



A Non-uniform Concentration Inequality for Randomized Orthogonal Array Sampling Designs

K. Laipaporn and K. Neammanee

Abstract : Let $f : [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. In many computer experiments, we estimate the value of $\int_{[0,1]^3} f(x)dx$, which is the mean $\mu = E(f \circ X)$, where X is a uniform random vector on the unit hypercube $[0, 1]^3$. In 1992 and 1993, Owen and Tang introduced randomized orthogonal arrays to choose the sampling points to estimate the integral.

In this paper, we give a non-uniform concentration inequality for randomized orthogonal array sampling designs.

Keywords : Computer experiment, orthogonal array sampling designs, concentration inequality.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 62E20, 60F05, 62D05.

1 Introduction and Main Result

Let X be the uniform random vector on $[0, 1]^d$ and $f : [0, 1]^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The aim of many experiments is to know

$$\mu = \int_{[0,1]^d} f(x)dx = E(f \circ X)$$

but it is often expensive to compute.

For examples, consider an electrical circuit, the performance of which depends on a number of quantities (capacitances, resistances) that vary from circuit to circuit, the fluid flow problems or computer graphics. A mathematical model for the device is developed from which we can simulate the behavior of the device on a computer and we often want to compute the expected value of some measure of performance of the device, given by the function $E(f \circ X)$. So we have the problem of estimating the expected value of some function.

It is well known that as the dimension d increases, Monte Carlo methods are useful and competitive (see, Davis and Rabinowitz (1984), chap. 5.10, Niederreiter (1992), Evans and Swartz (2000)). Hence, Monte Carlo methods are usually

used for high-dimensional problems. That is, n values of the input random vector, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n , are generated in some fashion such that the expected value $E(f \circ X)$ can be estimated by

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f \circ X_i. \quad (1.1)$$

It is important to pick a sampling that allows us to estimate $E(f \circ X)$. There are many methods for choosing X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . For examples, *simple random sampling*, i.e., n iid random vectors with the distribution of X , *lattice sampling* (see Patterson(1954)), *Latin hypercube sampling* (see, McKay, Conover and Beckman(1979), Stein(1987), Owen(1992b), Loh(1996)), *the orthogonal arrays* (see, Owen(1992a), Tang(1993)), *scrambled net* (see, Owen(1997a), (1997b)). In this work, we investigate orthogonal arrays sampling.

An orthogonal array of strength t with index λ ($\lambda \geq 1$), is an $n \times d$ matrix with elements taken from the set $\{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ such that for any $n \times t$ submatrix, each of the q^t possible rows appears the same number λ of times where d, n, q and t are positive integers with $t \leq d$ and $q \geq 2$. Of course $n = \lambda q^t$. A class of this arrays is denoted by $OA(n, d, q, t)$ (see Raghavarao(1971) for more details).

In 1996, Loh(1996) considered the class $OA(n, 3, q, 2)$ when $n = q^2$ and constructed the sampling X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{q^2} on the unit cube $[0, 1]^3$ as follows: Let

- (a) π_1, π_2, π_3 be random permutations of $\{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$,
- (b) $U_{i_1, i_2, i_3, j}$ be $[0, 1]$ uniform random variables where $i_1, i_2, i_3 \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$, $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and
- (c) $U_{i_1, i_2, i_3, j}$'s and π_k 's be all stochastically independent.

An orthogonal array-based sample of size q^2 , $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{q^2}\}$, is defined to be

$$\{X(\pi_1(a_{i,1}), \pi_2(a_{i,2}), \pi_3(a_{i,3})) : 1 \leq i \leq q^2\},$$

where, for each $i_1, i_2, i_3 \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} X(i_1, i_2, i_3) &= (X_1(i_1, i_2, i_3), X_2(i_1, i_2, i_3), X_3(i_1, i_2, i_3)), \\ X_j(i_1, i_2, i_3) &= \frac{i_j + U_{i_1, i_2, i_3, j}}{q}, \end{aligned}$$

and $a_{i,j}$ is the $(i, j)^{th}$ element of some arbitrary but fixed $A \in OA(q^2, 3, q, 2)$.

So the estimator $\hat{\mu}$ of μ in (1.1) can be expressed in the form of

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{i=1}^{q^2} f \circ X(\pi_1(a_{i,1}), \pi_2(a_{i,2}), \pi_3(a_{i,3})).$$

Owen(1992a) gave an expression for the asymptotic variance σ^2 of $\hat{\mu}$.

Assume that $\sigma^2 > 0$, we define

$$W = \sigma^{-1}(\hat{\mu} - \mu). \tag{1.2}$$

Loh(1996) gave a uniform bound on the normal approximation of W and Laipaporn and Neammanee improved the bound to the rate $O(q^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ in 2006. Besides the normal approximation, they also investigate a concentration inequality of W .

Let X be a random variable. The function $Q_X : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which defined by

$$Q_X(\lambda) = \sup_x P(x \leq X \leq x + \lambda)$$

is called a *uniform (Lévy) concentration function* of X and the function $Q_X : \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which defined by

$$Q_X(x; \lambda) = P(x \leq X \leq x + \lambda)$$

is called a *non-uniform (Lévy) concentration function* of X .

Laipaporn and Neammanee(2006) gave a uniform concentration inequality for W as follows.

Theorem 1.1 (*A uniform concentration inequality*)

Assume that $E(f \circ X)^4 < \infty$. Then, as $q \rightarrow \infty$,

$$P(a \leq W \leq a + \lambda) \leq 2\lambda\left(1 + \frac{1}{q-1}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right),$$

for any real numbers a and $\lambda \geq 0$.

In this paper, we generalize Theorem 1.1 to the non-uniform case. The main result is following.

Theorem 1.2 (*A non-uniform concentration inequality*)

Assume that $E(f \circ X)^4 < \infty$. Then, there exists a constant C such that

$$P(z \leq W \leq z + \lambda) \leq \frac{C\lambda}{1+z} + \frac{1}{1+z}O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right), \text{ as } q \rightarrow \infty,$$

for any real numbers $z, \lambda \geq 0$.

To prove Theorem 1.2, it suffices to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3 Assume that $E(f \circ X)^4 < \infty$. Then, there exists a constant C such that

$$P(z \leq W \leq w) \leq \frac{C}{1+z}(w-z) + \frac{1}{1+z}O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right), \text{ as } q \rightarrow \infty,$$

for any real number $0 < z \leq w$.

In this paper, we give auxiliary results in section 2 and the non-uniform concentration inequality was proved in section 3.

2 Auxiliary Results

In 1996, Loh defined a random function ρ_π

$$(i_1, i_2, \rho_\pi(i_1, i_2)) = (\pi_1(a_{i,1}), \pi_2(a_{i,2}), \pi_3(a_{i,3})) \quad (2.1)$$

for some $i \in \{1, \dots, q^2\}$ and showed that W in (1.2) can be rewritten as the form

$$W = \sum_{i_1=0}^{q-1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{q-1} Y(i_1, i_2, \rho_\pi(i_1, i_2)) \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\mu(i_1, i_2, i_3) = Ef \circ X(i_1, i_2, i_3),$$

$$\mu_j(i_j) = \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{\substack{i_k=0 \\ k \neq j}}^{q-1} [\mu(i_1, i_2, i_3) - \mu],$$

$$\mu_{k,l}(i_k, i_l) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{i_j=0 \\ j \neq k,l}}^{q-1} [\mu(i_1, i_2, i_3) - \mu - \mu_k(i_k) - \mu_l(i_l)],$$

$$Y(i_1, i_2, i_3) = \frac{1}{q^2 \sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\mu})}} \left[f \circ X(i_1, i_2, i_3) - \mu - \sum_{j=1}^3 \mu_j(i_j) - \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq 3} \mu_{k,l}(i_k, i_l) \right],$$

$$\tilde{\mu}(i_1, i_2, i_3) = EY(i_1, i_2, i_3).$$

Let I and K be uniformly distributed random variables on $\{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$, (I, K) uniformly distributed on $\{(i, k) | i, k = 0, 1, \dots, q-1, i \neq k\}$ and assume that they are independent of all π_1, π_2, π_3 and $U_{i_1, i_2, i_3, j}$'s defined in the previous section.

Let

$$\widetilde{W} = W - S_1 - S_2 + S_3 + S_4$$

where

$$S_1 = \sum_{i_2=0}^{q-1} Y(I, i_2, \rho_\pi(I, i_2)), \quad S_2 = \sum_{i_2=0}^{q-1} Y(K, i_2, \rho_\pi(K, i_2)),$$

$$S_3 = \sum_{i_2=0}^{q-1} Y(I, i_2, \rho_\pi(K, i_2)), \quad \text{and} \quad S_4 = \sum_{i_2=0}^{q-1} Y(K, i_2, \rho_\pi(I, i_2)).$$

Note that (W, \widetilde{W}) is an exchangeable pair in the sense that

$$P(W \leq a, \widetilde{W} \leq b) = P(\widetilde{W} \leq a, W \leq b)$$

for every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ (see [8], p.1213).

For each $i, j, k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$, and $z > 0$, we let

$$Y_z(i, j, k) = Y(i, j, k)I(|Y(i, j, k)| > 1 + z),$$

$$\widehat{Y}_z(i, j, k) = Y(i, j, k)I(|Y(i, j, k)| \leq 1 + z),$$

$$\widehat{Y} = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \rho_\pi(i, j))$$

and

$$\widetilde{Y} = \widehat{Y} - \widehat{S}_{1,z} - \widehat{S}_{2,z} + \widehat{S}_{3,z} + \widehat{S}_{4,z}$$

where

$$\widehat{S}_{1,z} = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(I, j, \rho_\pi(I, j)), \quad \widehat{S}_{2,z} = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(K, j, \rho_\pi(K, j)),$$

$$\widehat{S}_{3,z} = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(I, j, \rho_\pi(K, j)), \quad \widehat{S}_{4,z} = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(K, j, \rho_\pi(I, j)).$$

The following lemmas are important tools for proving Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 2.1

- (i) S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4 are identically distributed.
- (ii) If $E(f \circ X)^r < \infty$ for any positive even integer r , then, for every $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$ES_i^r = O(q^{-\frac{r}{2}}) \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow \infty.$$

Lemma 2.2

- (i) If $E(f \circ X)^2 < \infty$, then

$$\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} EY^2(i, j, k) = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow \infty.$$

- (ii) If $E(f \circ X)^r < \infty$ for some positive even integer r , then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} EY^r(i, j, k) = O(q^{3-r}) \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow \infty.$$

- (iii) If $E(f \circ X)^r < \infty$ for some positive even integer r , then

$$E\left(\widetilde{W} - W\right)^r \leq O(q^{-\frac{r}{2}}) \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow \infty.$$

The proof of Lemma 2.1 and 2.2 can be seen in [7].

From Lemma 2.2(ii), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} E|Y^m(i, j, k)Y_z^n(i, j, k)| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \frac{E|Y(i, j, k)|^{m+n+t}}{(1+z)^t} \\ &\leq \frac{O(q^{3-m-n-t})}{(1+z)^t} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

for any integers m, n and t which $m \geq 0, n, t > 0$ and $m+n+t$ is an even number.

Lemma 2.3 *Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. Then*

$$(i) \quad E\widehat{Y}g(\widehat{Y}) = \frac{q-1}{4}E(\widetilde{Y} - \widehat{Y})(g(\widetilde{Y}) - g(\widehat{Y})) + \widetilde{\Delta}g(\widehat{Y})$$

where

$$\widetilde{\Delta}g(\widehat{Y}) = \frac{1}{q}Eg(\widehat{Y}) \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, k). \quad (2.4)$$

(ii) *If g is a continuous and piecewise continuously differentiable function, then*

$$E\widehat{Y}g(\widehat{Y}) = E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g'(\widehat{Y} + t)K(t)dt + \widetilde{\Delta}g(\widehat{Y})$$

where

$$K(t) = \frac{q-1}{4}(\widetilde{Y} - Y) \left(\mathbb{I}(0 \leq t \leq \widetilde{Y} - Y) - \mathbb{I}(\widetilde{Y} - Y \leq t < 0) \right)$$

and \mathbb{I} is the indicator function.

(iii) $|\widetilde{\Delta}\widehat{Y}| \leq O(\frac{1}{q})$ *where $\widetilde{\Delta}\widehat{Y}$ is defined in (2.4) for $g(x) = x$.*

Proof. (i) Let \mathcal{B} be σ -algebra generated by π_i 's and $U_{i_1, i_2, i_3, j}$'s. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\mathcal{B}}[\widetilde{Y} - \widehat{Y}] &= E^{\mathcal{B}} \left[-\widehat{S}_{1,z} - \widehat{S}_{2,z} + \widehat{S}_{3,z} + \widehat{S}_{4,z} \right] \\ &= -\frac{2}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} E^{\mathcal{B}} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \rho_{\pi}(i, j)) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{q(q-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq i}}^{q-1} E^{\mathcal{B}} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \rho_{\pi}(k, j)) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{2}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \rho_\pi(i, j)) + \frac{2}{q(q-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq i}}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \rho_\pi(k, j)) \\
&= \left(-\frac{2}{q} - \frac{2}{q(q-1)}\right) \widehat{Y} + \frac{2}{q(q-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \rho_\pi(k, j)) \\
&= -\frac{2}{q-1} \widehat{Y} + \frac{2}{q(q-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, k)
\end{aligned}$$

and we can show that $(\widetilde{Y}, \widehat{Y})$ is an exchangeable pair by using the same technique for proving the exchangeability of (W, \widetilde{W}) . From these facts and the fact that

$$F(w, \tilde{w}) = (\tilde{w} - w)(g(\tilde{w}) + g(w)),$$

is anti-symmetric, (see [18] page 9), we have $EF(\widetilde{Y}, \widehat{Y}) = 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= E(\widetilde{Y} - \widehat{Y})(g(\widetilde{Y}) + g(\widehat{Y})) \\
&= E(\widetilde{Y} - \widehat{Y})(2g(\widehat{Y})) + E(\widetilde{Y} - \widehat{Y})(g(\widetilde{Y}) - g(\widehat{Y})) \\
&= 2Eg(\widehat{Y})E^{\mathcal{B}}[\widetilde{Y} - \widehat{Y}] + E(\widetilde{Y} - \widehat{Y})(g(\widetilde{Y}) - g(\widehat{Y})) \\
&= 2Eg(\widehat{Y})\left\{-\frac{2}{q-1}\widehat{Y} + \frac{2}{q(q-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, k)\right\} \\
&\quad + E(\widetilde{Y} - \widehat{Y})(g(\widetilde{Y}) - g(\widehat{Y})) \\
&= -\frac{4}{q-1}E\widehat{Y}g(\widehat{Y}) + \frac{4}{q(q-1)}Eg(\widehat{Y}) \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, k) \\
&\quad + E(\widetilde{Y} - \widehat{Y})(g(\widetilde{Y}) - g(\widehat{Y}))
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$E\widehat{Y}g(\widehat{Y}) = \frac{q-1}{4}E(\widetilde{Y} - \widehat{Y})(g(\widetilde{Y}) - g(\widehat{Y})) + \widetilde{\Delta}g(\widehat{Y}).$$

(ii) Follows directly from 1 and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{q-1}{4}E(\widetilde{Y} - \widehat{Y})(g(\widetilde{Y}) - g(\widehat{Y})) &= \frac{q-1}{4}E(\widetilde{Y} - \widehat{Y}) \int_0^{\widetilde{Y}-\widehat{Y}} g'(\widehat{Y} + t) dt \\
&= E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g'(\widehat{Y} + t)K(t) dt + \widetilde{\Delta}g(\widehat{Y}).
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Delta}\widehat{Y} &= \frac{1}{q}E\widehat{Y}\sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}\widehat{Y}_z(i,j,k) \\
&= \frac{1}{q^2}E\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}\widehat{Y}_z(i,j,k)\right)\left(\sum_{l=0}^{q-1}\sum_{m=0}^{q-1}\sum_{n=0}^{q-1}\widehat{Y}_z(l,m,n)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{q^2}\sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}\sum_{l=0}^{q-1}\sum_{m=0}^{q-1}\sum_{n=0}^{q-1}E\{Y(i,j,k)Y(l,m,n) - Y(i,j,k)Y_z(l,m,n) \\
&\quad - Y(l,m,n)Y_z(i,j,k) + Y_z(i,j,k)Y_z(l,m,n)\} \\
&= \frac{1}{q^2}\sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}E\{Y^2(i,j,k) - 2Y(i,j,k)Y_z(i,j,k) + Y_z^2(i,j,k)\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{q^2}E\sum_{i,j,k}\sum_{\substack{l,m,n \\ (l,m,n)\neq(i,j,k)}}\{Y(i,j,k)Y(l,m,n) - 2Y(i,j,k)Y_z(l,m,n) \\
&\quad + Y_z(i,j,k)Y_z(l,m,n)\} \\
&= \frac{1}{q^2}\sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}EY^2(i,j,k) - \frac{2}{q^2}\sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}EY(i,j,k)Y_z(i,j,k) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{q^2}\sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}EY_z^2(i,j,k) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{q^2}\sum_{i,j,k}\sum_{\substack{l,m,n \\ (l,m,n)\neq(i,j,k)}}\left\{\tilde{\mu}(i,j,k)\tilde{\mu}(l,m,n) - 2\tilde{\mu}(i,j,k)EY_z(l,m,n) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + EY_z(i,j,k)Y_z(l,m,n)\right\} \\
&= A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4 + A_5 \tag{2.5}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \frac{1}{q^2}\sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}EY^2(i,j,k) \\
A_2 &= -\frac{2}{q^2}\sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}EY(i,j,k)Y_z(i,j,k)
\end{aligned}$$

$$A_3 = \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} EY_z(i, j, k)Y_z(l, m, n)$$

$$A_4 = \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \\ (i,j,k) \neq (l,m,n)}} \sum_{l,m,n} \tilde{\mu}(i, j, k)\tilde{\mu}(l, m, n)$$

and

$$A_5 = -\frac{2}{q^2} \sum_{i,j,k} \sum_{\substack{l,m,n \\ (l,m,n) \neq (i,j,k)}} \tilde{\mu}(i, j, k)EY_z(l, m, n).$$

From Lemma 2.2(i) and (2.3) we have

$$|A_1| = O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right), \quad |A_2| = \frac{1}{(1+z)^2} O\left(\frac{1}{q^3}\right) \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$|A_3| = \frac{1}{q^2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} EY_z(i, j, k) \right)^2$$

$$\leq q \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} EY_z^2(i, j, k)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(1+z)^4} O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right). \quad (2.7)$$

Now, we consider $|A_4|$ and $|A_5|$. We note that

$$\sum_{i_j=0}^{q-1} \tilde{\mu}(i_1, i_2, i_3) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (2.8)$$

(see [8], p.1212). Hence from (2.8) and Lemma 2.2(ii) we have

$$|A_4| = \frac{1}{q^2} \left| \sum_{i,j,k} \sum_{\substack{l,m,n \\ (l,m,n) \neq (i,j,k)}} \tilde{\mu}(i, j, k)\tilde{\mu}(l, m, n) \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{q^2} \left| - \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \tilde{\mu}^2(i, j, k) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{q^2} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} EY^2(i, j, k) \right|$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right), \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_5| &= \frac{2}{q^2} \left| \sum_{i,j,k} \sum_{\substack{l,m,n \\ (l,m,n) \neq (i,j,k)}} \tilde{\mu}(i,j,k) EY_z(l,m,n) \right| \\
&= \frac{2}{q^2} \left| - \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \tilde{\mu}(l,m,n) EY_z(l,m,n) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{q^2} \left| \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \tilde{\mu}^2(l,m,n) + \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} EY_z^2(l,m,n) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{2}{q^2} \left| \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} EY^2(l,m,n) \right| \\
&= O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right). \tag{2.10}
\end{aligned}$$

Then (iii) follows from (2.5)-(2.10). \square

Lemma 2.4

(i) If $E(f \circ X)^2 < \infty$, then $|E\hat{Y}^2 - 1| \leq O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$.

(ii) If $E(f \circ X)^r < \infty$, then, for any even number $r \geq 2$, $E|\tilde{Y} - \hat{Y}|^r \leq O\left(\frac{1}{q^{\frac{r}{2}}}\right)$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$.

(iii) If $E(f \circ X)^{r+1} < \infty$, then, for any odd number $r \geq 3$, $E|\tilde{Y} - \hat{Y}|^r \leq O\left(\frac{1}{q^{\frac{r}{2}}}\right)$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. (i) Let

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{1,z} &= \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} Y_z(I, j, \rho_\pi(I, j)), & S_{2,z} &= \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} Y_z(K, j, \rho_\pi(K, j)) \\
S_{3,z} &= \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} Y_z(I, j, \rho_\pi(K, j)), & S_{4,z} &= \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} Y_z(K, j, \rho_\pi(I, j)).
\end{aligned}$$

We can use the same argument of Lemma 2.1(i) to show that

$$S_{1,z}, S_{2,z}, S_{3,z} \text{ and } S_{4,z}$$

are identically distributed.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
ES_1^2 &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} E \left(\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} Y(i, j, \rho_\pi(i, j)) \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} EY^2(i, j, \rho_\pi(i, j)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{\substack{j_1=0 \\ j_2 \neq j_1}}^{q-1} \sum_{\substack{j_2=0 \\ k_2 \neq k_1}}^{q-1} EY(i, j_1, \rho_\pi(i, j_1))Y(i, j_2, \rho_\pi(i, j_2)) \\
&= \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} EY^2(i, j, k) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{q^2(q-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{\substack{j_1=0 \\ j_2 \neq j_1}}^{q-1} \sum_{\substack{k_1=0 \\ k_2 \neq k_1}}^{q-1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{q-1} EY(i, j_1, k_1)Y(i, j_2, k_2) \\
&= \frac{1}{q} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \right) + \frac{1}{q^2(q-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j_1=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k_1=0}^{q-1} \tilde{\mu}(i, j_1, k_1) \left(\sum_{\substack{j_2=0 \\ j_2 \neq j_1}}^{q-1} \sum_{\substack{k_2=0 \\ k_2 \neq k_1}}^{q-1} \tilde{\mu}(i, j_2, k_2) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{q} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \right) + \frac{1}{q^2(q-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j_1=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k_1=0}^{q-1} \tilde{\mu}(i, j_1, k_1) \left(- \sum_{\substack{j_2=0 \\ j_2 \neq j_1}}^{q-1} \tilde{\mu}(i, j_2, k_1) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{q} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \right) + \frac{1}{q^2(q-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \tilde{\mu}^2(i, j, k) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{q} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \right) + \frac{1}{q^2(q-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} EY^2(i, j, k) \\
&= \frac{1}{q} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right), \tag{2.11}
\end{aligned}$$

and, for each $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r + s$ is an even number

$$\begin{aligned}
E|S_{1,z}|^r &= E \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} Y_z(I, j, \rho_\pi(I, j)) \right|^r \\
&\leq q^{r-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} E|Y_z^r(I, j, \rho_\pi(I, j))| \tag{2.12}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= q^{r-3} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} E|Y_z^r(i, j, k)| \\
&\leq q^{r-3} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \frac{EY^{r+s}(i, j, k)}{(1+z)^s} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{(1+z)^s} O\left(\frac{1}{q^s}\right). \tag{2.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.1(i) and (2.11),

$$ES_1^2 = ES_2^2 = ES_3^2 = ES_4^2 = \frac{1}{q} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right) \tag{2.14}$$

and from (2.12) when we choose $r = 2$ and $s = 4$,

$$ES_{1,z}^2 = ES_{2,z}^2 = ES_{3,z}^2 = ES_{4,z}^2 \leq \frac{1}{(1+z)^4} O\left(\frac{1}{q^4}\right). \tag{2.15}$$

From (2.14) and (2.15) ,

$$\begin{aligned}
E|S_1 S_{1,z}| &\leq \left\{ES_1^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ES_{1,z}^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{(1+z)^2} O\left(\frac{1}{q^2\sqrt{q}}\right). \tag{2.16}
\end{aligned}$$

By using the same argument as in (2.16) and the fact that $S_{1,z}, S_{2,z}, S_{3,z}$ and $S_{4,z}$ have the same distribution, we can conclude that

$$E|S_i S_{j,z}| \leq \frac{1}{(1+z)^2} O\left(\frac{1}{q^2\sqrt{q}}\right) \tag{2.17}$$

for $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Next, we will bound $ES_i S_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$.

Let \mathcal{A} be the σ -algebra generated by

$$\{(\pi_1(a_{i,1}), \pi_2(a_{i,2}), \pi_3(a_{i,3})), U_{\pi_1(a_{i,1}), \pi_2(a_{i,2}), \pi_3(a_{i,3}), j} : 1 \leq i \leq q^2, 1 \leq j \leq 3)\}.$$

From [8], p.1220-1221, we have $E|E^{\mathcal{A}} S_i S_j| = O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right)$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$. Thus

$$|ES_i S_j| \leq E|E^{\mathcal{A}} S_i S_j| = O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right). \tag{2.18}$$

Note from Lemma 2.3(i) that

$$E\hat{Y}^2 = \frac{q-1}{4} E(\tilde{Y} - \hat{Y})^2 + \tilde{\Delta}\hat{Y} \tag{2.19}$$

and by (2.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\tilde{Y} - \widehat{Y})^2 &= E(\widehat{S}_{1,z} + \widehat{S}_{2,z} - \widehat{S}_{3,z} - \widehat{S}_{4,z})^2 \\
&= E(S_1 + S_2 - S_3 - S_4 - (S_{1,z} + S_{2,z} - S_{3,z} - S_{4,z}))^2 \\
&= E(S_1 + S_2 - S_3 - S_4)^2 \\
&\quad - 2E(S_1 + S_2 - S_3 - S_4)(S_{1,z} + S_{2,z} - S_{3,z} - S_{4,z}) \\
&\quad + E(S_{1,z} + S_{2,z} - S_{3,z} - S_{4,z})^2 \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^4 ES_k^2 + 2E\{S_1S_2 - S_1S_3 - S_1S_4 - S_2S_3 - S_2S_4 + S_3S_4\} \\
&\quad - 2E(S_1 + S_2 - S_3 - S_4)(S_{1,z} + S_{2,z} - S_{3,z} - S_{4,z}) \\
&\quad + E(S_{1,z} + S_{2,z} - S_{3,z} - S_{4,z})^2 \\
&= \frac{4}{q} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right) + 2E\{S_1S_2 - S_1S_3 - S_1S_4 - S_2S_3 - S_2S_4 + S_3S_4\} \\
&\quad - 2E(S_1 + S_2 - S_3 - S_4)(S_{1,z} + S_{2,z} - S_{3,z} - S_{4,z}) \\
&\quad + E(S_{1,z} + S_{2,z} - S_{3,z} - S_{4,z})^2. \tag{2.20}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (2.19) and (2.20),

$$\begin{aligned}
E\widehat{Y}^2 &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{q-1}{2}E\{S_1S_2 - S_1S_3 - S_1S_4 - S_2S_3 - S_2S_4 + S_3S_4\} \\
&\quad - \frac{q-1}{2}E(S_1 + S_2 - S_3 - S_4)(S_{1,z} + S_{2,z} - S_{3,z} - S_{4,z}) \\
&\quad + \frac{q-1}{4}E(S_{1,z} + S_{2,z} - S_{3,z} - S_{4,z})^2 + \widetilde{\Delta}\widehat{Y},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|E\widehat{Y}^2 - 1| \\
&\leq O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) + q\left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} E|S_iS_j| + \sum_{i=1}^4 \sum_{j=1}^4 E|S_iS_{j,z}| + \sum_{i=1}^4 ES_{i,z}^2\right) + |\widetilde{\Delta}\widehat{Y}| \\
&= O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) + q\left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} E|S_iS_j| + \sum_{i=1}^4 \sum_{j=1}^4 E|S_iS_{j,z}| + \sum_{i=1}^4 ES_{i,z}^2\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 2.3(iii) in the last equality.

From this fact, (2.15), (2.17) and (2.18) we obtain (i).

(ii) From (2.12), we choose $r = s$, we have $E|S_{1,z}|^r \leq \frac{1}{(1+z)^r} O\left(\frac{1}{q^r}\right)$.

Since $S_{1,z}, S_{2,z}, S_{3,z}$ and $S_{4,z}$ have the same distribution,

$$E|S_{1,z}|^r = E|S_{2,z}|^r = E|S_{3,z}|^r = E|S_{4,z}|^r \leq \frac{1}{(1+z)^r} O\left(\frac{1}{q^r}\right). \quad (2.21)$$

Hence 2 follows from Lemma 2.2(3), (2.21) and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} E|\widehat{Y} - \widetilde{Y}|^r &= E|\widehat{S}_{1,z} + \widehat{S}_{2,z} - \widehat{S}_{3,z} - \widehat{S}_{4,z}|^r \\ &= E|S_1 + S_2 - S_3 - S_4 - (S_{1,z} + S_{2,z} - S_{3,z} - S_{4,z})|^r \\ &= E|(\widetilde{W} - W) - (S_{1,z} + S_{2,z} - S_{3,z} - S_{4,z})|^r \\ &\leq C\{E|\widetilde{W} - W|^r + E|S_{1,z}|^r + E|S_{2,z}|^r + E|S_{3,z}|^r + E|S_{4,z}|^3\} \end{aligned}$$

for some constant C .

(iii) Can be shown by using the same argument as in 2. □

Lemma 2.5 Let $\gamma = \max\left(\frac{q}{4}E|\widehat{Y} - \widetilde{Y}|^3, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} U_\gamma &= \sum_{i \neq k} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \{\widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \rho_\pi(i, j)) + \widehat{Y}_z(k, j, \rho_\pi(k, j)) - \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \rho_\pi(k, j)) - \widehat{Y}_z(k, j, \rho_\pi(i, j))\} \right| \\ &\times \min\left(\gamma, \sum_{i \neq k} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \{\widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \rho_\pi(i, j)) + \widehat{Y}_z(k, j, \rho_\pi(k, j)) - \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \rho_\pi(k, j)) - \widehat{Y}_z(k, j, \rho_\pi(i, j))\} \right|\right). \end{aligned}$$

If $(1+z)\gamma < 1$ and $E(f \circ X)^4 < \infty$ then, as $q \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(i) \quad EU_\gamma \geq 3q + O(1),$$

$$(ii) \quad Var(U_\gamma) \leq \frac{1}{1+z} O(q\sqrt{q}).$$

Proof. First, from Lemma 2.4(iii), we note that $\gamma \leq O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right)$.

(i) From (2.20), we have

$$E(\widetilde{Y} - \widehat{Y})^2 = \frac{4}{q} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right) + EM_1 \quad (2.22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= 2\{S_1S_2 - S_1S_3 - S_1S_4 - S_2S_3 - S_2S_4 + S_3S_4\} \\ &\quad - 2(S_1 + S_2 - S_3 - S_4)(S_{1,z} + S_{2,z} - S_{3,z} - S_{4,z}) \\ &\quad + (S_{1,z} + S_{2,z} - S_{3,z} - S_{4,z})^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.15),(2.17) and (2.18), we have

$$q(q-1)|EM_1| \leq O(1). \quad (2.23)$$

Hence, by (2.22), (2.23) and the fact that $\min(a, b) \geq b - \frac{b^2}{4a}$ for any $a, b > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} EU_\gamma &= q(q-1)E(\widehat{Y} - \widetilde{Y}) \min(\gamma, |\widehat{Y} - \widetilde{Y}|) \\ &\geq q(q-1)E(\widehat{Y} - \widetilde{Y})^2 - \frac{q(q-1)}{4\gamma} E|\widehat{Y} - \widetilde{Y}|^3 \\ &\geq 3q + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For each $i, k \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ and random permutations β, α on $\{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$, we let

$$\begin{aligned} s_\gamma[(i, k), (\beta, \alpha)] &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \{ \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \beta(j)) + \widehat{Y}_z(k, j, \alpha(j)) - \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \alpha(j)) - \widehat{Y}_z(k, j, \beta(j)) \} \right| \\ &\quad \times \min \left(\gamma, \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \{ \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \beta(j)) + \widehat{Y}_z(k, j, \alpha(j)) - \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \alpha(j)) - \widehat{Y}_z(k, j, \beta(j)) \} \right| \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{s}_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] &= s_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] - Es_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(i, \cdot), \rho_\pi(k, \cdot))] \\ T_\gamma &= \sum_{i \neq k} \hat{s}_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(i, \cdot), \rho_\pi(k, \cdot))] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{T}_\gamma &= T_\gamma - \hat{s}_\gamma[(\bar{I}, \bar{K}), (\rho_\pi(\bar{I}, \cdot), \rho_\pi(\bar{K}, \cdot))] \\ &\quad - \hat{s}_\gamma[(\bar{L}, \bar{M}), (\rho_\pi(\bar{L}, \cdot), \rho_\pi(\bar{M}, \cdot))] \\ &\quad + \hat{s}_\gamma[(\bar{I}, \bar{K}), (\rho_\pi(\bar{L}, \cdot), \rho_\pi(\bar{M}, \cdot))] \\ &\quad + \hat{s}_\gamma[(\bar{L}, \bar{M}), (\rho_\pi(\bar{I}, \cdot), \rho_\pi(\bar{K}, \cdot))], \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{I}, \bar{K}, \bar{L}$ and \bar{M} be uniformly distributed random vectors on $\{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ which satisfy the followings:

(i) (\bar{I}, \bar{K}) and (\bar{L}, \bar{M}) are uniformly distributed random vectors on

$$\{(i, k) | i, k = 0, 1, \dots, q-1 \text{ and } i \neq k\}.$$

(ii) $[(\bar{I}, \bar{K}), (\bar{L}, \bar{M})]$ is uniformly distributed on

$$\{(i, k), (l, m) | i, k, l, m = 0, 1, \dots, q-1 \text{ and } i \neq k, l \neq m \text{ and } (i, k) \neq (l, m)\}.$$

(iii) $(\bar{I}, \bar{K}), (\bar{L}, \bar{M})$ and $U_{i_1, i_2, i_3, j}$'s, π_1, π_2, π_3 are mutually independent.

Note that

$$P\left([\bar{I}, \bar{K}), (\bar{L}, \bar{M})] = [(i, k), (l, m)]\right) = \frac{1}{q(q-1)[q(q-1)-1]}$$

for any $i, k, l, m = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$ and $i \neq k, l \neq m$ and $(i, k) \neq (l, m)$, $(T_\gamma, \tilde{T}_\gamma)$ is an exchangeable pair and $Var(U_\gamma) = ET_\gamma^2$.

By the same argument of Lemma 2.3(i), we have

$$ET_\gamma^2 = \frac{q(q-1)}{4} E(\tilde{T}_\gamma - T_\gamma)^2 + \frac{1}{q(q-1)} \sum_{i \neq k} \sum_{l \neq m} E \hat{s}_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] T_\gamma. \quad (2.24)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & E(\tilde{T}_\gamma - T_\gamma)^2 \\ &= E \left\{ \hat{s}_\gamma[(\bar{I}, \bar{K}), (\rho_\pi(\bar{I}, \cdot), \rho_\pi(\bar{K}, \cdot))] + \hat{s}_\gamma[(\bar{L}, \bar{M}), (\rho_\pi(\bar{L}, \cdot), \rho_\pi(\bar{M}, \cdot))] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \hat{s}_\gamma[(\bar{I}, \bar{K}), (\rho_\pi(\bar{L}, \cdot), \rho_\pi(\bar{M}, \cdot))] - \hat{s}_\gamma[(\bar{L}, \bar{M}), (\rho_\pi(\bar{I}, \cdot), \rho_\pi(\bar{K}, \cdot))] \right\}^2 \\ &\leq C \left\{ E \left| \hat{s}_\gamma[(\bar{I}, \bar{K}), (\rho_\pi(\bar{L}, \cdot), \rho_\pi(\bar{M}, \cdot))] \right|^2 + E \left| \hat{s}_\gamma[(\bar{I}, \bar{K}), (\rho_\pi(\bar{I}, \cdot), \rho_\pi(\bar{K}, \cdot))] \right|^2 \right\} \\ &\leq C \gamma^2 \left\{ E \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \hat{Y}_z(\bar{I}, j, \rho_\pi(\bar{L}, j)) + \hat{Y}_z(\bar{K}, j, \rho_\pi(\bar{M}, j)) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \hat{Y}_z(\bar{I}, j, \rho_\pi(\bar{M}, j)) - \hat{Y}_z(\bar{K}, j, \rho_\pi(\bar{L}, j)) \right|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + E \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \hat{Y}_z(\bar{I}, j, \rho_\pi(\bar{I}, j)) + \hat{Y}_z(\bar{K}, j, \rho_\pi(\bar{K}, j)) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \hat{Y}_z(\bar{I}, j, \rho_\pi(\bar{K}, j)) - \hat{Y}_z(\bar{K}, j, \rho_\pi(\bar{I}, j)) \right|^2 \right\} \\ &\leq C \gamma^2 \left\{ E \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \hat{Y}_z(\bar{I}, j, \rho_\pi(\bar{L}, j)) \right|^2 + E \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \hat{Y}_z(\bar{I}, j, \rho_\pi(\bar{I}, j)) \right|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + E \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \hat{Y}_z(\bar{I}, j, \rho_\pi(\bar{K}, j)) \right|^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C\gamma^2 \left\{ E \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} Y(\bar{I}, j, \rho_\pi(\bar{L}, j)) \right|^2 + E \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} Y_z(\bar{I}, j, \rho_\pi(\bar{L}, j)) \right|^2 \right. \\
&\quad + E \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} Y(\bar{I}, j, \rho_\pi(\bar{I}, j)) \right|^2 + E \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} Y_z(\bar{I}, j, \rho_\pi(\bar{I}, j)) \right|^2 \\
&\quad \left. + E \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} Y(\bar{I}, j, \rho_\pi(\bar{K}, j)) \right|^2 + E \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} Y_z(\bar{I}, j, \rho_\pi(\bar{K}, j)) \right|^2 \right\} \\
&\leq C\gamma^2 (2ES_3^2 + ES_1^2 + q \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \frac{E|Y(I, j, \rho_\pi(L, j))|^4}{(1+z)^2} \\
&\quad + q \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \frac{E|Y(I, j, \rho_\pi(I, j))|^4}{(1+z)^2} + q \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \frac{E|Y(I, j, \rho_\pi(K, j))|^4}{(1+z)^2}) \\
&\leq C\gamma^2 \left\{ O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i,j,k} \frac{E|Y(i, j, k)|^4}{(1+z)^2} \right\} \\
&= \gamma^2 \left\{ O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) + \frac{1}{(1+z)^2} O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right) \right\}. \tag{2.25}
\end{aligned}$$

From this fact, $(1+z)\gamma < 1$ and (2.24), if we can show that

$$\sum_{i \neq k} \sum_{l \neq m} E \hat{s}_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] T_\gamma \leq \gamma^2 O(q^4), \tag{2.26}$$

then

$$\text{Var}(U_\gamma) = ET_\gamma^2 \leq \frac{\gamma}{1+z} O(q^2).$$

To prove (2.26), we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&E \left[\sum_{i \neq k} \sum_{l \neq m} \hat{s}_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] T \right] \\
&= E \left\{ \sum_{i \neq k} \sum_{l \neq m} \sum_{u \neq v} \hat{s}_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] \hat{s}_\gamma[(u, v), (\rho_\pi(u, \cdot), \rho_\pi(v, \cdot))] \right\} \\
&= \sum_A E \left\{ \hat{s}_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] \hat{s}_\gamma[(u, v), (\rho_\pi(u, \cdot), \rho_\pi(v, \cdot))] \right\}, \tag{2.27}
\end{aligned}$$

where $A = \left\{ (i, k, l, m, u, v) \mid i, k, l, m, u, v, r, s \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\} \right.$
 $\left. \text{and } i \neq k, l \neq m, u \neq v \right\}$

$$= \bigcup_{i=1}^7 A_i$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{and } A_1 &= \{(i, k, l, m, u, v) \in A \mid u = l, u \neq m, v \neq l, v = m\} \\
A_2 &= \{(i, k, l, m, u, v) \in A \mid u \neq l, u = m, v = l, v \neq m\} \\
A_3 &= \{(i, k, l, m, u, v) \in A \mid u \neq l, u \neq m, v \neq l, v = m\} \\
A_4 &= \{(i, k, l, m, u, v) \in A \mid u \neq l, u = m, v \neq l, v \neq m\} \\
A_5 &= \{(i, k, l, m, u, v) \in A \mid u \neq l, u \neq m, v = l, v \neq m\} \\
A_6 &= \{(i, k, l, m, u, v) \in A \mid u = l, u \neq m, v \neq l, v \neq m\} \\
A_7 &= \{(i, k, l, m, u, v) \in A \mid u \neq l, u \neq m, v \neq l, v \neq m\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We first consider the sum on A_1 . Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{A_1} E \hat{s}_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] \hat{s}_\gamma[(u, v), (\rho_\pi(u, \cdot), \rho_\pi(v, \cdot))] \\
&= \sum_{i \neq k} \sum_{l \neq m} \hat{s}_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] \hat{s}_\gamma[(l, m), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] \\
&= \sum_{i \neq k} \sum_{l \neq m} E \left\{ s_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] - E s_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(i, \cdot), \rho_\pi(k, \cdot))] \right\} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ s_\gamma[(l, m), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] - E s_\gamma[(l, m), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] \right\} \\
&\leq \mathcal{R}_{11} + \mathcal{R}_{12}, \tag{2.28}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{11} &= \sum_{i \neq k} \sum_{l \neq m} E \left\{ s_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] s_\gamma[(l, m), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] \right\} \\
\mathcal{R}_{12} &= \sum_{i \neq k} \sum_{l \neq m} E s_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(i, \cdot), \rho_\pi(k, \cdot))] E s_\gamma[(l, m), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))].
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2(ii) and Lemma 2.4(ii), we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{11} &\leq \sum_{i \neq k} \sum_{l \neq m} E \left\{ s_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] \right\}^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{i \neq k} \sum_{l \neq m} E \left\{ s_\gamma[(l, m), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] \right\}^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{i \neq k} \sum_{l \neq m} E \left\{ s_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] \right\}^2 \\
&\quad + q^2 \sum_{l \neq m} E \left\{ s_\gamma[(l, m), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] \right\}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \gamma^2 \sum_{i \neq k} \sum_{l \neq m} E \left\{ \left| \sum_{i_2=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(i, i_2, \rho_\pi(l, i_2)) + \widehat{Y}_z(k, i_2, \rho_\pi(m, i_2)) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \widehat{Y}_z(i, i_2, \rho_\pi(m, i_2)) - \widehat{Y}_z(k, i_2, \rho_\pi(l, i_2)) \right| \right\}^2 \\
&\quad + \gamma^2 q^2 \sum_{i \neq k} E \left\{ \left| \sum_{i_2=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(i, i_2, \rho_\pi(i, i_2)) + \widehat{Y}_z(k, i_2, \rho_\pi(k, i_2)) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \widehat{Y}_z(i, i_2, \rho_\pi(k, i_2)) - \widehat{Y}_z(k, i_2, \rho_\pi(i, i_2)) \right| \right\}^2 \\
&\leq 16\gamma^2 q^2 \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} E \left\{ \left| \sum_{i_2=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(i, i_2, \rho_\pi(l, i_2)) \right| \right\}^2 + \gamma^2 q^4 E(\widetilde{Y} - \widehat{Y})^2 \\
&\leq 16\gamma^2 q^2 \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} E \left\{ \sum_{i_2=0}^{q-1} Y(i, i_2, \rho_\pi(l, i_2)) - \sum_{i_2=0}^{q-1} Y_z(i, i_2, \rho_\pi(l, i_2)) \right\}^2 \\
&\quad + \gamma^2 O(q^3) \\
&\leq 16\gamma^2 q^2 \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} E \left\{ \sum_{i_2=0}^{q-1} Y(i, i_2, \rho_\pi(l, i_2)) \right\}^2 \\
&\quad + 16\gamma^2 q^2 \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} E \left\{ \sum_{i_2=0}^{q-1} Y_z(i, i_2, \rho_\pi(l, i_2)) \right\}^2 \\
&\quad + \gamma^2 O(q^3) \\
&\leq 16\gamma^2 q^2 E \left\{ \sum_{i_2=0}^{q-1} Y(\bar{I}, i_2, \rho_\pi(\bar{L}, i_2)) \right\}^2 \\
&\quad + \gamma^2 q^3 \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{q-1} E Y_z^2(i, i_2, l) + \gamma^2 O(q^3) \\
&\leq \gamma^2 O(q^3). \tag{2.29}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\mathcal{R}_{12} = \left\{ \sum_{i \neq k} E s_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(i, \cdot), \rho_\pi(k, \cdot))] \right\}^2 = \gamma^2 O(q^3). \tag{2.30}$$

Hence, by (2.28)-(2.30), it implies that

$$\sum_{A_1} E \widehat{s}_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] \widehat{s}_\gamma[(u, v), (\rho_\pi(u, \cdot), \rho_\pi(v, \cdot))] \leq \gamma^2 O(q^3). \tag{2.31}$$

Similar to A_1 , we can conclude that

$$\sum_{A_2} E \widehat{s}_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] \widehat{s}_\gamma[(u, v), (\rho_\pi(u, \cdot), \rho_\pi(v, \cdot))] \leq \gamma^2 O(q^3), \tag{2.32}$$

$$\sum_{A_3} E \hat{s}_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] \hat{s}_\gamma[(u, v), (\rho_\pi(u, \cdot), \rho_\pi(v, \cdot))] \leq \gamma^2 O(q^4), \quad (2.33)$$

$$\sum_{A_4} E \hat{s}_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] \hat{s}_\gamma[(u, v), (\rho_\pi(u, \cdot), \rho_\pi(v, \cdot))] \leq \gamma^2 O(q^4), \quad (2.34)$$

$$\sum_{A_5} E \hat{s}_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] \hat{s}_\gamma[(u, v), (\rho_\pi(u, \cdot), \rho_\pi(v, \cdot))] \leq \gamma^2 O(q^4), \quad (2.35)$$

$$\sum_{A_6} E \hat{s}_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] \hat{s}_\gamma[(u, v), (\rho_\pi(u, \cdot), \rho_\pi(v, \cdot))] \leq \gamma^2 O(q^4). \quad (2.36)$$

For the last summation on A_7 , we can use the same argument in the proof of Lemma 2.4(3) of Laipaporn and Neammanee(2006) to show that

$$\sum_{A_7} E [\hat{s}_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] \hat{s}_\gamma[(u, v), (\rho_\pi(u, \cdot), \rho_\pi(v, \cdot))]] \leq \gamma^2 O(q^4). \quad (2.37)$$

From (2.27), (2.31)-(2.37), the lemma is proved. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Proof. First, we note that

$$P(z \leq W \leq w) \leq P(W \neq \hat{Y}) + P(z \leq \hat{Y} \leq w) \quad (3.1)$$

and by Lemma 2.2(ii),

$$\begin{aligned} P(W \neq \hat{Y}) &= P\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \mathbb{I}(|Y(i, j, \rho_\pi(i, j))| > 1 + z) \geq 1\right) \\ &\leq E\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \mathbb{I}(|Y(i, j, \rho_\pi(i, j))| > 1 + z)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} E \mathbb{I}(|Y(i, j, k)| > 1 + z) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \frac{E|Y(i, j, k)|^4}{(1+z)^4} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+z)^4} O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Thus it remains to bound the term $P(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq w)$.

Let γ be defined as in Lemma 2.5. If $(1+z)\gamma \geq 1$, then by Lemma 2.4(i) and the fact that $\gamma \leq O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq w) &= P(1+z \leq 1 + \widehat{Y}) \\ &\leq \frac{E|\widehat{Y} + 1|^2}{(1+z)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{(1+z)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1+z)} O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $(1+z)\gamma < 1$. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t < a - \gamma, \\ (1+t+\gamma)(t-z+\gamma), & \text{if } z - \gamma \leq t \leq w + \gamma; \\ (1+t+\gamma)(w-z+2\gamma), & \text{if } t > w + \gamma. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Then f is a non-decreasing function satisfying

$$f'(t) \geq \begin{cases} (1+z), & \text{for } z - \gamma < t < w + \gamma; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(\widehat{Y} + t)K(t)dt \\ &\geq (1+z)E\mathbb{I}(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq w) \int_{|t| \leq \gamma} K(t)dt \\ &= \frac{(q-1)(1+z)}{4} E\mathbb{I}(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq w) |\widehat{Y} - \widetilde{Y}| \min(\gamma, |\widehat{Y} - \widetilde{Y}|) \\ &= \frac{(q-1)(1+z)}{4q(q-1)} E\mathbb{I}(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq w) U_\gamma \\ &\geq \frac{(1+z)}{4q} E\mathbb{I}(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq w) U_\gamma \mathbb{I}(U_\gamma \geq q) \\ &\geq \frac{(1+z)}{4} E\mathbb{I}(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq w) \mathbb{I}(U_\gamma \geq q) \\ &= \frac{(1+z)}{4} E\{\mathbb{I}(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq w) - \mathbb{I}(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq w, U_\gamma \leq q)\} \\ &\geq \frac{(1+z)}{4} \{P(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq w) - P(U_\gamma \leq q)\} \end{aligned}$$

and by the same argument of Lemma 2.3(ii),

$$E\widehat{Y}f(\widehat{Y}) = E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(\widehat{Y} + t)K(t)dt + \widetilde{\Delta}f(\widehat{Y}). \quad (3.4)$$

By this fact, Lemma 2.4(i) and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq w) &\leq \frac{4}{(1+z)}E\widehat{Y}f(\widehat{Y}) - \frac{4}{(1+z)}\widetilde{\Delta}f(\widehat{Y}) + P(U_\gamma \leq q) \\ &\leq \frac{4}{(1+z)}(w-z+2\gamma)E|\widehat{Y}||1+\gamma+\widehat{Y}| \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{(1+z)}|\widetilde{\Delta}f(\widehat{Y})| + P(EU_\gamma - U_\gamma \geq 2q) \\ &\leq \frac{4}{(1+z)}(w-z+2\gamma)\{E|\widehat{Y}| + E|\widehat{Y}|^2\} \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{(1+z)}|\widetilde{\Delta}f(\widehat{Y})| + \frac{C}{q^2}E(U_\gamma - EU_\gamma)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{(1+z)}(w-z) + \frac{4}{(1+z)}|\widetilde{\Delta}f(\widehat{Y})| + \frac{C}{(1+z)}O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Note that, by (2.8), Lemma 2.2(i) and Lemma 2.4(i),

$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{\Delta}f(\widehat{Y})| &= \left| \frac{1}{q}Ef(\widehat{Y}) \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, k) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q}(w-z+2\gamma)E|(1+\gamma+\widehat{Y}) \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, k)| \\ &\leq (w-z+2\gamma)(1+\gamma) \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} E \left| \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, k) \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q}(w-z+2\gamma)E|\widehat{Y} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} Y(i, j, k) - \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} Y_z(i, j, k) \right)| \\ &\leq (w-z+2\gamma)(1+\gamma)E \left| \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \rho_\pi(i, j)) \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q}(w-z+2\gamma)E|\widehat{Y} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} Y(i, j, k)| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q}(w-z+2\gamma)E|\widehat{Y} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} Y_z(i, j, k)| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq (w - z + 2\gamma)(1 + \gamma) + \frac{1}{q}(w - z + 2\gamma) \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} EY^2(i, j, k) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + E \left(\sum_{i_1, j_1, k_1} \sum_{\substack{i_2, j_2, k_2 \\ (i_1, j_1, k_1) \neq (i_2, j_2, k_2)}} Y(i_1, j_1, k_1) Y(i_2, j_2, k_2) \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{q}(w - z + 2\gamma) \left\{ q^3 \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} EY_z^2(i, j, k) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq (w - z + 2\gamma)(1 + \gamma) + \frac{1}{q}(w - z + 2\gamma) \left\{ O(q) + \sum_{i, j, k} \tilde{\mu}^2(i, j, k) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\quad + \frac{(w - z + 2\gamma)}{q(1 + z)^2} \{q^3(q^{3-4})\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq (w - z + 2\gamma)O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

From this fact and (3.5), the theorem is proved. \square

References

- [1] L. H. Y. Chen, The rate of convergence in a central limit theorem for dependent random variables with arbitrary index set, *IMA Preprint Series #243*, Univ. Minnesota, 1986.
- [2] L. H. Y. Chen and Q. M. Shao, A non-uniform Berry Esseen bound via Stein's method. *Prob. Theor. Rel. Fields*, **120**(2001), 236–254.
- [3] P. J. Davis and P. Rabinowitz, *Methods of Numerical Integration*, 2nd ed. Academic Press, Orlando, 1984.
- [4] M. Evans and T. Swartz, *Approximating Integrals via Monte-Carlo and Deterministic Methods*, Oxford Univ. Press., 2000.
- [5] M. Jr. Hall, *Combinatorial Theory*, Blaisdell publishing company, 1967.
- [6] S. T. Ho and L. H. Y. Chen, An L_p bound for the remainder in a combinatorial central limit theorem, *Ann. Prob.*, **6**(1978), 231–249.
- [7] K. Laipaporn and K. Neammanee, A uniform bound on a combinatorial central limit theorem for randomized orthogonal array sampling designs. (to appear), *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*.
- [8] W. L. Loh, A combinatorial central limit theorem for randomized orthogonal array sampling designs, *Ann. Statist.*, **24**(1996), 1209–1224.
- [9] M. D. McKay, W.J. Conover and R.J. Beckman, A comparison of three methods for selecting values of input variables in the analysis of output from a computer code, *Technometrics*, **21**(1979), 239–245.

- [10] H. Niederreiter, *Random Number Generation and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.
- [11] K. Neammanee and J. Suntornchost, A uniform bound in a combinatorial central limit theorem, *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, **23**(3)(2005), 1–20.
- [12] A. B. Owen, Orthogonal array for computer experiments, integration and visualization, *Statist. Sinica*, **2**(1992), 439–452.
- [13] A. B. Owen, A central limit theorem for Latin Hypercube sampling, *J.R. Statist. Soc. Ser.B*, **54**(1992), 541–551.
- [14] A. B. Owen, Monte-Carlo variance of scrambled net quadrature, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **34**(1997), 1884–1910.
- [15] A. B. Owen, Scrambled net variance for integrals of smooth functions, *Ann. Statist.*, **25**(1997), 1541–1562.
- [16] H. D. Patterson, The errors of lattice sampling, *J.R. Statist. Soc. Ser.B*, **16**(1954), 140–149.
- [17] D. Raghavarao, *Constructions and combinatorial problems in design of experiments*, John Wiley, New York, 1971.
- [18] C. M. Stein, *Approximate computation of expectations*, IMS, Hayward, CA., 1986.
- [19] M. L. Stein, Large sample properties of simulations using Latin hypercube sampling, *Technometrics*, **29**(1987), 143–151.
- [20] B. Tang, Orthogonal array-based Latin hypercubes, *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, **88**(1993), 1392–1397.

(Received 10 March 2006)

K. Laipaporn and K. Neammanee
Department of Mathematics
Chulalongkorn University
Bangkok 10330, Thailand.
e-mail: lkittipo@wu.ac.th, Kritsana.N@chula.ac.th