**T**HAI **J**OURNAL OF **M**ATHEMATICS VOLUME 11 (2013) NUMBER 2 : 285–292



http://thaijmath.in.cmu.ac.th ISSN 1686-0209

# Common Fixed Point Theorem for Occasionally Weakly Compatible Mappings in Probabilistic Metric Spaces

Sunny Chauhan<sup> $\dagger$ ,1</sup> and Poom Kumam<sup> $\ddagger$ </sup>

<sup>†</sup>Near Nehru Training Centre, H. No. 274, Nai Basti B-14 Bijnor-246701, Uttar Pradesh, India e-mail : sun.gkv@gmail.com

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi Bangkok 10140, Thailand e-mail : poom.kum@kmutt.ac.th

**Abstract :** The aim of this paper is to prove a common fixed point theorem for two pairs of single-valued and set-valued occasionally weakly compatible mappings in Menger spaces. An example is given to illustrate our main result.

**Keywords :** t-norm; Menger space; weakly compatible mappings; occasionally weakly compatible mappings; fixed point.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 47H10; 54H25.

## 1 Introduction

The notion of probabilistic metric spaces (briefly, PM-spaces), as a generalization of metric spaces, with non-deterministic distance, was introduced by Professor Karl Menger [1] in 1942. The study of these spaces received much attention after the pioneering work of Schweizer and Sklar [2, 3]. One of the simplest and most useful results in the fixed point theory is the Banach-Caccioppoli contraction mapping principle. This theorem provides a technique for solving a variety of applied

Copyright  $\odot~2013$  by the Mathematical Association of Thailand. All rights reserved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corresponding author.

problems in mathematical sciences and engineering. Banach contraction principle has been generalized in different spaces by mathematicians over the years. In 1972, Sehgal and Bharucha-Reid [4] initiated the study of contraction mappings in PM-spaces. For other related fixed point results in Menger spaces and their applications, we refer to [5].

Many mathematicians weakened the notion of commutativity by introducing the notions of weak commutativity [6], compatibility [7] and weak compatibility [8] in metric spaces and proved a number of fixed point theorems using these notions. In 2008, Al-Thagafi and Shahzad [9] gave a definition which is proper generalization of nontrivial weakly compatible mappings which have coincidence points. Jungck and Rhoades [10] studied fixed point results for occasionally weakly compatible mappings. Many authors exploited these concepts (see for example, [11–14] in framework of PM-spaces to obtain a number of common fixed point results.

In an interesting note, Dorić et al. [15] have shown that in respect of singlevalued mappings, the condition of occasionally weak compatibility reduces to weak compatibility in the presence of a unique point of coincidence (or a unique common fixed point) of the given pair of mappings. Thus, no generalization can be obtained by replacing weak compatibility with occasionally weak compatibility.

In 1976, Caristi [16] proved a fixed point theorem. Since the Caristi's fixed point theorem does not require the continuity of the mappings, it has applications in many fields. In 1993, Zhang et al. [17] proved set-valued Caristi's theorem in probabilistic metric spaces. Chuan [18] brought forward the concept of Caristi type hybrid fixed point in Menger spaces. In 2006, Chen and Chang [19] proved a common fixed point theorem for four single-valued and two set-valued mappings in a complete Menger spaces by using the notion of compatibility. Further, Pant et al. [20] proved common fixed point theorems for single-valued and set-valued mappings in Menger spaces using implicit relation. More recently, Pant et al. [21] improved the results of Chen and Chang [19] by using the notion of occasionally weak compatible mappings. Several interesting results for multi-valued mappings are also appeared in [22–24].

In the present paper, we prove a common fixed point theorem for single-valued and set-valued occasionally weakly compatible mappings in Menger spaces. An example is furnished which demonstrates the validity of the hypotheses and degree of generality of our main result.

### 2 Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** ([3]). A triangular norm  $\triangle$  (shortly t-norm) is a binary operation on the unit interval [0, 1] such that for all  $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$  and the following conditions are satisfied

- 1.  $\triangle(a,1) = a;$
- 2.  $\triangle(a,b) = \triangle(b,a);$

Common Fixed Point Theorem for Occasionally Weakly Compatible ...

3.  $\triangle(a,b) \leq \triangle(c,d)$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$ ;

4. 
$$\triangle (a, \triangle (b, c)) = \triangle (\triangle (a, b), c).$$

Examples of t-norms are  $\triangle(a,b) = \min\{a,b\}$ ,  $\triangle(a,b) = ab$  and  $\triangle(a,b) = \max\{a+b-1,0\}$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([3]). A mapping  $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is said to be a *distribution function* if it is non-decreasing and left continuous with  $\inf\{F(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} = 0$  and  $\sup\{F(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} = 1$ .

We shall denote by  $\Im$  the set of all distribution functions while H will always denote the specific distribution function defined by

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \le 0; \\ 1, & \text{if } t > 0. \end{cases}$$

If X is a non-empty set,  $\mathcal{F}: X \times X \to \Im$  is called a probabilistic distance on X and  $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$  is usually denoted by  $F_{x,y}$ .

**Definition 2.3** ([3]). The ordered pair  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is called a *PM-space* if X is a nonempty set and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a probabilistic distance satisfying the following conditions: for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and t, s > 0,

- 1.  $F_{x,y}(t) = H(t) \Leftrightarrow x = y;$
- 2.  $F_{x,y}(t) = F_{y,x}(t);$
- 3.  $F_{x,z}(t) = 1, F_{z,y}(s) = 1 \Rightarrow F_{x,y}(t+s) = 1.$

The ordered triple  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  is called a Menger space if  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a PM-space,  $\Delta$  is a t-norm and the following inequality holds:

$$F_{x,y}(t+s) \ge \triangle \left( F_{x,z}(t), F_{z,y}(s) \right),$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and t, s > 0.

Every metric space (X, d) can always be realized as a PM-space by considering  $\mathcal{F}: X \times X \to \Im$  defined by  $F_{x,y}(t) = H(t - d(x, y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . So PM-spaces offer a wider framework than that of metric spaces and are better suited to cover even wider statistical situations.

Throughout this paper,  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  will denote the family of non-empty bounded subsets of a Menger space  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$ . For all  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and for every t > 0, we define

$$_{D}F_{A,B}(t) = \sup\{F_{a,b}(t); a \in A, b \in B\}$$
(2.1)

and

$$_{\delta}F_{A,B}(t) = \inf\{F_{a,b}(t); a \in A, b \in B\}.$$
 (2.2)

287

If the set A consists of a single point a, we write

$$\delta F_{A,B}(t) = \delta F_{a,B}(t).$$

If the set B also consists of a single point b, we write

$$\delta F_{A,B}(t) = F_{a,b}(t).$$

It follows immediately from the definition that

$$\delta F_{A,B}(t) = \delta F_{B,A}(t) \ge 0,$$
  
$$\delta F_{A,B}(t) = 1 \Leftrightarrow A = B = \{a\},$$

for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ .

Recall that  $x \in X$  is called a *coincidence point* (respectively, *common fixed point*) of  $S: X \to X$  and  $A: X \to \mathcal{B}(X)$  if  $Sx \in Ax$  (respectively,  $x = Sx \in Ax$ ).

**Definition 2.4** ([8]). Mappings  $S : X \to X$  and  $A : X \to \mathcal{B}(X)$  are said to be weakly compatible if SAx = ASx whenever  $Sx \in Ax$ .

**Example 2.5.** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  with usual metric. Define the mappings  $S : X \to X$ and  $A : X \to \mathcal{B}(X)$  as:  $S(x) = x^2$  for all  $x \in X$  and

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} \{x\}, & \text{if } 0 \le x \le 1; \\ (1, x), & \text{if } 1 < x < \infty \end{cases}$$

Then the mappings S and A are weakly compatible at their coincidence points.

**Definition 2.6** ([25]). Mappings  $S : X \to X$  and  $A : X \to \mathcal{B}(X)$  are said to be *occasionally weakly compatible* if and only if there exists some point x in X  $Sx \in Ax$  and  $SAx \subseteq ASx$ .

From the following example, it is clear that the notion of occasionally weakly compatible mappings is more general than weak compatibility.

**Example 2.7.** In the setting of Example 2.5, replace the mappings S and A by the following, besides retaining the rest:

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \le x < 2; \\ x + 2, & \text{if } 2 \le x < \infty. \end{cases} \quad A(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } 0 \le x < 2; \\ [2, x + 3], & \text{if } 2 \le x < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Here, it can be easily verified that x = 0, 2 are the coincidence points of S and A, but S and A are not weakly compatible at x = 2 that is  $AS(2) = [2,7] \neq SA(2) =$ [4,7]. Hence S and A are not compatible. However, the pair (S, A) is occasionally weakly compatible, since the pair (S, A) is weakly compatible at x = 0. Common Fixed Point Theorem for Occasionally Weakly Compatible ...

#### 3 Main Result

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \triangle)$  be a Menger space with continuous t-norm. Further, let  $S, T : X \to X$  be single-valued and  $A, B : X \to \mathcal{B}(X)$  be two set-valued mappings such that the pairs (S, A) and (T, B) are each occasionally weakly compatible satisfying

$$\delta F_{Ax,By}(t) \ge \phi \left( F_{Sx,Ty}(t) \right) \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\phi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  is a continuous function such that  $\phi(t) > t$  for each 0 < t < 1,  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi(1) = 1$ . Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* Since the pairs (S, A) and (T, B) are each occasionally weakly compatible, there exist points  $x, y \in X$  such that  $Sx \in Ax$ ,  $SAx \subseteq ASx$  and  $Ty \in By$ ,  $TBy \subseteq BTy$ . Now we claim that Sx = Ty. For if  $Sx \neq Ty$ , then there exists a positive real number t such that  $F_{Sx,Ty}(t) < 1$ . Using inequality (3.1) and condition (2.2), we get

$$F_{Sx,Ty}(t) \ge {}_{\delta}F_{Ax,By}(t)$$
$$\ge \phi \left(F_{Sx,Ty}(t)\right) > F_{Sx,Ty}(t),$$

a contradiction. Hence, Sx = Ty. Since  $Sx \in Ax$ , therefore  $SSx \in SAx \subseteq ASx$ . Also, from condition (2.2), we get  $F_{SSx,Sx}(t) \geq {}_{\delta}F_{ASx,By}(t)$ . Next we claim that Sx = SSx. For if  $Sx \neq SSx$ , then there exists a positive real number t such that  $F_{Sx,SSx}(t) < 1$ . Using inequality (3.1) and condition (2.2), we have

$$F_{SSx,Sx}(t) \ge {}_{\delta}F_{ASx,By}(t)$$
$$\ge \phi \left(F_{SSx,Ty}(t)\right)$$
$$= \phi \left(F_{SSx,Ty}(t)\right)$$
$$> F_{SSx,Sx}(t),$$

which contradicts. Hence the claim follows. Similarly, it can be shown that Ty = TTy which proves that Sx is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T. The uniqueness of common fixed point is an easy consequence of inequality (3.1).

The following example illustrates Theorem 3.1.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  with the metric d defined by d(x, y) = |x - y| and for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ , define

$$F_{x,y}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t+|x-y|}, & \text{if } t > 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } t = 0, \end{cases}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Clearly  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \triangle)$  be a Menger space, with t-norm  $\triangle$  is defined by  $\triangle(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$  for all  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ . Define the mappings  $S, T : X \to X$  and  $A, B : X \to \mathcal{B}(X)$  by Thai J.~Math. 11 (2013)/ S. Chauhan and P. Kumam

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} \{x\}, & \text{if } 0 \le x < 1; \\ [1, x+2], & \text{if } 1 \le x < \infty. \end{cases} \quad B(x) = \begin{cases} \{0\}, & \text{if } 0 \le x < 1; \\ [1, x+1], & \text{if } 1 \le x < \infty. \end{cases}$$
$$S(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \le x < 1; \\ x+1, & \text{if } 1 \le x < \infty. \end{cases} \quad T(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{2}, & \text{if } 0 \le x < 1; \\ 2x+3, & \text{if } 1 \le x < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\phi : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  be defined by  $\phi(t) = \sqrt{t}$  for  $0 < t \leq 1$ . Then  $\phi(t) > t$ for each 0 < t < 1 and  ${}_{\delta}F_{Ax,By}(t) \geq \phi(F_{Sx,Ty}(t))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then A, B, S and T satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 3.1, i.e.,  $0 = S(0) \in A(0)$ ,  $SA(0) = \{0\} = AS(0)$  and  $0 = T(0) \in B(0)$ ,  $TB(0) = \{0\} = BT(0)$ . Also S and A as well as T and B are occasionally weakly compatible mappings. Hence, 0 is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T. On the other hand, it is clear to see that the mappings A, B, S and T are discontinuous at 0.

On taking A = B and S = T in Theorem 3.1, we get the following natural result.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \triangle)$  be a Menger space with continuous t-norm. Further, let  $S : X \to X$  be a single-valued and  $A : X \to \mathcal{B}(X)$  be a set-valued mappings such that the pair (S, A) is occasionally weakly compatible satisfying condition

$$\delta F_{Ax,Ay}(t) \ge \phi \left( F_{Sx,Sy}(t) \right) \tag{3.2}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\phi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  is a continuous function such that  $\phi(t) > t$ for each  $0 < t < 1, \phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi(1) = 1$ . Then A and S have a unique common fixed point.

Acknowledgements : The authors are thankful to an anonymous referee for his useful comments on this paper. This study was supported by the Higher Education Research Promotion and National Research University Project of Thailand, Office of the Higher Education Commission under the Computational Science and Engineering Research Cluster (CSEC Grant No.55000613).

#### References

- K. Menger, Statistical metrics, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 28 (1942) 535– 537.
- [2] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960) 313–334.
- [3] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Probabilistic Metric Spaces, North-Holland Series in Probability and Applied Mathematics, North-Holland Publishing Co., New York, 1983.
- [4] V.M. Sehgal, A.T. Bharucha-Reid, Fixed point of contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces, Math. Systems Theory 6 (1972) 97–102.

- [5] S.S. Chang, Y.J. Cho, S.M. Kang, Nonlinear Operator Theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Huntington, New York, 2001.
- [6] S. Sessa, On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) 32 (46) (1982) 149–153.
- [7] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 9 (1986) 771–779.
- [8] G. Jungck, B.E. Rhoades, Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 29 (3) (1998) 227–238.
- [9] M.A. Al-Thagafi, N. Shahzad, Generalized *I*-nonexpansive selfmaps and invariant approximations, Acta Math. Sinica 24 (5) (2008) 867–876.
- [10] G. Jungck, B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings, Fixed Point Theory 7 (2006) 286–296.
- [11] S.L. Singh, B.D. Pant, Common fixed points of weakly commuting mappings on non-Archimedean Menger spaces, Vikram Math. J. 6 (1986) 27–31.
- [12] S.N. Mishra, Common fixed points of compatible mappings in PM-spaces, Math. Japon. 36 (1991) 283–289.
- [13] B. Singh, S. Jain, A fixed point theorem in Menger space through weak compatibility, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 301 (2005) 439–448.
- [14] B.D. Pant, S. Chauhan, Common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings in Menger spaces, Surv. Math. Appl. 6 (2011) 1–7.
- [15] D. Dorić, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, A note on occasionally weakly compatible mappings and common fixed point, Fixed Point Theory 13 (2) (2012) 475–480.
- [16] J. Caristi, Fixed point theorems tor mappings satisfying inwardness conditions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 215 (1976) 241–251.
- [17] S. Zhang, N. Huang, S. Chuan, Set-valued Caristi's theorem in probabilistic metric spaces, Sichuan Daxue Xuebao (in Chinese) 30 (1) (1993) 12–16.
- [18] S. Chuan, Caristi type hybrid fixed point theorems in Menger probabilistic metric space, Appl. Math. Mech. (English Ed.) 18 (2) (1997) 201–209.
- [19] C.-M. Chen, T.H. Chang, Common fixed point theorems in Menger spaces, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., Vol. 2006 (2006), Article ID 75931, Pages 1–15.
- [20] B.D. Pant, S. Chauhan, S. Kumar, A common fixed point theorem for set-valued contraction mappings using implicit relation, J. Adv. Res. Appl. Math. 4 (2) (2012) 51–62.
- [21] B.D. Pant, B. Samet, S. Chauhan, Coincidence and common fixed point theorems for single-valued and set-valued mappings, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 27 (4) (2012) 733–743.

- [22] W. Kumam, P. Kumam, Random fixed point theorems for multivalued subsequentially limit-contractive maps satisfying inwardness conditions, J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 14 (2) (2012) 239–251.
- [23] P. Kumam, S. Plubtieng, The Characteristic of noncompact convexity and random fixed point theorem for set-valued operators, Czechoslovak Math. J. 57 (132) (1) (2007) 286–296.
- [24] S. Plubtieng, P. Kumam, Random fixed point theorems for multivalued nonexpansive non-self random operators, J. Appl. Math. Stoch. Anal., Vol. 2006 (2006), Article ID 43796, 9 pages.
- [25] M. Abbas, B.E. Rhoades, Common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings satisfying a generalized contractive condition, Math. Commun. 13 (2) (2008) 295–301.

(Received 24 November 2010) (Accepted 11 June 2012)

 ${f T}$ HAI  ${f J}.$   ${f M}$ ATH. Online @ http://thaijmath.in.cmu.ac.th