



L_p -Approximation by Bézier Variant of Certain Summation-Integral Type Operators

Asha Ram Gairola¹ and Girish Dobhal

Department of Computer Application
Graphic Era University, Dehradun 248001, India
e-mail : ashagairola@gmail.com (A.R. Gairola)
girish.dobhal@gmail.com (G. Dobhal)

Abstract : The problem of L_p -approximation has been received consideration of many authors. In this paper we establish the direct and inverse theorems for the Bézier variant $M_{n,\alpha}$ of certain summation-integral type operators M_n in L_p -norm using Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness. These operators include the well known Baskakov-Durrmeyer and Szász-Durrmeyer type operators as special cases.

Keywords : L_p -approximation; modulus of continuity; direct and inverse theorem.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 41A25; 41A27; 26A15.

1 Introduction

For obvious reasons, summation type operators as such are not L_p -approximation methods. Nevertheless, several linear positive operators of summation type have been appropriately modified to become L_p -approximation method. The underlying idea behind such a modification is to replace, in the expression for the operator, the function value at a nodal point by an average value (in the sense of integration) of the function in an appropriate neighborhood of the point. The first such modification was made by Kantorovich [1] for the case of Bernstein polynomials. Another modification of Bernstein polynomials was introduced by Durrmeyer [2] and later studied extensively by Derrienic [3]. In 2000, Zeng and Chen [4]

¹Corresponding author.

introduced the Durrmeyer-Bézier operators $D_{n,\alpha}$ as follows:

$$D_{n,\alpha} = (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^1 Q_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \int_0^{\infty} p_{n,k}(t) f(t) dt,$$

for f defined on $[0, 1]$. where $p_{n,k}(x)$ are well known Bernstein basis functions and $Q_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x)$ are the Bézier basis functions introduced by Bézier [5]. The authors of [4] studied the rate of convergence of the operators $D_{n,\alpha}$ for functions of bounded variation. In the sequel Bézier variant of some well known operators were introduced (cf. [4, 6, 7]) and their rates of convergence for bounded variation functions have been investigated (cf. [8, 9]). In order to approximate Lebesgue integrable functions on the interval $[0, \infty)$, Gupta and Mohapatra [10] considered the operators

$$M_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, c) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k}(t, c) f(t) dt,$$

where $p_{n,k}(x, c) = (-1)^k \frac{x^k}{k!} \varphi_{n,c}^{(k)}(x)$, $b_{n,k}(x, c) = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{x^k}{k!} \varphi_{n,c}^{(k+1)}(x)$, $x \in [0, \infty)$ and

$$\varphi_{n,c}(x) = \begin{cases} (1+cx)^{-n/c}; & c > 0 \\ e^{-nx}; & c = 0. \end{cases}$$

For $c > 0$, the operators M_n reduce to Baskakov-Durrmeyer operators and when $c = 0$ these become Szász-Durrmeyer type operators. Some approximation properties of these operators were studied in [11]. The rate of convergence by the operators M_n for the particular value $c = 1$ was studied in [12].

Let $L_p[0, \infty)$ be the class of all p -Lebesgue integrable functions on the positive real line. For $f \in L_p[0, \infty)$, introducing the Bézier basis functions $Q_{n,k}^{\alpha}(x, c) = J_{n,k}^{\alpha}(x, c) - J_{n,k+1}^{\alpha}(x, c)$ $\alpha \geq 1$, the Bézier variant $M_{n,\alpha}$ of the operators M_n is defined by

$$M_{n,\alpha}(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_{n,k}^{\alpha}(x, c) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k}(t, c) f(t) dt,$$

where $J_{n,k}(x, c) = \sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} p_{n,\nu}(x, c)$. For $\alpha = 1$, the operators $M_{n,\alpha}$ reduce to the operators M_n .

In order to make the paper self contained we give definitions of the unified K -functional and the Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness used in this paper.

Let $f \in L_p[0, \infty)$, $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{x(1+cx)}$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}}(f, t)_p &= \sup_{\substack{0 < h \leq t \\ x - h\varphi^{\lambda}(x)/2 \geq 0}} \left\| \tilde{\Delta}_{h\varphi^{\lambda}(x)} f(x) \right\|_p \\ &= \sup_{\substack{0 < h \leq t \\ x - h\varphi^{\lambda}(x)/2 \geq 0}} \left\| f\left(x + \frac{h\varphi^{\lambda}(x)}{2}\right) - f\left(x - \frac{h\varphi^{\lambda}(x)}{2}\right) \right\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi(x)$ is an admissible weight function of Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness. The corresponding K - functional is defined as

$$K_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, t)_p = \inf_{g \in W_\lambda} \{ \|f - g\|_p + t \|\varphi^\lambda g'\|_p \}$$

where $W_\lambda = \{g : g \in AC_{loc}, \|\varphi^\lambda g'\|_p < \infty, \|g'\|_p < \infty\}$ and by AC_{loc} we mean the class of functions absolutely continuous on every finite subset of $[0, \infty)$. Moreover, the following equivalence is well known (cf.[13])

$$\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, t)_p \sim K_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, t)_p$$

i.e. there exists constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that $C_1 \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, t)_p \leq C_2 K_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, t)_p$.

In Section 2, we give some lemmas which will be used in our main theorems. Subsequently, in Section 3 we establish our main theorem. The constant M is not the same at each occurrence.

2 Preliminaries

Lemma 2.1 ([14]). *For the functions $J_{n,k}(x, c)$ and $Q_{n,k}^\alpha(x, c)$, we have*

1. $1 = J_{n,0}(x, c) > J_{n,1}(x, c) > \dots > J_{n,k}(x, c) > J_{n,k+1}(x) > \dots$
2. $0 < Q_{n,k}^\alpha(x, c) < \alpha p_{n,k}(x, c), \alpha \geq 1,$
3. $M'_{n,\alpha}(1, x) = 0$
4. $|M'_{n,\alpha}(f, x)| \leq \alpha \left| \sum_{k=0}^\infty (J_{n,k}^{\alpha-1}(x, c) - J_{n,k+1}^{\alpha-1}(x)) J'_{n,k+1}(x) \times \int_0^\infty f(t) b_{n,k}(t, c) dt + M'_n(f, x) \right|.$

The following Lemma is due to Berens and Lorentz:

Lemma 2.2 ([15]). *Let Ω be monotone increasing on $[0, c]$. Then $\Omega(t) = O(t^\alpha), t \rightarrow 0+$, if for some $0 < \alpha < r$ and all $h, t \in [0, c]$*

$$\Omega(h) < M [t^\alpha + (h/t)^r \Omega(t)].$$

We prove a Bernstein type lemma for the operators $M_{n,\alpha}$ which is useful while establishing the inverse theorem.

Lemma 2.3. *If $f \in L_p[0, \infty), 1 \leq p \leq \infty \varphi(x) = \sqrt{x(1+cx)}$, and $0 < \lambda < 1,$ then there holds*

1. $\|\varphi^\lambda M'_{n,\alpha}(f, \cdot)\|_p \leq M \alpha \|\varphi^\lambda f'\|_p$ and
2. $\|\varphi^\lambda M'_{n,\alpha}(f, \cdot)\|_p \leq M \alpha n^{1-\lambda/2} \|f\|_p,$

where $M = M(c, \lambda)$ is independent of f and n .

Proof. The result for $p = \infty$ has been established in [14]. Since, the operators $M_{n,\alpha}$ are bounded, therefore in view of Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem (see [16, p.231–233]) the lemma is proved for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ if it is also proved for $p = 1$. In view of Lemma 2.1, we get

$$M'_{n,\alpha}(f, x) := [E_1 + E_2], \text{ say,}$$

where

$$E_1 = \alpha \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (J_{n,k}^{\alpha-1}(x, c) - J_{n,k+1}^{\alpha-1}(x)) J'_{n,k+1}(x) \int_0^{\infty} \left(\int_x^t f'(u) du \right) b_{n,k}(t, c) dt \right|$$

and

$$E_2 = M'_n \left(\int_x^t f'(u) du, x \right).$$

Now, we estimate E_1 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \alpha \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (J_{n,k}^{\alpha-1}(x, c) - J_{n,k+1}^{\alpha-1}(x)) J'_{n,k+1}(x) \int_0^{\infty} \left(\int_x^t f'(u) du \right) b_{n,k}(t, c) dt \right| \\ &\leq \alpha \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, c) J'_{n,k+1}(x) \int_0^{\infty} \left(\int_x^t f'(u) du \right) b_{n,k}(t, c) dt \right| \\ &\leq \alpha \|\varphi^\lambda f'\|_1 \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, c) J'_{n,k+1}(x) \int_0^{\infty} \left(\varphi^{-\lambda}(x) + \frac{t^{\lambda/2}}{x^{\lambda/2}} \varphi^{-\lambda}(t) \right) b_{n,k}(t, c) dt \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^\lambda(x) E_1 &\leq \alpha \|\varphi^\lambda f'\|_1 \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, c) J'_{n,k+1}(x) \int_0^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{(1+cx)^{\lambda/2}}{(1+ct)^{\lambda/2}} \right) b_{n,k}(t, c) dt \right| \\ &= \alpha \|\varphi^\lambda f'\|_1 [F_1 + F_2] \text{ say,} \end{aligned}$$

where F_1 and F_2 are the corresponding to two terms under the integral sign. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, c) J'_{n,k+1}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k}(t, c) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1) p_{n,k}(x, c) p_{n,k+1}(x, c) \\ &= \frac{c^3(1+cx)^{-3-\frac{2n}{c}}}{\Gamma^2(n/c)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{cx}{1+cx} \right)^{2k} \frac{\Gamma(k+n/c)\Gamma(k+n/c+1)}{(k!)^2} \\ &= M \frac{n}{c} (1+cx)^{-3-\frac{2n}{c}} \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\|F_1\|_1 = Mn \int_0^\infty (1+cx)^{-3-\frac{2n}{c}} dx = MO(1)$, $M = M(c, \lambda)$. In view of the convergence of the integral $\int_0^\infty (1+ct)^{-\lambda/2} b_{n,k}(t, c) dt$ for $0 < \lambda < 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty p_{n,k}(x, c) J'_{n,k+1}(x) x^{-\lambda/2} \int_0^\infty (1+ct)^{-\lambda/2} b_{n,k}(t, c) dt \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty p_{n,k}(x, c) J'_{n,k+1}(x) x^{-\lambda/2} \frac{c^{k+2} \Gamma(1+n/c+\lambda/2) \Gamma(k+1+n/c)}{\Gamma(n/c) \Gamma(k+2+n/c+\lambda/2)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(1+n/c+\lambda/2) c^5}{\Gamma^3(n/c)} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(k+n/c) \Gamma^3(k+n/c) x^{k+1-\lambda/2}}{(k!)^2 (1+cx)^{2k+3+2n/c} \Gamma(k+2+n/c+\lambda/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Above series is convergent as follows easily from Raabe's test. Moreover, taking n/c common does not affect the convergence of the series. Thus, for large values of n we use Stirling's asymptotic formula and obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_2\|_1 &\leq M \frac{\Gamma(1+n/c+\lambda/2)}{\Gamma^3(n/c)} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\left((k+n/c-1)^{(k+n/c-1/2)} e^{-k-n/c+1} \right)^3}{(k+n/c+1+\lambda/2)^{k+n/c+3/2+\lambda/2}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{(k+n/c)(k+2n/c+\lambda/2)^{k+2n/c+1/2+\lambda/2} e^{-k-2n/c-\lambda/2}}{e^{-k-n/c-1-\lambda/2} (k!)^2 (2k+2n/c+2)^{2k+2n/c+5/2} e^{-2k-2n/c-2}} \\ &\leq M \frac{\Gamma(1+n/c+\lambda/2)}{\Gamma^3(n/c)} \frac{n^{2n/c-4}}{e^{2n/c+6}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^k \frac{1}{(k!)^2} \\ &\leq M \frac{(n/c+\lambda/2)^{n/c+\lambda/2+1/2} e^{-n/c-\lambda/2} n^{2n/c-4}}{\left((n/c-1)^{n/c-1/2} e^{-n/c+1} \right)^3 e^{2n/c+6}} \\ &\leq Mn^{\lambda/2-2} = MO\left(n^{-3/2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, it follows by direct calculations that $\|E_2\|_p \leq C \|\varphi^\lambda f'\|_p$. Collecting E_1, E_2 the lemma follows for $p = 1$.

Now, in order to establish second inequality we again use Lemma 2.1. Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &|\varphi^\lambda(x) M'_{n,\alpha}(f, x)| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left| \int_0^\infty b_{n,k}(t, c) f(t) dt \right| \varphi^\lambda(x) J'_{n,k+1}(x) dx \{ J_{n,k}^{\alpha-1}(x, c) - J_{n,k+1}^{\alpha-1}(x) \} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left| \int_0^\infty b_{n,k}(t, c) f(t) dt \right| \varphi^\lambda(x) J_{n,k}^{\alpha-1}(x, c) |p'_{n,k}(x, c)| dx \\ &= A_1 + A_2, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Since $J'_{n,k+1}(x) = \frac{k+1}{x} p_{n,k+1}(x, c)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_1\|_1 &\leq M \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\| p_{n,k}(x, c) J'_{n,k+1}(x) \varphi^\lambda(x) \right\|_1 \left| \int_0^\infty b_{n,k}(t, c) f(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq M \|f\|_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\| p_{n,k}(x, c) \frac{k+1}{x} p_{n,k+1}(x, c) \varphi^\lambda(x) \right\|_1 \\ &\leq M \|f\|_1 \frac{c^3 \Gamma(2+2n/c-\lambda)}{\Gamma^2(n/c)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c^{2k} (k+n/c) \Gamma^2(k+n/c) \Gamma(2k+1+\lambda/2)}{(k!)^2 \Gamma(2k+3+2n/c-\lambda/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

The series on the right is convergent. We apply Stirling's asymptotic formula $\Gamma(s+1) \simeq \sqrt{2\pi} s^{s+1/2} e^{-s}$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_1\|_1 &\leq M \|f\|_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c^{2k} (k+n/c) \Gamma(2k+1+\lambda/2) \left((k+n/c-1)^{k+n/c-1/2} e^{-k-n/c+1} \right)^2}{(k!)^2 \left((n/c-1)^{n/c-1/2} e^{-n/c+1} \right)^2} \\ &\quad \times \frac{(1+2n/c-\lambda)^{3/2+2n/c-\lambda} e^{-1-2n/c+\lambda}}{(2k+2+2n/c-\lambda/2)^{2k+5/2+2n/c-\lambda/2} e^{-2k-2-2n/c+\lambda/2}} \\ &\leq M \|f\|_1 n^{-\lambda/2}, \quad M = M(c, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

We have $\varphi^2(x) p'_{n,k}(x, c) = (n+c) \left(\frac{k}{n+c} - x \right) p_{n,k}(x, c)$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{n+c} - x \right) p_{n,k}(x, c) = \frac{-[n(1+cx)+c^2x]}{(n+c)(1+cx)}$, Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_2\|_1 &\leq M \|f\|_1 \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n(1+cx) \varphi^{\lambda-2} p_{n,k}(x, c) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c^2 x \varphi^{\lambda-2} p_{n,k}(x, c) \right) \\ &= A_3 + A_4, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

We obtain estimate for A_3 as

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_3\|_1 &\leq M \frac{n \|f\|_1}{\Gamma(n/c)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+n/c)}{k!} c^{k+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{k+\lambda/2-1}}{(1+cx)^{k+1+n/c-\lambda/2}} dx \\ &\leq M \frac{n \|f\|_1}{\Gamma(n/c)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n/c+k-1)^{n/c+k-1/2} e^{-2n/c-k+\lambda+1/2} c^{k+1} \Gamma(k+\lambda/2)}{k! (k+n/c-\lambda/2)^{k+n/c-\lambda/2+1/2} e^{-k-n/c+\lambda/2}} \\ &\leq M \frac{n \|f\|_1 n^{n/c-\lambda/2-1/2}}{(n/c-1)^{n/c-1/2}} \\ &\leq M \|f\|_1 n^{1-\lambda/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\|A_3\|_1 \leq M \|f\|_1 n^{1-\lambda/2}$. Combining these estimates the second inequality (ii) is established. □

3 Main Results

The main result of the present paper is the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f \in L_p[0, \infty)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{x(1+cx)}$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, $c \geq 0$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$. Then, there holds the implication (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) in the following statements:*

1. $\|M_{n,\alpha}(f) - f\|_p = O(n^{\lambda/2-1})^\gamma$
2. $\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, x)_p = O(x^\gamma)$.

Proof. Direct Part:

It is sufficient to consider the case $p = 1$ only . The case $p = \infty$ was studied in [14]. The result for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ then follows from Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem [16]. By the definition of $K_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, t)$ for fixed n, x, λ , we can choose $g = g_{n,x,\lambda} \in W_\lambda$ such that

$$\|f - g\|_p + \frac{\alpha}{n^{1-\lambda/2}} \|\varphi^\lambda g'\|_p \leq K_{\varphi^\lambda} \left(f, \frac{\alpha}{n^{1-\lambda/2}} \right)_p .$$

Since, $M_{n,\alpha}$ is constant preserving, we can write

$$\|M_{n,\alpha}(f, x) - f(x)\|_p \leq C \|f - g\|_p + \|M_{n,\alpha}(g, x) - g(x)\|_p. \tag{3.1}$$

For the case $p = \infty$ the result has been established in [14]. We take the two term Taylor’s expansion $g(t) = g(x) + R(g, t, x)$, where $R(g, t, x) = \int_x^t g'(\tau) d\tau$.

$$\begin{aligned} & |M_{n,\alpha}(g, x) - g(x)| \\ & \leq \alpha \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, c) \int_0^{\infty} \left| \int_x^t g'(u) du \right| b_{n,k}(t) dt \\ & \leq \alpha \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, c) \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi^\lambda(x)} + \frac{1}{(x(1+ct))^{\lambda/2}} \right) b_{n,k}(t) dt \left| \int_x^t \varphi^\lambda(u) g'(u) du \right| \\ & \leq \alpha \|\varphi^\lambda g'\|_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, c) \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi^\lambda(x)} + \frac{1}{(x(1+ct))^{\lambda/2}} \right) b_{n,k}(t) dt \\ & = J_1 + J_2, \text{ say} \end{aligned}$$

where, J_1, J_2 are two terms corresponding to two terms in above integral. Now, in view of $\int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k}(t, c) dt = 1$ and the convergence of the integral $\int_0^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, c) \varphi^{-\lambda}(x) dx$ for $0 < \lambda < 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_1\|_1 & \leq \alpha \|\varphi^\lambda g'\|_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, c) \varphi^{-\lambda}(x) dx \\ & \leq \alpha \|\varphi^\lambda g'\|_1 \frac{\Gamma(n/c + \lambda)}{\Gamma(n/c)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k + 1 - \lambda/2) \Gamma(k + n/c)}{\Gamma(k + n/c + \lambda/2 + 1) k!} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq M\alpha\|\varphi^\lambda g'\|_1 \frac{\Gamma(n/c + \lambda)}{\Gamma(n/c)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k + 1 - \lambda/2)}{k!} \\
&\quad \times \frac{(k + n/c - 1)^{(k+n/c-1/2)} e^{-k-n/c+1}}{(k + n/c + \lambda/2)^{(k+n/c+1/2+\lambda/2)} e^{-k-n/c+\lambda/2}} \\
&\leq M\alpha\|\varphi^\lambda g'\|_1 \frac{(n/c + \lambda - 1)^{n/c+\lambda-1/2} n^{-1-\lambda/2} e^{-n/c-\lambda+1}}{(n/c - 1)^{n/c-1/2} e^{-n/c+1}} \\
&\leq \frac{M\alpha\|\varphi^\lambda g'\|_1}{n^{1-\lambda/2}}, \quad M = M(c, \lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

In order to find estimate for J_2 we proceed as in the estimate of F_2 in Lemma 2.3. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_2| &\leq \alpha\|\varphi^\lambda g'\|_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, c) x^{-\lambda/2} \int_0^{\infty} (1 + ct)^{-\lambda/2} b_{n,k}(t, c) dt \\
&\leq \alpha\|\varphi^\lambda g'\|_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, c) x^{-\lambda/2} \frac{\Gamma(k + 1 + n/c) c^{k+2} \Gamma(1 + n/c + \lambda/2)}{\Gamma(n/c) \Gamma(k + 2 + n/c + \lambda/2)}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|J_2\|_1 &\leq \alpha\|\varphi^\lambda g'\|_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k + 1 + n/c) c^{k+2} \Gamma(1 + n/c + \lambda/2)}{\Gamma(n/c) \Gamma(k + 2 + n/c + \lambda/2)} \int_0^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, c) x^{-\lambda/2} dx \\
&\leq \alpha\|\varphi^\lambda g'\|_1 \frac{(n/c + \lambda/2) \Gamma^2(n/c + \lambda/2)}{\Gamma^2(n/c)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k + n/c) \Gamma(k + 1 - \lambda/2)}{\Gamma(k + 1) \Gamma(k + 2 + n/c + \lambda/2)} \\
&\leq M\alpha\|\varphi^\lambda g'\|_1 \frac{(n/c + \lambda/2) \Gamma^2(n/c + \lambda/2)}{\Gamma^2(n/c)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n^{-\lambda/2-2} \frac{\Gamma(k+1-\lambda/2)}{\Gamma(k+1)} \\
&\leq M\alpha\|\varphi^\lambda g'\|_1 \frac{1}{n^{1-\lambda/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates for J_1 and J_2 , we get following

$$\|M_{n,\alpha}(g, x) - g(x)\|_1 \leq M\alpha \frac{1}{n^{1-\lambda/2}} \|\varphi^\lambda g'\|_1$$

which on substituting in (3.1) gives

$$\|M_{n,\alpha}(f, x) - f(x)\|_1 \leq M\omega_{\varphi^\lambda} \left(f, \frac{\alpha}{n^{1-\lambda/2}} \right).$$

Inverse Part:

We make use of the weighted Steklov type average function S_δ defined as follows

$$S_\delta(x) := \frac{1}{\delta \varphi^\lambda(x)} \int_{\frac{-\delta}{2} \varphi^\lambda(x)}^{\frac{\delta}{2} \varphi^\lambda(x)} f(x+u) du, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1.$$

Then, it follows that

1. $\|S_\delta - f\|_1 \leq \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, \delta)_1$;
2. $\|S'_\delta\|_1 \leq \delta^{-1} \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, \delta)_1$.

(see [17, p. 117]). We get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \tilde{\Delta}_{h\varphi^\lambda(x)} f(x) \right\|_1 &\leq \left\| \tilde{\Delta}_{h\varphi^\lambda(x)} (f(x) - M'_{n,\alpha}(f, x)) \right\|_1 + \left\| \tilde{\Delta}_{h\varphi^\lambda(x)} M'_{n,\alpha}(, x) \right\|_1 \\ &\leq M(n^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1})^\gamma + \left\| \int_{-\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}}^{\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}} M'_{n,\alpha}(f - S_\delta, x + u) du \right\|_1 \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_{-\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}}^{\frac{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}{2}} M'_{n,\alpha}(S_\delta, x + u) du \right\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Using Bernstein type inequalities, and properties of S_δ functon, we get the estimate

$$\left| \tilde{\Delta}_{h\varphi^\lambda(x)} M_{n,\alpha}(f, x) \right| \leq M(n^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1})^\gamma + h\varphi^\lambda(x) (|M'_{n,\alpha}(f - S_\delta, x)| + |M'_{n,\alpha}(S_\delta, x)|)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \tilde{\Delta}_{h\varphi^\lambda(x)} M_{n,\alpha}(f, x) \right\|_1 &\leq M(n^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1})^\gamma + \left(\frac{h}{n^{\lambda/2-1}} \right) \left(\|f - S_\delta\|_1 + \frac{1}{n^{1-\lambda/2}} \|\varphi^\lambda S'_\delta\|_1 \right) \\ \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, h) &\leq M(n^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1})^\gamma + \left(\frac{h}{n^{\lambda/2-1}} \right) \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}\left(f, \frac{1}{n^{1-\lambda/2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we finally get $\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, x)_1 = O(x^\gamma)$, $0 < \gamma < 1$. This completes the proof. □

Acknowledgement : The authors are thankful to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions on the manuscript.

References

- [1] L.V. Kantorovich, Sur certain developements suivant les polynomes de la forms de s. Benstein, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR. 1, 2 (1930) 563–568, 595–600.
- [2] J.L. Durrmeyer, Une Formule d’Inversion de la Transformee de Laplace Applications a la Theorie des Moments, These de 3e cycle, Faculte des Science de l’Universite de Paris, 1967.
- [3] M.M. Derriennic, ‘sur l’apprximation de fonctions integrable sur $[0,1]$ par des polynomes de Bernstein modifies, J. Approx. Theory 31 (1981) 325–343.

- [4] X.M. Zeng, W. Chen, On the rate of convergence of the generalized Durrmeyer type operators for functions of bounded variation, *J. Approx. Theory* 102 (1) (2000) 1–12.
- [5] P. Bézier, *Numerical Control-Mathematics and Applications*, Wiley, London, 1972.
- [6] X.M. Zeng, On the rate of convergence of the generalized Szász type operators for bounded variation functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 226 (1998) 309–325.
- [7] X.M. Zeng, A. Piriou, On the rate of convergence of two Bernstein-Bézier type operators for bounded variation functions, *J. Approx. Theory* 95 (1998) 369–387.
- [8] G. Chang, Generalized Bernstein-Bézier polynomials, *J. Comput. Math.* 1 (4) (1983) 322–327.
- [9] X.M. Zeng, V. Gupta, Rate of convergence of Baskakov-Bézier type operators for locally bounded functions, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 44 (2002) 1445–1453.
- [10] V. Gupta, R. Mohapatra, On the rate of convergence for certain summation-integral type operators, *Math. Ineq. Appl.* 9 (3) (2006) 465–472.
- [11] V. Gupta, G.S. Srivastava, Approximation by Durrmeyer type operators, *Ann. Polonici Math.* LXIV (2) (1996) 153–159.
- [12] V. Gupta, P. Gupta, Rate of convergence for the Baskakov-Durrmeyer type operators, *Ganita* 52 (1) (2001) 69–77.
- [13] Z. Ditzian, V. Totik, *Moduli of Smoothness*, Springer, New York, 1987.
- [14] A.R. Gairola, P.N. Agrawal, Direct and inverse theorems for the Bézier variant of certain summation-integral type operators, *Turk. J. Math.* 33 (2009) 1–14.
- [15] H. Berens, G.G. Lorentz, Inverse theorem for Bernstein polynomials, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 21 (1972) 693–708.
- [16] G.O. Okikiolu, *Aspects of the Theory of Bounded Integral Operators in L_p -Spaces*, Academic Press, London, 1971.
- [17] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, 2nd ed., vol.1, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1959.

(Received 23 June 2011)

(Accepted 16 January 2012)