

## Surjective Multihomomorphisms between Cyclic Groups

S. Nenthein and P. Lertwichitsilp

**Abstract :** A multifunction  $f$  from a group  $G$  into a group  $G'$  is called a *multihomomorphism* if

$$f(xy) = f(x)f(y) \quad (= \{st \mid s \in f(x) \text{ and } t \in f(y)\})$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Denote by  $\text{MHom}(G, G')$  the set of all multihomomorphisms from  $G$  into  $G'$ . We call  $f \in \text{MHom}(G, G')$  a *surjective multihomomorphism* if  $f(G) = G'$  where  $f(G) = \bigcup_{x \in G} f(x)$ . The elements of  $\text{MHom}((\mathbb{Z}, +), (\mathbb{Z}, +))$ ,  $\text{MHom}((\mathbb{Z}_n, +), (\mathbb{Z}, +))$ ,  $\text{MHom}((\mathbb{Z}, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +))$  and  $\text{MHom}((\mathbb{Z}_m, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +))$  have been already characterized and counted. Our purpose is to characterize when these multihomomorphisms are surjective. The cardinalities of the subsets of surjective multihomomorphisms are also determined.

**Keywords :** Multihomomorphism, surjection.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification :** 32A12.

### 1 Introduction

The cardinality of a set  $X$  is denoted by  $|X|$ . A *multifunction* from a nonempty set  $X$  into a nonempty set  $Y$  is a function from  $X$  into  $P(Y) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  where  $P(Y)$  is the power set of  $Y$ . For  $A \subseteq X$ , we let  $f(A) = \bigcup_{x \in A} f(x)$ .

Semicontinuity of multifunctions between two topological spaces has been studied by Whyburn [6], Smithson [4] and Feichtinger [2]. Triphop, Harnchoowong and Kemprasit [5] have studied multifunctions in an algebraic sense. The definition of multihomomorphisms between groups was given naturally in [5] as follows : A multifunction  $f$  from a group  $G$  into a group  $G'$  is a *multihomomorphism* if

$$f(xy) = f(x)f(y) \quad (= \{st \mid s \in f(x) \text{ and } t \in f(y)\}) \text{ for all } x, y \in G.$$

The set of all multihomomorphisms from  $G$  into  $G'$  is denoted by  $\text{MHom}(G, G')$ . Then  $\text{MHom}(G, G')$  contains all homomorphisms from  $G$  into  $G'$ . We write  $\text{MHom}(G)$  for  $\text{MHom}(G, G)$ .

In [5], the authors characterized the elements of  $\text{MHom}(G, G')$  and also determined  $|\text{MHom}(G, G')|$  where  $G$  and  $G'$  are cyclic groups. It is well-known that

every infinite cyclic group is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  and every finite cyclic group of order  $n$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$  where  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$  are the additive group of integers and the additive group of integers modulo  $n$ , respectively. Recall that

$$\mathbb{Z}_n = \{[x]_n \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{[0]_n, [1]_n, \dots, [n-1]_n\}.$$

For  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  not all zero, let  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  denote the g.c.d. of  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ . We let  $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x > 0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_0^+ = \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}^- = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x < 0\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_0^- = \mathbb{Z}^- \cup \{0\}$ . It is clearly seen that for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  not both zero,  $a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} = (a, b)\mathbb{Z}$  and  $a\mathbb{Z}_n + b\mathbb{Z}_n = (a, b)\mathbb{Z}_n$ . We note here that if  $k \mid n$  ( $k$  divides  $n$ ), then  $|k\mathbb{Z}_n| = \frac{n}{k}$  and  $k\mathbb{Z}_n = \{[0]_n, [k]_n, \dots, (\frac{n}{k} - 1)[k]_n\}$ . Recall that the Euler  $\phi$ -function is defined by  $\phi(1) = 1$  and for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $k > 1$ ,  $\phi(k)$  is the number of positive integers less than  $k$  and relatively prime to  $k$ . Hence

$$\phi(k) = \left| \{a \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\} \mid (a, k) = 1\} \right| \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

It is known that  $\sum_{k \mid n} \phi(k) = n$  ([3], page 191).

An element  $f \in \text{MHom}(G, G')$  is called a *surjective multihomomorphism* from  $G$  into  $G'$  if  $f(G) = G'$ , that is,  $\bigcup_{x \in G} f(x) = G'$ . For convenience, let

$$\text{SMHom}(G, G') = \{f \in \text{MHom}(G, G') \mid f \text{ is surjective}\},$$

that is,

$$\text{SMHom}(G, G') = \{f \in \text{MHom}(G, G') \mid f(G) = G'\},$$

and let  $\text{SMHom}(G) = \text{SMHom}(G, G)$ .

Our purpose is to characterize the surjective multihomomorphisms in  $\text{MHom}(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ ,  $\text{MHom}((\mathbb{Z}_n, +), (\mathbb{Z}, +))$ ,  $\text{MHom}((\mathbb{Z}, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +))$  and  $\text{MHom}((\mathbb{Z}_m, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +))$  and determine the cardinalities of  $\text{SMHom}(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ ,  $\text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}_n, +), (\mathbb{Z}, +))$ ,  $\text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +))$  and  $\text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}_m, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +))$ .

In the remainder, let  $n$  and  $m$  be positive integers. For a subsemigroup  $H$  of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  containing 0 and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define

$$F_{H,a}(x) = ax + H \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$C_H([x]_n) = H \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

If  $k, a \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0$  and  $\frac{k}{(k, n)} \mid a$ , let

$$D_{k,a}([x]_n) = ax + k\mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Also, for  $k, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define

$$G_{k,a}(x) = [ax]_n + k\mathbb{Z}_n \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and for  $k, a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\frac{(k, n)}{(k, m, n)} \mid a$ , let

$$I_{k,a}([x]_m) = [ax]_n + k\mathbb{Z}_n \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The following results will be referred and they were proved in [5].

**Theorem 1.1** ([5]) *The following statements about  $M\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  are true.*

- (a)  $M\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, +) = \{F_{H,a} \mid H \text{ is subsemigroup of } (\mathbb{Z}, +) \text{ containing } 0 \text{ and } a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .
- (b)  $|M\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, +)| = \aleph_0$ .

**Theorem 1.2** ([5]) *The following statements about  $M\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_n, +), (\mathbb{Z}, +))$  are true.*

- (a)  $M\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_n, +), (\mathbb{Z}, +)) = \{C_H \mid H \text{ is a subsemigroup of } (\mathbb{Z}, +) \text{ containing } 0\} \cup \{D_{k,a} \mid k, a \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0 \text{ and } \frac{k}{(k, n)} \mid a\}$ .
- (b)  $|M\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_n, +), (\mathbb{Z}, +))| = \aleph_0$ .

Brown and Curtis [1] noted that every subsemigroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}_0^+, +)$  containing 0 is finitely generated. This fact is useful to obtain Theorem 1.1(b) and Theorem 1.2(b).

**Theorem 1.3** ([5]) *The following statements about  $M\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +))$  are true.*

- (a)  $M\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +)) = \{G_{k,a} \mid k, a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .
- (b) If  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $k \mid n, l \mid n$ ,  $a \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ ,  $b \in \{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}$  and  $G_{k,a} = G_{l,b}$ , then  $k = l$  and  $a = b$ .
- (c)  $M\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +)) = \{G_{k,a} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k \mid n \text{ and } a \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}\}$ .
- (d)  $|M\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +))| = \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \\ k \mid n}} k$ .

**Theorem 1.4** ([5]) *The following statements about  $M\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_m, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +))$  are true.*

- (a)  $M\text{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}_m, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +)) = \{I_{k,a} \mid k, a \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \frac{(k, n)}{(k, m, n)} \mid a\}$ .
- (b) If  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $k \mid n, l \mid n$ ,  $a \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ ,  $b \in \{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}$ ,  $\frac{k}{(k, m)} \mid a$ ,  $\frac{l}{(l, m)} \mid b$  and  $I_{k,a} = I_{l,b}$ , then  $k = l$  and  $a = b$ .

- (c)  $MHom((\mathbb{Z}_m, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +)) = \{I_{k,a} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k \mid n, a \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\} \text{ and } \frac{k}{(k,m)} \mid a\}$ .
- (d)  $|MHom((\mathbb{Z}_m, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +))| = \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \\ k \mid n}} (k, m)$ .

## 2 Surjective Multihomomorphisms

We shall characterize surjective multihomomorphisms between cyclic groups by using the notations introduced above.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $H$  be a subsemigroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  containing 0 and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $F_{H,a} \in SMHom(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  if and only if the following two statements are satisfied.*

- (i)  $a$  is relatively prime to some  $h \in H$ .
- (ii)  $a = 0$  implies  $H = \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proof.** We have  $F_{H,a}(\mathbb{Z}) = a\mathbb{Z} + H$  by the definition of  $F_{H,a}$ . Assume that  $F_{H,a}$  is surjective. Then  $F_{H,a}(\mathbb{Z}) = a\mathbb{Z} + H = \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $as + h = 1$  for some  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $h \in H$ . This implies that  $(a, h) = 1$ . If  $a = 0$ , then  $H = a\mathbb{Z} + H = \mathbb{Z}$ .

Conversely, assume that (i) and (ii) hold. From (i),  $as + ht = 1$  for some  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $a = 0$ , then by (ii),  $H = \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $F_{H,a}(\mathbb{Z}) = H = \mathbb{Z}$ . Next, assume that  $a \neq 0$ .

**Case 1:**  $t \geq 0$ . Then  $ht \in H$  since  $H$  is a subsemigroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  and  $0 \in H$ , so  $1 = as + ht \in a\mathbb{Z} + H$ . Hence for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+$ ,  $x = asx + htx \in a\mathbb{Z} + H$ . Let  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^-$ . Since  $a \neq 0$ ,  $y + ar \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . From the above proof,  $y + ar \in a\mathbb{Z} + H$  which implies that  $y \in a\mathbb{Z} + H - ar = a\mathbb{Z} + H$ .

**Case 2:**  $t < 0$ . Then  $-ht = h(-t) \in H$ , so  $-1 = -as + (-ht) \in a\mathbb{Z} + H$ . Consequently, for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+$ ,  $-x = -asx + (-htx) \in a\mathbb{Z} + H$ . This shows that  $x \in a\mathbb{Z} + H$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ . If  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then  $y + ar \in \mathbb{Z}^-$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  since  $a \neq 0$ . This implies that  $y + ar \in a\mathbb{Z} + H$ . Thus  $y \in a\mathbb{Z} + H - ar = a\mathbb{Z} + H$ .

From Case 1 and Case 2,  $a\mathbb{Z} + H = \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $F_{H,a}$  is surjective.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2**  $|SMHom(\mathbb{Z}, +)| = \aleph_0$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $F_{H,1}(0) = H$  for every subsemigroup  $H$  of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  containing 0. This implies  $F_{k\mathbb{Z},1} \neq F_{l\mathbb{Z},1}$  for all distinct  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . By Theorem 2.1,  $F_{k\mathbb{Z},1} \in SMHom(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |SMHom(\mathbb{Z}, +)| &\geq |\{F_{k\mathbb{Z},1} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}| \\ &= |\mathbb{Z}^+| = \aleph_0. \end{aligned}$$

But  $|MHom(\mathbb{Z}, +)| = \aleph_0$  by Theorem 1.1(b), so we have  $|SMHom(\mathbb{Z}, +)| = \aleph_0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3** Let  $k, a \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $k \neq 0$  and  $\frac{k}{(k, n)} \mid a$ . Then  $D_{k, a} \in \text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}_n, +), (\mathbb{Z}, +))$  if and only if  $k$  and  $a$  are relatively prime.

**Proof.** We have that  $D_{k, a}(\mathbb{Z}_n) = a\mathbb{Z} + k\mathbb{Z} = (k, a)\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $(k, a)\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $(k, a) = 1$ . Hence  $D_{k, a} \in \text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}_n, +), (\mathbb{Z}, +))$  if and only if  $(k, a) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4**  $|\text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}_n, +), (\mathbb{Z}, +))| = n$ .

**Proof.** First, we note that for a subsemigroup  $H$  of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  containing 0,  $C_H \in \text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}_n, +), (\mathbb{Z}, +))$  if and only if  $H = \mathbb{Z}$ . Also, we have that  $C_{\mathbb{Z}} = D_{1, 0}$  and  $(1, 0) = 1$ . Hence by Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}_n, +), (\mathbb{Z}, +)) = \left\{ D_{k, a} \mid k, a \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0, \frac{k}{(k, n)} \mid a \text{ and } (k, a) = 1 \right\}.$$

Let

$$K = \left\{ D_{k, a} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k \mid n, a \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\} \text{ and } (k, a) = 1 \right\}.$$

To show that  $\text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}_n, +), (\mathbb{Z}, +)) = K$ , it is clear that  $K \subseteq \text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}_n, +), (\mathbb{Z}, +))$ . For the reverse inclusion, let  $k, a \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0, \frac{k}{(k, n)} \mid a$  and  $(k, a) = 1$ . Let  $s, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $a = s|k| + b$  and  $b \in \{0, 1, \dots, |k| - 1\}$ . Then

$$ax - bx = s|k|x \in |k|\mathbb{Z} = k\mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}$$

which implies that

$$ax + k\mathbb{Z} = bx + |k|\mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By assumption,  $k \mid a(k, n)$  and  $(k, a) = 1$ . Then  $k \mid (k, n)$ , so  $k \mid n$ . Hence  $|k| \mid n$ . Since  $(|k|, a) = (k, a) = 1$  and  $|k| \mid a - b$ , it follows that  $(|k|, b) = 1$ . Consequently,  $D_{k, a} = D_{|k|, b} \in K$ .

If  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k \mid n, l \mid n, a \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$  and  $b \in \{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}$  are such that  $D_{k, a} = D_{l, b}$ , then  $k\mathbb{Z} = D_{k, a}([0]_n) = D_{l, b}([0]_n) = l\mathbb{Z}$  and  $a + k\mathbb{Z} = D_{k, a}([1]_n) = D_{l, b}([1]_n) = b + l\mathbb{Z}$ . It follows that  $k = l, |a - b| \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$  and  $0 \leq |a - b| \in k\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus  $a - b = 0$ , so  $a = b$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}_n, +), (\mathbb{Z}, +))| &= |\{D_{k, a} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k \mid n, a \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\} \text{ and } (k, a) = 1\}| \\ &= |\{\langle k, a \rangle \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k \mid n, a \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\} \text{ and } (k, a) = 1\}| \\ &= \sum_{k \mid n} \phi(k) = n \end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle k, a \rangle$  denotes the ordered pair of  $k$  and  $a$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5** For  $k, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $G_{k, a} \in \text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +))$  if and only if  $(k, n)$  and  $a$  are relatively prime.

**Proof.** By the definition of  $G_{k,a}$ ,  $G_{k,a}(\mathbb{Z}) = a\mathbb{Z}_n + k\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

First, assume that  $G_{k,a} \in \text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +))$ . Then  $a\mathbb{Z}_n + k\mathbb{Z}_n = \mathbb{Z}_n$ . Then  $[1]_n = [as + kt]_n$  for some  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $1 = as + kt + nl$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It follows that  $as + (k, n)\left(\frac{k}{(k, n)}t + \frac{n}{(k, n)}l\right) = 1$ . This implies that  $((k, n), a) = 1$ .

For the converse, assume that  $(k, n)$  and  $a$  are relatively prime. Then  $1 = as + (k, n)t$  for some  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and thus for every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $[x]_n = [asx + (k, n)tx]_n \in a\mathbb{Z}_n + (k, n)\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Hence  $\mathbb{Z}_n = a\mathbb{Z}_n + (k, n)\mathbb{Z}_n = a\mathbb{Z}_n + k\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Therefore  $G_{k,a} \in \text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +))$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6**  $|\text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +))| = n$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 1.3(c),

$$\text{MHom}((\mathbb{Z}, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +)) = \{G_{k,a} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k \mid n \text{ and } a \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}\}.$$

From this fact and Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +)) = \{G_{k,a} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k \mid n, a \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}, \text{ and } (k, a) = 1\}.$$

Hence by Theorem 1.3(b),

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +))| &= |\{(k, a) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k \mid n, a \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\} \\ &\quad \text{and } (k, a) = 1\}| \\ &= \sum_{k \mid n} \phi(k) = n. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Theorem 2.7** Let  $k, a \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $\frac{(k, n)}{(k, m, n)} \mid a$ . Then  $I_{k,a} \in \text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}_m, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +))$  if and only if  $(k, n)$  and  $a$  are relatively prime.

**Proof.** We have from the definition of  $I_{k,a}$  that  $I_{k,a}(\mathbb{Z}_m) = a\mathbb{Z}_n + k\mathbb{Z}_n$ . The remainder of the proof is exactly the same as that of Theorem 2.5.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.8**  $|\text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}_m, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +))| = (m, n)$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 1.4(c),

$$\text{MHom}((\mathbb{Z}_m, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +)) = \{I_{k,a} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k \mid n, a \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\} \text{ and } \frac{k}{(k, m)} \mid a\}.$$

This fact and Theorem 2.7 yield

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}_m, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +)) &= \{I_{k,a} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k \mid n, a \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}, \\ &\quad \frac{k}{(k, m)} \mid a \text{ and } (k, a) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from Theorem 1.4(b) that

$$|\text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}_m, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +))| = |\{\langle k, a \rangle \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k \mid n, a \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}, \frac{k}{(k, m)} \mid a \text{ and } (k, a) = 1\}|.$$

Claim that for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $a \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ ,

$$k \mid n, \frac{k}{(k, m)} \mid a \text{ and } (k, a) = 1 \Leftrightarrow k \mid (m, n) \text{ and } (k, a) = 1.$$

If  $k \mid n, \frac{k}{(k, m)} \mid a$  and  $(k, a) = 1$ , then  $k \mid n, k \mid a(k, m)$  and  $(k, a) = 1$ . This implies that  $k \mid n$  and  $k \mid (k, m)$ , so  $k \mid n$  and  $k \mid m$ . Hence  $k \mid (m, n)$ . The converse is evident. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}_m, +), (\mathbb{Z}_n, +))| &= |\{\langle k, a \rangle \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k \mid (m, n), a \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\} \text{ and } (k, a) = 1\}| \\ &= \sum_{k \mid (m, n)} \phi(k) = (m, n). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Example 2.9.** It follows from Corollary 2.4 and Corollary 2.6 that

$$|\text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}_{12}, +), (\mathbb{Z}, +))| = 12 = |\text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}, +), (\mathbb{Z}_{12}, +))|.$$

Then by Theorem 1.2(b) and Theorem 1.3(d), we have respectively that

$$|\{f \in \text{MHom}((\mathbb{Z}_{12}, +), (\mathbb{Z}, +)) \mid f \text{ is not surjective}\}| = \aleph_0$$

and

$$|\{f \in \text{MHom}((\mathbb{Z}, +), (\mathbb{Z}_{12}, +)) \mid f \text{ is not surjective}\}| = \sum_{k \mid 12} k - 12 = 28 - 12 = 16.$$

We have from Corollary 2.8 that

$$|\text{SMHom}((\mathbb{Z}_{12}, +), (\mathbb{Z}_{15}, +))| = (12, 15) = 3.$$

Hence by Theorem 1.4(d),

$$|\{f \in \text{MHom}((\mathbb{Z}_{12}, +), (\mathbb{Z}_{15}, +)) \mid f \text{ is not surjective}\}| = \sum_{k \mid 15} (k, 12) - 3 = 8 - 3 = 5.$$

## References

- [1] W. C. Brown and F. Curtis, Numerical semigroups of maximal and almost maximal length, *Semigroup Forum*, **42**(1991), 218–235.
- [2] O. Feichtinger, More on lower semi-continuity, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **83** (1976), 39.
- [3] I. Niven, H. S. Zuckerman and H. L. Montgomery, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1991.
- [4] R. E. Smithson, A characterization of lower semicontinuity, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **75**(1968), 505.
- [5] N. Triphop, A. Harnchoowong and Y. Kemprasit, Multihomomorphisms between cyclic groups, *Set-valued Mathematics and Applications*, to appear.
- [6] G. T. Whyburn, Continuity of multifunctions, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sciences*, **54**(1965), 1494–1501.

(Received 21 February 2006)

S. Nenthein  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Chulalongkorn University  
Bangkok 10330, Thailand.  
e-mail : Sansanee.N@student.chula.ac.th

P. Lertwichitsilp  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Kasetsart University  
Bangkok 10900, Thailand.  
e-mail : lpatch2@hotmail.com