Thai Journal of Mathematics Special Issue (Annual Meeting in Mathematics, 2010) : 21–32



www.math.science.cmu.ac.th/thaijournal Online ISSN 1686-0209

Existence of Positive Solutions to a Second -Order Multi-Point Boundary Value Problem with Delay

J. Chen, J. Tariboon and S. Koonprasert

In this paper, by using the Krasnosel'skii fixed-point theorem, we study the existence of positive solutions to the second-order delay differential equation,

> $u''(t) + \lambda a(t)f(t, u(t - \tau)) = 0, \qquad t \in J = [0, 1],$ $u(t) = \beta u(\eta), \qquad -\tau \leq t \leq 0,$ $u(1) = \alpha u(\eta),$

where α , β , η are constants with $\eta \in (0, 1)$. λ is a positive real parameter.

Keywords : Positive solution; Fixed point; Multi-point boundary value problem; Delay.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 34B18; 34B10.

1 Introduction

Multi-point boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations arise in a variety of different areas of applied mathematics and physics. The study of the three-point boundary value problem for nonlinear ordinary differential equations was initiated by Gupta [1-2]. Since then, nonlinear multi-point boundary value problems have been studied by several authors. For details, see, for example, [3-7] and reference therein.

In this paper, we consider the existence of positive solutions for the following multi-point boundary value problem of the second order delay

Copyright \bigodot 2010 by the Mathematical Association of Thailand. All rights reserved.

differential equation:

$$u''(t) + \lambda a(t) f(t, u(t - \tau)) = 0, \qquad t \in J = [0, 1], u(t) = \beta u(\eta), \qquad -\tau \leqslant t \leqslant 0, u(1) = \alpha u(\eta),$$
(1.1)

where α , β , η are constants with $\eta \in (0, 1)$. λ is a positive real parameter.

For the case $0 < \tau < \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta = \alpha = 0$, Bai and Xu [6] studied the existence of multiple positive solutions to BVP (1.1) with a(t)f(t, u) = g(t, u) by using Krasnoselskii fixed-point theorem.

Recently, for the case $0 < \tau < 1$, $\beta = 0$, Wang and Shen [7] given some sufficient conditions with λ belonging to an open interval of eigenvalues to ensure the existence of positive solutions to BVP (1.1).

2 Preliminaries

In this section we give the following definition of positive solution of (1.1).

Definition 2.1. u(t) is called a positive solution of (1.1) if $u \in C[-\tau, 1] \cap C^2(0, 1)$, u(t) > 0 for $t \in (0, 1)$ and satisfies (1.1).

Lemma 2.1. Assume $\beta \neq \frac{1-\alpha\eta}{1-\eta}$. Then for $y \in C([0,1],R)$, the problem

$$u''(t) + y(t) = 0, \qquad 0 < t < 1, \tag{2.1}$$

$$u(0) = \beta u(\eta), \qquad u(1) = \alpha u(\eta), \tag{2.2}$$

has a unique solution

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)y(s)ds + \frac{\beta + (\alpha - \beta)t}{(1 - \alpha\eta) - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 G(\eta,s)y(s)ds, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$G(t,s) = \begin{cases} s(1-t), & 0 \le s \le t \le 1, \\ t(1-s), & 0 \le t < s \le 1. \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

Proof. It is well known that the Green's function is G(t, s) as in (2.4) for the second-order two point linear boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} w'' + y(t) = 0, & 0 < t < 1\\ w(0) = 0, & w(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

and the solution of (2.5) is given by

$$w(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)y(s)ds,$$

and

$$w(0) = 0, \quad w(1) = 0, \quad w(\eta) = \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) y(s) ds.$$
 (2.6)

We suppose that the solution of the three-point boundary value problem (2.1), (2.2) can be expressed by

$$u(t) = w(t) + A + Bt, (2.7)$$

where A and B are constants that will be determined.

From (2.6), (2.7) we know that

$$u(0) = A,$$

$$u(1) = A + B,$$

$$u(\eta) = w(\eta) + A + B\eta.$$

Putting these into (2.2) yields

$$(1 - \beta)A - \beta\eta B = \beta w(\eta),$$

$$(1 - \alpha)A + (1 - \alpha\eta)B = \alpha w(\eta).$$

Since $\beta \neq \frac{1-\alpha\eta}{1-\eta}$, solving the system of linear equations on the unknowns A, B, we obtain

$$A = \frac{\beta w(\eta)}{(1 - \alpha \eta) - \beta (1 - \eta)},$$
$$B = \frac{(\alpha - \beta) w(\eta)}{(1 - \alpha \eta) - \beta (1 - \eta)}.$$

Hence

$$u(t) = w(t) + \frac{\beta + (\alpha - \beta)t}{(1 - \alpha\eta) - \beta(1 - \eta)}w(\eta).$$

This implies that

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) y(s) ds + \frac{\beta + (\alpha - \beta)t}{(1 - \alpha \eta) - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) y(s) ds.$$

Next, we will show that the solution u(t) is unique. Assume that v(t) is another solution of the three-point boundary value problem (2.1), (2.2).

Let z(t) = v(t) - u(t), $t \in [0, 1]$. Then, we get z''(t) = v''(t) - u''(t) = 0, $t \in [0, 1]$ therefore

$$z(t) = C_1 t + C_2, (2.8)$$

where C_1 , C_2 are undetermined constants. From (2.2), we have

$$z(0) = \beta z(\eta), \qquad z(1) = \alpha z(\eta). \tag{2.9}$$

Using (2.8), we obtain

$$z(0) = C_2, \qquad z(1) = C_1 + C_2, \qquad z(\eta) = C_1 \eta + C_2.$$
 (2.10)

From (2.9), (2.10) we know that

$$-\beta \eta C_1 + (1 - \beta)C_2 = 0,$$

(1 - \alpha \eta)C_1 + (1 - \alpha)C_2 = 0.

Since $\beta \neq \frac{1-\alpha\eta}{1-\eta}$, then the system of linear equations on the unknown numbers C_1 , C_2 , has exactly one solution, therefore $z(t) \equiv 0, t \in [0, 1]$, so $v(t) = u(t), t \in [0, 1]$, that is uniqueness of the solution.

Lemma 2.2. [5] Let $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{\eta}$, $0 < \beta < \frac{1-\alpha\eta}{1-\eta}$. If $f \in C([0,1],[0,\infty))$, then the unique solution to problem (2.1), (2.2) satisfies

$$u(t) \ge 0, \qquad t \in [0,1].$$

Lemma 2.3. [5] Let $\alpha \eta \neq 1$, $\beta > \max\{\frac{1-\alpha \eta}{1-\eta}, 0\}$ and $f \in C([0,1], [0,\infty))$, then problem (2.1), (2.2) has no nonnegative solutions.

Hence, in this paper, we always assume the following condition is satisfied

 $\begin{array}{ll} (H) \colon \ 0 < \eta < 1, \ 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{\eta}, \ 0 < \beta < \frac{1-\alpha\eta}{1-\eta}, \ 0 < \tau < 1, \ a : (0,1) \rightarrow \\ [0,\infty) \text{ is continuous and } f : [0,1] \times [0,\infty) \rightarrow [0,\infty) \text{ is continuous, } 0 < \\ \int_0^1 s(1-s)a(s)ds < \infty. \text{ There exist constants } 0 \leqslant b < c \leqslant 1-\tau \text{ such that } \\ \int_{b+\tau}^{c+\tau} a(s)ds > 0. \end{array}$

By Lemma 2.1-2.3, it is easy to see that the BVP (1.1) has a solution u = u(t) if and only if u is a solution of the operator equation u = Tu, where

$$Tu(t) = \begin{cases} \beta u(\eta), & -\tau \leqslant t \leqslant 0, \\ \lambda \int_0^1 G(t,s) a(s) f(s, u(s-\tau)) ds \\ +\lambda \frac{\beta + (\alpha - \beta)t}{(1 - \alpha \eta) - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) a(s) f(s, u(s-\tau)) ds, & 0 \leqslant t < s \leqslant 1. \end{cases}$$
(2.11)

Let

$$P = \{ u \in C[-\tau, 1] : u(t) \ge 0 \text{ for } t \in (-\tau, 1], u(t) = \beta u(\eta), -\tau \le t \le 0, \\ u(1) = \alpha u(\eta) \}.$$

It is clear that $C[-\tau, 1]$ with norm $||u|| = \sup\{|u(t)| : -\tau \leq t \leq 1\}$ is a Banach space.

Put

$$p(t) = \min\left\{\frac{\beta\eta + (1-\beta)t}{\eta}, \frac{1-\alpha\eta + (\alpha-1)t}{1-\eta}\right\},\$$

$$\theta = \min\left\{\frac{\beta\eta + (1-\beta)b}{\eta}, \frac{1-\alpha\eta + (\alpha-1)c}{1-\eta}\right\}\min\{\eta, 1-\eta\},\$$

and a cone K in $C[-\tau, 1]$ is defined by

$$K = \left\{ u \in C[-\tau, 1] : u(t) \ge 0 \text{ for } t \in J, \min_{b \leqslant t \leqslant c} u(t) \ge \theta \|u\| \right\}$$

We now state and prove the following lemmas before stating our main results.

Lemma 2.4. Assume that $u \in P \cap C^2(0,1)$ and $u''(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in J$, then $u(t) \geq p(t) \min\{\eta, 1-\eta\} ||u||$ for $t \in J$.

Proof. Firstly, we show that $u(\eta) \ge \min\{\eta, 1-\eta\} ||u||$. By the properties of Green's function (2.4), we can find that

$$\min\{\eta, 1-\eta\}s(1-s) \leqslant G(\eta, s) \leqslant G(s, s) = s(1-s), \quad (\eta, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1].$$
(2.12)

By using (2.11) and (2.12), we know that for every solution u(t) of BVP (1.1), one has

$$\|u\| \leq \lambda \int_0^1 s(1-s)a(s)f(s,u(s-\tau))ds + \frac{\lambda\alpha}{(1-\alpha\eta) - \beta(1-\eta)} \int_0^1 G(\eta,s)a(s)f(s,u(s-\tau))ds.$$
(2.13)

Multiplying both side of inequality (2.13) by $\min\{\eta, 1-\eta\}$, we get

$$\begin{split} \min\{\eta, 1-\eta\} \|u\| &\leq \lambda \int_0^1 \min\{\eta, 1-\eta\} s(1-s) a(s) f(s, u(s-\tau)) ds \\ &+ \frac{\lambda \alpha \min\{\eta, 1-\eta\}}{(1-\alpha\eta) - \beta(1-\eta)} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) a(s) f(s, u(s-\tau)) ds \\ &\leq \lambda \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) a(s) f(s, u(s-\tau)) ds \\ &+ \frac{\lambda(\beta + (\alpha - \beta)\eta)}{(1-\alpha\eta) - \beta(1-\eta)} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) a(s) f(s, u(s-\tau)) ds = u(\eta). \end{split}$$

Hence, the inequality $u(\eta) \ge \min\{\eta, 1-\eta\} \|u\|$ is true.

Next, we will prove that $u(t) \ge p(t) \min\{\eta, 1 - \eta\} ||u||$ in two cases. Case(i) if $0 \le t \le \eta$, then

$$\frac{u(t) - u(0)}{t - 0} \ge \frac{u(\eta) - u(0)}{\eta - 0}.$$

Using $u(0) = \beta u(\eta)$, we have

$$u(t) \ge \frac{\beta\eta + (1-\beta)t}{\eta}u(\eta) \ge \frac{\beta\eta + (1-\beta)t}{\eta}\min\{\eta, 1-\eta\}\|u\|.$$

Case(ii) if $\eta < t \leq 1$, then

$$\frac{u(t) - u(\eta)}{t - \eta} \ge \frac{u(1) - u(\eta)}{1 - \eta},$$

since $u(1) = \alpha u(\eta)$, we get

$$u(t) \ge \frac{1 - \alpha \eta + (\alpha - 1)t}{1 - \eta} u(\eta) \ge \frac{1 - \alpha \eta + (\alpha - 1)t}{1 - \eta} \min\{\eta, 1 - \eta\} \|u\|.$$

Combining above two cases, we have that $u(t) \ge p(t) \min\{\eta, 1-\eta\} \|u\|$ for $t \in J$, and the proof is complete.

Lemma 2.5. The fixed point of T is a solution of (1.1) and $T: K \to K$ is completely continuous.

Proof. From (2.11), we have

$$(Tu)''(t) + \lambda a(t)f(t, u(t - \tau)) = 0 \qquad t \in J = [0, 1], (Tu)(t) = \beta(Tu)(\eta), \qquad -\tau \leq t \leq 0, (Tu)(1) = \alpha(Tu)(\eta).$$

Therefore, the fixed point of T is a solution of (1.1).

Next, we will prove that $T: K \to K$. For any $u \in K$, it is easy to see that $Tu \in C[-\tau, 1]$ and $Tu \ge 0$ for $t \in J$. Since $(Tu)''(t) \le 0$, by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$(Tu)(t) \ge p(t) \min\{\eta, 1-\eta\} \|Tu\|, \quad \text{for } t \in J.$$

Hence

$$\min\{(Tu)(t): b \leqslant t \leqslant c\} \ge \min\{p(t): b \leqslant t \leqslant c\} \min\{\eta, 1-\eta\} \|Tu\| \ge \theta \|Tu\|.$$

So $T: K \to K$. By using Arzela-Ascoli theorem, it is easy to prove that T is completely continuous. The proof is complete.

Lemma 2.6. Let X be a Banach space, and let $K \subset X$ be a cone. Assume Ω_1, Ω_2 are open subset of E with $0 \in \Omega_1, \overline{\Omega}_2 \subset \Omega_2$, and let

$$A: K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \to K,$$

be a completely continuous operator such that either (i) $||Au|| \leq ||u||, u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $||Au|| \geq ||u||, u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$, or (ii) $||Au|| \geq ||u||, u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $||Au|| \leq ||u||, u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$. Then A has a fixed point in $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$.

3 Main Results

Let

$$f^{0} = \limsup_{u \to 0^{+}} \max_{t \in J} \frac{f(t, u)}{u}, \qquad f_{0} = \liminf_{u \to 0^{+}} \min_{t \in J} \frac{f(t, u)}{u},$$
$$f^{\infty} = \limsup_{u \to \infty} \max_{t \in J} \frac{f(t, u)}{u}, \qquad f_{\infty} = \liminf_{u \to \infty} \min_{t \in J} \frac{f(t, u)}{u}.$$

Theorem 3.1. Let (H) hold and $f_{\infty} > 0$, $f^0 < \infty$, then there exists at least one positive solution to (1.1) for

$$\lambda \in \left(\frac{1}{f_{\infty} \sup_{t \in J} \left(\beta \min\{\eta, 1-\eta\} \int_{0}^{\tau} G(t,s)a(s)ds + \theta \int_{b+\tau}^{c+\tau} G(t,s)a(s)ds\right)}, \frac{1-\alpha\eta - \beta(1-\eta)}{(1+\alpha(1-\eta)+\beta\eta)f^{0} \left(\beta \int_{0}^{\tau} G(s,s)a(s)ds + \int_{\tau}^{1} G(s,s)a(s)ds\right)}\right) (3.1)$$

Proof. By (3.1), there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{(f_{\infty} - \varepsilon) \sup_{t \in J} \left(\beta \min\{\eta, 1 - \eta\} \int_{0}^{\tau} G(t, s)a(s)ds + \theta \int_{b+\tau}^{c+\tau} G(t, s)a(s)ds\right)} \\ \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1 - \alpha\eta - \beta(1 - \eta)}{(1 + \alpha(1 - \eta) + \beta\eta)(f^{0} + \varepsilon) \left(\beta \int_{0}^{\tau} G(s, s)a(s)ds + \int_{\tau}^{1} G(s, s)a(s)ds\right)}.$$
(3.2)

Let ε be fixed. By $f^0 < \infty$, there exists a r > 0 such that for $u : 0 < u \leq r$,

$$f(s,u) \leqslant (f^0 + \varepsilon)u. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $\Omega_1 = \{u \in C[-\tau, 1] : ||u|| < r\}$, then for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_1$, we have by (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$\begin{split} \|Tu\| &\leqslant \lambda \int_0^1 G(s,s)a(s)f(s,u(s-\tau))ds \\ &+ \frac{\lambda(\beta+\alpha)}{(1-\alpha\eta)-\beta(1-\eta)} \int_0^1 G(s,s)a(s)f(s,u(s-\tau))ds \\ &\leqslant \lambda \frac{1+\alpha(1-\eta)+\beta\eta}{(1-\alpha\eta)-\beta(1-\eta)} \int_0^1 G(s,s)a(s)f(s,u(s-\tau))ds \\ &\leqslant \lambda(f^0+\varepsilon)\frac{1+\alpha(1-\eta)+\beta\eta}{(1-\alpha\eta)-\beta(1-\eta)} \int_0^1 G(s,s)a(s)u(s-\tau)ds \\ &= \lambda(f^0+\varepsilon)\frac{1+\alpha(1-\eta)+\beta\eta}{(1-\alpha\eta)-\beta(1-\eta)} \left(\int_0^\tau G(s,s)a(s)\beta u(\eta)ds \\ &+ \int_\tau^1 G(s,s)a(s)u(s-\tau)ds \right) \\ &\leqslant \lambda(f^0+\varepsilon)\frac{1+\alpha(1-\eta)+\beta\eta}{(1-\alpha\eta)-\beta(1-\eta)} \left(\beta \int_0^\tau G(s,s)a(s)ds \\ &+ \int_\tau^1 G(s,s)a(s)ds \right) \|u\| \leqslant \|u\|. \end{split}$$

Next, by $f_{\infty} > 0$, there exists a R > r such that $f(s, u) \ge (f_{\infty} - \varepsilon)u$ for

 $u \ge R$. Set $\Omega_2 = \{ u \in C[-\tau, 1] : ||u|| < R \}$, then for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_2$, we have

$$\begin{split} \|Tu\| &\ge \lambda \sup_{t\in J} \int_0^1 G(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s-\tau))ds \\ &\ge \lambda \sup_{t\in J} \int_0^1 G(t,s)a(s)(f_\infty - \varepsilon)u(s-\tau))ds \\ &= \lambda(f_\infty - \varepsilon) \sup_{t\in J} \left(\int_0^\tau G(t,s)a(s)\beta u(\eta)ds + \int_\tau^1 G(t,s)a(s)u(s-\tau)ds \right) \\ &= \lambda(f_\infty - \varepsilon) \sup_{t\in J} \left(\int_0^\tau G(t,s)a(s)\beta u(\eta)ds + \int_0^{1-\tau} G(t,s+\tau)a(s+\tau)u(s)ds \right) \\ &\ge \lambda(f_\infty - \varepsilon) \sup_{t\in J} \left(\int_0^\tau G(t,s)a(s)\beta u(\eta)ds + \int_b^c G(t,s+\tau)a(s+\tau)u(s)ds \right) \\ &\ge \lambda(f_\infty - \varepsilon) \sup_{t\in J} \left(\int_0^\tau G(t,s)a(s)\beta \min\{\eta, 1-\eta\} \|u\|ds \\ &+ \int_b^c G(t,s+\tau)a(s+\tau)\theta \|u\|ds \right) \\ &\ge \lambda(f_\infty - \varepsilon) \sup_{t\in J} \left(\beta \min\{\eta, 1-\eta\} \int_0^\tau G(t,s)a(s)ds \\ &+ \theta \int_{b+\tau}^{c+\tau} G(t,s)a(s)ds \right) \|u\| \ge \|u\|. \end{split}$$

Therefore, by the first part of Lemma 2.6, T has a fixed point $u \in K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ and $||u|| \ge r$. From Lemma 2.5, u(t) is a positive solution of BVP (1.1). The proof is complete.

Theorem 3.2. Let (H) hold and $f_0 > 0$, $f^{\infty} < \infty$, then there exists at least one positive solution to (1.1) for

$$\lambda \in \left(\frac{1}{f_0 \sup_{t \in J} \left(\beta \min\{\eta, 1-\eta\} \int_0^\tau G(t,s)a(s)ds + \theta \int_{b+\tau}^{c+\tau} G(t,s)a(s)ds\right)}, \frac{1-\alpha\eta - \beta(1-\eta)}{(1+\alpha(1-\eta)+\beta\eta)f^\infty \left(\beta \int_0^\tau G(s,s)a(s)ds + \int_\tau^1 G(s,s)a(s)ds\right)}\right).$$

$$(3.4)$$

Proof. Suppose that λ satisfies (3.4). There exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{(f_0 - \varepsilon) \sup_{t \in J} \left(\beta \min\{\eta, 1 - \eta\} \int_0^\tau G(t, s) a(s) ds + \theta \int_{b+\tau}^{c+\tau} G(t, s) a(s) ds\right)} \\ \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \frac{1 - \alpha \eta - \beta (1 - \eta)}{(1 + \alpha (1 - \eta) + \beta \eta) (f^\infty + \varepsilon) \left(\beta \int_0^\tau G(s, s) a(s) ds + \int_\tau^1 G(s, s) a(s) ds\right)}.$$
(3.5)

By $f_0 > 0$, there exists a $r^* > 0$ such that for $u : 0 < u \leq r^*$,

$$f(s,u) \ge (f_0 - \varepsilon)u. \tag{3.6}$$

Let $\Omega_1 = \{x \in C[-\tau, 1] : ||u|| < r^*\}$, then for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_1$, we have by (3.5) and (3.6) that

$$\begin{split} \|Tu\| &\ge \lambda \sup_{t\in J} \int_0^1 G(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s-\tau))ds \\ &\ge \lambda \sup_{t\in J} \int_0^1 G(t,s)a(s)(f_0-\varepsilon)u(s-\tau))ds \\ &= \lambda(f_0-\varepsilon) \sup_{t\in J} \left(\int_0^\tau G(t,s)a(s)\beta u(\eta)ds + \int_\tau^1 G(t,s)a(s)u(s-\tau)ds \right) \\ &= \lambda(f_0-\varepsilon) \sup_{t\in J} \left(\int_0^\tau G(t,s)a(s)\beta u(\eta)ds + \int_0^{1-\tau} G(t,s+\tau)a(s+\tau)u(s)ds \right) \\ &\ge \lambda(f_0-\varepsilon) \sup_{t\in J} \left(\int_0^\tau G(t,s)a(s)\beta u(\eta)ds + \int_b^c G(t,s+\tau)a(s+\tau)u(s)ds \right) \\ &\ge \lambda(f_0-\varepsilon) \sup_{t\in J} \left(\int_0^\tau G(t,s)a(s)\beta \min\{\eta,1-\eta\} \|u\|ds \\ &+ \int_b^c G(t,s+\tau)a(s+\tau)\theta \|u\|ds \right) \\ &\ge \lambda(f_0-\varepsilon) \sup_{t\in J} \left(\beta \min\{\eta,1-\eta\} \int_0^\tau G(t,s)a(s)ds \\ &+ \theta \int_{b+\tau}^{c+\tau} G(t,s)a(s)ds \right) \|u\| \ge \|u\|. \end{split}$$

By $f^{\infty} < \infty$, we choose that $R_* > r^*$ such that for $u \ge R_*$,

$$f(s,u) \leqslant (f^{\infty} + \varepsilon)u.$$

There are two cases of interest: Case (i) f is bounded, and Case (ii) f is unbounded.

Case(i) Suppose that f is bounded. We can choose $N > r^*$ such that $f(s, u) \leq N$ for $s \in J$ and $u \in [0, \infty)$. Let

$$R^* = \max\left\{N, \lambda N \frac{1 + \alpha(1 - \eta) + \beta\eta}{(1 - \alpha\eta) - \beta(1 - \eta)} \int_0^1 G(s, s)a(s)ds\right\}$$

and $\Omega_2 = \{x \in C[-\tau, 1] : ||u|| < R^*\}$. Then for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu\| &\leqslant \lambda \int_0^1 G(s,s)a(s)f(s,u(s-\tau))ds \\ &+ \frac{\lambda(\beta+\alpha)}{(1-\alpha\eta) - \beta(1-\eta)} \int_0^1 G(s,s)a(s)f(s,u(s-\tau))ds \\ &\leqslant \lambda N \frac{1+\alpha(1-\eta) + \beta\eta}{(1-\alpha\eta) - \beta(1-\eta)} \int_0^1 G(s,s)a(s)ds \leqslant R^* = \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Case(*ii*) Suppose that f is unbounded. There exists $R^{**} > R_*$ such that $f(s, u) \leq f(s, R^{**})$ for $s \in J$ and $0 < x \leq R^{**}$. Then for $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$, we have

$$\begin{split} \|Tu\| &\leqslant \lambda \int_0^1 G(s,s)a(s)f(s,u(s-\tau))ds \\ &+ \frac{\lambda(\beta+\alpha)}{(1-\alpha\eta) - \beta(1-\eta)} \int_0^1 G(s,s)a(s)f(s,u(s-\tau))ds \\ &\leqslant \lambda \frac{1+\alpha(1-\eta) + \beta\eta}{(1-\alpha\eta) - \beta(1-\eta)} \int_0^1 G(s,s)a(s)f(s,R^{**})ds \\ &\leqslant \lambda(f^\infty + \varepsilon)R^{**} \frac{1+\alpha(1-\eta) + \beta\eta}{(1-\alpha\eta) - \beta(1-\eta)} \int_0^1 G(s,s)a(s)ds \leqslant R^{**} = \|u\|. \end{split}$$

Therefore, by the second part of Lemma 2.6, T has a fixed point $u \in K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ and $||u|| \ge r^*$. From Lemma 2.5, u(t) is a positive solution of BVP (1.1). The proof is complete.

Acknowledgement(s) : The authors would like to thank the referees for their comments and suggestions on the manuscript.

References

- C.P. Gupta, Solvability of a three-point nonlinear boundary value problem under for a second order ordinary differential equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (168), 540-551, 1992.
- [2] C.P. Gupta, A sharper condition for solvability of a three-point nonlinear boundary value problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (205), 586-597, 1997.
- [3] J.R.L. Webb, Positive solutions of some three-point boundary value problems via fixed point index theory, Nonlinear Anal. (47), 4319-4332, 2001.
- [4] R. Ma, Positive solutions of a nonlinear three-point boundary-value problem, Electron. J. Differ. Eq. (34), 1-8, 1998.
- [5] H. Luo, Q. Ma, Positive solutions to a generalized second-order threepoint boundary-value problem on time scales, Electron J. Diff. Eq. (17), 1-14, 2005.
- [6] D. Bai, Y. Xu, Existence of positive solutions for boundary-value problems of second-order delay differential equations, (18), 621-630, 2005.
- [7] W. Wang, J. Shen, Positive solutions to a multi-point boundary value problem with delay, Appl. Math. Comput., (188), 96-102, 2007.

^aJongyi Chen, ^bJessada Tariboon, ^cSanoe Koonprasert
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Applied Science,
King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok,
Bangkok 10800, THAILAND.
Centre of Excellence in Mathematics, PERDO, Commission on Higher
Education Thailand.
e-mail: ^apayi1123@hotmail.com, ^bjessadat@kmutnb.ac.th, ^cskp@kmutnb.ac.th