



Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Homomorphisms and Derivations on Normed Lie Triple Systems

Javad Shokri, Ali Ebadian¹ and Rasoul Aghalary

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
Urmia University, P.O. Box 165, Urmia, Iran
e-mail : j.shokri@urmia.ac.ir,
a.ebadian@urmia.ac.ir,
r.aghalary@urmia.ac.ir

Abstract : We prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphism and derivations on normed Lie triple systems for the following generalized Cauchy-Jensen additive mapping:

$$r_0 f \left(\frac{s \sum_{j=1}^p x_j + t \sum_{j=1}^d y_j}{r_0} \right) = s \sum_{j=1}^p f(x_j) + t \sum_{j=1}^d f(y_j)$$

and generalize some results concerning this functional equation.

Keywords : Cauchy-Jensen additive mapping; Normed Lie systems; Homomorphism; Derivation; Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 39B82; 16W25; 17A40; 39B52.

1 Introduction

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [1], posed in 1940, concerning the stability of group homomorphism. In 1941, Hyers [2] gave a partial affirmative answer to the question of Ulam in the context of Banach spaces. In 1950, a generalized version of Hyers' theorem for approximate

¹Corresponding author email: a.ebadian@urmia.ac.ir (A. Ebadian)

additive mappings was given by Aoki [3]. In 1978, Rassias [4] extended the theorem of Hyers by considering the unbounded Cauchy difference inequality

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad (\varepsilon \geq 0, p \in [0, 1)).$$

Rassias [4] was the first who proved the stability of the linear mappings between Banach spaces. In 1990, Rassias [5] during the 27th international symposium on functional equations asked the question whether such a theorem can also be proved for $p \geq 1$. In 1991, Gajda [6] following the same approach as in Rassias [4] gave an affirmative solution to this question for $p > 1$. It was proved by Gajda [6], as well as by Rassias and Semrl [7] that one cannot prove Rassias' type theorem when $p = 1$. Rassias Theorem for the stability of the linear mappings between Banach spaces provided some influence for the development of the concept of generalized Hyers-Ulam stability, a fact which rekindled interest in the subject of stability of functional equations. This concept is known today as generalized Hyers-Ulam stability or Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations; cf. [8–11]. Several mathematicians worldwide followed the spirit of the approach in the paper of Rassias [4] for the unbounded Cauchy difference obtained various results.

During the last decades several stability problems of functional equations have been investigated by a number of mathematicians; cf. [12–15] and references therein.

One of the interesting functional equations is the following Cauchy-Jensen additive mapping

$$r_0 f \left(\frac{s \sum_{j=1}^p x_j + t \sum_{j=1}^d y_j}{r_0} \right) = s \sum_{j=1}^p f(x_j) + t \sum_{j=1}^d f(y_j),$$

where f is a mapping between linear spaces. It is easy to see that a function f satisfies the above Cauchy-Jensen additive type equation if and only if it is additive.

Ternary algebraic operations were considered in 19th century by several mathematicians such as Cayley [16] who introduced the notion of cubic matrix which in turn was generalized by Kapranov et al. [17] in 1990. There are some applications, although still hypothetical, in the fractional quantum Hall effect, the nonstandard statistics, supersymmetric theory, and Yang-Baxter equation. The comments on physical applications of ternary structures can be found in Refs. [18–25].

A normed (Banach) Lie triple system is a normed (Banach) space $(A; \|\cdot\|)$ with a trilinear mapping $(x, y, z) \mapsto [x, y, z]$ from $A \times A \times A$ to A satisfying the following axioms

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y, z] &= -[y, x, z], \\ [x, y, z] + [y, z, x] + [z, x, y] &= 0 \\ [u, v, [x, y, z]] &= [[u, v, x], y, z] + [x, [u, v, y], z] + [x, y, [u, v, z]], \\ \|[x, y, z]\| &\leq \|x\| \|y\| \|z\|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v, x, y, z \in A$. The concept of lie triple system was first introduced by Lister [26] (see also [27]).

Let A and B be normed Lie triple systems. A \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is said to be a homomorphism if $H([x, y, z]) = [H(x), H(y), H(z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in A$. A \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $A \rightarrow B$ is called a derivation if $D([x, y, z]) = [D(x), y, z] + [x, D(y), z] + [x, y, D(z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in A$. The third identity asserts that the mappings $D_{u,v} : x \mapsto [u, v, x]$ are (inner)derivation of A .

Clearly, every Lie algebra is at the same time a Lie triple system via $[x, y, z] := [[x, y], z]$, and our definition of a homomorphism (derivation) coincides with that of prehomomorphism (prederivation) on a Lie algebra [28]. Also, if U is an involutive automorphism of a Lie algebra $(L, [,])$, then the eigenspace $E_{-1}(U)$ is a Lie triple system. Lie triple systems are important since they give the structure of the tangent space of a symmetric space, see [29]. Also some application of Lie triple systems can be found in Nambu's approach by modifying the Heisenberg equation of motion [30].

In this paper, we have analyzed the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphism and derivation in Lie triple systems associated with the following generalized Cauchy-Jensen additive mapping

$$r_0 f \left(\frac{s \sum_{j=1}^p x_j + t \sum_{j=1}^d y_j}{r_0} \right) = s \sum_{j=1}^p f(x_j) + t \sum_{j=1}^d f(y_j),$$

and then apply our results to study stability of homomorphisms and derivations associated to Cauchy-Jensen additive mapping in normed Lie triple systems, which can be regarded as ternary structures. The reader is referred to [31–33] for some other related results.

Throughout this paper, suppose that A is normed Lie triple system with norm $\|\cdot\|_A$ and that B is a Banach Lie triple system with norm $\|\cdot\|_B$.

2 Stability of Homomorphisms in Normed Lie Triple Systems

In this section, we prove the stability of homomorphisms in normed Lie triple systems associated with the Cauchy-Jensen additive mapping. For given mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ and given subset \mathbb{E} of \mathbb{C} , we define

$$J_\lambda f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d) := r_0 f \left(\frac{s \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda x_j + t \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda y_j}{r_0} \right) - s \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda f(x_j) - t \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda f(y_j),$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$. One can easily show that a mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ satisfies

$$J_\lambda f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d) = 0$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$ if and only if

$$f(\mu x + \lambda y) = \mu f(x) + \lambda f(y)$$

for all $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y \in A$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let θ be a positive real number, let $r < 3$ and $d \geq 2$. Suppose $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ such that*

$$\|J_\lambda f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d)\|_B \leq \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \|x_j\|_A^r + \sum_{j=1}^d \|y_j\|_A^r \right) \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)]\|_B \leq \theta(\|x\|_A^r + \|y\|_A^r + \|z\|_A^r), \quad (2.2)$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{1 - d^{r-1}} \|x\|_A^r \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. First, we assume that $\|0\|^r = \infty$ for $r < 0$. Let $\lambda = 1, x_1 = \dots = x_p = 0, y_1 = \dots = y_d = x$ and $t = 1$ in (2.1) we get

$$\|f(dx) - df(x)\|_B \leq d\theta \|x\|_A^r \quad (2.4)$$

for all $x \in A$. If we replace x by $d^n x$ in (2.4) and divide both sides of (2.4) to d^{n+1} , we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{d^{n+1}} f(d^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{d^n} f(d^n x) \right\|_B \leq \theta d^{(r-1)n} \|x\|_A^r$$

for all $x \in A$ and all nonnegative integers n . Therefore, one can use induction to show that

$$\|d^{-n} f(d^n x) - d^{-m} f(d^m x)\|_B \leq \theta \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} d^{(r-1)k} \|x\|_A^r \quad (2.5)$$

for all nonnegative $n > m$ and all $x \in A$. It follows from the convergence of the series (2.5) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{f(d^n x)}{d^n} \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Due to the completeness of B , this sequence is convergent. Now, by define the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(d^n x)}{d^n}$$

for all $x \in A$. Set $m = 0$ in (2.5) and let n tend to infinity to get (2.3). It follows from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| r_0 H \left(\frac{s \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda x_j + t \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda y_j}{r_0} \right) - s \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda H(x_j) - t \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda H(y_j) \right\|_{\mathbb{B}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \left\| r_0 f \left(d^n \frac{s \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda x_j + t \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda y_j}{r_0} \right) - s \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda f(d^n x_j) - t \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda f(d^n y_j) \right\|_{\mathbb{B}} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^{nr}}{d^n} \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \|x_j\|_A^r + \sum_{j=1}^d \|y_j\|_A^r \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$. Hence

$$r_0 H \left(\frac{s \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda x_j + t \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda y_j}{r_0} \right) = s \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda H(x_j) + t \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda H(y_j)$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$. So $H(\lambda x + \mu y) = \lambda H(x) + \mu H(y)$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y \in A$, then H is an additive mapping. Obviously, $H(0x) = 0 = 0H(x)$. Next, let $\mu \in \mathbb{C} (\mu \neq 0)$, and let M be a natural number greater than $|\mu|$. By an easily geometric argument, one can coincide that there exist two number $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $2\frac{\mu}{M} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$. By additivity and also definition of H we get $H(\frac{1}{2}x) = \frac{1}{2}H(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mu x) &= H \left(\frac{M}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{\mu}{M} x \right) = MH \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{\mu}{M} x \right) \\ &= \frac{M}{2} H(\lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x) = \frac{M}{2} (H(\lambda_1 x) + H(\lambda_2 x)) \\ &= \frac{M}{2} (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) H(x) = \frac{M}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{\mu}{M} H(x) = \mu H(x), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$, so that H is a \mathbb{C} -linear mapping. It follows from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|H([x, y, z]) - [H(x), H(y), H(z)]\|_{\mathbb{B}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^{3n}} \left\| f \left([d^n x, d^n y, d^n z] \right) - [f(d^n x), f(d^n y), f(d^n z)] \right\|_{\mathbb{B}} \\ &\leq \theta \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^{nr}}{d^{3n}} (\|x\|_A^r + \|y\|_A^r + \|z\|_A^r) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. So, $H([x, y, z]) = [H(x), H(y), H(z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in A$.

Now we prove that H is the unique such additive mapping. Assume that there exists another one, denote by $H' : A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$. Then there exist a constant ε_1 and r' ($r' < 1$) with

$$\|f(x) - H'(x)\| \leq \varepsilon_1 \|x\|_A^{r'}.$$

By the triangle inequality, (2.3) and above inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x) - H'(x)\|_{\mathbb{B}} &= d^{-n} \|H(d^n x) - H'(d^n x)\|_{\mathbb{B}} \\ &\leq d^{-n} \left(\frac{\theta}{1 - d^{r-1}} \|d^n x\|_{\mathbb{A}}^r + \varepsilon_1 \|d^n x\|_{\mathbb{A}}^{r'} \right) \\ &= d^{n(r-1)} \frac{\theta}{1 - d^{r-1}} \|x\|_{\mathbb{A}}^r + d^{n(r'-1)} \varepsilon_1 \|x\|_{\mathbb{A}}^{r'} \end{aligned}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get $H(x) = H'(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{A}$. □

Example 2.2. Let $L : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ be a norm one homomorphism between normed Lie triple systems, let $f : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} L(x) & \|x\| < 1 \\ 0 & \|x\| \geq 1 \end{cases},$$

let $r = 0$ and $\theta = 3$. Then

$$\|J_{\lambda} f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d)\|_{\mathbb{B}} \leq 3 = \theta,$$

and

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)]\|_{\mathbb{B}} \leq 2 \leq \theta,$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{A}$. Note also that f is not linear.

By the theorem 2.1 there is a homomorphism H given by $H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(d^n x)}{d^n}$. Further, $H(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(0)}{d^n} = 0$ and for $x \neq 0$ we have

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(d^n x)}{d^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{0}{d^n} = 0,$$

since for sufficiently large n , $\|d^n x\| \geq 1$. Thus H is identically zero and

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_{\mathbb{B}} \leq 1 \leq \frac{d\theta}{d-1} = \frac{3d}{d-1},$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{A}$ and $d \geq 2$.

Theorem 2.3. Let θ be a positive real number, let $r > 3$ and $d \geq 2$. Suppose $f : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ be a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ such that

$$\|J_{\lambda} f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d)\|_{\mathbb{B}} \leq \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \|x_j\|_{\mathbb{A}}^r + \sum_{j=1}^d \|y_j\|_{\mathbb{A}}^r \right) \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)]\|_{\mathbb{B}} \leq \theta (\|x\|_{\mathbb{A}}^r + \|y\|_{\mathbb{A}}^r + \|z\|_{\mathbb{A}}^r), \tag{2.7}$$

for all $\lambda = 1, i$ and all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{A}$. Assume that for each fixed $x \in \mathbb{A}$ the function $t \rightarrow f(tx)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R} . Then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_{\mathbb{B}} \leq \frac{\theta}{d^{r-1} - 1} \|x\|_{\mathbb{A}}^r \tag{2.8}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{A}$.

Proof. Applying the same argument as in the proof of 2.1 one can deduce the existence of a unique additive mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ given by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d^n f(d^{-n}x)$$

satisfying the required inequalities. By the same reasoning as in the proof of the theorem of [4], the additive mapping H is \mathbb{R} -linear.

Letting $x_1 = x, x_2 = \dots, x_p = y_1 = \dots = y_d = 0$ and $t = s = 1$ in (2.6), we get

$$\|f(\lambda x) - \lambda f(x)\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^r$$

then it follows that $\|f(\mathbf{i}x) - \mathbf{i}f(x)\| \leq \theta \|x\|_A^r$, for all $x \in A$. Hence $d^n \|f(d^{-n}\mathbf{i}x) - \mathbf{i}f(d^{-n}x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{d^{n(r-1)}} \|x\|_A^r$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x \in A$. The right hand side tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so that

$$H(\mathbf{i}x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d^n f\left(\frac{\mathbf{i}x}{d^n}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{i}d^n f\left(\frac{x}{d^n}\right) = \mathbf{i}H(x),$$

for all $x \in A$. For each $\mu \in \mathbb{C}, \mu = \lambda_1 + \mathbf{i}\lambda_2 (\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R})$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mu x) &= H(\lambda_1 x + \mathbf{i}\lambda_2 x) = \lambda_1 H(x) + \lambda_2 H(\mathbf{i}x) \\ &= \lambda_1 H(x) + \mathbf{i}\lambda_2 H(x) = (\lambda_1 + \mathbf{i}\lambda_2)H(x) \\ &= \mu H(x), \end{aligned}$$

thus H is \mathbb{C} -linear. Note that inequality (2.6) implies that $f(0) = 0$. It follows from (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|H([x, y, z]) - [H(x), H(y), H(z)]\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d^{3n} \left\| f\left(\frac{[x, y, z]}{d^{3n}}\right) - \left[f\left(\frac{x}{d^n}\right), f\left(\frac{y}{d^n}\right), f\left(\frac{z}{d^n}\right) \right] \right\|_B \\ &\leq \theta \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^{3n}}{d^{nr}} (\|x\|_A^r + \|y\|_A^r + \|z\|_A^r) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. So, $H([x, y, z]) = [H(x), H(y), H(z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in A$.

The reminder of proof is similar to Theorem 2.1. □

3 Stability of Derivations on Normed Lie Triple Systems

In this section, we prove the stability of derivations in normed Lie triple systems associated with the Cauchy-Jensen additive mapping.

Theorem 3.1. *Let θ and $r, r', s', t' \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with $r' + s' + t' > 3$, and $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping such that*

$$\|J_\lambda f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d)\|_B \leq \theta \prod_{j=1}^p \|x_j\|_A^{r'} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^d \|y_j\|_A^r \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)]\|_{\mathbf{B}} \leq \theta \cdot \|x\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{r'} \cdot \|y\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{s'} \cdot \|z\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{t'} \quad (3.2)$$

for $\lambda = 1, \mathbf{i}$ and all $x, y, z, x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in \mathbf{A}$. Assume that for each fixed $x \in \mathbf{A}$ the function $t \rightarrow f(tx)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R} . Then there exists a unique derivation $D : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - D(x)\|_{\mathbf{B}} \leq \frac{2^{(p+d)r}\theta}{\left(2^{(p+2d)^{(p+d)r}} - 2^{(p+d)r(p+2d)}\right)} \|x\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{(p+d)r} \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{A}$.

Proof. Note that inequality (3.1) implies $f(0) = 0$, put $\lambda = 1$ and $x_1, \dots, x_p = y_1 = \dots = y_d = x$ and $s = 1, t = 2$ in (3.1), we obtain

$$\|f((p+2d)x) - (p+2d)f(x)\|_{\mathbf{B}} \leq \theta \|x\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{(p+d)r} \quad (3.4)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{A}$. Therefore

$$\left\| f(x) - (p+2d)f\left(\frac{x}{p+2d}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{B}} \leq \frac{\theta}{(p+2d)^{(p+d)r}} \|x\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{(p+d)r}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{A}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (p+2d)^n f\left(\frac{x}{(p+2d)^n}\right) - (p+2d)^m f\left(\frac{x}{(p+2d)^m}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{B}} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \left\| (p+2d)^k f\left(\frac{x}{(p+2d)^k}\right) - (p+2d)^{k+1} f\left(\frac{x}{(p+2d)^{k+1}}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{B}} \\ & \leq \frac{\theta}{(p+2d)^{(p+d)r}} \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \frac{(p+2d)^k}{(p+2d)^{(p+d)rk}} \|x\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{(p+d)r} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for all nonnegative integer $n > m$ and $x \in \mathbf{A}$. It follows from the convergence of the series (3.5) that the sequence $\{(p+2d)^n f(\frac{x}{(p+2d)^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. From the completeness of \mathbf{B} , this sequence converges. So we can define the mapping $D : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ by

$$D(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p+2d)^n f\left(\frac{x}{(p+2d)^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{A}$.

Now by considering $m = 0$ and taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.6), we obtain

(3.3). It follows from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D([x, y, z]) - [D(x), y, z] - [x, D(y), z] - [x, y, D(z)]\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p + 2d)^{3n} \left\| f\left(\frac{[x, y, z]}{(p + 2d)^{3n}}\right) \right. \\ &\quad - \left[f\left(\frac{x}{(p + 2d)^n}, \frac{y}{(p + 2d)^n}, \frac{z}{(p + 2d)^n}\right) \right. \\ &\quad - \left[\frac{x}{(p + 2d)^n}, f\left(\frac{y}{(p + 2d)^n}, \frac{z}{(p + 2d)^n}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \left[\frac{x}{(p + 2d)^n}, \frac{y}{(p + 2d)^n}, f\left(\frac{z}{(p + 2d)^n}\right) \right] \right] \right\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta(p + 2d)^{3n}}{(p + 2d)^{n(r'+s'+t')}} (\|x\|_A^{r'} \cdot \|y\|_A^{s'} \cdot \|z\|_A^{t'}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$. So, $D([x, y, z]) = [D(x), y, z] + [x, D(y), z] + [x, y, D(z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in A$. Similar to $H(x)$ in Theorem 2.3, one can show that the mapping $D(x)$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear too, and also the reminder is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let θ and $r, r', s', t' \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with $r' + s' + t' < 1$, and $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping such that*

$$\|J_\lambda f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d)\|_B \leq \theta \prod_{j=1}^p \|x_j\|_A^r \cdot \prod_{j=1}^d \|y_j\|_A^r$$

and

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)]\|_B \leq \theta \cdot \|x\|_A^{r'} \cdot \|y\|_A^{s'} \cdot \|z\|_A^{t'}$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y, z, x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$. Then there exists a unique derivation $D : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - D(x)\|_B \leq \frac{2^{(p+d)r}\theta}{(2^{(p+d)r}(p + 2d) - 2(p + 2d)^{(p+d)r})} \|x\|_A^{(p+d)r}$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. First let us assume that $\|0\|_A^p = \infty$ for $p < 0$. We can define the mapping $D : A \rightarrow B$

$$D(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p + 2d)^{-n} f((p + 2d)^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let θ and $r', s', t', r_1, \dots, r_p, s_1, \dots, s_d$ be positive real numbers, with $r' + s' + t' \neq 3$, and $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping such that*

$$\|J_\lambda f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d)\|_B \leq \theta \prod_{j=1}^p \|x_j\|_A^{r_j} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^d \|y_j\|_A^{s_j} \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)]\|_B \leq \theta \cdot \|x\|_A^{r'} \cdot \|y\|_A^{s'} \cdot \|z\|_A^{t'}$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y, z, x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$. In case $\lambda = 1$, **i** assume that for each fixed $x \in A$ the function $t \rightarrow f(tx)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R} . Then there exists a unique derivation $D : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - D(x)\|_B \leq \frac{2^{(p+d)r}\theta}{|(2(p+2d)^{(p+d)r} - 2^{(p+d)r}(p+2d))|} \|x\|_A^{(p+d)r}$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Letting $\lambda = 1$ and $x_1, \dots, x_p = y_1 = \dots = y_d = x$ and $s = 1, t = 2$ in (3.6), then $r_1 = \dots = r_p = s_1 = \dots = s_d = r$, so (3.6) is same (3.1) in Theorem 3.1 and 3.2. Therefore, we can continue the proof similar to the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. \square

References

- [1] S.M. Ulam, *Problem in Modern Mathematics*, Chapter VI, Science Editions, Wiley, New York, 1964.
- [2] D.H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 27 (1941) 222–224.
- [3] T. Aoki, On the stability of linear transformation in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 2 (1950) 64–66.
- [4] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 72 (1978) 297–300.
- [5] Th.M. Rassias, Problem 16; 2, Report of the 27th international symp. on functional equations, *Aequationes Math.* 39 (1990) 292–293.
- [6] Z. Gajda, On stability of additive mappings, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 14 (1991) 431–434.
- [7] Th.M. Rassias, P. Semrl, On the behaviour of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 114 (1992) 989–993.
- [8] S. Czerwik, *Functional equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific, New Jersey, London, Singapore, Hong Kong, 2002.
- [9] D.H. Hyers, G. Isac, Th.M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equation in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [10] S.M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equation in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press Inc. Palm Harbor, Florida, 2001.

- [11] Th.M. Rassias, *Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston and London, 2003.
- [12] J. Chmieliński, S.M. Jung, On the stability of the Wigner equation on a restricted domain, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 254 (2001) 309–320.
- [13] V. Fałziew, Th.M. Rassias, P.K. Sahoo, The space of (ψ, γ) -additive mappings on semigroups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Sci.* 345 (11) (2002) 4455–4472.
- [14] Y.H. Lee, K.W. Jun, A generalization of Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Jensen's equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 238 (1999) 305–315.
- [15] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 251 (2000) 264–284.
- [16] A. Cayley, On the 34 concomitants of the ternary cubic, *Am. J. Math.* 4 (1) (1881) 1–15.
- [17] M. Kapranov, I.M. Gelfand, A. Zelevinskii, *Discriminants, Resultants and Multidimensional Determinants*, Birkhauser, Basel, 1994.
- [18] V. Abramov, R. Kerner, B. Le Roy, hypersymmetry, A z_3 -graded generalization of supersymmetry, *J. Math. Phys.* 38 (1997) 1650–1669.
- [19] Pl. Kannappan, *Functional Equations and Inequalities with Applications*, Springer Monographs in mathematics, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [20] R. Kerner, The cubic chessboard: Geometry and physics, *Class Quantum Gravity* 14 (1997) A203–A225.
- [21] G.L. Sewell, *Quantum Mechanics and its emergent Macrophysics*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2002.
- [22] M. Eshaghi Gordji, M.B. Ghaemi, S. Kaboli Gharetapeh, S. Shams, A. Ebadian, On the stability of J^* -derivations, *Journal of Geometry and Physics* 60 (2010) 454–459.
- [23] M. Eshaghi Gordji, M. Bavand Savadkouhi, Approximation of generalized homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebra, *Aalele Univ. Ovidius Constata, Math. Series* 17 (2009) 203–213.
- [24] M. Eshaghi Gordji, A. Najati, Approximately J^* -homomorphism: A fixed point approach, *Journal of Geometry and Physics* 60 (2010) 809–814.
- [25] H. Zettl, A characterization of ternary rings of operators, *Adv. Math.* 48 (1983) 117–143.
- [26] W.G. Lister, A structure theory of Lie triple systems, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 72 (1952) 217–242.
- [27] N.C. Hopkins, Nilpotent ideals in Lie and anti-Lie triple systems, *J. Algebra* 178 (1995) 480–492.

- [28] D. Burde, Lie algebra prederivation and strongly nilpotent Lie algebras, *Comm. Algebra* 30 (7) (2002) 3157–3175.
- [29] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Group and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, 1978.
- [30] S. Okubo, *Introduction to octonion and other non-associative algebra in physics*, Montroll Memorial Lecture Series in Mathematical Physics Vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [31] A. Ebadian, M. Eshaghi Gordji, N. ghobadipour, Th.M. Rassias, A perturbation of double derivations on Banach algebras. *Commun. Math. Anal.* 11 (1) (2011) 51–60.
- [32] C. Park, Lie $*$ -homomorphism between Lie C^* -algebras and Lie $*$ -derivation between C^* -algebras, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 293 (2004) 419–434.
- [33] C. Park, Homomorphism between Lie JC^* -algebras and Cauchy-Rassias stability of Lie JC^* -algebra derivations, *J. Lie Theory* 15 (2005) 393–414.

(Received 3 February 2011)

(Accepted 11 May 2011)