

# A Non-Uniform Bound on Poisson Approximation for Sums of Bernoulli Random Variables with Small Mean

K. Teerapabolarn

**Abstract :** In many situations, the Poisson approximation is appropriate for sums of Bernoulli random variables where its mean,  $\lambda$ , is small. In this paper, we give non-uniform bounds of Poisson approximation with small values of  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, 3]$ , by using the Stein-Chen method. These bounds are sharper than the bounds of Teerapabolarn and Neammanee [12].

**Keywords :** Bernoulli summands, non-uniform bound, Poisson approximation, Stein-Chen method.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 60F05, 60G05.

## 1 Introduction

Consider a random variable W that can be written as a sum  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} X_{\alpha}$  of Bernoulli random variables, where  $\Gamma$  is an arbitrary finite index set. The random variables  $X_{\alpha}$  may be dependent, and we will be interested in the case where each of success probability  $p_{\alpha} = P(X_{\alpha} = 1) = 1 - P(X_{\alpha} = 0)$  is small. It is then reasonable to approximate the distribution of W by Poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda = E[W] = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha}$ .

In the past few years, many mathematicians have been developed the method for approximating the distribution of W (for example, see Stein [10], Arratia, Goldstein and Gordon [1-2], Barbour, Holst and Janson [4], Neammanee [8] and Teerapabolarn and Neammanee [11]).

In 2006, Teerapabolarn and Neammanee [12] gave three formulas of nonuniform bounds as follows. For  $w_0 \in \{0, 1, \ldots, |\Gamma|\}$ , a non-uniform bound by using the coupling method is of the form

$$\left| P(W \le w_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{w_0} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \right| \le \lambda^{-1} (1 - e^{-\lambda}) \min\left\{ 1, \frac{e^{\lambda}}{w_0 + 1} \right\} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_\alpha E|W - W_\alpha^*|,$$

$$(1.1)$$

where  $W_{\alpha}^*$  is a random variable which has the same distribution as  $W - X_{\alpha}$  conditional on  $X_{\alpha} = 1$ , i.e.  $W_{\alpha}^* \sim (W - X_{\alpha})|X_{\alpha} = 1$ . Suppose that for each  $\alpha$  there

is a subset  $\Gamma_{\alpha} \subsetneq \Gamma$  such that  $X_{\alpha}$  is independent of the collection  $\{X_{\beta} : \beta \notin \Gamma_{\alpha}\}$ , a non-uniform bound in this case is in the form of

$$\left| P(W \le w_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{w_0} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \right|$$
  
$$\le \lambda^{-1} (1 - e^{-\lambda}) \min\left\{ 1, \frac{e^{\lambda}}{w_0 + 1} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha}^2 + \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_{\alpha} \setminus \{\alpha\}} (p_{\alpha} p_{\beta} + E[X_{\alpha} X_{\beta}]) \right\}.$$
(1.2)

In the case of independent summands, (1.2) becomes

$$\left| P(W \le w_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{w_0} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \right| \le \lambda^{-1} (1 - e^{-\lambda}) \min\left\{ 1, \frac{e^{\lambda}}{w_0 + 1} \right\} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_\alpha^2.$$
(1.3)

We know that in many situations, the Poisson approximation is appropriate for W with small values of  $\lambda$ . In this paper, we improve the bounds in (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) to be more accurately when  $\lambda$  is small, i.e.  $\lambda \in (0,3]$ .

Let

$$\Delta(\lambda, w_0) = \begin{cases} \lambda^{-2}(\lambda + e^{-\lambda} - 1), & \text{if } w_0 = 0, \\ \max\left\{\frac{1 - e^{-\lambda}(1 + \lambda)}{\lambda^2}, \frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda})(1 + \lambda)}{6\lambda}\right\}, & \text{if } w_0 = 1, \\ \max\left\{\frac{(2 + \lambda)[1 - e^{-\lambda}(1 + \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{2})]}{\lambda^3}, \frac{4(1 - e^{-\lambda})(1 + \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}) + \lambda}{60\lambda}\right\}, \\ \max\left\{\frac{(w_0!(w_0 - \lambda) + e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0+1}](w_0 + 2)}{w_0(w_0 + 2 - \lambda)(w_0 + 1)!}, \frac{2\lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda})e^{\lambda} + 1}{3(w_0 + 1)(w_0 + 2)}\right\}, \\ \max\left\{\frac{[w_0!(w_0 - \lambda) + e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0+1}](w_0 + 2)}{w_0(w_0 + 2 - \lambda)(w_0 + 1)!}, \frac{2\lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda})e^{\lambda} + 1}{3(w_0 + 1)(w_0 + 2)}\right\}, \\ (1.4) \end{cases}$$

Then the followings are our main results.

**Theorem 1.1** Let  $w_0 \in \{0, 1, ..., |\Gamma|\}$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 3]$ . Then

(i)

$$\left| P(W \le w_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{w_0} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \right| \le \triangle(\lambda, w_0) \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_\alpha E |W - W_\alpha^*|, \quad (1.5)$$

where there exists  $W^*_{\alpha}$  such that  $W^*_{\alpha} \sim (W - X_{\alpha})|X_{\alpha} = 1$  and

(ii)

$$\left| P(W \le w_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{w_0} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \right| \le \Delta(\lambda, w_0) \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha}^2 + \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_{\alpha} \setminus \{\alpha\}} (p_{\alpha} p_{\beta} + E[X_{\alpha} X_{\beta}]) \right\},$$
(1.6)

where there exists  $\Gamma_{\alpha}$  such that  $X_{\alpha}$  is independent of  $\{X_{\beta} : \beta \notin \Gamma_{\alpha}\}$  for every  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

From (1.6), if  $W \ge W_{\alpha}^*$  or  $W - X_{\alpha} \le W_{\alpha}^*$  for every  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then we have the convenience forms in Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 1.2** Let  $w_0 \in \{0, 1, ..., |\Gamma|\}$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 3]$ . Then

(i)

$$\left| P(W \le w_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{w_0} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \right| \le \Delta(\lambda, w_0) \{\lambda - Var[W]\},\tag{1.7}$$

where  $W \geq W^*_{\alpha}$  a.s. for every  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and

(ii)

$$\left| P(W \le w_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{w_0} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \right| \le \triangle(\lambda, w_0) \left\{ Var[W] - \lambda + 2\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_\alpha^2 \right\}, \quad (1.8)$$

where  $W - X_{\alpha} \leq W_{\alpha}^*$  a.s. for every  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

If  $\{X_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  is independent, we have  $W_{\alpha}^* = W - X_{\alpha}$  and  $E|W - W_{\alpha}^*| = p_{\alpha}$ . Then the following corollary follows immediately from (1.6).

**Corollary 1.3** Let  $\lambda \in (0,3]$  and  $\{X_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  be independent Bernoulli random variables. Then, for  $w_0 \in \{0, 1, ..., |\Gamma|\}$ ,

$$\left| P(W \le w_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{w_0} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \right| \le \triangle(\lambda, w_0) \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_\alpha^2.$$
(1.9)

**Theorem 1.4** For  $w_0 \in \{0, 1, ..., |\Gamma|\}$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 3]$ , we have

$$\Delta(\lambda, w_0) < \lambda^{-1} (1 - e^{-\lambda}) \min\left\{1, \frac{e^{\lambda}}{w_0 + 1}\right\}.$$
(1.10)

### Remark 1.5

- (i) From Theorem 1.4, we see that for  $\lambda \in (0,3]$ , the bounds in (1.5), (1.6) and (1.9) are sharper than the bounds in (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), respectively.
- (ii) There are many applications such that the Poisson approximation to be more accurately for  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ , see these applications in Arratia, Goldstein and Gordon [1-2], Barbour, Holst and Janson [4] and Lange [7]. In this case, we have

$$\triangle(\lambda, w_0) = \begin{cases} \lambda^{-2}(\lambda + e^{-\lambda} - 1), & \text{if } w_0 = 0, \\ \lambda^{-2}[1 - e^{-\lambda}(1 + \lambda)], & \text{if } w_0 = 1, \\ \lambda^{-3}(2 + \lambda)[1 - e^{-\lambda}(1 + \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{2})], & \text{if } w_0 = 2, \\ \frac{[w_0!(w_0 - \lambda) + e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0 + 1}](w_0 + 2)}{w_0(w_0 + 2 - \lambda)(w_0 + 1)!}, & \text{if } w_0 \in \{3, ..., |\Gamma|\}. \end{cases}$$
(1.11)

# 2 Proof of Main Results

We will prove our main results by using the Stein-Chen method. The method was originally formulated for normal approximation by Stein [9] in 1972, and the idea was applied to Poisson case by Chen [5] in 1975. This method started by the Stein's equation for Poisson distribution which is, given h, defined by

$$\lambda f(w+1) - w f(w) = h(w) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(h), \qquad (2.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(h) = e^{-\lambda} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} h(l) \frac{\lambda^{l}}{l!}$$

and f and h are bounded real valued functions defined on  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . For  $w_0 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , let  $C_{w_0} = \{0, 1, ..., w_0\}$  and  $h_{C_{w_0}} : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$h_{C_{w_0}}(w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } w \in C_{w_0}, \\ \\ 0, & \text{if } w \notin C_{w_0}. \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

Following Barbour, Holst and Janson [4] p.7, the solution  $U_{\lambda}h_{C_{w_0}}$  of (2.1) can be expressed in the form

$$U_{\lambda}h_{C_{w_{0}}}(w) = \begin{cases} (w-1)!\lambda^{-w}e^{\lambda}[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(h_{C_{w_{0}}})\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(1-h_{C_{w-1}})], & \text{if } w_{0} < w, \\ (w-1)!\lambda^{-w}e^{\lambda}[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(h_{C_{w-1}})\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(1-h_{C_{w_{0}}})], & \text{if } w_{0} \ge w, \\ 0, & \text{if } w = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.3)

Let 
$$V_{\lambda}h_{C_{w_0}}(w) = U_{\lambda}h_{C_{w_0}}(w+1) - U_{\lambda}h_{C_{w_0}}(w)$$
. Then, from (2.3), we have  
 $V_{\lambda}h_{C_{w_0}}(w)$ 

$$= \begin{cases} (w-1)!\lambda^{-(w+1)}e^{\lambda}\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(h_{C_{w_0}})[w\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(1-h_{C_w}) - \lambda\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(1-h_{C_{w-1}})], & \text{if } w \ge w_0 + 1, \\ (w-1)!\lambda^{-(w+1)}e^{\lambda}\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(1-h_{C_{w_0}})[w\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(h_{C_w}) - \lambda\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(h_{C_{w-1}})], & \text{if } 1 \le w \le w_0. \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} (w-1)!\lambda^{-(w+1)}e^{-\lambda}\sum_{j=0}^{w_0}\frac{\lambda^j}{j!}\sum_{k=w+1}^{\infty}(w-k)\frac{\lambda^k}{k!}, & \text{if } w \ge w_0 + 1, \\ (w-1)!\lambda^{-(w+1)}e^{-\lambda}\sum_{j=w_0+1}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda^j}{j!}\sum_{k=0}^{w}(w-k)\frac{\lambda^k}{k!}, & \text{if } 1 \le w \le w_0. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.4)$$

Hence, by(2.4),

$$V_{\lambda}h_{C_{w_0}}(w) \begin{cases} < 0, & \text{if } w \ge w_0 + 1, \\ \\ > 0, & \text{if } 1 \le w \le w_0. \end{cases}$$

The following lemmas are the properties of  $V_\lambda h_{Cw_0}$  which are used in the main theorem.

**Lemma 2.1**  $V_{\lambda}h_{Cw_0}$  is increasing in w for  $w \in \{1, \ldots, w_0\}$ .

**Proof.** We shall show that  $0 < V_{\lambda}h_{Cw_0}(w+1) - V_{\lambda}h_{Cw_0}(w)$  for  $1 \le w \le w_0 - 1$ . Note that, from (2.4),

 $V_{\lambda}h_{Cw_0}(w+1) - V_{\lambda}h_{Cw_0}(w) = (w-1)!\lambda^{-(w+2)}\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(1-h_{Cw_0})$ 

$$\times \left\{ w \sum_{k=0}^{w+1} (w+1-k) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} - \lambda \sum_{k=0}^w (w-k) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \right\}$$

and

$$\lambda \sum_{k=0}^{w} (w-k) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^{w} (w+1-(k+1)) \frac{\lambda^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} (k+1)$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{w+1} (w+1-k)k \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}.$$

So, we have

$$V_{\lambda}h_{Cw_{0}}(w+1) - V_{\lambda}h_{Cw_{0}}(w) = (w-1)!\lambda^{-(w+2)}\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(1-h_{Cw_{0}})$$
$$\times \left\{\sum_{k=0}^{w+1} (w-k)(w+1-k)\frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!}\right\}$$
$$> 0.$$

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $w_0 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $w \ge 1$ . Then

$$|V_{\lambda}h_{C_{w_0}}(w)| \le \Delta(\lambda, w_0). \tag{2.5}$$

**Proof.** Case 1.  $w_0 = 0$ .

Follows from Teerapabolarn, Neammanee and Chongcharoen[13] p.14. Case 2.  $w_0 = 1$ . Note that, from (2.4),

$$V_{\lambda}h_{C_1}(w) = \begin{cases} e^{-\lambda}(1+\lambda)(w-1)! \sum_{k=w+1}^{\infty} (w-k) \frac{\lambda^{k-(w+1)}}{k!}, & \text{if } w \ge 2, \\ \lambda^{-2}[1-e^{-\lambda}(1+\lambda)], & \text{if } w \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, for  $w \ge 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< -V_{\lambda}h_{C_{1}}(w) \\ &= e^{-\lambda}(1+\lambda)(w-1)! \left\{ \frac{1}{(w+1)!} + \frac{2\lambda}{(w+2)!} + \frac{3\lambda^{2}}{(w+3)!} + \cdots \right\} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda}(1+\lambda)(w-1)!}{(w+1)!} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2\lambda}{w+2} + \frac{3\lambda^{2}}{(w+2)(w+3)} + \cdots \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-\lambda}(1+\lambda)}{6} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2\lambda}{4} + \frac{3\lambda^{2}}{20} + \cdots \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})(1+\lambda)}{6}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$|V_{\lambda}h_{C_1}(w)| \le \max\left\{\lambda^{-2}[1-e^{-\lambda}(1+\lambda)], \frac{\lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})(1+\lambda)}{6}\right\}.$$

**Case 3.**  $w_0 = 2$ .

Since  $V_{\lambda}h_{C_{w_0}}$  is positive for  $1 \le w \le w_0$ , by (2.4) and lemma 2.1, we have

$$V_{\lambda}h_{C_{2}}(w) \leq \begin{cases} e^{-\lambda}(1+\lambda+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2})(w-1)! \sum_{k=w+1}^{\infty} (w-k)\frac{\lambda^{k-(w+1)}}{k!}, & \text{if } w \geq 3, \\ \lambda^{-3}(2+\lambda)[1-e^{-\lambda}(1+\lambda+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2})], & \text{if } 1 \leq w \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

Hence, for  $w \ge 3$ ,

$$\begin{split} 0 &< -V_{\lambda}h_{C_{2}}(w+1,w) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda}(1+\lambda+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2})(w-1)!}{(w+1)!} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2\lambda}{w+2} + \frac{3\lambda^{2}}{(w+2)(w+3)} + \cdots \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-\lambda}(1+\lambda+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2})}{12} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2\lambda}{5} + \frac{3\lambda^{2}}{30} + \cdots \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-\lambda}(1+\lambda+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2})}{12} \left\{ 1 + \frac{4\lambda^{-1}}{5} \left[ \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2!} + \frac{\lambda^{3}}{3!} + \cdots \right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda}(1+\lambda+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2})}{12} \left\{ \frac{5+4\lambda^{-1}(e^{\lambda}-1-\lambda)}{5} \right\} \\ &= \frac{4\lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})(1+\lambda+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}) + e^{-\lambda}(1+\lambda+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2})}{60} \\ &\leq \frac{4\lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})(1+\lambda+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}) + 1}{60}. \end{split}$$

So,

$$|V_{\lambda}h_{C_2}(w)| \leq \max\left\{\lambda^{-3}(2+\lambda)\left[1-e^{-\lambda}\left(1+\lambda+\frac{\lambda^2}{2}\right)\right], \frac{4\lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})(1+\lambda+\frac{\lambda^2}{2})+1}{60}\right\}.$$

Case 4.  $w_0 \ge 3$ . Note that for  $1 \le w \le w_0$ , we have, by (2.4) and lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{split} V_{\lambda}h_{C_{w_0}}(w) &\leq (w_0-1)!\lambda^{-(w_0+1)} \left\{ e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=w_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \right\} \left\{ (w_0-\lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{w_0} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} + \frac{\lambda^{w_0+1}}{w_0!} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{w_0!(w_0-\lambda) + e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0+1}}{w_0} \sum_{k=w_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{k-(w_0+1)}}{k!} \\ &= \frac{w_0!(w_0-\lambda) + e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0+1}}{w_0(w_0+1)!} \left\{ \frac{1}{(w_0+1)!} + \frac{\lambda}{(w_0+2)!} + \frac{\lambda^2}{(w_0+3)!} + \cdots \right\} \\ &= \frac{w_0!(w_0-\lambda) + e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0+1}}{w_0(w_0+1)!} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\lambda}{w_0+2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{(w_0+2)(w_0+3)} + \cdots \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{w_0!(w_0-\lambda) + e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0+1}}{w_0(w_0+1)!} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\lambda}{w_0+2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{(w_0+2)^2} + \cdots \right\} \\ &= \frac{[w_0!(w_0-\lambda) + e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0+1}](w_0+2)}{w_0(w_0+2-\lambda)(w_0+1)!} \end{split}$$

and for  $w \ge w_0 + 1$ ,

$$0 < -V_{\lambda}h_{C_{w_0}}(w) \leq \frac{(w-1)!}{(w+1)!} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2\lambda}{w+2} + \frac{3\lambda^2}{(w+2)(w+3)} + \cdots \right\}$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{(w_0+1)(w_0+2)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2\lambda}{(w_0+3)} + \frac{3\lambda^2}{(w_0+3)(w_0+4)} + \cdots \right\}$$
  
$$\leq \frac{1}{(w_0+1)(w_0+2)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\lambda}{3} + \frac{\lambda^2}{14} + \cdots \right\}$$
  
$$\leq \frac{1}{(w_0+1)(w_0+2)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2\lambda^{-1}}{3} \left[ \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} + \frac{\lambda^3}{3!} + \cdots \right] \right\}$$
  
$$\leq \frac{1}{(w_0+1)(w_0+2)} \left\{ \frac{3 + 2\lambda^{-1}(e^{\lambda} - 1 - \lambda)}{3} \right\}$$
  
$$= \frac{2\lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda})e^{\lambda} + 1}{3(w_0+1)(w_0+2)}.$$

So, we have

$$|V_{\lambda}h_{C_{w_0}}(w)| \le \max\left\{\frac{[w_0!(w_0-\lambda)+e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0+1}](w_0+2)}{w_0(w_2+2-\lambda)(w_0+1)!}, \frac{2\lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})e^{\lambda}+1}{3(w_0+1)(w_0+2)}\right\}.$$
  
Hence, from case 1 to case 4, we have (2.5).

Hence, from case 1 to case 4, we have (2.5).

## Proof of Theorem 1.1.

(i) Teerapabolarn and Neammanee showed in [12] that

$$\left| P(W \le w_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{w_0} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \right| \le \sup_{w \ge 1} |V_\lambda h_{C_{w_0}}(w)| \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_\alpha E |W - W_\alpha^*|.$$

Hence, by lemma 2.2, (1.5) holds. (ii) Since

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha} E |W - W_{\alpha}^{*}| = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha} E \left| \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma} X_{\beta} - \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma \setminus \{\alpha\}} X_{\beta} | X_{\alpha} = 1 \right|$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha} E \left| X_{\alpha} + \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_{\alpha} \setminus \{\alpha\}} X_{\beta} - \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_{\alpha} \setminus \{\alpha\}} X_{\beta} | X_{\alpha} = 1 \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha}^{2} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_{\alpha} \setminus \{\alpha\}} \{p_{\alpha} p_{\beta} + P(X_{\alpha} = 1) E[X_{\beta} | X_{\alpha} = 1]\}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha}^{2} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_{\alpha} \setminus \{\alpha\}} \{p_{\alpha} p_{\beta} + E[E[X_{\alpha} X_{\beta} | X_{\alpha}]]\}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha}^{2} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_{\alpha} \setminus \{\alpha\}} (p_{\alpha} p_{\beta} + E[X_{\alpha} X_{\beta}]), \qquad (2.6)$$

so, by (1.5) and (2.6), (1.6) holds.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Energy (15)

From (1.5),

$$\left| P(W \le w_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{w_0} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \right| \le \Delta(\lambda, w_0) \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_\alpha E |W - W_\alpha^*|,$$

it suffices to show that  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha} E |W - W_{\alpha}^*| = \lambda - Var[W]$  where  $W \ge W_{\alpha}^*$  a.s. for every  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha} E |W - W_{\alpha}^*| = Var[W] - \lambda + 2\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha}^2$  where  $W - X_{\alpha} \le W_{\alpha}^*$ a.s. for every  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

(i) If  $W \ge W_{\alpha}^*$ , then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha} E | W - W_{\alpha}^{*} | &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha} E[(W+1) - (W_{\alpha}^{*}+1)] \\ &= \lambda^{2} + \lambda - \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha} E[(W - X_{\alpha} + 1) | X_{\alpha} = 1] \\ &= \lambda^{2} + \lambda - \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} E[E[X_{\alpha} W | X_{\alpha}]] \\ &= \lambda^{2} + \lambda - \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} E[X_{\alpha} W] \\ &= \lambda^{2} + \lambda - E[W^{2}] \\ &= \lambda - Var[W]. \end{split}$$

(ii) If  $W - X_{\alpha} \leq W_{\alpha}^*$ , then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha} E | W - W_{\alpha}^{*} | &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha} E | X_{\alpha} + (W - X_{\alpha}) - W_{\alpha}^{*} | \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha} \{ E[X_{\alpha}] + E | (W_{\alpha}^{*} + 1) - (W - X_{\alpha} + 1) | \} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha} \{ E[W_{\alpha}^{*} + 1] - E[W + 1] + 2E[X_{\alpha}] \} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha} E[(W - X_{\alpha} + 1) | X_{\alpha} = 1] - \lambda^{2} - \lambda + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha}^{2} \\ &= E[W^{2}] - \lambda^{2} - \lambda + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha}^{2} \\ &= Var[W] - \lambda + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha}^{2}. \end{split}$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** We shall show that

$$\Delta(\lambda, w_0) < \lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda}) \min\left\{1, \frac{e^{\lambda}}{w_0 + 1}\right\}.$$

Case 1.  $w_0 = 0$ . Since  $0 < \lambda^{-2} e^{-\lambda} (e^{\lambda} - 1 - \lambda)$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \lambda^{-2}(\lambda+e^{-\lambda}-1) &= \lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda}) - \lambda^{-2}e^{-\lambda}(e^{\lambda}-1-\lambda) \\ &< \lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda}) \\ &= \lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})\min\{1,e^{\lambda}\}. \end{split}$$

**Case 2.**  $w_0 = 1$ .

$$\frac{(1-e^{-\lambda})(1+\lambda)}{6\lambda} = \frac{\lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})(1+\lambda)}{6}$$
$$< \lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})\min\left\{1,\frac{e^{\lambda}}{2}\right\}$$

and

$$\frac{1 - e^{-\lambda}(1 + \lambda)}{\lambda^2} = \frac{e^{-\lambda}(e^{\lambda} - 1 - \lambda)}{\lambda^2}$$
$$= \lambda^{-1}e^{-\lambda}\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda^{k-1}}{k!}$$
$$= \frac{\lambda^{-1}e^{-\lambda}}{2}\left\{\lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{3} + \frac{\lambda^3}{12} + \cdots\right\}$$
$$\leq \frac{\lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda})}{2}$$
$$< \lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda})\min\left\{1, \frac{e^{\lambda}}{2}\right\}.$$

So, we have

$$\max\left\{\frac{1-e^{-\lambda}(1+\lambda)}{\lambda^2},\frac{(1-e^{-\lambda})(1+\lambda)}{6\lambda}\right\} < \lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})\min\left\{1,\frac{e^{\lambda}}{2}\right\}.$$

Case 3.  $w_0 = 2$ . we observe that, for  $\lambda \in (0, 3]$ ,

$$\frac{1}{4} < \lambda^{-1} (1 - e^{-\lambda}) \tag{2.7}$$

 ${\rm thus}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4(1-e^{-\lambda})(1+\lambda+\frac{\lambda^2}{2})+\lambda}{60\lambda} &= \frac{\lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})(1+\lambda+\frac{\lambda^2}{2})}{15} + \frac{1}{60}\\ &< \frac{\lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})(2+\lambda+\frac{\lambda^2}{2})}{15}\\ &< \lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})\min\left\{1,\frac{e^{\lambda}}{3}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \frac{(2+\lambda)[1-e^{-\lambda}(1+\lambda+\frac{\lambda^2}{2})]}{\lambda^3} &= \frac{(2+\lambda)e^{-\lambda}(e^{\lambda}-1-\lambda-\frac{\lambda^2}{2})}{\lambda^3} \\ &= (2+\lambda)\lambda^{-1}e^{-\lambda}\sum_{k=3}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda^{k-2}}{k!} \\ &= \frac{(2+\lambda)\lambda^{-1}e^{-\lambda}}{3!}\left\{\lambda+\frac{\lambda^2}{4}+\frac{\lambda^3}{4\cdot 5}+\cdots\right\} \\ &< \frac{\lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})(2+\lambda)}{6} \\ &\leq \lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})\min\left\{1,\frac{e^{\lambda}}{3}\right\}. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \max\left\{\frac{(2+\lambda)[1-e^{-\lambda}(1+\lambda+\frac{\lambda^2}{2})]}{\lambda^3},\frac{4(1-e^{-\lambda})(1+\lambda+\frac{\lambda^2}{2})+\lambda}{60\lambda}\right\}\\ <\lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})\min\left\{1,\frac{e^{\lambda}}{3}\right\}. \end{split}$$

**Case 4.**  $w_0 \ge 3$ . By (2.7),

$$\frac{2\lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})e^{\lambda}+1}{3(w_{0}+1)(w_{0}+2)} = \frac{2\lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})e^{\lambda}+4(\frac{1}{4})}{3(w_{0}+1)(w_{0}+2)} \\
< \frac{2\lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})(e^{\lambda}+2)}{3(w_{0}+1)(w_{0}+2)} \\
\leq \frac{2\lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})(e^{\lambda}+2)}{15(w_{0}+1)} \\
< \lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})\min\left\{1,\frac{e^{\lambda}}{w_{0}+1}\right\}.$$
(2.8)

By the fact that 
$$\frac{w_0 + 2}{w_0 + 2 - \lambda} = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{w_0 + 2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{(w_0 + 2)^2} + \cdots$$
, we have  

$$\frac{[w_0!(w_0 - \lambda) + e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0+1}](w_0 + 2)}{w_0(w_0 + 2 - \lambda)(w_0 + 1)!} = \frac{w_0!(w_0 - \lambda) + e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0+1}}{w_0(w_0 + 1)!} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\lambda}{w_0 + 2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{(w_0 + 2)^2} + \cdots \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{w_0!(w_0 - \lambda)e^{\lambda} e^{-\lambda} + e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0+1}}{w_0(w_0 + 1)!} \lambda^{-1} \left\{ \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{5} + \frac{\lambda^3}{25} + \cdots \right\}$$

$$< \frac{w_0!(w_0 - \lambda)e^{\lambda} + \lambda^{w_0+1}}{w_0(w_0 + 1)!} \lambda^{-1}e^{-\lambda}(e^{\lambda} - 1)$$

$$= \frac{w_0!(w_0 - \lambda)e^{\lambda} + \lambda w_0!\frac{\lambda^{w_0}}{w_0!}}{w_0(w_0 + 1)!} \lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda})$$

$$= \lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda})\frac{w_0e^{\lambda} - \lambda(e^{\lambda} - \frac{\lambda^{w_0}}{w_0!})}{w_0(w_0 + 1)}$$

$$< \frac{\lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda})e^{\lambda}}{w_0 + 1}.$$
(2.9)

For  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ , it follows from (2.9) that

$$\frac{[w_0!(w_0 - \lambda) + e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0+1}](w_0 + 2)}{w_0(w_0 + 2 - \lambda)(w_0 + 1)!} < \lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda}),$$
(2.10)

and in the case of  $1 < \lambda \leq 3$ , we observe that  $\frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0+1}}{w_0!}$  is decreasing for  $w_0 \geq 3$ and  $f(t) = e^{-t}t^2$  has maximum at t = 2 for  $t \in [1,3]$ . Thus

$$\frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0+1}}{w_0!} \le \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^4}{3!} \le \frac{3^2 e^{-\lambda}\lambda^2}{3!} = 1.5e^{-\lambda}\lambda^2 \le 6e^{-2} < 1.5e^{-\lambda}\lambda^2 \le 6e^{-\lambda}\lambda^2 \le 1.5e^{-\lambda}\lambda^2 \ge 1.5e^{-$$

Hence, for  $1 < \lambda \leq 3$ , we have

$$\frac{[w_0!(w_0 - \lambda) + e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0+1}](w_0 + 2)}{w_0(w_0 + 2 - \lambda)(w_0 + 1)!} = \frac{[w_0 - \lambda + \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0+1}}{w_0!}](w_0 + 2)}{w_0(w_0 + 1)(w_0 + 2 - \lambda)}$$
$$< \frac{(w_0 + 2)(w_0 + 1 - \lambda)}{w_0(w_0 + 1)(w_0 + 2 - \lambda)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{w_0} - \frac{\lambda}{w_0(w_0 + 1)(w_0 + 2 - \lambda)}.$$
 (2.11)

If  $w_0 \ge 4$ , by (2.7) and (2.11), we have

$$\frac{[w_0!(w_0 - \lambda) + e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0+1}](w_0 + 2)}{w_0(w_0 + 2 - \lambda)(w_0 + 1)!} < \frac{1}{4} < \lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda}).$$
(2.12)

If  $w_0 = 3$ , then by (2.11),

$$\frac{[w_0!(w_0 - \lambda) + e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0+1}](w_0 + 2)}{w_0(w_0 + 2 - \lambda)(w_0 + 1)!} < \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\lambda}{3(4)(5 - \lambda)} \leq \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3(4)(4)} < 3^{-1}(1 - e^{-3}) \leq \lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda}).$$
(2.13)

By (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), (2.12) and (2.13), we have

$$\max\left\{\frac{[w_0!(w_0-\lambda)+e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{w_0+1}](w_0+2)}{w_0(w_0+2-\lambda)(w_0+1)!},\frac{2\lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})e^{\lambda}+1}{3(w_0+1)(w_0+2)}\right\} < \lambda^{-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})\min\left\{1,\frac{e^{\lambda}}{w_0+1}\right\}.$$

Hence, from case 1 to 4, the theorem is proved.

# 3 Applications

In this section, we apply the results in (1.5) and (1.6) of Theorem 1.1 and in (1.7) and (1.8) of Theorem 1.2 to some related problems.

**Example 3.1** (The number of triangles in a random graph problem)

Consider a graph with n nodes which is created by randomly connecting some pairs of nodes by edges. If the connection probability per pair is p, then all pairs from a triple of nodes are connected with probability  $p^3$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of all triple of nodes in the random graph, and let W be the number of such triangles in the random graph. So  $W = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} X_{\alpha}$  where  $X_{\alpha} = 1$  if triple of nodes  $\alpha$  is connected to be the triangle and  $X_{\alpha} = 0$  otherwise. We then have  $p_{\alpha} = P(X_{\alpha} = 1) = p^3$  and  $\lambda = |\Gamma|p^3 = \binom{n}{3}p^3$ . If p is small, W is approximately Poisson with mean  $\lambda$ .

We apply Theorem 1.1 (2) to bound the error of this approximation by taking  $\Gamma_{\alpha} = \{\beta : |\alpha \cap \beta| \ge 2\}$ , and observe that  $X_{\alpha}$  and  $X_{\beta}$  are independent for  $\beta \notin \Gamma_{\alpha}$ . For  $\alpha \neq \beta$ ,  $E[X_{\alpha}X_{\beta}] = P(X_{\alpha} = 1, X_{\beta} = 1) = p^{5}$  and  $|\Gamma_{\alpha}| = 3(n-3) + 1$ . Hence, by (1.6), we have

$$\left| P(W \le w_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{w_0} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \right| \le \Delta(\lambda, w_0) \left\{ \binom{n}{3} p^5 \{ (3n-8)p + 3(n-3) \} \right\}, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $w_0 \in \{0, 1, ..., \binom{n}{3}\}$ . For n = 10 and p = 0.1, we can show some Poisson estimate  $P(W \le w_0)$  and the bound of (3.1) in Table 3.1.

$w_0$	Estimate	Uniform	Non-uniform	Non-uniform
		bound	bound $(1.2)$	bound $(1.6)$
0	0.66697681	0.18208043	0.18208043	0.09716869
1	0.93710242	0.18208043	0.13649682	0.08491173
2	0.99180285	0.18208043	0.09099788	0.06571378
3	0.99918741	0.18208043	0.06824841	0.04289475
4	0.99993510	0.18208043	0.05459873	0.03510103
5	0.99999566	0.18208043	0.04549894	0.02960146
6	0.99999975	0.18208043	0.03899909	0.02554915
7	0.999999999	0.18208043	0.03412421	0.02245364
8	1.00000000	0.18208043	0.03033263	0.02001767

**Table 3.1** Poisson estimate of  $P(W \le w_0)$  for n = 10 and p = 0.1

**Example 3.2** (The number of isolated vertices in a random graph problem)

Let G(n, p) be a graph on n labeled vertices  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ , where each possible edge is present randomly and independently with probability p. Let  $X_{\alpha} = 1$  if vertex  $\alpha$  is an isolated vertex in G(n, p) and  $X_{\alpha} = 0$  otherwise. Then  $W = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} X_{\alpha}$ is the number of isolated vertices in G(n, p), and  $p_{\alpha} = P(X_{\alpha} = 1) = (1 - p)^{n-1}$ ,  $\lambda = E[W] = n(1 - p)^{n-1}$  and  $Var[W] = \lambda + n(n-1)(1 - p)^{2n-3} - \lambda^2$ . In constructing  $W_{\alpha}^*$ , Barbour [3] setting  $W_{\alpha}^*$  is the number of isolated vertices obtained from the graph G(n, p), by dropping vertex  $\alpha$  and deleting all the edges  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ for  $1 \leq \beta \leq n$  and  $\beta \neq \alpha$ , and showed that  $W_{\alpha}^* \geq W - X_{\alpha}$ . By applying (1.8), a non-uniform bound of the error in Poisson approximation to the distribution of W is of the form

$$\left| P(W \le w_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{w_0} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \right| \le \triangle(\lambda, w_0) \lambda^2 \left[ \frac{(n-2)p+1}{n(1-p)} \right],$$

where  $w_0 \in \{0, ..., n\}$ . Table 3.2 shows some Poisson estimate  $P(W \leq w_0)$  for n = 100 and p = 0.036.

Example 3.3 (The ménage problem)

The classical ménage problem of combinatorics is defined as follows: if n married couples are seated around a circle table with men and women alternating, but husbands and wives are randomly scrambled, then the number of married couples W seated next to each other is approximately Poisson distributed. We number the places around the table from 1 to 2n, so W can be represented as  $W = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2n} X_{\alpha}$  where  $X_{2n+1} = X_1$ , and  $X_{\alpha} = 1$  if a couple occupies seats  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha + 1$  and  $X_{\alpha} = 0$  otherwise. We then have, by symmetry,  $p_{\alpha} = P(X_{\alpha} = 1) = 1/n$  and  $\lambda = E[W] = 2$ .

To construct the coupled random variable  $W^*_{\alpha}$ , Janson [6] constructed it by exchange the person in seat  $\alpha + 1$  with the spouse of the person in seat  $\alpha$  and then count the number of adjacent spouse pairs, excluding the pair now occupying

seats  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha + 1$ . Since it does not easily to calculate  $E|W - W_{\alpha}^*|$ , Lange [7] p.251 bounded it by 6/n, i.e.,  $E|W - W_{\alpha}^*| \le 6/n$ . Hence, by (1.5), we have

$$\left| P(W \le w_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{w_0} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \right| \le \frac{12\triangle(\lambda, w_0)}{n}, \tag{3.2}$$

where  $w_0 \in \{0, 1, ..., 2n\}$ . Table 3.3 shows some representative Poisson estimate of  $P(W \leq w_0)$  for n = 100.

Uniform  $w_0$ Estimate Non-uniform Non-uniform bound bound (1.1)bound (1.8)0 0.07048730 0.11580067 0.11580067 0.08092203 1 0.257442380.11580067 0.11580067 0.07049023 20.50537497 0.115800670.11580067 0.060857840.724574053 0.11580067 0.11580067 0.060269150.869920720.05132209 4 0.11580067 0.11580067 50.94702197 0.11580067 0.11580067 0.0452597360.98110487 0.11580067 0.11580067 0.0404644070.994018990.11580067 0.11580067 0.036659558 0.99830055 0.115800670.11580067 0.0334723390.999562330.115800670.115800670.03072631100.999897000.115800670.115800670.028338020.999977690.11580067 11 0.115800670.02625339120.99999553 0.11580067 0.11580067 0.02442781 130.99999916 0.11580067 0.11580067 0.022822330.99999985 0.115800670.109523900.02140347 140.99999998 0.115800670.10267866 0.02014297 15

**Table 3.2** Poisson estimate of  $P(W \le w_0)$  for n = 100 and p = 0.036

$w_0$	Estimate	Uniform	Non-uniform	Non-uniform
		bound	bound $(1.1)$	bound $(1.5)$
0	0.13533528	0.05187988	0.05187988	0.03406006
1	0.40600585	0.05187988	0.05187988	0.02593994
2	0.67667642	0.05187988	0.05187988	0.01939942
3	0.85712346	0.05187988	0.05187988	0.02268157
4	0.94734698	0.05187988	0.05187988	0.01962402
5	0.98343639	0.05187988	0.05187988	0.01720420
6	0.99546619	0.05187988	0.05187988	0.01532975
7	0.99890328	0.05187988	0.04791792	0.01379445
8	0.99976255	0.05187988	0.04259371	0.01250358
9	0.99995350	0.05187988	0.03833434	0.01140803
10	0.99999169	0.05187988	0.03484940	0.01047283
11	0.99999864	0.05187988	0.03194528	0.00966944

**Table 3.3** Poisson estimate of  $P(W \le w_0)$  for n = 100

Example 3.4 (Pólya's urn model)

The urn contains N balls of n different colors in proportions  $\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_n$ . Balls are drawn at random from the urn in such away that at each draw the probability of obtaining a specific ball is the same for all balls. When a ball is sampled, it is put back into urn with another ball of the same color. Let  $X_{\alpha} = 1$  if no balls of color  $\alpha$  are drawn in the first r samplings and  $X_{\alpha} = 0$  otherwise. So  $W = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} X_{\alpha}$  is the number of colors which have not appeared in the first r samplings. Then

$$p_{\alpha} = P(X_{\alpha} = 1)$$

$$= P(\text{ No balls of color } \alpha \text{ are drawn in the first } r \text{ samplings })$$

$$= \left(\frac{N - N\pi_{\alpha}}{N}\right) \left(\frac{N + 1 - N\pi_{\alpha}}{N + 1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{N + r - 1 - N\pi_{\alpha}}{N + r - 1}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \log\left(1 - \frac{N\pi_{\alpha}}{N + k}\right)\right\},$$

 $p_{\alpha\beta} = E[X_{\alpha}X_{\beta}]$ 

= P( No balls of colors  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are drawn in the first r samplings )

$$= \left[\frac{N - N(\pi_{\alpha} + \pi_{\beta})}{N}\right] \left[\frac{N + 1 - N(\pi_{\alpha} + \pi_{\beta})}{N + 1}\right] \cdots \left[\frac{N + r - 1 - N(\pi_{\alpha} + \pi_{\beta})}{N + r - 1}\right]$$
$$= \exp\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \log\left(1 - \frac{N(\pi_{\alpha} + \pi_{\beta})}{N + k}\right)\right\}.$$

For constructing  $W^*_{\alpha}$  such that  $W^*_{\alpha} \sim (W - X_{\alpha})|X_{\alpha} = 1$ , Barbour, Holst and Janson [4] letting  $W^*_{\alpha}$  is the number of colors which have not appeared in the first r samplings given that no balls of color  $\alpha$  are drawn in the first r samplings, and showed that  $W \geq W^*_{\alpha}$ . So, by (1.7), a non-uniform bound of the error of this approximation is in the form of

$$\left| P(W \le w_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{w_0} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \right| \le \triangle(\lambda, w_0) \{\lambda - Var[W]\},$$
(3.3)

where  $w_0 \in \{0, ..., n\}$  and

$$Var[W] = E[W^2] - \lambda^2$$
  
=  $\sum_{\alpha=1}^n E[X_\alpha] + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\beta=1,\beta\neq\alpha}^n E[X_\alpha X_\beta] - \sum_{\alpha=1}^n p_\alpha^2 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\beta=1,\beta\neq\alpha}^n p_\alpha p_\beta$   
=  $\sum_{\alpha=1}^n p_\alpha (1 - p_\alpha) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\beta=1,\beta\neq\alpha}^n (p_{\alpha\beta} - p_\alpha p_\beta).$ 

In the case of  $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = \cdots = \pi_n = \pi$ , we have, for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$p_{\alpha} = \exp\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \log\left(1 - \frac{N\pi}{N+k}\right)\right\}, \ p_{\alpha\beta} = \exp\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \log\left(1 - \frac{2N\pi}{N+k}\right)\right\},$$
$$\lambda = np_{\alpha} \text{ and } Var[W] = \lambda - \lambda^2 + n(n-1)p_{\alpha\beta}.$$

So, by (3.3),

$$\left| P(W \le w_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{w_0} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \right| \le \triangle(\lambda, w_0) \{\lambda^2 - n(n-1)p_{\alpha\beta}\},$$

where  $w_0 \in \{0, ..., n\}$ . Table 3.3 shows all Poisson estimate  $P(W \le w_0)$  for N = 100, n = 10, r = 25 and  $\pi_1 = \cdots = \pi_{10} = 0.1$ .

**Table 3.4** Poisson estimate of  $P(W \le w_0)$  for N = 100, n = 10 and r = 25

$w_0$	Estimate	Uniform	Non-uniform	Non-uniform
		bound	bound $(1.1)$	bound $(1.7)$
0	0.38491106	0.17364302	0.17364302	0.10043145
1	0.75240220	0.17364302	0.17364302	0.07321157
2	0.92783199	0.17364302	0.15037501	0.06604059
3	0.98366211	0.17364302	0.11278126	0.05825994
4	0.99698796	0.17364302	0.09022501	0.04900929
5	0.99953251	0.17364302	0.07518751	0.04210898
6	0.99993741	0.17364302	0.06444643	0.03676769
7	0.99999264	0.17364302	0.05639063	0.03254910
8	0.99999923	0.17364302	0.05012500	0.02915746
9	0.99999993	0.17364302	0.04511250	0.02638366
10	0.99999999	0.17364302	0.04101137	0.02407906

# References

- R. Arratia, L. Goldstein, and L. Gordon, Two moments suffice for Poisson approximations: the Chen-Stein method, Annals of probability, 17(1989), 9– 25.
- [2] R. Arratia, L. Goldstein and L. Gordon, Poisson approximations and the Chen-Stein method. *Statistical Science*, 5(1990), 403–434.
- [3] A. D. Barbour, Stochastic Processes : Theory and Methods, Handbook of Statistics 19, Elsevier Science(2001), 79-115.
- [4] A. D. Barbour, L. Holst and S. Janson, *Poisson approximation*, Oxford Studies in probability 2, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1992.

- [5] L. H. Y. Chen, Poisson approximation for dependent trials, Annals of probability, 3(1975), 534–545.
- [6] S. Janson, Coupling and Poisson approximation. Acta Applicandae Mathematicae, 34(1994), 7–15.
- [7] K. Lange, Applied Probability, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [8] K. Neammanee, Pointwise Approximation of Poisson Binomial by Poisson Distribution, Stochastic Modelling and Applications, 6(2003), 20–26.
- [9] C. M. Stein, A bound for the error in normal approximation to the distribution of a sum of dependent random variables. Proc.Sixth Berkeley Sympos, *Math. Statist. Probab.*, 3(1972), 583–602.
- [10] C. M. Stein, Approximate Computation of Expectations, IMS, Hayward California, 1986.
- [11] K. Teerapabolarn and K. Neammanee, A non-uniform bound on Poisson approximation for dependent Trials. *Stochastic Modelling and Applications*, 8(1972), 17–31.
- [12] K. Teerapabolarn and K. Neammanee, Poisson approximation for Sums of Dependent Bernoulli Random Varables, Acta Mathematica, 22(2006), 87–99.
- [13] K. Teerapabolarn, K. Neammanee and S. Chongcharoen, Approximation of the probability of non-isolated vertices in random Graph. Annual Meeting in Applied Statistics 2004, National Institute of Development Administration. (2004), 9–18.

(Received 5 June 2005)

K. Teerapabolarn Department of Mathematics Burapha University Chonburi 20131, Thailand. e-mail : kanint@buu.ac.th