Thai Journal of Mathematics Volume 12 (2014) Number 3 : 591–600

http://thaijmath.in.cmu.ac.th ISSN 1686-0209



A Common Fixed Point Theorem in Fuzzy Metric Space using the Property (CLRg)

Manish Jain^{\dagger ,1} and Sanjay Kumar^{\ddagger}

[†]Department of Mathematics, Ahir College Rewari 123401, India e-mail : manish_261283@rediffmail.com [‡]Department of Mathematics, DCRUST Murthal, Sonepat, India e-mail : sanjuciet@rediffmail.com

Abstract : In this paper, we generalize the results of Kumar and Fisher [S. Kumar, B. Fisher, A common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space using property (E.A.) and implicit relation, Thai J. Math. 8 (3) (2010) 439–446.] using weakly compatible mappings along with property (CLRg). We also provide an example in support our result.

Keywords : fuzzy metric space; common fixed point; weakly compatible maps; implicit relation; property (CLRg).

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 54H25; 47H10.

1 Introduction

It proved a turning point in the development of mathematics when the notion of fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh [1]. This notion laid the foundation of fuzzy mathematics. Kramosil and Michalek [2] introduced the notion of a fuzzy metric space by generalizing the concept of the probabilistic metric space to the fuzzy situation. George and Veeramani [3] modified the concept of fuzzy metric spaces introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [2]. There are many view points of the notion of the metric space in fuzzy topology for instance one can refer to Kaleva

Copyright 2014 by the Mathematical Association of Thailand. All rights reserved.

¹Corresponding author.

and Seikkala [4], Kramosil and Michalek [2] and George and Veeramani [3]. This proved a milestone in fixed point point theory of fuzzy metric space and afterwards a flood of papers appeared for fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space.

Mishra et al. [5] introduced the concept of compatible maps in FM-spaces which was further generalised by Singh and Jain [6] by introducing the notion of weak compatibility in FM-spaces. In 2002, Aamri and Moutawakil [7] introduced property (E.A.), which is a true generalization of non-compatible maps in metric spaces. Common fixed points for a pair of maps under the notion of property (E.A.) and non-compatible maps were studied by Pant and Pant [8]. Recently, Sintunavarat and Kuman [9] introduced a new concept of property (CLRg). The importance of property (CLRg) ensures that one does not require the closeness of range subspaces and hence, now a days, authors are giving much attention to this property for generalizing the results present in the literature. Works noted in the references [10–14] are some examples.

Popa [15, 16] introduced the idea of implicit function to prove a common fixed point theorem in metric spaces. Jain [17] further extended the result of Popa [15, 16] in fuzzy metric spaces. Afterwards, implicit relations are used as a tool for finding common fixed point of contraction maps (see, [18–23]). Altun and Turkoglu [24] proved two common fixed point theorems on complete FM-space with an implicit relation. In [24], common fixed point theorems have been proved for continuous compatible maps of type (α) or (β). Kumar and Fisher [25] generalized the results of Altun and Turkoglu [24] by removing the assumption of continuity, relaxing compatibility to weak compatibility and replacing the completeness of the space with a set of four alternative conditions for functions satisfying an implicit relation in FM-space. Our aim is to further generalize the result of Kumar and Fisher [25] by using the property (CLRg) and relaxing many conditions involved.

2 Preliminaries

Before we give our main result we need the following definitions:

Definition 2.1 ([1]). A fuzzy set A in X is a function with domain X and values in [0, 1].

Definition 2.2 ([26]). A binary operation $* : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous *t*-norm if ([0, 1], *) is a topological abelian monoid with unit 1 s.t. $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.3 ([3]). The 3-tuple (X, M, *) is called a *fuzzy metric space* if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

(FM-1) M(x, y, 0) > 0,

- (FM-2) M(x, y, t) = 1 iff x = y,
- (FM-3) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t),

(FM-4)
$$M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \le M(x, z, t+s),$$

 $(\text{FM-5}) \ M(x,y,.): (0,\infty) \to [0,1] \text{ is continuous, for all } x,y,z \in X \text{ and } s,t > 0.$

Throughout this paper, we consider M to be a fuzzy metric space with condition:

(FM-6) $\lim_{t\to\infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and t > 0.

Definition 2.4 ([3]). Let (X, M, *) be fuzzy metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be

- (i) Convergent to a point $x \in X$, if $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$ for all t > 0;
- (ii) Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$, for all t > 0 and p > 0.

Definition 2.5 ([3]). A fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) is said to be *complete* if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Lemma 2.6 ([27]). $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 2.7 ([27]). Let $x_n \to x$ and $y_n \to y$, then

- (i) $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_n, y_n, t) \ge M(x, y, t)$, for all t > 0,
- (ii) $\lim_{n\to\infty} (x_n, y_n, t) = M(x, y, t)$, for all t > 0, if M(x, y, t) is continuous.

Lemma 2.8 ([5]). If for all $x, y \in X$, t > 0 and for a number $k \in (0, 1)$;

$$M(x, y, kt) \ge M(x, y, t), \quad then \ x = y.$$

Definition 2.9 ([5]). Let A and B be maps from a FM-space (X, M, \cdot) into itself. The maps A and B are said to be *compatible* (or *asymptotically commuting*), if for all t,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(AB_{xn}, BA_{xn}, t) = 1,$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Bx_n = z \quad \text{for some } z \in X.$$

From the above definition it is inferred that A and B are non-compatible maps from a FM-space (X, M, \cdot) into itself if $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n$ for some $z \in X$, but either $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(AB_{xn}, BA_{xn}, t) \neq 1$ or the limit does not exist.

Definition 2.10 ([6]). Let A and B be maps from a FM-space (X, M, \cdot) into itself. The maps are said to be *weakly compatible* if they commute at their coincidence points. Note that compatible mappings are weakly compatible but converse is not true in general.

593

Definition 2.11 ([8]). Let A and B be two self-maps of a FM-space (X, M, \cdot) . We say that A and B satisfy the property (E.A.) if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Bx_n = z \quad \text{for some } z \in X.$$

Note that weakly compatible and property (E.A.) are independent to each other (see [15], Example 2.2).

Definition 2.12 ([9]). Let (X, d) be a metric space. Two mappings $f : X \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ are said to satisfy property (CLRg) if there exists sequences $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g(x_n) = g(p), \text{ for some } p \text{ in } X.$$

Similarly, we can have the property (CLR_T) and the property (CLR_S) if in the Definition 2.12, the mapping $g: X \to X$ has been replaced by the mapping $T: X \to X$ and $S: X \to X$ respectively.

Our result deal with the following implicit relation used by Altun and Turkoglu [24].

Definition 2.13 ([24]). Let I = [0, 1], * be a continuous *t*-norm and \mathcal{F} be the set of all real continuous functions $F : I^6 \to R$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (F-1) F is non-increasing in the fifth and sixth variables,
- (F-2) if for some constant $k \in (0, 1)$ we have

(F-a)
$$F\left(u(kt) \cdot v(t), v(t), u(t), 1, u\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) * v\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right) \ge 1,$$

or
(F-b)
$$F\left(u(kt), v(t), u(t), v(t), u\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) * v\left(\frac{t}{2}\right), 1\right) \ge 1,$$

for any fixed t > 0 and any non-decreasing functions $u, v : (0, \infty) \to I$, then there exists $h \in (0, 1)$ with $u(ht) \ge v(t) * u(t)$,

(F-3) if for some constant $k \in (0,1)$, we have $F(u(kt), u(t), 1, 1, u(t), u(t)) \ge 1$ for any fixed t > 0 and any non-decreasing function $u : (0, \infty) \to I$ then $u(kt) \ge u(t)$.

3 Main results

In [24], Altun and Turkoglu proved the following result:

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, M, *) be a complete fuzzy metric space with $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$. Let A, B, S, T be maps from X into itself satisfying the following conditions:

- (3.1) $A(X) \subseteq T(X), B(X) \subseteq S(X);$
- (3.2) one of the maps A, B, S, T is continuous;
- (3.3) the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are compatible of type (α) ;
- (3.4) there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\begin{split} F\{M(Ax, By, kt), M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Ax, Sx, t), M(By, Ty, t), M(Ax, Ty, t), \\ M(By, Sx, t)\} \geq 1 \end{split}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and t > 0.

Then A, B, S, T have a unique common fixed point in X.

In [25], Kumar and Fisher generalized Theorem 3.1 (which is Theorem 1 in [24]) as follows:

Theorem 3.2. Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space with $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$. Further, let (A, S) and (B, T) be weakly compatible pairs of self-maps of X satisfying (3.1), (3.4) with the following condition:

(3.5) one of the pairs (A, S) or (B, T) satisfies property (E.A.).

If the range of one of the maps A, B, S or T is a complete subspace of X, then A, B, S, T have a unique common fixed point in X.

We now generalize Theorem 3.2 as follows:

or

Theorem 3.3. Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space with $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$. Let A, B, S, T be maps from X into itself satisfying (3.4) with the following conditions:

(3.6) $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ and the pair (B,T) satisfies property (CLR_T) ,

 $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and the pair (A, S) satisfies property (CLR_S) ;

(3.7) the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible.

Then A, B, S, T have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ and the pair (B,T) satisfies property (CLR_T) , then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that Bx_n and Tx_n converges to Tx, for some x in X as $n \to \infty$. Since $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$, so there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $Bx_n = Sy_n$, hence $Sy_n \to Tx$ as $n \to \infty$.

We shall show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ay_n = Tx$. Let $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ay_n = z$. Taking $x = y_n$, $y = x_n$ in (3.4),

$$\begin{split} F\{M(Ay_n, Bx_n, kt), &M(Sy_n, Tx_n, t), &M(Ay_n, Sy_n, t), &M(Bx_n, Tx_n, t), \\ &M(Ay_n, Tx_n, t), &M(Bx_n, Sy_n, t), \} \geq 1. \end{split}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$F\{M(z,Tx,kt), 1, M(z,Tx,t), 1, M(z,Tx,t), 1\} \ge 1.$$

On the other hand, since

$$M(z, Tx, t) \ge M\left(z, Tx, \frac{t}{2}\right) = M\left(z, Tx, \frac{t}{2}\right) * 1,$$

and F is non-increasing in the fifth variable, we have, for any t > 0

$$\begin{split} F \bigg\{ M(z, Tx, kt), 1, M(z, Tx, t), 1, M\bigg(z, Tx, \frac{t}{2}\bigg), 1 \bigg\} \\ & \geq F \{ M(z, Tx, kt), 1, M(z, Tx, t), 1, M(z, Tx, t) \} \geq 1, \end{split}$$

which implies by (F-2), that z = Tx. Subsequently, we have Bx_n , Tx_n , Sy_n , Ay_n converges to z. We shall show that Bx = z.

Taking $x = y_n, y = x$ in (3.4),

$$F\{M(Ay_n, Bx, kt), M(Sy_n, Tx, t), M(Ay_n, Sy_n, t), M(Bx, Tx, t), M(Ay_n, Tx, t), M(Bx, Sy_n, t)\} \ge 1.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$F\{M(z, Bx, kt), 1, 1, M(z, Bx, t), 1, M(z, Bx, t)\} \ge 1.$$

On the other hand, since

$$M(z, Bx, t) \ge N\left(z, Bx, \frac{t}{2}\right) = M\left(z, Bx, \frac{t}{2}\right) * 1,$$

and F is non-increasing in the sixth variable, we have, for any t > 0

$$F\left\{M(z, Bx, kt), 1, 1, M(z, Bx, t), 1, M\left(z, Bx, \frac{t}{2}\right) * 1\right\} \ge F\{M(z, Bx, kt), 1, 1, M(z, Bx, t), 1, M(z, Bx, t)\} \ge 1,$$

which implies by (F-2) that z = Bx = Tx. Since, the pair (B, T) is weak compatible, it follows that Bz = Tz.

Also, since $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$, there exists some y in X such that Bx = Sy(=z). We next show that Sy = Ay(=z). Taking $y = x_n, x = y$ in (3.4),

$$\begin{split} F\{M(Ay,Bx_n,kt), M(Sy,Tx_n,t), & M(Ay,Sy,t), M(Bx_n,Tx_n,t), \\ & M(Ay,Tx_n,t), M(Bx_n,Sy,t)\} \geq 1. \end{split}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$F\{M(Ay, z, kt), 1, M(Ay, z, t), 1, M(Ay, z, t), 1\} \ge 1.$$

Other the other hand, since

$$M(Ay, z, t) \ge M\left(Ay, z, \frac{t}{2}\right) = M\left(Ay, z, \frac{t}{2}\right) * 1,$$

and F is non-increasing in the fifth variable, we have, for any t > 0

$$\begin{split} F \bigg\{ & M(Ay, z, kt), 1, M(Ay, z, t), 1, M\bigg(Ay, z, \frac{t}{2}\bigg) * 1, 1 \bigg\} \\ & \geq F \{ M(Ay, z, kt), 1, M(Ay, z, t), 1, M(Ay, z, t), 1 \} \geq 1, \end{split}$$

which implies by (F-2) that Ay = z = Sy. But the pair (A, S) is weakly compatible, it follows that Az = Sz.

Next, we claim that Az = Bz. Taking x = z, y = z in (3.4),

$$F\{M(Az, Bz, kt), M(Az, Bz, t), 1, 1, M(Az, Bz, t), M(Az, Bz, t)\} \ge 1,$$

which implies by (F-3) that Az = Bz. Hence, Az = Bz = Sz = Tz. We now show that z = Az. Taking x = z, y = x in (3.4),

$$\begin{split} F\{M(Az,Bx,kt), M(Sz,Tx,t), M(Az,Sz,t), & M(Bx,Tx,t), \\ & M(Az,Tx,t), M(Bx,Sz,t)\} \geq 1, \end{split}$$

that is,

$$F\{M(Az, z, kt), M(Az, z, t), 1, 1, M(Az, z, t), M(Az, z, t)\} \ge 1.$$

Therefore, z = Az = Bz = Sz = Tz, that is z is the common fixed point of the maps A, B, S, T. Uniqueness of z follows immediately from (F-3) and (3.4).

Example 3.4. Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space with X = [0, 1], a t-norm * be defined by $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ for all a, b in [0, 1] and M be a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ defined by

$$M(x, y, t) = \left[\exp\left(\frac{|x-y|}{t}\right)\right]^{-1}$$

for all x, y in X and t > 0.

Let $F: I^6 \to R$ be defined by $F(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) = \frac{u_1}{\min\{u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6\}}$. Let $t > 0, \ 0 < u(t), \ v(t) \le 1, \ k \in (0, \frac{1}{2}), \ where \ u, v : [0, \infty) \to I \ are \ non-decreasing functions.$ Suppose that

$$F\left(u(kt), v(t), v(t)u(t), 1, u\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) * v\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right) \ge 1,$$

that is,

$$F\left(u(kt), v(t), v(t), u(t), 1, u\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) * v\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right) = \frac{u(kt)}{\min\left\{v(t), v(t), u(t), 1, u(\frac{t}{2}) * v\frac{t}{2}\right\}} \ge 1.$$

597

Thus $u(ht) \ge v(t) * u(t)$ if $h = 2k \in (0,1)$. A similar argument works if (F_b) is assumed. Finally, suppose that t > 0 is fixed, $u : [0, \infty) \to I$ is a non-decreasing function and

$$F(u(kt), u(t), 1, 1u(t), u(t)) = \frac{u(kt)}{u(t)} \ge 1,$$

for some $k \in (0,1)$. Then we have $u(kt) \ge u(t)$ and thus $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Define the mappings $A, B, S, T : X \to X$ by

$$Ax = \frac{x}{27}, \quad Bx = \frac{x}{9}, \quad Sx = \frac{x}{3}, \quad Tx = x,$$

respectively. Then, for some $k \in [\frac{1}{9}, 1)$, we have

$$M(Ax, By, kt) = \left[\exp\left(\frac{\left|\frac{x}{27} - \frac{y}{9}\right|}{kt}\right)\right]^{-1}$$

$$\geq \left[\exp\left(\frac{\left|\frac{x}{3} - y\right|}{t}\right)\right]^{-1}$$

$$= M(Sx, Ty, t)$$

$$\geq \min\{M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Ax, Sx, t), M(By, Ty, t), M(Ax, Ty, t), M(By, Sx, t)\}.$$

Thus, the condition (3.4) of Theorem 3.3 is satisfied.

Further, the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible. Also, $B(X) = [0, \frac{1}{9}] \subseteq [0, \frac{1}{3}] = S(X)$. Considering the sequence $\{x_n\} = \{\frac{1}{n}\}$ so that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Bx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = 0 = T(0)$, hence the pair (B, T) satisfies property (CLR_T) .

Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied. Indeed 0 is the unique common fixed point of the mappings A, B, S, T.

References

- [1] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Infor. Control 8 (1965) 338–353.
- [2] I. Kramosil, J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika 11 (1975) 336–344.
- [3] A. George, P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 64 (1994) 395–399.
- [4] O. Kaleva, S. Seikkala. On fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 12 (3) (1984) 215–229.
- [5] S.N. Mishra, N. Sharma, S.L. Singh, Common fixed point of maps on fuzzy metric spaces, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 17 (1994) 253–258.
- [6] B. Singh, S. Jain, Weak compatibility and fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Ganita 56 (2) (2005) 167–176.

- [7] M. Aamri, D. El Moutawakil, Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 270 (2002) 181–188.
- [8] V. Pant, R.P. Pant, Fixed points in fuzzy metric space for noncompatible maps, Soochow J. Math. 33 (4) (2007) 647–655.
- [9] W. Sintunavarat, P. Kuman, Common fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in Fuzzy Metric Spaces, Journal of Applied Mathematics, Vol. 2011 (2011), Article ID 637958, 14 pages.
- [10] W. Sintunavarat, P. Kumam, Common fixed points for R-weakly commuting in fuzzy metric spaces, Annali dell', Universit'a di Ferrara, (In Press).
- [11] S. Chauhan, W. Sintunavarat, P. Kumam, Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces using (JCLR) property, Applied Mathematics, (to appear).
- [12] M. Imdad, B.D. Pant, S. Chauhan, Fixed point theorems in menger spaces using the (CLR_{ST}) property and applications, Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization: Theory & Applications, (In Press).
- [13] S.L. Singh, B.D. Pant, S. Chauhan, Fixed point theorems in non-archimedean menger PM-spaces, Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization: Theory & Applications, (In Press).
- [14] M. Jain, K. Tas, S. Kumar, N. Gupta, Coupled fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible maps along with CLRg property in fuzzy metric spaces, Journal of Applied Mathematics, (In Press).
- [15] V. Popa, Some fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying on implicit relation, Demonstratio Mathematica 32 (1999) 157–163.
- [16] V. Popa, A general coincidence theorem for compatible multivalued mappings satisfying an implicit relation, Demonstratio Mathematica 33 (2000) 159–164.
- [17] S. Jain, B. Mundra, S. Aake, Common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space using implicit relation, Int. Math. Forum 4 (3) (2009) 135–141.
- [18] A. Aliouche, V. Popa, Common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings via implicit relations, Filomat 22 (2) (2008) 99–107.
- [19] S. Kumar, B.D. Pant, A common fixed point theorem in probabilistic metric space using implicit relation, Filomat 22 (2) (2008) 43–52.
- [20] H.K. Pathak, R.R. Lopez, R.K. Verma, A common fixed point theorem using implicit relation and property (E.A) in metric spaces, Filomat 21 (2) (2007) 211–234.
- [21] V. Popa, A generalization of Meir-Keeler type common fixed point theorem for four noncontinuous mappings, Sarajevo J. Math. 1 (13) (2005) 135–142.

- [22] S. Sedghi, K.P.R. Rao, N. Shobe, A general common fixed point theorem for multi-maps satisfying an implicit relation on fuzzy metric spaces, Filomat 22 (1) (2008) 1–10.
- [23] B. Singh, S. Jain, Semicompatibility and fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space using implicit relation, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2005 (16) (2005) 2617– 2629.
- [24] I. Altun, D. Turkoglu, Some fixed point theorems on fuzzy metric spaces with implicit relations, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 23 (1) (2008) 111–124.
- [25] S. Kumar, B. Fisher, A common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space using property (E.A.) and implicit relation, Thai J. Math. 8 (3) (2010) 439– 446.
- [26] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Probabilistic Metric Spaces, North Holland Series in Probability and Applied Math., Amsterdam, vol. 5, (1983).
- [27] M. Grabiec, Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 27 (3) (1988) 385–389.

(Received 31 July 2012) (Accepted 27 August 2012)

 $\mathbf{T}\mathrm{HAI}\ \mathbf{J.}\ \mathbf{M}\mathrm{ATH}.$ Online @ http://thaijmath.in.cmu.ac.th

600