

Matrix Transformations of Orlicz Sequence Spaces

S. Suantai

Abstract: In this paper, we give the matrix characterizations from the Nakano vector-valued sequence space $\ell(X, p)$ into the Orlicz sequence space ℓ_M and by applying this result we also obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for infinite matrices mapping the sequence spaces $F_r(X, p)$ and $M_0(X, p)$ into ℓ_M , where $p = (p_k)$ is a bounded sequence of positive real numbers such that $p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in N$ and $r \geq 0$.

Keywords: Matrix transformations, Orlicz sequence space, Nakano sequence space.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46A45

1 Introduction

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space and $p = (p_k)$ a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. We write $x = (x_k)$ with x_k in X for all $k \in N$. The X -valued sequence spaces $c_0(X, p)$, $c(X, p)$, $\ell_\infty(X, p)$, $\ell(X, p)$, $F_r(X, p)$, $E_r(X, p)$, and $M_0(X, p)$ are defined by

$$c_0(X, p) = \{x = (x_k) : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k\|^{p_k} = 0\},$$

$$c(X, p) = \{x = (x_k) : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - a\|^{p_k} = 0 \text{ for some } a \in X\},$$

$$\ell_\infty(X, p) = \{x = (x_k) : \sup_k \|x_k\|^{p_k} < \infty\},$$

$$\ell(X, p) = \{x = (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|^{p_k} < \infty\},$$

$$F_r(X, p) = \{x = (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^r \|x_k\|^{p_k} < \infty\},$$

$$E_r(X, p) = \{x = (x_k) : \sup_k \|x_k\|^{p_k} / k^r < \infty\},$$

$$M_0(X, p) = \{x = (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n^{-1/p_k} \|x_k\| < \infty \text{ for some } n \in N \}.$$

When $X = R$ the corresponding spaces are written as $c_0(p)$, $c(p)$, $\ell_\infty(p)$, $\ell(p)$, $F_r(p)$, $E_r(p)$, and $M_0(p)$, respectively and the first three spaces are known as *the sequence spaces of Maddox*. These spaces were first introduced and studied by Simons [12], Maddox [6, 7], and Nakano [10]. When $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in N$, the space $F_r(p)$ is written as F_r . This space was first defined by Cooke [2]. The space $M_0(p)$ was defined by Grosse-Erdmann [3].

The structure of sequence spaces $c_0(p)$, $c(p)$, $\ell(p)$, and $\ell_\infty(p)$ have been investigated in [3]. Grosse-Erdmann [4] has given characterizations of matrix transformations between scalar-valued sequence spaces of Maddox. Wu and Liu [16] deal with the problem of characterizations of infinite matrices mapping $c_0(X, p)$ and $\ell_\infty(X, p)$ into $c_0(q)$ and $\ell_\infty(q)$.

Suantai [13], and Suantai and Sudsukh [15] gave the matrix characterizations from $\ell(X, p)$ into ℓ_∞ and E_r . The problem of matrix transformations concerning the Orlicz sequence space was done by Suantai [14]. In that work, he gave necessary and sufficient conditions for infinite matrices mapping $\ell_\infty(X, p)$ and $c_0(X)$ into the Orlicz sequence space. In this paper we consider the problem of characterizing those infinite matrices mapping $\ell(X, p)$, $F_r(X, p)$ and $M_0(X, p)$ into the Orlicz sequence space. Because the Orlicz sequence space is a generalization of the ℓ_r space, so we also obtain characterizations of infinite matrices mapping those vector-valued sequence spaces into the ℓ_r space as a special case.

2 Notation and Definitions

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space, the space of all sequences in X is denoted by $W(X)$ and $\Phi(X)$ is denoted for the space of all finite sequences in X . When $X = R$, the corresponding spaces are written as W and Φ .

A sequence spaces in X is a linear subspace of $W(X)$. Let E be any X -valued sequence space. For $x \in E$ and $k \in N$, we write x_k stands for the k^{th} term of X . For $k \in N$ denote by e_k the sequence $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ with 1 in the k^{th} position and by e the sequence $(1, 1, 1, \dots)$. For $x \in X$ and $k \in N$, let $e^k(x)$ be the sequence $(0, 0, \dots, 0, x, 0, \dots)$ with x in the k^{th} position and let $e(x)$ be the sequence (x, x, x, \dots) . For a fixed scalar sequence $\mu = (\mu_k)$ the sequence space E_μ is defined by

$$E_\mu = \{x \in W(X) : (\mu_k x_k) \in E\}.$$

The sequence space E is called normal if $x \in E$ and $y \in W(X)$ with $\|y_k\| \leq \|x_k\|$ for all $k \in N$ implies that $y \in E$.

Let $A = (f_k^n)$ with f_k^n in X' , the topological dual of X . Suppose that E is a space of X -valued sequences and F a space of scalar-valued sequences. Then A is said to map E into F , written by $A : E \rightarrow F$ if for each $x = (x_k) \in E$, $A_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k^n(x_k)$ converges for each $n \in N$, and the sequence $Ax = (A_n(x)) \in F$. We denote by (E, F) the set of all infinite matrices mapping E into F . If $u = (u_k)$ and $v = (v_k)$ are scalar sequences, let

$${}_u(E, F)_v = \{ A = (f_k^n) : (u_n v_k f_k^n)_{n,k} \in (E, F) \}$$

If $u_k \neq 0$ for all $k \in N$, we write $u^{-1} = (\frac{1}{u^k})$.

Suppose that the X -valued sequence space E is endowed with some linear topology τ . Then E is called a K -space if for each $k \in N$ the k^{th} coordinate mapping $p_k : E \rightarrow X$, defined by $p_k(x) = x_k$, is continuous on E . If, in addition, (E, τ) is an Fréchet (Banach, LF-, LB-) space, then E is called an FK - (BK -, LFK -, LBK -) space. Now, suppose that E contains $\Phi(X)$. Then E is said to have property AB if the set $\{ \sum_{k=1}^n e^k(x_k) : n \in N \}$ is bounded in E for every $x = (x_k) \in E$. It is said to have property AK if $\sum_{k=1}^n e^k(x_k) \rightarrow x$ in E as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $x = (x_k) \in E$. It has property AD if $\Phi(X)$ is dense in E .

The space $\ell(p)$ is an FK -space with AK under the paranorm $g(x) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^{p_k} \right)^{1/K}$, where $K = \max\{1, \sup_k p_k\}$ (see [8]). The space $c_0(p)$ is an FK -space with AK , $c(p)$ is an FK -space and $\ell_{\infty}(p)$ is a complete LBK -space with AB (see [3, 8]). It is known that the space $\ell(X, p)$ is an FK -space with AK under the paranorm $g(x) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|^{p_k} \right)^{1/K}$, where $K = \max\{1, \sup_k p_k\}$.

A function $M : R \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be an Orlicz function if it is even, convex, continuous and vanishing only at 0. We define the Orlicz sequence space by the formula

$$\ell_M = \{ x = (x_k) \in \ell^0 : \rho_M(cx) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M(cx_k) < \infty \text{ for some } c > 0 \}$$

where ℓ^0 stands for the space of all real sequences. We consider ℓ_M equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : \rho_M(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \leq 1 \}.$$

Let h_M denote the subspace order continuous elements, i.e

$$h_M = \{x = (x_k) : \rho_M(cx) < \infty \text{ for any } c > 0 \}.$$

It is known that ℓ_M is a BK-space and h_M is a closed subspace of ℓ_M .

We say that an Orlicz function M satisfies the δ_2 condition ($M \in \delta_2$ for short) if there exist constants $k \geq 2$ and $u_0 > 0$ such that

$$M(2u) \leq KM(u)$$

whenever $|u| \leq u_0$.

It is known that if $M \in \delta_2$, then $h_M = \ell_M$ (see [1]). For more details on Orlicz sequence space we refer to [1], [5], [9], and [11].

Now let us quote some known results that will be used to reduce our problems into simple forms.

Proposition 2.1 *Let E and $E_n (n \in N)$ be X -valued sequence spaces, and F and $F_n (n \in N)$ scalar sequence spaces, and let u and v be sequences of real numbers with $u_k \neq 0, v_k \neq 0$ for all $k \in N$. Then we have*

$$(i) (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n, F) = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} (E_n, F)$$

$$(ii) (E, \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n) = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} (E, F_n)$$

$$(iii) (E_u, F_v) = {}_v(E, F)_{u^{-1}},$$

$$(iv) (E_1 + E_2, F) = (E_1, F) \cap (E_2, F),$$

$$(v) (E, F_1) = (E, F_2) \cap (\Phi(X), F_1) \text{ if } E \text{ is an FK-space with AD, } F_2 \text{ is an FK-space and } F_1 \text{ is a closed subspace of } F_2.$$

Proof. See [14, Proposition 2.1]. □

Proposition 2.2 *Let M be an Orlicz function and $x \in \ell_M$.*

$$(i) \text{ If } \|x\| \leq 1, \text{ then } \rho_M(x) \leq \|x\|.$$

$$(ii) \text{ If } \|x\| > 1, \text{ then } \rho_M(x) > \|x\|.$$

$$(iii) \text{ If } M \in \delta_2, \text{ then } \|x\| = 1 \implies \rho_M(x) = 1.$$

Proof. See [1, Theorem 1.38 and Theorem 1.39]. □

3 Main Results

We now turn to our main objective. We begin with giving characterizations of infinite matrices mapping the Nakano vector-valued sequence space $\ell(X, p)$ into the Orlicz sequence space.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers with $p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in N$ and $A = (f_k^n)$ an infinite matrix. Then $A \in (\ell(X, p), \ell_M)$ if and only if*

- (1) *for each $k \in N$, $(f_k^n(x))_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_M$ for all $x \in X$ and*
- (2) *there exists $m_0 \in N$ such that*

$$\sup_k \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (M \circ (m_0^{-1/p_k} f_k^n))(x) \leq 1 .$$

Proof. Suppose that $A \in (\ell(X, p), \ell_M)$. Since $e^k(x) \in \ell(X, p)$ for all $x \in X$ and all $k \in N$, we have $Ae^k(x) \in \ell_M$, so (1) is obtained. Now, we shall show that the condition (2) is satisfied. By Zeller's theorem, we have that $A : \ell(X, p) \rightarrow \ell_M$ is continuous. Then there exists $m_0 \in N$ such that

$$x = (x_k) \in \ell(X, p), \quad \|x\| \leq \frac{1}{m_0} \Rightarrow \|Ax\| \leq 1 . \quad (3.1)$$

Let $x \in X$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$ and $k \in N$. We have $m_0^{-1/p_k} e^k(x) \in \ell(X, p)$ and $\|m_0^{-1/p_k} e^k(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{m_0}$. By (3.1) we have $\|(m_0^{-1/p_k} f_k^n(x))_{n=1}^\infty\| = \|A(m_0^{-1/p_k} e^k(x))\| \leq$

1. By Proposition 2.2 (1) we obtain that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty M(m_0^{-1/p_k} f_k^n(x)) \leq 1$. This implies that

$$\sup_k \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (M \circ (m_0^{-1/p_k} f_k^n))(x) \leq 1 ,$$

so that (2) holds.

Conversely, assume that the conditions (1) and (2) hold. By (2), there is $m_0 \in N$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M((m_0^{-1/p_k} f_k^n(x))) \leq 1 \text{ for all } k \in N \text{ and all } x \in X \text{ with } \|x\| \leq 1.$$

It follows from Proposition 2.2 (1) that

$$\|A(m_0^{-1/p_k} e^k(x))\| = \|(m_0^{-1/p_k} f_k^n(x))_{n=1}^\infty\| \leq 1$$

for all $k \in N$ and all $x \in X$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$. Hence, for $x \in X$ with $x \neq 0$, we have

$$\|A(m_0^{-1/p_k} e^k(x))\| = \|(m_0^{-1/p_k} f_k^n(x))_{n=1}^\infty\| \leq \|x\|. \quad (3.2)$$

Let $x = (x_k) \in \ell(X, p)$ and $k \in N$. By (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ae^k(x_k)\| &= \|A(m_0^{1/p_k} (m_0^{-1/p_k} e^k(x_k)))\| \\ &= m_0^{1/p_k} \|A(m_0^{-1/p_k} e^k(x_k))\| \\ &\leq m_0^{1/p_k} \|x_k\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Since $(m_0^{1/p_k} x_k) \in \ell(X, p)$, we have $(m_0^{1/p_k} x_k) \in c_0(X, p) \subseteq c_0(X)$, hence there is a $k_0 \in N$ such that $m_0^{1/p_k} \|x_k\| < 1$ for all $k > k_0$. Since $0 < p_k \leq 1$, we obtain

$$m_0^{1/p_k} \|x_k\| \leq (m_0^{1/p_k} \|x_k\|)^{p_k} = m_0 \|x_k\|^{p_k} \quad (3.4)$$

for all $k > k_0$.

It follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \|Ae^k(x_k)\| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty m_0^{1/p_k} \|x_k\| \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} m_0^{1/p_k} \|x_k\| + \sum_{k=k_0+1}^\infty m_0^{1/p_k} \|x_k\| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} m_0^{1/p_k} \|x_k\| + m_0 \sum_{k=k_0+1}^\infty \|x_k\|^{p_k} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\sum_{k=1}^\infty Ae^k(x_k)$ converges absolutely in ℓ_M . Since ℓ_M is Banach, $\sum_{k=1}^\infty Ae^k(x_k)$ converges in ℓ_M . Let $y = (y_k) \in \ell_M$ be the sum of the series $\sum_{k=1}^\infty Ae^k(x_k)$. By the continuity of p_m , we have for each $m \in N$,

$$y_m = p_m(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n p_m(Ae^k(x_k)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k^m(x_k)$$

This implies that Ax exists and $(Ax)_m = \sum_{k=1}^\infty f_k^m(x_k) = y_m$, so that $Ax \in \ell_M$. \square

Theorem 3.2 *Let $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers with $p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in N$ and $A = (f_k^n)$ an infinite matrix. Then $A \in (\ell(X, p), h_M)$ if and only if*

- (1) for each $k \in N$, $(f_k^n(x))_{n=1}^\infty \in h_M$ for all $x \in X$ and
 (2) there exists $m_0 \in N$ such that

$$\sup_k \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (M \circ (m_0^{-1/p_k} f_k^n))(x) \leq 1 .$$

Proof. Since h_M is a closed subspace of ℓ_M , the theorem is obtained directly by applications of Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 2.1(v). \square

When $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in N$, the following result is obtained directly by Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.3 For an infinite matrix $A = (f_k^n)$, $A \in (\ell(X), \ell_M)$ if and only if

- (1) for each $k \in N$, $(f_k^n(x))_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_M$ for all $x \in X$ and
 (2) there exists $m_0 \in N$ such that

$$\sup_k \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (M \circ (m_0^{-1} f_k^n))(x) \leq 1$$

\square

When $M(t) = |t|^r$, $r \geq 1$, we have $\ell_M = \ell_r$. By an application of Theorem 3.1 the following result is obtained.

Corollary 3.4 Let $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers with $p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in N$ and $r \geq 1$. Then for an infinite matrix $A = (f_k^n)$, $A \in (\ell(X, p), \ell_r)$ if and only if

- (1) for each $k \in N$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_k^n(x)|^r < \infty$ for all $x \in X$ and
 (2) there exists $m_0 \in N$ such that

$$\sup_k \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |m_0^{-1/p_k} f_k^n(x)|^r \leq 1 .$$

When $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in N$, the following result is obtained directly from Corollary 3.4.

Corollary 3.5 For $r \geq 1$ and for an infinite matrix $A = (f_k^n)$, $A \in (\ell(X), \ell_r)$ if and only if

- (1) for each $k \in N$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_k^n(x)|^r < \infty$ for all $x \in X$ and
 (2) $\sup_k \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_k^n(x)|^r < \infty$.

Theorem 3.6 Let $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers with $p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in N$ and $A = (f_k^n)$ an infinite matrix. Then $A \in (M_0(X, p), \ell_M)$ if and only if

- (1) for each $m \in N$ and $k \in N$, $(m^{1/p_k} f_k^n(x))_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_M$ for all $x \in X$ and
 (2) for each $m \in N$, there exists $r_m \in N$ such that

$$\sup_k \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (M \circ (r_m^{-1} m^{1/p_k} f_k^n))(x) \leq 1.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $M_0(X, p) = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(X, p)_{(n^{-1/p_k})}$, so it implies by Proposition 3.1 (i) that

$$A \in (M_0(X, p), \ell_M) \iff A \in (\ell(X)_{(m^{-1/p_k})}, \ell_M) \text{ for all } m \in N.$$

By Proposition 2.1(iii), we have, for each $m \in N$,

$$A \in (\ell(X)_{(m^{-1/p_k})}, \ell_M) \iff (m^{1/p_k} f_k^n)_{n,k} \in (\ell(X), \ell_M).$$

This implies by Theorem 3.3 that

$$A \in (M_0(X, p), \ell_M) \iff (1) \text{ and } (2) \text{ are satisfied.}$$

□

By putting $M(t) = |t|^r$, where $r \geq 1$, Theorem 3.6 yields the following result.

Corollary 3.7 Let $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers with $p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in N$ and $r \geq 1$. Then for an infinite matrix $A = (f_k^n)$, $A \in (M_0(X, p), \ell_r)$ if and only if

- (1) for each $m \in N$ and $k \in N$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |m^{1/p_k} f_k^n(x)|^r < \infty$ and

(2) for each $m \in N$, there exists $r_m \in N$ such that

$$\sup_k \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |r_m^{-1} m^{1/p_k} f_k^n(x)|^r \leq 1 .$$

Theorem 3.8 Let $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers with $p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in N$, $r \geq 0$ and $A = (f_k^n)$ an infinite matrix. Then $A \in (F_r(X, p), \ell_M)$ if and only if

(1) for each $k \in N$, $(k^{-r/p_k} f_k^n(x))_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_M$ for all $x \in X$ and

(2) there exists $m_0 \in N$ such that

$$\sup_k \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (M \circ (m_0^{-1/p_k} k^{-r/p_k} f_k^n))(x) \leq 1 .$$

Proof. Since $F_r(X, p) = \ell(X, p)_{(k^r/p_k)}$, it follows from Proposition 2.1 (iii) that

$$A \in (F_r(X, p), \ell_M) \iff (k^{-r/p_k} f_k^n)_{n,k} \in (\ell(X, p), \ell_M) .$$

It implies by Theorem 4.1 that

$$(k^{-r/p_k} f_k^n)_{n,k} \in (\ell(X, p), \ell_M) \iff \text{the conditions (1) and (2) hold.}$$

□

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Thailand Research Fund for the financial support during the preparation of this paper.

References

- [1] S.T. Chen, *geometry of Orlicz spaces*, Dissertationes Math(**356**), 1996.
- [2] R.G. Cooke, *Infinite Matrices and Sequence Spaces*, London : Macmillan, 1950.
- [3] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, The structure of the sequence spaces of Maddox, *Canad. J. Math.*, (1992),298-307.

- [4] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann , Matrix transformations between the sequence spaces of Maddox,*J. of Math. Anal. Appl.*,**180**(1993), 223-238.
- [5] M.A. Krasnoselskii and Ya. B. Rutickii,*Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, Nordhoff Groningen,1961.
- [6] I.J. Maddox. Spaces of strongly summable sequences,*Quart.J. Math. Oxford*, Ser. (2) **18**(1967), 345 -355.
- [7] I.J. Maddox , Paranormed sequence spaces generated by infinite matrices,*Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* ,**64**(1968),335 - 340.
- [8] I.J. Maddox,*Elements of Functional Analysis* ,Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London, New York, Melbourne , 1970.
- [9] J. Musielak ,*Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1034, Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [10] H. Nakano, Modulated sequence spaces,*Proc. Japan. Acad.*,**27**(1951), 508-512.
- [11] M.M. Rao and Z.D. Ren,*Theory of Orlicz Spaces* , Marcel Dekker Inc. New York-Basel-Hong Kong , 1991.
- [12] S. Simons, The spaces $\ell(p_v)$ and $m(p_v)$, *Proc. London. Math. Soc.*, **15**(1965),422-436.
- [13] S. Suantai, On Matrix Transformations Related to Nakano Vector-Valued Sequence Space, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, **91**, (3)(1999), 221 - 226 .
- [14] S. Suantai, Matrix Transformations of Some Vector-Valued Sequence Spaces ,*Marcel Dekker*, Inc. New York - Basel **213**(2000),489 - 495.
- [15] S. Suantai and C. Sudsukh, Matrix Transformations of Nakano Vector-Valued Sequence Spaces, *Kyungpook Math. J.* **40** (1)(2000),93-97 .
- [16] C.X. Wu and L. Liu, Matrix transformations on some vector-valued sequence spaces, *SEA. Bull. Math.*, **17**,1(1993),83-96.

(Received 5 June 2003)

Suthep Sauntai,
Department of Mathematics,
Chiangmai University,
Chiangmai 50200, Thailand
E-mail: scmti005@chiangmai.ac.th