



Some Lacunary Difference Sequence Spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz Functions

Bipan Hazarika

Department of Mathematics, Rajiv Gandhi University,
Rono Hills, Doimukh, Itanagar-791 112, Arunachal Pradesh, India
e-mail : bh_rgu@yahoo.co.in

Abstract : In this article we are introduced the lacunary sequence spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz functions and study their algebraic and topological properties. Also we obtain some relations related to these spaces.

Keywords : Difference sequence space; Lacunary sequence; Musielak-Orlicz function.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 40A05; 40D05; 46A45; 46E30.

1 Introduction

Throughout the article $w, c, c_0, \ell_\infty, \ell_1$ denote the spaces of all, convergent, null, bounded and absolutely summable sequences of complex numbers, respectively. The zero sequence is denoted by θ . Also \mathbf{N} and \mathbf{R} denote the set of all positive integers and set of real numbers respectively.

The difference sequence space was initially introduced by Kizmaz [1] and it was generalized by Et and Colak [2] defined in the following way:

$$Z(\Delta^m) = \{(x_k) \in w : \Delta^m x_k \in Z\},$$

for $Z = c, c_0, \ell_\infty$, where $m \in \mathbf{N}$; $\Delta^m x_k = \Delta^{m-1} x_k - \Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1}$ and $\Delta^0 x_k = x_k$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. The generalized difference operator is equivalent to the following binomial representation:

**Copyright © 2011 by the Mathematical Association of Thailand.
All rights reserved.**

$$\Delta^m x_k = \sum_{\nu=0}^m \binom{m}{\nu} (-1)^\nu x_{k+\nu}.$$

A *lacunary sequence* is an increasing integer sequence $\xi = (k_r)$, $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ where $k_0 = 0$ with $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$, as $r \rightarrow \infty$. We denote $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ and $\eta_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$, for $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

The lacunary strongly convergent sequence space N_ξ was defined by Freedman et al. [3] in the following way:

$$N_\xi = \left\{ (x_k) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k - L| = 0, \text{ for some } L \right\}.$$

The space N_ξ is a *BK*-space with respect to the norm

$$\|(x_k)\|_\xi = \sup_r h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k|.$$

N_ξ^0 denotes the subset of these sequences in N_ξ for which $L = 0$, $(N_\xi^0, \|\cdot\|_\xi)$ is also a *BK*-space. There is a relation between N_ξ and $|\sigma_1|$ of strongly Cesàro summable sequences (see Freedman et al. [3]). The space $|\sigma_1|$ is defined by

$$|\sigma_1| = \left\{ (x_k) \in w : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k - L| = 0, \text{ for some } L \right\}.$$

For $\xi = (2^r)$, we have a relation between the spaces $|\sigma_1|$ and N_ξ , i.e. $|\sigma_1| = N_\xi$.

An *Orlicz function* is a function $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with $M(0) = 0$, $M(x) > 0$, for $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$. An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy Δ_2 -condition for small x or at 0 if for each $k > 0$ there exist $R_k > 0$ and $x_k > 0$ such that $M(kx) \leq R_k M(x)$, for all $x \in (0, x_k]$. Moreover, an Orlicz function M is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition if and only if

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(2x)}{M(x)} < \infty.$$

Two Orlicz functions M_1 and M_2 are said to be *equivalent* if there are positive constants α , β and x_0 such that

$$M_1(\alpha x) \leq M_2(x) \leq M_1(\beta x),$$

for all x with $0 \leq x < x_0$.

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [4] used the idea of the Orlicz function to construct the sequence space:

$$\ell_M = \left\{ (x_k) \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

The space ℓ_M becomes a Banach space, with respect to the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

which is called an Orlicz sequence space. The space ℓ_M is closely related to the space ℓ_p which is an Orlicz sequence space with $M(t) = |t|^p$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Later on, Orlicz sequence spaces were investigated by Parashar and Choudhary [5], Maddox [6], Tripathy et al. [7–10] and many others.

2 Definitions and Notations

A sequence $\mathbf{M} = (M_k)$ of Orlicz functions is called a *Musielak-Orlicz function* (for details see [11, 12]). Also a Musielak-Orlicz function $\phi = (\phi_k)$ is called a *complementary function* of a Musielak-Orlicz function \mathbf{M} if

$$\phi_k(t) = \sup \{ |t|s - M_k(s) : s \geq 0 \}, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

For a given Musielak-Orlicz function \mathbf{M} , the Musielak-Orlicz sequence space $l_{\mathbf{M}}$ and its subspace $h_{\mathbf{M}}$ are defined as follows:

$$l_{\mathbf{M}} = \{x = (x_k) \in w : I_{\mathbf{M}}(cx) < \infty, \text{ for some } c > 0\};$$

$$h_{\mathbf{M}} = \{x = (x_k) \in w : I_{\mathbf{M}}(cx) < \infty, \text{ for all } c > 0\},$$

where $I_{\mathbf{M}}$ is a convex modular defined by

$$I_{\mathbf{M}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k(x_k), x = (x_k) \in l_{\mathbf{M}}.$$

We consider $l_{\mathbf{M}}$ equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : I_{\mathbf{M}} \left(\frac{x}{k} \right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

or equipped with the Orlicz norm

$$\|x\|^0 = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{k} (1 + I_{\mathbf{M}}(kx)) : k > 0 \right\}.$$

The main aim of this article is to introduce the following sequence spaces and examine some properties of the resulting sequence spaces. Let $p = (p_k)$ denote the sequences of positive real numbers, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $\mathbf{M} = (M_k)$ be a Musielak-Orlicz function and $v = (v_k)$ be any sequence of non-zero complex numbers. Let X be a seminormed space over the field of complex numbers with the semi norm

q and $w(X)$ denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$, where $x_k \in X$. Then we define the following sequence spaces:

$$[N_{\xi}, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_1 \\ = \left\{ (x_k) \in w(X) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k \Delta^m x_k - L}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and } L \in \mathbf{C} \right\};$$

$$[N_{\xi}, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0 \\ = \left\{ (x_k) \in w(X) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k \Delta^m x_k}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \right\};$$

$$[N_{\xi}, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_{\infty} \\ = \left\{ (x_k) \in w(X) : \sup_r h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k \Delta^m x_k}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

Definition 2.1. A sequence space E is said to be *solid* (or *normal*) if $(\alpha_k x_k) \in E$, whenever $(x_k) \in E$ and for all sequence (α_k) of scalars with $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

Definition 2.2. A sequence space E is said to be *symmetric* if $(x_k) \in E$ implies $(x_{\pi(k)}) \in E$, where π is a permutation of \mathbf{N} .

Definition 2.3. A sequence space E is said to be *convergence free* if $(y_k) \in E$ whenever $(x_k) \in E$ and $x_k = 0$ implies $y_k = 0$.

Let $K = \{k_1 < k_2 < \dots\} \subset \mathbf{N}$ and E be a sequence space. A K -step space of E is a sequence space $\lambda_K^E = \{(x_{k_n}) \in w : (k_n) \in E\}$. A canonical preimage of a sequence $(x_{k_n}) \in \lambda_K^E$ is a sequence $\{y_n\} \in w$ defined by

$$y_n = \begin{cases} x_n, & \text{if } n \in K; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A canonical preimage of a step space λ_K^E is a set of canonical preimages of all elements in λ_K^E , i.e. y is in canonical preimage of λ_K^E if and only if y is canonical preimage of some $x \in \lambda_K^E$.

Definition 2.4. A sequence space E is said to be *monotone* if it contains the canonical preimages of its step spaces.

The following results will be used for establishing some results of this article.

Lemma 2.5 (Kamthan and Gupta [13, p. 53]). *A sequence space E is solid implies E is monotone.*

Lemma 2.6 (Freedman et al. [3, Lemma 2.1]). *In order to $|\sigma_1| \subseteq N_\xi$ it is necessary and sufficient that $\lim_r \inf \eta_r > 1$.*

Lemma 2.7 (Freedman et al. [3, Lemma 2.2]). *In order to $N_\xi \subseteq |\sigma_1|$ it is necessary and sufficient that $\lim_r \sup \eta_r < \infty$.*

Lemma 2.8 (Et and Nuray [14, Theorem 2.2]). *If X is a Banach space normed by $\|\cdot\|$, then $\Delta^m(X)$ is also a Banach space normed by*

$$\|x\|_\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i| + f(\Delta^m x).$$

3 Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *Let $p = (p_k)$ in ℓ_∞ of strictly positive real numbers and $\xi = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence. Then $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_1, [N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0$ and $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_\infty$ are linear spaces.*

Proof. The proof of the theorem is easy, so omitted. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathbf{M} = (M_k)$ be a Musielak-Orlicz function and $p = (p_k)$ in ℓ_∞ of strictly positive real numbers and $\xi = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence. Then $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0$ is a paranormed space (not totally paranormed) with the paranorm*

$$g_\Delta(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i| + \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{p_k}{H}} : \sup_r h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k \Delta^m x_k}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \leq 1, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and } r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\},$$

where $H = \max\{1, \sup p_k\}$.

Proof. Clearly $g_\Delta(x) = g_\Delta(-x)$. Since $M_k(0) = 0$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$, we get $g_\Delta(\bar{\theta}) = 0$, for $x = \bar{\theta}$. Let $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ be two elements in $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0$ and let us choose $\rho_1 > 0$ and $\rho_2 > 0$ be such that

$$\sup_r h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k \Delta^m x_k}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right] \leq 1, \quad r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

and

$$\sup_r h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k \Delta^m y_k}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right] \leq 1, \quad r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Let $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_r h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k \Delta^m(x_k + y_k)}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \\ \leq \left(\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \sup_r h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k \Delta^m x_k}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right] \\ + \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \sup_r h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k \Delta^m y_k}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right] \\ \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\rho > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_\Delta(x + y) &= \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i + y_i| + \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{p_k}{H}} : \sup_r h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k \Delta^m(x_k + y_k)}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \leq 1, \right. \\ &\quad \left. r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i| + \inf \left\{ \rho_1^{\frac{p_k}{H}} : \sup_r h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k \Delta^m x_k}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right] \leq 1, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{for some } \rho_1 > 0 \text{ and } r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m |y_i| + \inf \left\{ \rho_2^{\frac{p_k}{H}} : \sup_r h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k \Delta^m y_k}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right] \leq 1, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{for some } \rho_2 > 0 \text{ and } r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\} \\ &= g_\Delta(x) + g_\Delta(y), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $g_\Delta(x + y) \leq g_\Delta(x) + g_\Delta(y)$.

Finally, let λ be a given non-zero scalar in \mathbf{C} . Then the continuity of the product follows from the following expression.

$$\begin{aligned} g_\Delta(\lambda x) &= \sum_{i=1}^m |\lambda x_i| + \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{p_k}{H}} : \sup_r h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k \Delta^m(\lambda x_k)}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \leq 1, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and } r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\} \\ &= \lambda \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i| + \inf \left\{ (|\lambda| \eta)^{\frac{p_k}{H}} : \sup_r h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k \Delta^m x_k}{\eta} \right) \right) \right] \leq 1, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and } r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta = \frac{\rho}{|\lambda|} > 0$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

The proof of the following theorem is easy, so omitted.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\mathbf{M} = (M_k)$ and $\phi = (\phi_k)$ be two Musielak-Orlicz functions and $p = (p_k) \in \ell_\infty$ of strictly positive real numbers. Then

- (i) $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_Z \subseteq [N_\xi, \phi \cdot \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_Z$
- (ii) $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_Z \cap [N_\xi, \phi, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_Z \subseteq [N_\xi, \phi + \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_Z,$

where $Z = 0, 1, \infty$.

Theorem 3.4. The inclusion $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^{m-1}, q]_Z \subseteq [N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, q]_Z$ holds, for $m \geq 1$. In general $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^i, q]_Z \subseteq [N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, q]_Z$, for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ and the inclusions are strict, where $Z = 0, 1, \infty$.

Proof. Let $(x_k) \in [N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^{m-1}, q]_0$. Then there exists $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{\Delta^{m-1} x_k}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \rightarrow 0.$$

Since \mathbf{M} is nondecreasing and convex, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{\Delta^m x_k}{2\rho} \right) \right) \right] \\ &= h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{\Delta^{m-1} x_k - \Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1}}{2\rho} \right) \right) \right] \\ &\leq h_r^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{\Delta^{m-1} x_k}{2\rho} \right) \right) \right] + \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{\Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1}}{2\rho} \right) \right) \right] \right\} \\ &\leq h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \frac{1}{2} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{\Delta^{m-1} x_k}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] + h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \frac{1}{2} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{\Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \\ &< h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{\Delta^{m-1} x_k}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] + h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{\Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit $r \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \rightarrow 0,$$

i.e. $(x_k) \in [N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, q]_0$. The rest of the cases can be proved in the similar way. By using induction, we have $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^i, q]_Z \subseteq [N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, q]_Z$, for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$. □

The above inclusion is strict follows from the following example.

Example 3.5. Let $M_k(x) = x^2$, for all $x \in [0, \infty)$, $\xi = (2^r)$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $q(x) = |x|$. Consider a sequence (x_k) defined by

$$(x_k) = (k^{m-1}, k^{m-1}, k^{m-1}, \dots).$$

Then $\Delta^m x_k = 0$, but $\Delta^{m-1} x_k = (-1)^{m-1} (m - 1)!$, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Thus $(x_k) \in [N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, q]_0$, but $(x_k) \notin [N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^{m-1}, q]_0$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\xi = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence and let $\mathbf{M} = (M_k)$ be a Musielak-Orlicz function. Then

(i) $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0 \subseteq [N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_1 \subseteq [N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_\infty$, and the inclusion is strict.

(ii) If $|v_k| \leq 1$, then $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_Z \subseteq [N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_Z$, for $Z = 0, 1, \infty$.

Proof. (i) The inclusion $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0 \subseteq [N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_1$ is obvious. Let (x_k) be an element of $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_1$. Then there exists $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k \Delta^m x_k - L}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since M_k is non decreasing and convex for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k \Delta^m x_k}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} &\leq D h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k \Delta^m x_k - L}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\quad + D \max \left[1, M_k \left(q \left(\frac{L}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^H, \end{aligned}$$

where $G = \sup_k p_k$, $D = \max\{1, 2^{G-1}\}$.

Thus the sequence (x_k) belongs to $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_\infty$.

The inclusions are strict follows from the following example.

Example 3.7. Let

$$p_k = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } k \text{ is even;} \\ 5, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Let $m \geq 0$ be given. Let $v_k = k$, $M_k(x) = x^2$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $q(x) = |x|$. Let $\xi = (2^r)$ be a lacunary sequence. Consider a sequence (x_k) defined by

$$(x_k) = (k^m, k^m, k^m, \dots).$$

Thus the sequence (x_k) belongs to $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_1$, but (x_k) does not belong to $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0$.

The proof of the part (ii) is easy, so omitted. \square

Theorem 3.8. Let $\mathbf{M} = (M_k)$ and $\phi = (\phi_k)$ be two Musielak-Orlicz functions. If M_k and ϕ_k are equivalent for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\xi = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence. Then

$$[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_Z = [N_\xi, \phi, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_Z,$$

where $Z = 0, 1, \infty$.

Proof. The proof of the theorem is easy, so omitted. \square

Theorem 3.9. Let $\mathbf{M} = (M_k)$ be any Musielak-Orlicz function and let q_1 and q_2 be two semi norms. Then

- (i) $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q_1, v]_Z \cap [N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q_2, v]_Z \subseteq [N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q_1 + q_2, v]_Z$;
- (ii) if q_1 is stronger than q_2 , $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q_1, v]_Z \subseteq [N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q_2, v]_Z$, where $Z = 0, 1, \infty$.

Proof. The proof of the theorem is easy, so omitted. □

We give the following two propositions without proof.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $\xi = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence. Then the followings hold:*

- (i) *If $\liminf_r \eta_r > 1$, then for any Musielak-Orlicz function $\mathbf{M} = (M_k)$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$,*

$$[W, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0 \subseteq [N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0,$$

where

$$[W, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0 = \left\{ (x_k) \in w(X) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k \Delta^m x_k}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

- (ii) *If $\limsup_r \eta_r < \infty$, then for any Musielak-Orlicz function $\mathbf{M} = (M_k)$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$,*

$$[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0 \subseteq [W, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0.$$

Proposition 3.11. *Let $\xi = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence, with $0 < \liminf_r \eta_r \leq \limsup_r \eta_r < \infty$, then for any Musielak-Orlicz function $\mathbf{M} = (M_k)$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$,*

$$[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0 = [W, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0.$$

Property 3.12. *The spaces $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, p, q, v]_0$ and $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, p, q, v]_\infty$ are solid as well as monotone. The spaces $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_Z$ are not solid in general, for $Z = 0, 1, \infty$.*

Proof. Let $(x_k) \in [N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, p, q, v]_0$. Then there exists $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k x_k}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let (α_k) be a sequence of scalars such that $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Since

$$|\alpha_k| \leq \max(1, |\alpha_k|^G) \leq 1, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbf{N}, \text{ where } G = \sup_k p_k < \infty.$$

Then for each r , we have

$$h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{\alpha_k (v_k x_k)}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq h_r^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{v_k x_k}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k}. \tag{3.1}$$

Therefore $(\alpha_k x_k) \in [N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, p, q, v]_0$. Hence $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, p, q, v]_0$ is solid.

By the Lemma 2.5, it follows that the space $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, p, q, v]_0$ is monotone. Again by the inequality (3.1) and the Lemma 2.5, we can prove that the space $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, p, q, v]_\infty$ is solid as well as monotone. In order to prove that the spaces $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_1$ and $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_\infty$ are not solid in general, we consider the following example.

Example 3.13. Let $M_k(x) = x^t$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $t \geq 1$. Let $p_k = \frac{1}{k}$, $v_k = k$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $q(x) = |x|$. Let $\xi = (2^r)$ be a lacunary sequence, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Consider a sequence (x_k) defined by

$$x_k = k^2, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Then (x_k) belongs to $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_1$ and $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_\infty$, for $m = 1$. Let $(\alpha_k) = (-1)^k$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $(\alpha_k x_k)$ does not belong to the spaces $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_1$ and $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_\infty$. Hence the spaces $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_1$ and $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_\infty$ are not solid.

Therefore by the Lemma 2.5, it follows that the spaces $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_1$ and $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_\infty$ are not monotone.

Next to show that the space $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0$ is not solid in general. We consider the following example.

Example 3.14. Under the restrictions on \mathbf{M}, p, v, m, q and ξ as in Example 3.7. We consider a sequence (x_k) defined by

$$x_k = 2, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Let $(\alpha_k) = (-1)^k$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $(\alpha_k x_k)$ does not belong to $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0$. Hence the space $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0$ is not solid.

Therefore by the Lemma 2.5, it follows that the space $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0$ is not monotone. \square

Property 3.15. The space $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, p, q, v]_1$ is neither solid nor monotone.

Proof. The space $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, p, q, v]_1$ is not monotone follows from the following example.

Example 3.16. Let $p_k = 1 + \frac{1}{k^2}$ and $v_k = k$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $M_k(x) = x^t$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $t \geq 1$ and $q(x) = |x|$. Let $\xi = (2^r)$ be a lacunary sequence for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Consider a sequence (x_k) defined by

$$(x_k) = (2, 2, 2, \dots), \text{ for all } k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Consider the K^{th} -step space E_K for a sequence space E and defined a sequence (y_k) as follows:

$$y_k = \begin{cases} x_k, & \text{if } k \text{ is even;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then (y_k) does not belong to the K^{th} -step space E_K of the sequence space E . Hence the space $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, p, q, v]_1$ is not monotone.

Therefore by the Lemma 2.5, it follows that the space $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, p, q, v]_1$ is not solid. \square

Property 3.17. *The spaces $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_Z$ are not monotone in general, for $Z = 0, 1, \infty$.*

Proof. The proof of the result follows from the Examples 3.13 and 3.14, by considering the K^{th} - step space E_K for a sequence space E and defined a sequence (y_k) as follows:

$$y_k = \begin{cases} x_k, & \text{if } k \text{ is even;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the sequence (x_k) defined in the Example 3.13 belongs to $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_Z$, but (y_k) does not belong to $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_Z$, for $Z = 1, \infty$.

Similarly, (x_k) defined in the Example 3.14 belongs to $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0$, but (y_k) does not belong to $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0$. Hence the spaces $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_Z$ are not monotone, for $Z = 0, 1, \infty$. \square

Property 3.18. *The spaces $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_Z$ are not symmetric in general, for $Z = 0, 1, \infty$.*

Proof. The proof of the result follows from the following example.

Example 3.19. *Let $M_k(x) = x^2$, $p_k = k$ and $v_k = k^2$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. and $q(x) = |x|$. Let $\xi = (2^r)$ be a lacunary sequence for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Consider a sequence (x_k) defined by*

$$x_k = k^3, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Then (x_k) belongs to $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0$, for $m = 1$. Consider the sequence (y_k) which is the rearrangement of the sequence (x_k) defined by

$$(y_k) = (x_1, x_2, x_4, x_3, x_9, x_5, x_{16}, x_6, x_{25}, x_7, \dots).$$

Then (y_k) does not belong to $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_Z$.

Hence the spaces $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_Z$ are not symmetric in general. \square

Property 3.20. *The space $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0$ is not convergence free.*

Proof. The proof of the result follows from the following example.

Example 3.21. *Let $M_k(x) = x$, $p_k = k$, $v_k = k$, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. and $q(x) = |x|$. Let $\xi = (2^r)$ be a lacunary sequence for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Consider a sequence (x_k) defined by*

$$x_k = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } k \text{ is even;} \\ 0, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then (x_k) belongs to $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0$, for $m = 2$. Consider the sequence (y_k) defined by

$$y_k = \begin{cases} k^2, & \text{if } k \text{ is even;} \\ 0, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then (y_k) does not belong to $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0$.

Hence the space $[N_\xi, \mathbf{M}, \Delta^m, p, q, v]_0$ is not convergence free. \square

Acknowledgement : The author thanks the reviewers for the comments on the first draft of the paper.

References

- [1] H. Kizmaz, On certain sequence spaces, *Cand. Math. Bull.* 24 (1981) 169–176.
- [2] M. Et, R. Colak, On generalized difference sequence spaces, *Soochow J. Math.* 21 (4) (1995) 377–386.
- [3] A.R. Freedman, J.J. Sember, M. Raphael, Some Cesàro-type summability spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 37 (1978) 508–520.
- [4] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, On Orlicz sequence spaces, *Israel J. Math.* 101 (1971) 379–390.
- [5] S.D. Parashar, B. Choudhary, Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 25 (1995) 419–428.
- [6] I.J. Maddox, Spaces of strongly summable sequences, *Quart. J. Math.* 18 (1967) 345–355.
- [7] B.C. Tripathy, M. Et, Y. Altin, B. Choudhary, On some classes of sequences defined by sequences of Orlicz functions, *Jour. Anal. Appl.* 1 (3) (2003) 175–192.
- [8] B.C. Tripathy, S. Mahanta, On a class of generalized lacunary difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica Eng. Series* 20 (2) (2004) 231–238.
- [9] B.C. Tripathy, M. Et, Y. Altin, Generalized difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions in a locally convex space, *Jour. Anal. Appl.* 1 (3) (2003) 179–192.
- [10] B.C. Tripathy, S. Mahanta, M. Et, On generalized lacunary difference vector valued paranormed sequences defined by Orlicz functions, *Internat. Jour. Math. Sci.* 4 (2) (2005) 341–355.
- [11] Y.A. Cui, On some geometric properties in Musielak-Orlicz sequence spaces, *Lecture note in pure and applied mathematics*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel 213, 2000.
- [12] V.A. Khan, Q.M.D. Lohani, Some new difference sequence spaces defined by Musielak-Orlocz function, *Thai J. Math.* 6 (1) (2008) 215–223.
- [13] P.K. Kamthan, M. Gupta, *Sequence spaces and Series*, Marcel Dekker, 1980.

- [14] M. Et, F. Nuray, Δ^m -statistical convergence, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 32 (6) (2001) 961–969.

(Received 24 November 2010)

(Accepted 24 August 2011)