$

By Theorem 2.1, we deduce existence of a fixed point of J , that is, the existence of mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ which is a fixed point of J , such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\phi(J^n F, Q) = 0$. By induction, we can easily show that

$$J^n F(x) = \frac{F(2^n x)}{2^{2n}},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Also $d_\phi(F, Q) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d_\phi(JF, F)$ implies the inequality

$$d_\phi(F, Q) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda}{4}} = \frac{4}{4 - \lambda}.$$

Thus

$$\mu_{F(x)-Q(x)}\left(\frac{4t}{4-\lambda}\right) \geq \phi_{x,x}(t),$$

i.e.,

$$\mu_{F(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \phi_{x,x}\left(\frac{(4-\lambda)t}{4}\right). \quad (3.22)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J^n F(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(2^n x)}{2^{2n}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2n}} (f(2^n x) - \frac{1}{2} f(2^n x + 2^n \sigma(x))) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. Also Q is the unique fixed point of J on the set

$$S^* = \{G \in S : d_\phi(F, G) < \infty\}.$$

It follows from (3.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_{\frac{F(2^n x + 2^n y) + F(2^n x + 2^n \sigma(y)) - 2F(2^n x) - 2F(2^n y)}{2^{2n}}}(t) \quad (3.23) \\ &= \mu_{F(2^n x + 2^n y) + F(2^n x + 2^n \sigma(y)) - 2F(2^n x) - 2F(2^n y)}(2^{2n} t) \\ &\geq T_M(\psi_{2^n x, 2^n y}(2^{2n} t), \psi_{2^n x + 2^n \sigma(x), 2^n y + 2^n \sigma(y)}(2^{2n+1} t)) \\ &\geq T_M(\psi_{x,y}\left(\frac{2^{2n}}{\lambda^n} t\right), \psi_{x+\sigma(x), y+\sigma(y)}\left(\frac{2^{2n+1}}{\lambda^n} t\right)). \end{aligned}$$

As $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_M(\psi_{x,y}\left(\frac{2^{2n}}{\lambda^n} t\right), \psi_{x+\sigma(x), y+\sigma(y)}\left(\frac{2^{2n+1}}{\lambda^n} t\right)) = 1$ then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(2^n x + 2^n y) + F(2^n x + 2^n \sigma(y)) - 2F(2^n x) - 2F(2^n y)}{2^{2n}} = 0.$$

Hence $Q(x+y) + Q(x+\sigma(y)) = 2Q(x) + 2Q(y)$ and $Q(2x) = 4Q(x)$ that is given $Q(x+\sigma(x)) = 0$, so Q is solution of (3.1) and

$$\mu_{f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(x+\sigma(x)) - Q(x)}(t) \geq \phi_{x,x}\left(\frac{4-\lambda}{4}t\right).$$

Now, we put $G(x) = g(x) - \frac{1}{2}g(x+\sigma(x))$ and $H(x) = h(x) - \frac{1}{2}h(x+\sigma(x))$, by (3.8) and (3.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{F(ax) - a^2 G(x)}(t) &= \mu_{f(ax) - \frac{1}{2}f(ax+a\sigma(x)) - a^2(g(x) - \frac{1}{2}g(x+\sigma(x)))}(t) \\ &\geq T_M(\mu_{f(ax) - a^2 g(x)}(t), \mu_{-\frac{1}{2}(f(ax+a\sigma(x)) - a^2 g(x+\sigma(x)))}(t)) \\ &\geq T_M(\varphi_{x,0}(t), \varphi_{x+\sigma(x),0}(2t)), \quad (3.24) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{F(bx)-b^2H(x)}(t) &= \mu_{f(bx)-\frac{1}{2}f(bx+b\sigma(x))-b^2(h(x)-\frac{1}{2}h(x+\sigma(x)))}(t) \\ &\geq T_M(\mu_{f(ax)-b^2h(x)}(t), \mu_{-\frac{1}{2}(f(bx+b\sigma(x))-b^2h(x+\sigma(x)))}(t)) \\ &\geq T_M(\varphi_{0,x}(t), \varphi_{0,x+\sigma(x)}(2t)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

It follows from (3.22), (3.23) and (3.24) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{Q(ax)-a^2G(x)}(t) &\geq T_M(\mu_{Q(ax)-F(ax)}(t), \mu_{F(ax)-a^2G(x)}(t)) \\ &\geq T_M(\phi_{ax,ax}(\frac{4-\lambda}{4}t), T_M(\varphi_{x,0}(t), \varphi_{x+\sigma(x),0}(2t))), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{Q(bx)-b^2H(x)}(t) &\geq T_M(\mu_{Q(bx)-F(bx)}(t), \mu_{F(bx)-b^2H(x)}(t)) \\ &\geq T_M(\phi_{bx,bx}(\frac{4-\lambda}{4}t), T_M(\varphi_{0,x}(t), \varphi_{0,x+\sigma(x)}(2t))). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\mu_{G(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq T_M(\phi_{ax,ax}(\frac{(4-\lambda)a^2}{4}t), T_M(\varphi_{x,0}(a^2t), \varphi_{x+\sigma(x),0}(2a^2t))),$$

and

$$\mu_{H(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq T_M(\phi_{bx,bx}(\frac{(4-\lambda)b^2}{4}t), T_M(\varphi_{0,x}(b^2t), \varphi_{0,x+\sigma(x)}(2b^2t))),$$

that is,

$$\mu_{g(x)-\frac{1}{2}g(x+\sigma(x))-Q(x)}(t) \geq T_M(\phi_{ax,ax}(\frac{(4-\lambda)a^2}{4}t), T_M(\varphi_{x,0}(a^2t), \varphi_{x+\sigma(x),0}(2a^2t))),$$

and

$$\mu_{h(x)-\frac{1}{2}h(x+\sigma(x))-Q(x)}(t) \geq T_M(\phi_{bx,bx}(\frac{(4-\lambda)b^2}{4}t), T_M(\varphi_{0,x}(b^2t), \varphi_{0,x+\sigma(x)}(2b^2t))),$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let \mathbb{K} be a non-Archimedean field, X be a vector space over \mathbb{K} and (Y, μ, T_M) be a non-Archimedean random Banach space over \mathbb{K} . Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow D^+$ ($\varphi(x, y)$ is denoted by $\varphi_{x,y}$) be a function such that for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \lambda < 8$*

$$\varphi_{x,y}(\frac{\lambda}{32}t) \geq \varphi_{2x,2y}(t),$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. If $f, g, h : X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping such that

$$\mu_{D_g^h f(x,y)}(t) \geq \varphi_{x,y}(t), \tag{3.26}$$

and $f(0) = g(0) = h(0) = 0$, then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3.1) and

$$\mu_{f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(x+\sigma(x)) - Q(x)}(t) \geq \phi_{x,x}\left(\frac{8-\lambda}{8}t\right), \quad (3.27)$$

$$\mu_{g(x) - \frac{1}{2}g(x+\sigma(x)) - Q(x)}(t) \geq T_M\left(\phi_{ax,ax}\left(\frac{(8-\lambda)a^2}{8}t\right), T_M(\varphi_{x,0}(a^2t), \varphi_{x+\sigma(x),0}(2a^2t))\right),$$

and

$$\mu_{h(x) - \frac{1}{2}h(x+\sigma(x)) - Q(x)}(t) \geq T_M\left(\phi_{bx,bx}\left(\frac{(8-\lambda)b^2}{8}t\right), T_M(\varphi_{0,x}(b^2t), \varphi_{0,x+\sigma(x)}(2b^2t))\right),$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$, where

$$\phi_{x,y}(t) = T_M(T_M(\psi_{x,y}(t), \psi_{x+\sigma(x),y+\sigma(y)}(2t)), \psi_{x+\sigma(x),y+\sigma(y)}(4t)),$$

and

$$\psi_{x,y}(t) = T_M\left(\varphi_{\frac{x}{a}, \frac{y}{b}}(t), \varphi_{\frac{x}{a}, \frac{\sigma(y)}{b}}(t), \varphi_{\frac{x}{a}, 0}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right), \varphi_{0, \frac{y}{b}}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right).$$

Moreover

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2n}}(f(2^n x) - \frac{1}{2}f(2^n x + 2^n \sigma(x))).$$

Proof. It is enough to define an operator $J : S \rightarrow S$ by

$$JL(x) = 4L\left(\frac{x}{2}\right).$$

The result will be obtained from argument as in proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

Corollary 3.4. Let \mathbb{K} be a non-Archimedean field, X be a vector space over \mathbb{K} and (Y, μ, T_M) be a non-Archimedean random Banach space over \mathbb{K} . Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow D^+$ ($\varphi(x, y)$ is denoted by $\varphi_{x,y}$) be a function such that for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \lambda < 4$

$$\varphi_{2x,2y}(\lambda t) \geq \varphi_{x,y}(t), \quad (3.28)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. If $f, g, h : X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping such that

$$\mu_{f(ax+by) - a^2g(x) - b^2h(y) - \frac{\lambda}{2}(f(x+y) - f(x-y))}(t) \geq \varphi_{x,y}(t), \quad (3.29)$$

and $f(0) = g(0) = h(0) = 0$, then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3.1) and

$$\mu_{f(x) - Q(x)}(t) \geq \phi_{x,x}\left(\frac{4-\lambda}{4}t\right), \quad (3.30)$$

$$\mu_{g(x) - Q(x)}(t) \geq T_M\left(\phi_{ax,ax}\left(\frac{(4-\lambda)a^2}{4}t\right), T_M(\varphi_{x,0}(a^2t), \varphi_{0,0}(2a^2t))\right),$$

and

$$\mu_{h(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq T_M(\phi_{bx,bx}(\frac{(4-\lambda)b^2}{4}t), T_M(\varphi_{0,x}(b^2t), \varphi_{0,0}(2b^2t))),$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$, where

$$\phi_{x,y}(t) = T_M(T_M(\psi_{x,y}(t), \psi_{0,0}(2t)), \psi_{0,0}(4t)),$$

and

$$\psi_{x,y}(t) = T_M(\varphi_{\frac{x}{a}, \frac{y}{b}}(t), \varphi_{\frac{x}{a}, -\frac{y}{b}}(t), \varphi_{\frac{x}{a}, 0}(\frac{t}{2}), \varphi_{0, \frac{y}{b}}(\frac{t}{2})).$$

Moreover

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2n}} f(2^n x).$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.2 and $\sigma(x) = -x$ we get the result. □

Corollary 3.5. *Let \mathbb{K} be a non-Archimedean field, X be a vector space over \mathbb{K} and (Y, μ, T_M) be a non-Archimedean random Banach space over \mathbb{K} . Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow D^+$ ($\varphi(x, y)$ is denoted by $\varphi_{x,y}$) be a function such that for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \lambda < 8$*

$$\varphi_{x,y}(\frac{\lambda}{32}t) \geq \varphi_{2x,2y}(t),$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. If $f, g, h : X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping such that

$$\mu_{f(ax+by)-a^2g(x)-b^2h(y)-\frac{a^2}{2}(f(x+y)-f(x-y))}(t) \geq \varphi_{x,y}(t), \tag{3.31}$$

and $f(0) = g(0) = h(0) = 0$, then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3.1) and

$$\mu_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \phi_{x,x}(\frac{8-\lambda}{8}t), \tag{3.32}$$

$$\mu_{g(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq T_M(\phi_{ax,ax}(\frac{(8-\lambda)a^2}{8}t), T_M(\varphi_{x,0}(a^2t), \varphi_{0,0}(2a^2t))),$$

and

$$\mu_{h(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq T_M(\phi_{bx,bx}(\frac{(8-\lambda)b^2}{8}t), T_M(\varphi_{0,x}(b^2t), \varphi_{0,0}(2b^2t))),$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$, where

$$\phi_{x,y}(t) = T_M(T_M(\psi_{x,y}(t), \psi_{0,0}(2t)), \psi_{0,0}(4t)),$$

and

$$\psi_{x,y}(t) = T_M(\varphi_{\frac{x}{a}, \frac{y}{b}}(t), \varphi_{\frac{x}{a}, -\frac{y}{b}}(t), \varphi_{\frac{x}{a}, 0}(\frac{t}{2}), \varphi_{0, \frac{y}{b}}(\frac{t}{2})).$$

Moreover

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2n}} f(2^n x).$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.3 and $\sigma(x) = -x$ we get the result. □

Acknowledgement(s) : The authors are thankful to anonymous referees for valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] S.M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1964.
- [2] D.H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 27 (4) (1941) 222-224.
- [3] T. Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 2 (1950) 64-66.
- [4] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 72 (1978) 297-300.
- [5] P. Găvruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 184 (3) (1994) 431-436.
- [6] F. Skof, Local properties and approximation of operators, *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico di Milano* 53 (1983) 113-129.
- [7] P.W. Cholewa, Remarks on the stability of functional equations, *Aequationes Mathematicae* 27 (1)(2) (1984) 76-86.
- [8] S. Czerwik, On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces, *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg* 62 (1992) 59-64.
- [9] C.G. Park, On the stability of the quadratic mapping in Banach modules, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 276 (1) (2002) 135-144.
- [10] B. Bouikhalene, E. Elqorachi, Th.M. Rassias, On the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation with a general involution, *Non-linear Funct. Anal. Appl.* 12 (2007) 247-262.
- [11] L. Cadariu, V. Radu, On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach, in *Iteration Theory*, vol. 346 of *Grazer Mathematische Berichte*, pp. 43-52, Karl-Franzens-Universität Graz, Graz, Austria, 2004.
- [12] A. Charifi, B. Bouikhalene, E. Elqorachi, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a generalized Pexider functional equation, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* 1 (2007) 176-185.
- [13] G. Isac, Th.M. Rassias, Stability of additive mappings: applications to nonlinear analysis, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences* 19 (2) (1996) 219-228.
- [14] K.W. Jun, Y.H. Lee, On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a pexiderized quadratic inequality, *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications* 4 (1) (2001) 93-118.

- [15] S.M. Jung, On the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equations that have the quadratic property, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 222 (1)(1998) 126-137.
- [16] M. Mirzavaziri, M.S. Moslehian, Fixed point approach to stability of a quadratic equation, *Bulletin of the Brazilian Mathematical Society* 37 (3) (2006) 361-376.
- [17] C.G. Park, Th. M. Rassias, Hyers-Ulam stability of a generalized Apollonius type quadratic mapping, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 322 (1) (2006) 371-381.
- [18] C. Park, Generalized Hyers-Ulam Stability of Quadratic Functional Equations: A Fixed Point Approach, Hindawi Publishing Corporation Fixed Point Theory and Applications Volume 2008.
- [19] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 251 (1) (2000) 264-284.
- [20] A. Najati, C. Park, Fixed Points and Stability of a Generalized Quadratic Functional Equation, Hindawi Publishing Corporation *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* Volume 2009, Article ID 193035, 19 pages doi:10.1155/2009/193035.
- [21] D. Mihet, R. Saadati, S.M. Vaezpour, The stability of the quartic functional equation in random normed spaces, *Acta Appl. Math.* In press.
- [22] R. Saadati, S.M. Vaezpour, Y.J. Cho, note to paper " On the stability of cubic mappings and quartic mappings in random normed spaces, *Jour. Inequal. Appl.* vol. 2009, Article ID 214530, 6 pages, 2009.
- [23] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Probabilistic Metric Spaces, North-Holland Series in Probability and Applied Mathematics, North-Holland, New York, NY, USA, 1983.
- [24] J.B. Diaz, B. Margolis, Fixed point theorem of the alternative, for contractions on a generalized complete metric space, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* 74 (1968) 305-309.
- [25] O. Hadžić, E. Pap, Fixed Point Theory in PM Spaces, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001.
- [26] O. Hadžić, E. Pap, M. Budincevic, Countable extension of triangular norm and their applications to the fixed point theory in probabilistic metric spaces, *Kybernetika* 38 (3) (2002) 363-381.
- [27] A.N. Sherstnev, On the notion of a random normed space, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 149 (1963) 280-283 (in Russian).
- [28] Iz. EL-Fassi, N. Bounader, A. Chahbi, S. Kabbaj, on the stability of σ quadratic functional equation, *Jyoti Academic Press* 2 (2) (2013) 61-76, ISSN 2319 - 6939.

- [29] D. Mihet, V. Radu, Generalized pseudo-metric and fixed points in probabilistic metric space, *carpathian Journal of Mathematics* 23 (1)(2) (2007) 126-132.

(Received 30 September 2013)

(Accepted 14 January 2015)