



Common Fixed Point as a Contractive Fixed Point¹

T. Phaneendra^{†,2} and M. Chandra Shekhar[‡]

[†]Applied Analysis Division, School of Advanced Sciences
VIT University, Vellore - 632 014, Tamil Nadu State, India
e-mail : drtp.indra@gmail.com

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Vijay Rural Engineering College
Nizamabad - 503 003, Andhra Pradesh State, India
e-mail : maisa.chandrashekhar@gmail.com

Abstract : In this paper, we prove a common fixed point theorem for a wider class of generalized contraction type mappings relative to a self-map and show that the common fixed point will be a contractive fixed point of the reference map under certain condition on contraction constant. Our result is a generalization of common fixed point theorems of first author, and of Akkouchi.

Keywords : self-map; associated Sequence; common fixed point; attracting and contractive fixed points.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 54H25.

1 Introduction

In this paper X represents a metric space with metric d . If x is a point of X and S a self-map on X , we write Sx for the image of x under S , $S(X)$ for the range of S , and TS for the composition of self-maps T and S .

A point $p \in X$ is a *fixed point* for a self-map S on X if $Sp = p$. We denote by $Fix(S)$, the set of all fixed points of S , and by $Fix(S, T)$ the set of all common fixed points of S and T .

¹This paper was presented in the Sixteenth Congress of AP Society of Mathematical Sciences held at NGRI, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh State-INDIA, in December, 2007

²Corresponding author.

Given a reference map S on X and $0 < \alpha < 1$, $B(S, \alpha)$ denotes the class of self-maps T on X satisfying the contractive type condition:

$$d(Sx, TSy) \leq \alpha \max\{d(x, Sx), d(x, Sy), d(Sy, TSy), \frac{1}{2}[d(x, TSy) + d(Sx, Sy)]\} \text{ for all } x, y \in X \quad (1.1)$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$. Fisher [1] proved the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *Given a self-map S on X and $T \in B(S, \alpha)$, where $0 < \alpha < 1$, suppose that either S or T is continuous on X . If X is complete, then there is a unique point p in X such that $p \in \text{Fix}(S, T)$.*

Later in 2004, the author [2] obtained the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 by replacing the completeness of the space X without an appeal to the continuity condition, as given below:

Theorem 1.2. *Given a self-map S on X and $T \in B(S, \alpha)$, where $0 < \alpha < 1$, suppose that the associated sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ at some $x_0 \in X$ with the choice*

$$x_n = \begin{cases} Sx_{n-1} & (n \text{ is odd}) \\ Tx_{n-1} & (n \text{ is even}) \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

has a subsequence converging to a point z in X . Then

- (i) the sequence (1.2) will also converge to z .
- (ii) z will be a unique point such that $\text{Fix}(S) = \text{Fix}(S, T) = \{z\}$.

In this paper, we prove that a common fixed point can also be obtained by generalizing the condition (1.1), which will be a contractive fixed point for the reference map S , when $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$.

2 Notation

Let $\beta \geq 0$. Given $0 < \alpha < 1$, and self-map S on X , we shall denote by $B_\beta(S, \alpha)$ the class of all mappings $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying the condition:

$$[1 + \beta d(x, Sy)] d(Sx, TSy) \leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(x, Sx), d(x, Sy), d(Sy, TSy), \frac{1}{2}[d(x, TSy) + d(Sx, Sy)] \right\} + \beta[d(x, Sx)d(Sy, TSy) + d(x, TSy)d(Sx, Sy)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X \quad (2.1)$$

Remark 2.1. *Writing $\beta = 0$ in (2.1), we get (1.1). Thus $B_0(S, \alpha) = B(S, \alpha)$. We note that if $B^*(S, \alpha) = \bigcup_{\beta \geq 0} B_\beta(S, \alpha)$, then $B^*(S, \alpha)$ includes $B(S, \alpha)$.*

Let S be a self-map on X and $x \in X$. The S -orbit or simply orbit at x is the sequence $O_S(x)$ of iterates: Sx, S^2x, \dots . If $p \in \text{Fix}(S)$ has a neighbourhood N in X such that the S -of orbit at each x in N converges to p , then p is called an *attracting fixed point* of S . In case $N = X$, p is called a *contractive fixed point* [3].

Example 2.2. Consider $S : R \rightarrow R$ defined by $Sx = x^3$ for all x . Then $0, 1$, and -1 are the fixed points of S , and $O_S(x) = \langle x^{3^n} \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Note that for $|x| < 1$, the orbit converges to 0 , while for $|x| > 1$ the orbit diverges to $\pm\infty$ respectively. Thus 0 is an attracting but not a contractive fixed point.

Example 2.3. Let $S : R \rightarrow R$ given by $Sx = \frac{x}{2}$ for all x . Then 0 is the only fixed point of S , which is also a contractive one, to which the orbit $O_S(x) = \langle \frac{x}{2^n} \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ at each real x converges.

3 Main Result

Our main result is

Theorem 3.1. Given $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$, and self-map S on X , let $T \in B_{\beta}(S, \alpha)$. Suppose that the associated sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ at some $x_0 \in X$ with the choice (1.2) has a subsequence converging to a point z of X . Then the following assertions will be true:

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$
- (ii) $z \in \text{Fix}(S) \subset \text{Fix}(T)$
- (iii) $\text{Fix}(S) = \text{Fix}(T) = \text{Fix}(S, T) = \{z\}$ whenever $T(X) \subset S(X)$
- (iv) z is a unique common fixed point of S and T
- (v) S and TS are continuous at z .

Further if $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, the unique common fixed point z will be a contractive fixed point for the reference map S .

Proof. Let x_0 be arbitrary point of X . We write $r_n = d(x_n, x_{n+1}), n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. First we establish that

$$r_n \leq \alpha \max \{r_n, r_{n-1}\} \quad \text{for all } n. \quad (3.1)$$

Suppose that n is even. Writing $x = x_n$ and $y = x_{n-2}$ in (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + \beta d(x_n, x_{n-1})] d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \\ & \leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, x_{n-1}), 0, \frac{1}{2} d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}) \right\} \\ & \quad + \beta [d(x_n, x_{n+1}) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + 0] \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(1 + \beta r_{n-1}) r_n \leq \alpha \max \left\{ r_n, r_{n-1}, \frac{1}{2} d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}) \right\} + \beta r_n r_{n-1}$$

from which (3.1) follows, since $\frac{1}{2}(a+b) \leq \max\{a, b\}$. While if n is odd, we take $x = y = x_{n-1}$ in (2.1) and simplify as above to get (3.1).

It is remarkable to note that if $r_m > r_{m-1}$ for some integer $m > 1$, then (3.1) would give a contradiction that $0 < r_m \leq \alpha r_m < r_m$, since $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Hence $r_n \leq r_{n-1}$ for all n , and from (3.1) we get $r_n \leq \alpha r_{n-1}$ for all n . In other words, $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a contractive sequence and hence is a Cauchy sequence in X [4, Theorem 3.1.7].

In view of the convergence of the subsequence, assertion (i) follows, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{2n-1} = z \text{ for some } z \in X. \quad (3.2)$$

Writing $x = z$ and $y = x_{2n}$ in (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + \beta d(z, x_{2n+1})] d(Sz, x_{2n+2}) \\ & \leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(z, Sz), d(z, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), \frac{1}{2} [d(z, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Sz)] \right\} \\ & \quad + \beta [d(z, Sz) d(x_{2n+1}, TSx_{2n+2}) + d(z, x_{2n+2}) d(x_{2n+1}, Sz)]. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in this, and using (3.2), we obtain that

$$(1 + \beta \cdot 0) d(Sz, z) \leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(z, Sz), 0, 0, \frac{1}{2} [0 + d(z, Sz)] \right\} + \beta [0 + 0 \cdot d(z, Sz)]$$

or $d(Sz, z) \leq \alpha d(z, Sz)$ so that $d(Sz, z) = 0$ or $z \in \text{Fix}(S)$.

Now we observe that $\text{Fix}(S) \subset \text{Fix}(T)$. In fact, if $p \in \text{Fix}(S)$, then writing $x = y = p$ in (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + \beta d(p, Sp)] d(Sp, TSp) \\ & \leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(p, Sp), d(p, Sp), d(Sp, TSp), \frac{1}{2} [d(p, TSp) + d(Sp, Sp)] \right\} \\ & \quad + \beta [d(p, Sp) d(Sp, TSp) + d(p, TSp) d(Sp, Sp)]. \end{aligned}$$

or $d(p, Tp) \leq \alpha d(p, Tp)$ so that $d(p, Tp) = 0$ or $p \in \text{Fix}(T)$. Thus p is a fixed point of T whenever it is a fixed point of S , and (ii) immediately follows.

To prove (iii), in view of (ii), it suffices to prove that $\text{Fix}(T) \subset \text{Fix}(S)$. In fact, let p be a fixed point of T . Since $T(X) \subset S(X)$, we get $p = Tp = Sq$ for some $q \in X$. Now (2.1) with $x = p$, and $y = q$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + \beta d(p, Sq)] d(Sp, TSq) \\ & \leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(p, Sp), d(p, Sq), d(Sq, TSq), \frac{1}{2} [d(p, TSq) + d(Sp, Sq)] \right\} \\ & \quad + \beta [d(p, Sp) d(Sq, TSq) + d(p, TSq) d(Sp, Sq)], \end{aligned}$$

which on routine simplification gives $d(Sp, p) \leq \alpha d(p, Sp)$ so that $p = Sp$, that is $p \in \text{Fix}(S)$. The other way of stating (iii) is that z is a common fixed point of S and T .

The uniqueness of the common fixed point follows directly from (2.1), proving (iv). To get the continuity of S at z , we see from (2.1) with $y = z$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + \beta d(x, Sz)] d(Sx, TSz) \\ & \leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(x, Sx), d(x, Sz), d(Sz, TSz), \frac{1}{2}[d(x, TSz) + d(Sx, Sz)] \right\} \\ & \quad + \beta [d(x, Sx)d(Sz, TSz) + d(x, TSz)d(Sx, Sz)], \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} [1 + \beta d(x, z)] d(Sx, z) & \leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(x, Sx), d(x, z), 0, \frac{1}{2}[d(x, z) + d(Sx, z)] \right\} \\ & \quad + \beta [0 + d(x, z)d(Sx, z)]. \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$d(Sx, z) \leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(x, Sx), d(x, z), 0, \frac{1}{2}[d(x, z) + d(Sx, z)] \right\},$$

which on using the triangle inequality of the metric d gives

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sx, z) & \leq \alpha \max \left\{ [d(x, z) + d(z, Sx)], d(x, z), \frac{1}{2}[d(x, z) + d(Sx, z)] \right\} \\ & = \alpha [d(x, z) + d(Sx, z)]. \end{aligned}$$

So that $(1 - \alpha)d(Sx, z) \leq \alpha d(x, z)$. Thus

$$d(Sx, Sz) = d(Sx, z) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right) d(x, z). \quad (3.3)$$

Given $\epsilon > 0$, choose

$$\delta = \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} \right) \epsilon.$$

Then (3.3) would imply that $d(Sx, Sz) < \epsilon$ whenever $d(x, z) < \delta$, showing that S is continuous at z .

Again writing $x = z$ in (2.1), using $Sz = z$ and then simplifying, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, TSy) & \leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(z, Sy), \frac{1}{2}[d(z, TSy) + d(z, Sy)] \right\} \\ & = \alpha \max \{ d(z, Sy), d(z, TSy) \} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$d(z, TSy) \leq \alpha \max \{ d(Sy, z), d(TSy, z) \} = \alpha d(Sy, z) = \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{1 - \alpha} \right) d(y, z)$$

for all $y \in X$, in view of (3.3).

This reveals that TS is continuous at z , proving the last part of (v).

In the remainder of the proof, we suppose that $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and $y_0 \in X$ is arbitrary. Then the iterates:

$$y_n = s^n y_0, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (3.4)$$

will describe the S -orbit at y_0 . From again (2.1) with $x = y_n$, and $y = z$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + \beta d(y_n, z)] d(Sy_n, z) \\ & \leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(y_n, Sy_n), d(y_n, z), \frac{1}{2} [d(y_n, z) + d(Sy_n, z)] \right\} + \beta d(y_n, z) d(Sy_n, z). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $u_n = d(y_n, z)$, this can be written as

$$u_{n+1} \leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(y_n, y_{n+1}), u_n, \frac{1}{2} [u_n + u_{n+1}] \right\} + \beta u_n u_{n+1} \text{ for all } n. \quad (3.5)$$

If $u_{m+1} > u_m$ for some positive integer m , we would obtain that

$$d(y_m, y_{m+1}) \leq d(y_m, z) + d(z, y_{m+1}) = u_m + u_{m+1} < 2u_{m+1}$$

which together with (3.5) implies a contradiction that $u_{m+1} \leq 2\alpha u_{m+1} < u_{m+1}$.

Hence we must have $u_{n+1} > u_n$ for all n so that again from (3.5), we have $u_{n+1} \leq 2\alpha u_n$ for all n . Proceeding the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in this and using the choice of α , we find that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, z) = 0.$$

That is, the S -orbit at y_0 converges to z . Since y_0 is arbitrary point in X , it follows that the common fixed point z is a contractive fixed point of S . \square

Remark 3.2. Writing $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we get Theorem 1.2, in view of Remark 2.1.

Remark 3.3. When $\beta = 0$ and X is complete in Theorem 3.1, we get Theorem 1.1 of Akkouchi [5].

Acknowledgement : The authors are highly thankful to the referees for their valuable suggestions in improving the manuscript of the paper in favour of the publication.

References

- [1] B. Fisher, Result and Conjecture on Fixed Points, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis Mat Nat.* 62 (1977) 769–775.
- [2] T. Phaneendra, A Generalization of Brian Frisher’s Theorem, *The Math. Edu.* 38 (2004) 92–94.
- [3] S. Leader, Fixed Points for a General Contraction in Metric Space, *Math. Japon.* 24 (1) (1979) 17–24.
- [4] R.A. Bartle, *Introduction to Real Analysis*, JWE, 1996.
- [5] M. Akkuuchi, A Note on a Common Fixed Point Theorem of Brian Fisher, *J. De Mat.* 8 (2003) 26–30.

(Received 24 June 2011)

(Accepted 17 January 2012)