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#### Abstract

For integers $p, q$, $s$ with $p \geq q \geq 2$ and $s \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{-s}(p, q)$ denote the set of 2-connected bipartite graphs which can be obtained from $K_{p, q}$ by deleting a set of $s$ edges. F.M.Dong et al. (Discrete Math. vol. 224 (2000) 107-124) proved that for any graph $G \in \mathcal{K}_{2}^{-s}(p, q)$ with $p \geq q \geq 3$ and $0 \leq s \leq \min \{4, q-1\}$, then $G$ is chromatically unique. In this paper, we study the chromaticity of any graph $G \in \mathcal{K}_{2}^{-s}(p, q)$ when $p \geq 6, q=4$ and $s=6$.
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## 1 Introduction

All graphs considered here are simple graphs. For a graph $G$, let $V(G), \Delta(G)$ and $P(G, \lambda)$ be the vertex set, maximum degree and the chromatic polynomial of $G$, respectively.

Two graphs $G$ and $H$ are said to be chromatically equivalent (or simply $\chi$-equivalent), symbolically $G \sim H$, if $P(G, l)=P(H, l)$. The equivalence class determined by $G$ under $\sim$ is denoted by $[G]$. A graph $G$ is chromatically unique (or simply $\chi$-unique) if $H \cong G$ whenever $H \sim G$, i.e, $[G]=\{G\}$ up to isomorphism. For a set $\mathcal{G}$ of graphs, if $[G] \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ for every $G \in \mathcal{G}$, then $\mathcal{G}$ is said to be $\chi$-closed. For two sets $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ of graphs, if $P\left(G_{1}, \lambda\right) \neq P\left(G_{2}, \lambda\right)$ for every $G_{1} \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$ and $G_{2} \in \mathcal{G}_{2}$, then $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ are said to be chromatically disjoint, or simply $\chi$-disjoint.

For integers $p, q$, $s$ with $p \geq q \geq 2$ and $s \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{K}^{-s}(p, q)$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{-s}(p, q)$ ) denote the set of connected (resp. $2-$ connected) bipartite graphs which can be obtained from $K_{p, q}$ by deleting a set of $s$ edges.

In $[4,5]$, Dong et al. proved the following results.

[^0]Lemma 1.1. If $p \geq q \geq 3$ and $s \leq p+q-4$, then for any $G \in K^{-s}(p, q)$ with $\delta(G) \geq 2$, then $G$ is 2 -connected.
Theorem 1.2. For integers $p, q$, s with $p \geq q \geq 2$ and $0 \leq s \leq q-1, K_{2}^{-s}(p, q)$ is $\chi$-closed.

Teo and Koh [13] showed that every graph in $\mathcal{K}(p, q) \cup \mathcal{K}^{-1}(p, q)$ is $\chi$-unique. The case when $s \geq 2$ has been studied by Giudici and Lima de Sa [6], Peng [7], Borowiecki and Drgas-Burchardt [1]. Their typical results are of the following:
(i) If $2 \leq s \leq 4$ and $p-q$ is small enough, then each graph in $\mathcal{K}^{-s}(p, q)$ is $\chi$-unique;
(ii) If $G \in \mathcal{K}^{-s}(p, q)$, where $0 \leq p-q \leq 1$, such that the set of $s$ edges deleted forms a matching, then $G$ is $\chi$-unique.
Chen [2] showed that if $G \in \mathcal{K}^{-s}(p, q)$, where $3 \leq s \leq p-q$ and

$$
q \geq \max \left\{\frac{1}{2}(p-q)(s-1)+\frac{3}{2}, \frac{8}{27}(p-q)^{2}+\frac{1}{3}(p-q)+5 s+6\right\}
$$

and the set of $s$ edges deleted forms a matching or a star, then $G$ is $\chi$-unique. In [5], Dong et al. proved that any 2 -connected graph obtained from $K_{p, q}$ by deleting a set of edges that forms a matching of size at most $q-1$ or that induces a star is chromatically unique.

Very recently, Dong et al. [4] showed that any graph in $K_{2}^{-s}(p, q)$ is $\chi$-unique if $p \geq q \geq 3$ and $1 \leq s \leq \min \{4, q-1\}$. In [9], we proved that any graph in $K_{2}^{-s}(p, q)$ is $\chi$-unique if $p \geq q \geq 6$ and $s=5$; or $p \geq q \geq 7$ and $s=6$. In [10, 11], we extended this study for the case $p>q=5$ and $s=5$; or $p>q=4$ and $s=5$. In this paper, we shall study the chromaticity of any graph in $K_{2}^{-s}(p, q)$ when $p \geq 6, q=4$ and $s=6$.

## 2 Preliminary Results and Notation

For a bipartite graph $G=(A, B ; E)$ with bipartition $A$ and $B$ and edge set $E$, let $G^{\prime}=\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime} ; E^{\prime}\right)$ be the bipartite graph induced by the edge set $E^{\prime}=\{x y \mid x y \notin$ $E, x \in A, y \in B\}$, where $A^{\prime} \subseteq A$ and $B^{\prime} \subseteq B$. We write $G^{\prime}=K_{p, q}-G$, where $p=|A|$ and $q=|B|$.

For a graph $G$ and a positive integer $k$, a partition $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{k}\right\}$ of $V(G)$ is called a $k$-independent partition in $G$ if each $A_{i}$ is a non-empty independent set of $G$. Let $\alpha(G, k)$ denote the number of $k$-independent partitions in $G$. For any graph $G$ of order $n$, we have (see [8]):

$$
P(G, \lambda)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha(G, k) \lambda(\lambda-1) \cdots(\lambda-k+1)
$$

Thus, we have

Lemma 2.1. If $G \sim H$, then $\alpha(G, k)=\alpha(H, k)$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$.
For any bipartite graph $G=(A, B ; E)$ with bipartition $A$ and $B$ and edge set $E$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime}(G, 3)=\alpha(G, 3)-\left(2^{|A|-1}+2^{|B|-1}-2\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a bipartite graph $G=(A, B ; E)$, let
$\Omega(G)=\{Q \mid Q$ is an independent set in $G$ with $Q \cap A \neq \emptyset, Q \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$.
Lemma 2.2. (Dong et al. [5]) For $G \in \mathcal{K}^{-s}(p, q)$,

$$
\alpha^{\prime}(G, 3)=|\Omega(G)| \geq 2^{\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)}+s-1-\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)
$$

For a bipartite graph $G=(A, B ; E)$, the number of 4-independent partitions $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}\right\}$ in $G$ with $A_{i} \subseteq A$ or $A_{i} \subseteq B$ for all $i=1,2,3,4$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(2^{|A|-1}-1\right)\left(2^{|B|-1}-1\right)+\frac{1}{3!}\left(3^{|A|}-3 \cdot 2^{|A|}+3\right)+\frac{1}{3!}\left(3^{|B|}-3 \cdot 2^{|B|}+3\right) \\
=\left(2^{|A|-1}-2\right)\left(2^{|B|-1}-2\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(3^{|A|-1}+3^{|B|-1}\right)-2
\end{gathered}
$$

Define
$\alpha^{\prime}(G, 4)=\alpha(G, 4)-\left\{\left(2^{|A|-1}-2\right)\left(2^{|B|-1}-2\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(3^{|A|-1}+3^{|B|-1}\right)-2\right\}$.
Observe that for $G, H \in \mathcal{K}^{-s}(p, q)$,

$$
\alpha(G, 4)=\alpha(H, 4) \quad \text { if and only if } \quad \alpha^{\prime}(G, 4)=\alpha^{\prime}(H, 4)
$$

The following results will be used to prove our main theorem.
Lemma 2.3. (Dong et al. [3]) For $G=(A, B ; E) \in \mathcal{K}^{-s}(p, q)$ with $|A|=p$ and $|B|=q$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{\prime}(G, 4)= & \sum_{Q \in \Omega(G)}\left(2^{p-1-|Q \cap A|}+2^{q-1-|Q \cap B|}-2\right)+ \\
& \left|\left\{\left\{Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right\} \mid Q_{1}, Q_{2} \in \Omega(G), Q_{1} \cap Q_{2}=\emptyset\right\}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.4. (Dong et al. [5]) For a bipartite graph $G=(A, B ; E)$, if uvw is a path in $G^{\prime}$ with $d_{G^{\prime}}(u)=1$ and $d_{G^{\prime}}(v)=2$, then for any $k \geq 2$,

$$
\alpha(G, k)=\alpha(G+u v, k)+\alpha(G-\{u, v\}, k-1)+\alpha(G-\{u, v, w\}, k-1)
$$

Theorem 2.5. (Dong et al. [5]) For integers $p, q, s$ with $p \geq q \geq 3$ and $0 \leq s \leq$ $2 q-3$, and $G \in \mathcal{K}_{2}^{-s}(p, q)$,

$$
[G] \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{2}^{-s}(p, q)
$$

if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(i) $s \leq q-1$;
(ii) $s=q \geq 6$ and $p \geq 2$;
(iii) $p \geq q+4$;
(iv) $p \in\{q+3, q+1\}$ and $0 \leq s \leq 2 q-4$;
(v) $p=q+2$ and $\triangle\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq s+3-q$;
(vi) $p=q$ and $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)<2^{p-2}$.

## 3 Main Result

In [9], we proved that every graph in $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{-s}(p, q)$ is $\chi$-unique if $p \geq q \geq 6$ and $s=5$ or $s=6$. In [10], we showed that every graph in $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{-s}(p, q)$ is $\chi$-unique if $p>q=5$ and $s=5$. In [11], we proved that every graph in $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{-s}(p, q)$ is $\chi$-unique if $p>q=4$ and $s=5$. In this section, we shall prove that every graph in $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{-s}(p, q)$ is $\chi$-unique if $p \geq 6, q=4$ and $s=6$.

Let $G$ be any graph in $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{-6}(p, q)$, and $G^{\prime}=K(p, q)-G$. By construction method and Lemma 1.1, one can easily verify that there are 44 structures of $G^{\prime}$ ( $q=4$ and $G$ is 2 -connected), which are named as $G_{1}^{\prime}, G_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, G_{44}^{\prime}$ (see Table $1)$. We group the graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{44}$ according to their values of $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)$, which can be calculated by using Lemma 2.2 and these values are in column three of Table 1. Thus we have the following observations.
(i) $\quad \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)=8, \quad$ for $\mathrm{i}=1$;
(ii) $\quad \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)=9$, for $\mathrm{i}=2,3,4,5$;
(iii) $\quad \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)=10$, for $\mathrm{i}=6,7, \ldots, 11$;
(iv) $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)=11$, for $\mathrm{i}=12,13, \ldots, 17$;
(v) $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)=12$, for $\mathrm{i}=18,19, \ldots, 25$;
(vi) $\quad \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)=13, \quad$ for $\mathrm{i}=26,27,28$;
(vii) $\quad \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)=14, \quad$ for $\mathrm{i}=29,30$;
$\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)=15, \quad$ for $\mathrm{i}=31,32$;
(ix) $\quad \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)=17, \quad$ for $\mathrm{i}=33,34$;
(x) $\quad \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)=18, \quad$ for $\mathrm{i}=35,36,37$;
$\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)=19, \quad$ for $\mathrm{i}=38,39$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)=20, \quad \text { for } \mathrm{i}=40 ; \tag{xi}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)=21, \quad \text { for } \mathrm{i}=41 ; \tag{xii}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)=32, \quad \text { for } \mathrm{i}=42 ; \tag{xiii}
\end{equation*}
$$

(xv) $\quad \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)=33, \quad$ for $\mathrm{i}=43$;
(xvi $\quad \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)=63, \quad$ for $\mathrm{i}=44$.
We then group these graphs according to their $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)$. Hence we have the following classification of the graphs.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T}_{1}=\left\{G_{1}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{T}_{2}=\left\{G_{2}, G_{3}, G_{4}, G_{5}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{T}_{3}=\left\{G_{6}, G_{7}, \ldots, G_{11}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{T}_{4}=\left\{G_{12}, G_{13}, \ldots, G_{17}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{T}_{5}=\left\{G_{18}, G_{19}, \ldots, G_{25}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{T}_{6}=\left\{G_{26}, G_{27}, G_{28}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{I}_{7}=\left\{G_{29}, G_{30}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{T}_{8}=\left\{G_{31}, G_{32}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{T}_{9}=\left\{G_{33}, G_{34}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{T}_{10}=\left\{G_{35}, G_{36}, G_{37}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{T}_{11}=\left\{G_{38}, G_{39}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{T}_{12}=\left\{G_{40}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{T}_{13}=\left\{G_{41}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{T}_{14}=\left\{G_{42}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{T}_{15}=\left\{G_{43}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{T}_{16}=\left\{G_{44}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We also calculate the values of $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 4\right)$ by using Lemma 2.3 and we list them in column four of Table 1. We now present our main result in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Every graph in $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{-6}(p, q)$ with $p>q=4$ is $\chi$-unique if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(i) $p \geq 7$,
(ii) $p=6$ and $\triangle\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq 5$.

Proof Observe that for any $i, j$ with $1 \leq i<j \leq 16, \alpha^{\prime}(G, 3)<\alpha^{\prime}(H, 3)$ if $G \in \mathcal{T}_{i}$ and $H \in \mathcal{T}_{j}$. Thus by Lemma 2.1 and Equation (2.1), $\mathcal{T}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{j}$ $(1 \leq i<j \leq 16)$ are $\chi$-disjoint and since $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{-6}(p, 4)$ is $\chi$-closed under the conditions (iii) or (iv) of Theorem 2.5, then each $\mathcal{T}_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 16)$ is $\chi$-closed. Hence, for each $i$, to show that all graphs in $\mathcal{T}_{i}$ are $\chi$-unique, it suffices to show that for any two graphs, $G, H \in \mathcal{T}_{i}$, if $G \neq H$, then either $\alpha^{\prime}(G, 4) \neq \alpha^{\prime}(H, 4)$ or $\alpha(G, 5) \neq \alpha(H, 5)$. Note that $\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{12}, \mathcal{T}_{13}, \mathcal{T}_{14}, \mathcal{T}_{15}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{16}$ contains only one graph $G_{1}, G_{41}, G_{42}, G_{43}$ and $G_{44}$, respectively and hence $G_{1}, G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}, G_{43}$ and $G_{44}$ are $\chi$-unique. The remaining work is to compare every two graphs in $\mathcal{T}_{i}$
for $2 \leq i \leq 11$. Note that all graphs in $\mathcal{T}_{i}(2 \leq i \leq 11)$ are not considerable for the case $p=6$ since $\triangle\left(G^{\prime}\right)<5$. Thus, for all $\mathcal{T}_{i}(2 \leq i \leq 11)$, we only consider the case $p \geq 7$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad[1] \mathcal{T}_{2} \\
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{4}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{2}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[3 \cdot 2^{p-3}+3 \cdot 2^{q-2}+21\right]-\left[4 \cdot 2^{p-3}+5 \cdot 2^{q-3}+14\right] \\
& \quad=-2^{p-3}+2^{q-3}+7<0, \\
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{2}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{3}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[4 \cdot 2^{p-3}+5 \cdot 2^{q-3}+14\right]-\left[4 \cdot 2^{p-3}+5 \cdot 2^{q-3}+18\right] \\
& \quad=-4<0, \\
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{3}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{5}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[4 \cdot 2^{p-3}+5 \cdot 2^{q-3}+18\right]-\left[4 \cdot 2^{p-3}+5 \cdot 2^{q-3}+21\right] \\
& \quad=-3<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we can conclude that $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 4\right) \neq \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{j}, 4\right)$ for $2 \leq i<j \leq 5$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[2] \mathcal{T}_{3}} \\
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{11}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{7}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[7 \cdot 2^{p-4}+4 \cdot 2^{q-2}+16\right]-\left[5 \cdot 2^{p-3}+7 \cdot 2^{q-3}+18\right] \\
& \quad=-3 \cdot 2^{p-4}+2^{q-3}-2<0, \\
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{7}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{6}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[5 \cdot 2^{p-3}+7 \cdot 2^{q-3}+18\right]-\left[6 \cdot 2^{p-3}+6 \cdot 2^{q-3}+14\right] \\
& \quad=-2^{p-3}+2^{q-3}+4<0, \\
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{6}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{8}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[6 \cdot 2^{p-3}+6 \cdot 2^{q-3}+14\right]-\left[6 \cdot 2^{p-3}+6 \cdot 2^{q-3}+18\right]=-4<0, \\
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{8}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{9}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[6 \cdot 2^{p-3}+6 \cdot 2^{q-3}+18\right]-\left[6 \cdot 2^{p-3}+6 \cdot 2^{q-3}+18\right]=0, \\
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{8}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{10}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[6 \cdot 2^{p-3}+6 \cdot 2^{q-3}+18\right]-\left[6 \cdot 2^{p-3}+6 \cdot 2^{q-3}+19\right]=-1<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we can conclude that $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 4\right) \neq \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{j}, 4\right)$ for $6 \leq i<j \leq 12$ except for
the graphs $G_{8}$ and $G_{9}$. Since $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{8}, 4\right)=\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{9}, 4\right)$, we need to compare $\alpha\left(G_{8}, 5\right)$ and $\alpha\left(G_{9}, 5\right)$. By using Lemma 2.4, we can show that $\alpha\left(G_{8}, 5\right) \neq \alpha\left(G_{9}, 5\right)$ (see [12]).

## [3] $\mathcal{T}_{4}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{15}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{17}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[9 \cdot 2^{p-4}+5 \cdot 2^{q-2}+21\right]-\left[11 \cdot 2^{p-4}+9 \cdot 2^{q-3}+12\right] \\
& \quad=-2^{p-3}+2^{q-3}+9<0, \\
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{17}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{16}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[11 \cdot 2^{p-4}+9 \cdot 2^{q-3}+12\right]-\left[11 \cdot 2^{p-4}+9 \cdot 2^{q-3}+21\right]=-9<0, \\
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{16}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{14}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[11 \cdot 2^{p-4}+9 \cdot 2^{q-3}+21\right]-\left[7 \cdot 2^{p-3}+7 \cdot 2^{q-3}+11\right] \\
& \quad=-3 \cdot 2^{p-4}+2 \cdot 2^{q-3}+10<0, \\
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{14}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{12}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[7 \cdot 2^{p-3}+7 \cdot 2^{q-3}+11\right]-\left[7 \cdot 2^{p-3}+8 \cdot 2^{q-3}+15\right] \\
& \quad=-2^{q-3}-4<0, \\
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{12}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{13}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[7 \cdot 2^{p-3}+8 \cdot 2^{q-3}+15\right]-\left[7 \cdot 2^{q-3}+8 \cdot 2^{p-3}+15\right] \\
& \quad=-2^{p-3}+2^{q-3}<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we can conclude that $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 4\right) \neq \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{j}, 4\right)$ for $13 \leq i<j \leq 18$.
[4] $\mathcal{T}_{5}$ : We consider two cases $p=7$ and $p \geq 8$.
(4.1) Case 1: When $p=7$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{25}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{23}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[13 \cdot 2^{p-4}+11 \cdot 2^{q-3}+12\right]-\left[13 \cdot 2^{p-4}+11 \cdot 2^{q-3}+18\right]-6<0, \\
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{23}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{22}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[13 \cdot 2^{p-4}+11 \cdot 2^{q-3}+18\right]-\left[15 \cdot 2^{p-4}+10 \cdot 2^{q-3}+8\right] \\
& \quad=-2 \cdot 2^{p-4}+2^{q-3}+10<0, \\
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{22}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{21}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[15 \cdot 2^{p-4}+10 \cdot 2^{q-3}+8\right]-\left[13 \cdot 2^{p-4}+11 \cdot 2^{q-3}+24\right] \\
& \quad=-2 \cdot 2^{p-4}-2^{q-3}-16<0, \\
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{21}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{24}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[13 \cdot 2^{p-4}+11 \cdot 2^{q-3}+24\right]-\left[15 \cdot 2^{p-4}+10 \cdot 2^{q-3}+18\right] \\
& \quad=-2 \cdot 2^{p-4}+2^{q-3}+6<0, \\
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{24}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{18}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[15 \cdot 2^{p-4}+10 \cdot 2^{q-3}+18\right]-\left[8 \cdot 2^{p-3}+9 \cdot 2^{q-3}+17\right] \\
& \quad=-2^{p-4}+2^{q-3}+1<0, \\
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{18}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{20}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[8 \cdot 2^{p-3}+9 \cdot 2^{q-3}+17\right]-\left[9 \cdot 2^{p-3}+9 \cdot 2^{q-3}+12\right] \\
& \quad=-2^{p-3}+5<0, \\
& \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{20}, 4\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{19}, 4\right) \\
& \quad=\left[9 \cdot 2^{p-3}+9 \cdot 2^{q-3}+12\right]-\left[8 \cdot 2^{q-3}+9 \cdot 2^{p-3}+17\right] \\
& \quad=2^{q-3}-5<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{25}, 4\right)<\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{23}, 4\right)<\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{22}, 4\right)<\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{21}, 4\right)<\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{24}, 4\right)<$ $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{18}, 4\right)<\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{20}, 4\right)<\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{19}, 4\right)$.
(4.2) Case 2: When $p \geq 8$, we can easily show that $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{25}, 4\right)<$ $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{23}, 4\right)<\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{21}, 4\right)<\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{22}, 4\right)<\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{24}, 4\right)<\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{18}, 4\right)<\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{20}, 4\right)<$ $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{19}, 4\right)$.

Thus, we conclude that $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 4\right) \neq \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{j}, 4\right)$ for $18 \leq i<j \leq 25$.
Similarly, we can show that for any two graphs, $G, H \in \mathcal{T}_{i}(6 \leq i \leq 11)$, then $\alpha^{\prime}(G, 4) \neq \alpha^{\prime}(H, 4)$. For details, see [12]. Hence, the proof of the theorem is now completed.

In view of Theorem 3.1 and results in [9], we posed the following problem:

Problem. Study the chromaticity of any graph in $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{-6}(p, q)$ with $p>$ $q$ and $q=5,6$.
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TABLE 1 (1 of 6): Graphs in $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{-6}(p, 4), p \geq 6$

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Name of Graph, $G_{i}$ \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Graphs } G_{i}^{\prime} \\
\left(G_{i}^{\prime}=K_{p, 4}-G_{i}\right) \\
\left|A^{\prime}\right|=p,\left|B^{\prime}\right|=4
\end{gathered}
$$ \& $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)$ \& $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 4\right)-6\left(2^{p-2}+2^{q-2}-2\right)$ <br>
\hline $G_{9}$ \&  \& 10 \& $6 \cdot 2^{p-3}+6 \cdot 2^{q-3}+18$ <br>
\hline $G_{10}$ \&  \& 10 \& $$
6 \cdot 2^{p-3}+6 \cdot 2^{q-3}+19
$$ <br>
\hline $G_{11}$ \&  \& 10 \& $7 \cdot 2^{p-4}+4 \cdot 2^{q-2}+16$ <br>
\hline $G_{12}$ \&  \& 11 \& $7 \cdot 2^{p-3}+8 \cdot 2^{q-3}+15$ <br>
\hline $G_{13}$ \&  \& 11 \& $$
2 \cdot 7^{p-3}+8 \cdot 2^{q-3}+15
$$ <br>
\hline $G_{14}$ \&  \& 11 \& $7 \cdot 2^{p-3}+7 \cdot 2^{q-3}+11$ <br>
\hline $G_{15}$

$G_{16}$ \& | $A^{\prime}$ |
| :--- |
| $B^{\prime}$ | \& | 11 |
| :--- |
| 11 | \& \[

9 \cdot 2^{p-4}+5 \cdot 2^{q-2}+21
\]

$$
11 \cdot 2^{p-4}+9 \cdot 2^{q-3}+21
$$ <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE 1 (2 of 6): Graphs in $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{-6}(p, 4), p \geq 6$

| Name of Graph, $G_{i}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Graphs } G_{i}^{\prime} \\ \left(G_{i}^{\prime}=K_{p, 4}-G_{i}\right) \\ \left\|A^{\prime}\right\|=p,\left\|B^{\prime}\right\|=4 \end{gathered}$ | $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)$ | $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 4\right)-6\left(2^{p-2}+2^{q-2}-2\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G_{17}$ |  | 11 | $11 \cdot 2^{p-4}+9 \cdot 2^{q-3}+12$ |
| $G_{18}$ |  | 12 | $8 \cdot 2^{p-3}+9 \cdot 2^{q-3}+17$ |
| $G_{19}$ |  | 12 | $8 \cdot 2^{p-3}+9 \cdot 2^{q-3}+17$ |
| $G_{20}$ |  | 12 | $9 \cdot 2^{p-3}+9 \cdot 2^{q-3}+12$ |
| $G_{21}$ |  | 12 | $13 \cdot 2^{p-4}+11 \cdot 2^{q-3}+24$ |
| $G_{22}$ | $A^{\prime}$ $B^{\prime}$ | 12 | $15 \cdot 2^{p-4}+10 \cdot 2^{q-3}+8$ |
| $G_{23}$ | $A^{\prime}$ $B^{\prime}$ | 12 | $13 \cdot 2^{p-4}+11 \cdot 2^{q-3}+18$ |
| $G_{24}$ |  | $12$ | $15 \cdot 2^{p-4}+10 \cdot 2^{q-3}+18$ |

TABLE 1 (3 of 6): Graphs in $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{-6}(p, 4), p \geq 6$

| Name of Graph, $G_{i}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Graphs } G_{i}^{\prime} \\ \left(G_{i}^{\prime}=K_{p, 4}-G_{i}\right) \\ \left\|A^{\prime}\right\|=p,\left\|B^{\prime}\right\|=4 \end{gathered}$ | $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)$ | $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 4\right)-6\left(2^{p-2}+2^{q-2}-2\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G_{17}$ |  | 12 | $13 \cdot 2^{p-4}+11 \cdot 2^{q-3}+12$ |
| $G_{18}$ | $A^{\prime}$ | 13 | $17 \cdot 2^{p-4}+12 \cdot 2^{q-3}+15$ |
| $G_{27}$ |  | 13 | $17 \cdot 2^{p-4}+12 \cdot 2^{q-3}+9$ |
| $G_{28}$ | $A^{\prime}$ <br> $B^{\prime}$ | 13 | $19 \cdot 2^{p-4}+11 \cdot 2^{q-3}+4$ |
| $G_{29}$ | $A^{\prime}$ <br> $B^{\prime}$ | 14 | $9 \cdot 2^{p-3}+11 \cdot 2^{q-3}+6$ |
| $G_{30}$ |  | 14 | $14 \cdot 2^{p-4}+8 \cdot 2^{q-2}+33$ |
| $G_{31}$ |  | 15 | $18 \cdot 2^{p-4}+17 \cdot 2^{q-3}+15$ |
| $G_{32}$ | $A^{\prime}$ $B^{\prime}$ | 15 | $23 \cdot 2^{p-4}+14 \cdot 2^{q-3}+1$ |

TABLE 1 (4 of 6): Graphs in $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{-6}(p, 4), p \geq 6$

| Name of Graph, $G_{i}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Graphs } G_{i}^{\prime} \\ \left(G_{i}^{\prime}=K_{p, 4}-G_{i}\right) \\ \left\|A^{\prime}\right\|=p,\left\|B^{\prime}\right\|=4 \end{gathered}$ | $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)$ | $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 4\right)-6\left(2^{p-2}+2^{q-2}-2\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G_{33}$ |  | 17 | $24 \cdot 2^{p-4}+19 \cdot 2^{q-3}-1$ |
| $G_{34}$ |  | 17 | $33 \cdot 2^{p-5}+11 \cdot 2^{q-3}+9$ |
| $G_{35}$ |  | 18 | $37 \cdot 2^{p-5}+12 \cdot 2^{q-2}+21$ |
| $G_{36}$ | $A^{\prime}$ <br> $B^{\prime}$ | 18 | $12 \cdot 2^{p-2}+37 \cdot 2^{q-5}+21$ |
| $G_{37}$ | $A^{\prime}$ | 18 | $41 \cdot 2^{p-5}+23 \cdot 2^{q-3}$ |
| $G_{38}$ | $A^{\prime}$ <br> $B^{\prime}$ | 19 | $45 \cdot 2^{p-5}+25 \cdot 2^{q-3}+3$ |
| $G_{39}$ |  | 19 | $49 \cdot 2^{p-5}+25 \cdot 2^{q-3}+3$ |
| $G_{40}$ | B | $20$ | $49 \cdot 2^{p-4}+17 \cdot 2^{q-2}-22$ |

TABLE 1 (5 of 6): Graphs in $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{-6}(p, 4), p \geq 6$

| Name of <br> Graph, <br> $G_{i}$ | Graphs $G_{i}^{\prime}$ <br> $\left(G_{i}^{\prime}=K_{p, 4}-G_{i}\right)$ <br> $\left\|A^{\prime}\right\|=p,\left\|B^{\prime}\right\|=4$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G_{41}$ |  | $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 3\right)$ | $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, 4\right)-6\left(2^{p-2}+2^{q-2}-2\right)$ |  |
| $G_{42}$ |  | $B^{\prime}$ | 21 | $? ? \cdot 2^{p-4}+? \cdot 2^{q-3}+? ? ?$ |
| $G_{44}$ |  | $A^{\prime}$ |  |  |

TABLE 1 (6 of 6): Graphs in $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{-6}(p, 4), p \geq 6$
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