



# $\omega\alpha$ -Compactness and $\omega\alpha$ -Connectedness in Topological Spaces

P. G. Patil

Department of Mathematics  
SKSVM Agadi College of Engineering and Technology  
Laxmeshwar-582116, Karnataka, India  
e-mail : [pgpatil01@gmail.com](mailto:pgpatil01@gmail.com)

**Abstract :** In this paper the concepts of  $\omega\alpha$ -compactness and  $\omega\alpha$ -connectedness are introduced and some of their properties are obtained using  $\omega\alpha$ -closed sets.

**Keywords :**  $\omega\alpha$ -closed;  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous;  $\omega\alpha$ -compactness;  $\omega\alpha$ -connectedness.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification :** 54D05; 54D30.

---

## 1 Introduction

The notions of compactness and connectedness are very useful and fundamental notions of general topology also in the other advanced branches of mathematics. Many researchers [1–6] have investigated the basic properties of compactness and connectedness.

Recently, Benchalli et al. [7, 8] introduced and studied a new class of closed sets called  $\omega\alpha$ -closed sets and continuous maps in topological space. The aim of this paper is to introduce the concept of  $\omega\alpha$ -compactness and  $\omega\alpha$ -connectedness in topological spaces and is to give some characterization of  $\omega\alpha$ -compactness and  $\omega\alpha$ -connectedness. Further it is proved that  $\omega\alpha$ -connectedness is preserved under  $\omega\alpha$ -irresolute surjections.

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $(Y, \sigma)$  and  $(Z, \eta)$  (or simply  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$ ) represent topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless otherwise mentioned. For a subset  $A$  of  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $cl(A)$ ,  $Int(A)$ ,  $\alpha cl(A)$  and  $A^c$  denote the closure of  $A$ , interior of  $A$ ,  $\alpha$ -closure of  $A$  and the compliment of  $A$  in  $X$  respectively.

The following definitions are useful in the sequel.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then,

1. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is called  $\omega\alpha$ -closed set [7] if  $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $\omega$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ .
2. A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $GO$ -compact [1] (resp.  $\alpha GO$ -compact [2]) if every  $g$ -open (resp.  $\alpha g$ -open) cover of  $(X, \tau)$  has a finite subcover.
3. A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $GPR$ -compact [4] (resp.  $\omega$ -compact [6]) if every  $GPR$ -open (resp.  $\omega$ -open) cover of  $(X, \tau)$  has a finite subcover.
4. A map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous [8] (resp.  $\omega\alpha$ -irresolute) if the inverse image of every closed (resp.  $\omega\alpha$ -closed) set in  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .
5.  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called strongly  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous [9] (resp. perfectly  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous) if the inverse image of every  $\omega\alpha$ -closed (resp.  $\omega\alpha$ -closed) set in  $(Y, \sigma)$  is closed (resp. clopen) in  $(X, \tau)$ .
6.  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called strongly  $g^*$ -continuous [5] if the inverse image of every strongly  $g$  closed set in  $(Y, \sigma)$  is closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .
7. A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $T_{\omega\alpha}$ -space [7] (resp.  $\alpha g T_{\omega\alpha}$ ,  $\omega\alpha T_{stg}$  space) if every  $\omega\alpha$ -closed (resp.  $\alpha g$ -closed,  $\omega\alpha$ -closed) set is closed (resp.  $\omega\alpha$ -closed, strongly  $g$ -closed).

## 3 $\omega\alpha$ -Compactness in Topological Spaces

In this section, we introduce the concept of  $\omega\alpha$ -compactness and studied some of their properties.

**Definition 3.1.** A collection  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  of  $\omega\alpha$ -open sets in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of a subset  $A$  in  $(X, \tau)$  if  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\omega\alpha$ -compact if every  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau)$  has a finite subcover.

**Definition 3.3.** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\omega\alpha$ -compact relative to  $(X, \tau)$  if for every collection  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  of  $\omega\alpha$ -open subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ , there exists a finite subset  $I_0$  of  $I$  such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I_0} A_i$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *A  $\omega\alpha$ -closed subset of  $\omega\alpha$ -compact space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact relative to  $(X, \tau)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a  $\omega\alpha$ -closed subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $A^c$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Let  $S = \{A_i : i \in I\}$  be an  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $A$  by  $\omega\alpha$ -open subsets in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $S^* = S \cup A^c$  is a  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau)$ . That is  $X = (\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i) \cup A^c$ . By hypothesis  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact and hence  $S^*$  is reducible to a finite subcover of  $(X, \tau)$  say  $X = A_{i_1} \cup A_{i_2} \cup \dots \cup A_{i_n} \cup A^c$ ,  $A_{i_k} \in S^*$ . But  $A$  and  $A^c$  are disjoint. Hence  $A \subseteq A_{i_1} \cup A_{i_2} \cup \dots \cup A_{i_n} \in S$ . Thus a  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover  $S$  of  $A$  contains a finite subcover. Hence  $A$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact relative to  $(X, \tau)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Every  $\omega\alpha$ -compact space is compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $\omega\alpha$ -compact space. Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be an open cover of  $(X, \tau)$ . By [7],  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  is a  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact,  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  of  $(X, \tau)$  has a finite subcover say  $\{A_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  for  $X$ . Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is compact.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $(X, \tau)$  is compact and  $T_{\omega\alpha}$ -space, then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.*

**Theorem 3.4.** *Every  $\alpha GO$ -compact space is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $\alpha GO$ -compact space. Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be an  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau)$  by  $\omega\alpha$ -open sets in  $(X, \tau)$ . From [7],  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  is  $\alpha g$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau)$  by  $\alpha g$ -open sets. Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha GO$  compact, the  $\alpha g$ -open cover  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  of  $(X, \tau)$  has a finite subcover say  $\{A_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  of  $(X, \tau)$ . Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *If  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact and  ${}_{\alpha g}T_{\omega\alpha}$ , then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha GO$ -compact.*

**Theorem 3.6.** *Every GPR compact space is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a GPR-compact space. Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be an  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau)$  by  $\omega\alpha$ -open sets. From [7],  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  is gpr-open cover of  $(X, \tau)$ , since  $(X, \tau)$  is GPR-compact, the gpr-open cover  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  of  $(X, \tau)$  has a finite subcover say  $\{A_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** *A  $\omega\alpha$ -closed subset of  $\alpha GO$ -compact space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha GO$ -compact relative to  $(X, \tau)$ .*

*Proof.* From [7], every  $\omega\alpha$ -closed set is  $\alpha g$ -closed and since  $\alpha g$ -closed subset of a  $\alpha GO$ -compact space is  $\alpha GO$ -compact relative to  $(X, \tau)$  [2], the result follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** *The image of a  $\omega\alpha$ -compact space under  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous onto map is compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous map from  $\omega\alpha$ -compact space  $(X, \tau)$  on to a topological space  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be an open cover of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Then  $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$  is a  $\omega\alpha$  open cover of  $(X, \tau)$ , as  $f$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous. Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact, the  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau), \{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$  has a finite subcover say  $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(A_i)$  which implies  $f(X) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(A_i)$ . Then  $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(A_i)$ . That is  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$  is a finite subcover of  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  for  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Hence  $(Y, \sigma)$  is compact.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** *If a map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -irresolute and a subset  $S$  of  $X$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact relative to  $(X, \tau)$ , then the image  $f(S)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact relative to  $(Y, \sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a collection of  $\omega\alpha$ -open sets in  $(Y, \sigma)$ , such that  $f(S) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ . Then  $S \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(A_i)$ , where  $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -open set in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $S$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact relative to  $(X, \tau)$ , there exists finite subcollection  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$  such that  $S \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(A_i)$ . That is  $f(S) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ . Hence  $f(S)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact relative to  $(Y, \sigma)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.10.** *If a map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is strongly  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous map from a compact space  $(X, \tau)$  onto a topological space  $(Y, \sigma)$ , then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be an  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Since  $f$  is strongly  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous,  $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$  is an open cover of  $(X, \tau)$ . Again since  $(X, \tau)$  is compact, the open cover  $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$  of  $(X, \tau)$  has a finite subcover say  $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(A_i)$ , which implies  $f(X) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(A_i)$ , so that  $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(A_i)$ . That is  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$  is a finite subcover of  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  for  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Hence  $(Y, \sigma)$  is compact.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.11.** *If a map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is perfectly  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous map from a compact space  $(X, \tau)$  onto a topological space  $(Y, \sigma)$ , then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.*

**Theorem 3.12.** *A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact if and only if every family of  $\omega\alpha$ -closed sets of  $(X, \tau)$  having finite intersection property has a non-empty intersection.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact. Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of  $\omega\alpha$  closed sets with finite intersection property.

Suppose  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = \phi$ . Then  $X - \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = X$ . This implies  $\bigcup_{i \in I} (X - A_i) = X$ . Thus the cover  $\{X - A_i : i \in I\}$  is a  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then, the  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover  $\{X - A_i : i \in I\}$  has a finite subcover say  $\{X - A_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . This implies  $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} (X - A_i)$  which implies  $X = X - \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$ , which implies  $X - X = X - [X - \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i]$  which implies  $\phi = \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$ . This contradicts the assumption. Hence  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \neq \phi$ .

Conversely suppose  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $\omega\alpha$ -compact. Then there exists an  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau)$  say  $\{G_i : i \in I\}$  having no finite subcover. This implies for any finite subfamily  $\{G_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  of  $\{G_i : i \in I\}$ , we have  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i \neq X$ , which implies  $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i \neq X - X$ , which implies  $\bigcap_{i \in I} (X - G_i) \neq \phi$ . Then

the family  $\{X - G_i : i \in I\}$  of  $\omega\alpha$ -closed sets has a finite intersection property. Also by assumption  $\bigcap_{i \in I} (X - G_i) \neq \phi$  which implies  $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i \neq \phi$ , so that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i \neq X$ . This implies  $\{G_i : i \in I\}$  is not a cover of  $(X, \tau)$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\{G_i : i \in I\}$  is a cover for  $(X, \tau)$ . Therefore a  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover  $\{G_i : i \in I\}$  of  $(X, \tau)$  has a finite subcover  $\{G_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.13.** *The image of a  $\omega\alpha$ -compact space under a strongly  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous map is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.*

**Theorem 3.14.** *The image of a  $\omega\alpha$ -compact space under a  $\omega\alpha$ -irresolute map is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -irresolute map from a compact space  $(X, \tau)$  onto a topological space  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be an  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Then  $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$  is a  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau)$ , since  $f$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -irresolute. As  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact, the  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover  $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$  of  $(X, \tau)$  has a finite subcover say  $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(A_i)$ . Then  $f(X) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ , that is  $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ . Thus  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$  is a finite subcover of  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  for  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Hence  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.  $\square$

## 4 Countably $\omega\alpha$ -Compactness in Topological Spaces

In this section, we study the concept of Countably  $\omega\alpha$ -compactness and their properties.

**Definition 4.1.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be countably  $\omega\alpha$ -compact if every countable  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau)$  has a finite subcover.

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $(X, \tau)$  is a countably  $\omega\alpha$ -compact space, then  $(X, \tau)$  is countably compact*

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $(X, \tau)$  is a countably compact and  $T_{\omega\alpha}$ -space, then  $(X, \tau)$  is countably  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.*

**Theorem 4.3.** *Every  $\omega\alpha$ -compact space is countably  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.*

**Theorem 4.4.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous map from a countably  $\omega\alpha$ -compact space  $(X, \tau)$  onto a topological space  $(Y, \sigma)$ , then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is countably compact.*

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is strongly  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous map from a countably compact space  $(X, \tau)$  onto a topological space  $(Y, \sigma)$ , then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is countably  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.*

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is strongly  $g^*$ -continuous map form a countably compact space  $(X, \tau)$  onto a topological space  $(Y, \sigma)$  and if  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\omega\alpha T_{stg}$ -space, then  $(Y, \sigma)$  countably  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be an countably  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(Y, \sigma)$  by  $\omega\alpha$ -open sets. Since  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\omega\alpha T_{stg}$ -space,  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  is countably strongly  $g$ -open cover of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Then  $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$  is a countable open cover of  $(X, \tau)$ , since  $f$  is strongly  $g^*$ -continuous map. As  $(X, \tau)$  is countably compact, the countable open cover  $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$  of  $(X, \tau)$  has a finite subcover say  $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(A_i)$ . Then  $f(X) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ , that is  $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ . Thus  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$  is a finite subcover of  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  for  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Hence  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.7.** *If a map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is perfectly  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous map form a countably compact space  $(X, \tau)$  onto a topological space  $(Y, \sigma)$ , then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is countably  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.*

**Theorem 4.8.** *The image of a countably  $\omega\alpha$ -compact space under  $\omega\alpha$ -irresolute map is countably  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.*

**Theorem 4.9.** *A space  $(X, \tau)$  is countably  $\omega\alpha$ -compact if and only if every countable family of  $\omega\alpha$ -closed sets of  $(X, \tau)$  having finite intersection property has a non-empty intersection.*

**Theorem 4.10.** *A  $\omega\alpha$ -closed subset of a countably  $\omega\alpha$ -compact space is countably  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.*

**Definition 4.2.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf space if every  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau)$  has a countable subcover.

**Theorem 4.11.** *Every  $\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf space is Lindelöf space.*

**Theorem 4.12.** *If  $(X, \tau)$  is Lindelöf and  $T_{\omega\alpha}$ -space, then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf space.*

**Theorem 4.13.** *Every  $\omega\alpha$ -compact space is  $\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf space.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact space. Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be an  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  has a finite subcover say  $\{A_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ , since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact. Since every finite subcover is always a countable subcover and therefore,  $\{A_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  is countable subcover of  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  for  $(X, \tau)$ . Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf space.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.14.** *If a map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous map form a  $\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf space  $(X, \tau)$  onto a topological space  $(Y, \sigma)$ , then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is Lindelöf space.*

**Theorem 4.15.** *The image of a  $\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf space under  $\omega\alpha$ -irresolute map is  $\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf.*

*Proof.*  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -irresolute map form a  $\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf space  $(X, \tau)$  onto a topological space  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be an  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(Y, \sigma)$ , then  $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$  is an  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau)$  as  $f$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -irresolute. Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf, the  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover  $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$  of  $(X, \tau)$  has a countable subcover say  $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(A_i)$  which implies  $f(X) = Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$  that is  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$  is a countable subfamily of  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  for  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Hence  $(Y, \sigma)$  is Lindelöf space.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.16.** *If  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf and countably  $\omega\alpha$ -compact space then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf and countably  $\omega\alpha$ -compact space. Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be an  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf,  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  has a countable subcover say  $\{A_{i_n} : n \in N\}$ . Therefore,  $\{A_{i_n} : n \in N\}$  is a countable subcover of  $(X, \tau)$  and  $\{A_{i_n} : n \in N\}$  is subfamily of  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  and so  $\{A_{i_n} : n \in N\}$  is a countably  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau)$ . Again since  $(X, \tau)$  is countably  $\omega\alpha$ -compact,  $\{A_{i_n} : n \in N\}$  has a finite subcover say  $\{A_{i_k} : k = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore  $\{A_{i_k} : k = 1, \dots, n\} \subseteq \{A_{i_n} : n \in N\}$  and  $\{A_{i_n} : n \in N\} \subseteq \{A_i : i \in I\}$ . Therefore  $\{A_{i_k} : k = 1, \dots, n\}$  is a finite subcover of  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  for  $(X, \tau)$ . Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -compact space.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.17.** *A  $\omega\alpha$ -closed subspace of a  $\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf space is  $\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf space. Let  $(Y, \tau_y)$  be a  $\omega\alpha$ -closed subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ . Let  $G = \{G_i : i \in I\}$  be an  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(Y, \tau_y)$ . Now  $G_i$  is open in  $(Y, \tau_y)$  for all  $i \in I$ . Now  $G_i$  can be expressed as  $Y \cap H_i$ , that is  $G_i = Y \cap H_i$  for all  $i \in I$  where  $H_i$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $\{H_i : i \in I\} \cup (X - Y)$  is an  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf space, there is an  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau)$  which has a countable subcover say  $\{H_{i_n} : n \in N\} \cup (X - Y)$ . Let  $u = \{Y \cap H_{i_n} : n \in N\}$ . But  $Y \cap H_{i_n} = G_{i_n}$ , for all  $i \in I$ . Therefore  $u = \{G_{i_n} : n \in N\} \subseteq \{G_i : i \in I\}$ ,  $u$  is a countable subcover of  $G$  for  $(Y, \tau_y)$ . Therefore every  $\omega\alpha$ -open cover of  $(Y, \tau_y)$  has a countable subcover  $u$ . Hence  $(Y, \tau_y)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf space.  $\square$

## 5 $\omega\alpha$ -Connectedness in Topological Spaces

**Definition 5.1.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\omega\alpha$ -connected if  $X$  cannot be written as a disjoint union of two non empty  $\omega\alpha$ -open sets.

A subset of  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -connected if it is  $\omega\alpha$ -connected as a subspace.

**Theorem 5.1.** *For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  the following are equivalent:*

1.  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -connected
2. The only subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  which are both  $\omega\alpha$ -open and  $\omega\alpha$ -closed are the empty set  $\phi$  and  $X$ .

3. Each  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous map of  $(X, \tau)$  into a discrete space  $(Y, \sigma)$  with at least two points is a constant map.

*Proof.*  $1 \Rightarrow 2$ : Let  $G$  be a  $\omega\alpha$ -open and  $\omega\alpha$ -closed subset of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $X - G$  is also both  $\omega\alpha$ -open and  $\omega\alpha$ -closed. Then  $X = G \cup (X - G)$  a disjoint union of two non-empty  $\omega\alpha$ -open sets which contradicts the fact that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -connected. Hence  $G = \phi$  or  $X$ .

$2 \Rightarrow 1$ : Suppose that  $X = A \cup B$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint non-empty  $\omega\alpha$ -open subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $A = X - B$ , then  $A$  is both  $\omega\alpha$ -open and  $\omega\alpha$ -closed. By assumption  $A = \phi$  or  $X$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -connected.

$2 \Rightarrow 3$ : Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous map, where  $(Y, \sigma)$  is discrete space with at least two points. Then  $f^{-1}(\{y\})$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -closed and  $\omega\alpha$ -open for each  $y \in Y$ . That is  $(X, \tau)$  is covered by  $\omega\alpha$ -closed and  $\omega\alpha$ -open covering  $\{f^{-1}(\{y\}) : y \in Y\}$ . By assumption,  $f^{-1}(\{y\}) = \phi$  or  $X$  for each  $y \in Y$ . If  $f^{-1}(\{y\}) = \phi$  for each  $y \in Y$ , then  $f$  fails to be a map. Therefore there exist at least one point say  $f^{-1}(\{y_1\}) \neq \phi$ ,  $y_1 \in Y$  such that  $f^{-1}(\{y_1\}) = X$ . This shows that  $f$  is a constant map.

$3 \Rightarrow 2$ : Let  $G$  be both  $\omega\alpha$ -open and  $\omega\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . Suppose  $G \neq \phi$ . Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous map defined by  $f(G) = \{a\}$  and  $f(X - G) = \{b\}$  where  $a \neq b$  and  $a, b \in Y$ . By assumption,  $f$  is constant so  $G = X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** *Every  $\omega\alpha$ -connected space is connected but converse need not true in general.*

**Example 5.3.** *Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{\phi, X\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau)$  is connected but not an  $\omega\alpha$ -connected space because  $X = \{a\} \cup \{b, c\}$  wherer  $\{a\}$  and  $\{b, c\}$  are  $\omega\alpha$ -open sets in  $(X, \tau)$ .*

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous surjection and  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -connected, then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is connected*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(Y, \sigma)$  is not connected. Let  $Y = A \cup B$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint non-empty open subsets in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Since  $f$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous,  $X = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$ , where  $f^{-1}(A)$  and  $f^{-1}(B)$  are disjoint non-empty  $\omega\alpha$ -open subsets in  $(X, \tau)$ . This contradicts the fact that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -connected. Hence  $(Y, \sigma)$  is connected.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.5.** *Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $T_{\omega\alpha}$ -space, then  $(X, \tau)$  is connected if and only if  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -connected.*

**Theorem 5.6.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a  $\omega\alpha$ -irresolute surjection and  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -connected, then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\omega\alpha$ -connected.*

**Theorem 5.7.** *The image of a connected space under strongly  $\omega\alpha$ -continuous map is  $\omega\alpha$ -connected.*



## References

- [1] K. Balachandran, P. Sundaram, H. Maki, On generalized continuous maps in topological spaces, Mem. Fac. Kochi Univ. Ser. A, Math. 12 (1991) 5–13.
- [2] R. Devi, Studies on Generalizations of Closed Maps and Homeomorphisms in Topological Spaces, Ph.D. Thesies, Bharathiyar University, Coimbatore, 1994.
- [3] G. Di Maio, T. Noiri, On s-Closed Spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 18 (1987) 226–233.
- [4] Y. Gnanambal, K. Balachandran, On gpr-continuous functions in topological spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 30 (6) (1999) 581–593.
- [5] A. Pushpalatha, Studies on Generalizations of Mappings in Topological Spaces, Ph.D. Thesies, Bharathiyar University, Coimbatore, 2000.
- [6] M. Sheik John, A Study on Generalizations of Closed Sets and Continuous Maps in Topological and Bitopological Spaces, Ph.D. Thesies, Bharathiyar University, Coimbatore, 2002.
- [7] S.S. Benchalli, P.G. Patil, T.D. Rayanagoudar,  $\omega\alpha$ -Closed sets in topological spaces, The Global J. Appl. Maths Math. Sciences 2 (1-2) (2009) 53–63.
- [8] S.S. Benchalli, P.G. Patil, Some new continuous maps in topological spaces, J. Advanced Studies in Topology 1 (2) (2010) 16–21.
- [9] P.G. Patili, Some Studies in Topological Spaces, Ph.D. Thesies, Karnatak University Dharwad, Karnataka, 2007.

(Received 25 October 2012)

(Accepted 10 July 2013)