



Some Remarks on a Common Fixed Point Theorem in Fuzzy Metric Spaces

D. Mihet

Abstract : We correct some results in a recent paper of this journal.

Keywords : Fuzzy metric space; Common fixed point.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 54E70; Secondary 54H25.

1 Main Results

The aim of this paper is to correct some results in [2], Section 3. The terminology and the notations are those in [2].

Theorem 3.2 in the quoted paper states as follows:

Let A, B, S and T be mappings from a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ into itself satisfying the conditions:

- (1) $A(X) \subset T(X)$ and $B(X) \subset S(X)$
- (2) $F_{Ax,By}(t) \geq r(F_{Sx,Ty}(t))$ for all x, y in X , where $r : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous function such that $r(t) > t$ for each $0 < t < 1$, $r(0) = 0$ and $r(1) = 1$.
- (3) If there exist sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$ and $t > 0$, then $F_{x_n, y_n}(t) \rightarrow F_{x, y}(t)$.

Suppose that

- (4) One of A, B, S and T is continuous and
- (5) The pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are R -weakly commuting on X .

Then the mappings A, B, T, S have a unique common fixed point.

We give an example to show that the above result is not true.

Example 1.1. Let $X = \{0, 1\}$, and

$$M(0, 0, t) = M(1, 1, t) = 1 \quad (t > 0)$$

$$M(0, 1, t) = M(1, 0, t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \leq 1 \\ 1, & \text{if } t > 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $A, B, S, T : X \rightarrow X, Ax = Bx = \begin{cases} 0, & x=1 \\ 1, & x=0, \end{cases} Sx = Tx = \begin{cases} 0, & x=0 \\ 1, & x=1, \end{cases}$
and

$$r : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1], r(t) = \sqrt{t}.$$

Obviously, the mapping r is continuous and $r(t) > t \forall t \in (0, 1)$.

Next, it is immediate that the space $(X, M, *)$ is a fuzzy metric space under the t -norm Min . Since a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to $x \in X$ iff there is n_0 such that $x_n = x \forall n \geq n_0$, it follows that $(X, M, *)$ is complete and if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, y_n, t) = M(x, y, t)$.

Also, the mappings A, B, T, S are continuous, $A(X) = B(X) = T(X) = S(X) = X$ and A, S and B, T are R-weakly commuting, for $AS = SA = A$.

Finally, since $M(0, 1, t) \in \{0, 1\} \forall t > 0$,

$$r(M(Ax, By, t)) \leq M(Sx, Ty, t) \quad (x, y \in X, t > 0)$$

reduces to $M(x, y, t) = r(M(x, y, t)) \quad (x, y \in \{0, 1\}, t > 0)$.

Thus, all the conditions of Theorem 3.2 in [2] are satisfied. Nevertheless, A and S have not any common fixed point.

This happens because the relation $r(t) > t$ (see the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [2]) is not always true, for the strict inequality $r(t) > t$ does not hold if $t = 0$.

We can correct this result by adding to the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 the condition $M(x, y, t) > 0 \forall t > 0$. This condition also ensures the uniqueness of the common fixed point, see [3] (we note that the inequality $r(M(z, z', t)) > M(z, z', t)$ in the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [2] is not true if $M(z, z', t) = 0$).

We also note that Example 3.3 in [2] is not correct, because the considered fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$, where

$$X = [2, 20], M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + |x - y|} \quad (t > 0), a * b = ab$$

is not complete (G-complete, cf. the terminology in [1] and [4]).

Example 1.2. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $2^k \leq m < 2^{k+1}$, define

$$x_m = 3 + \cos \frac{2\pi(m - 2^k)}{2^k}.$$

Then $x_{2^n} = 4$ and $x_{3 \cdot 2^n} = 3 + \cos \frac{2\pi(3 \cdot 2^n - 2^{n+1})}{2^{n+1}} = 3 + \cos \pi = 2 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$, hence $\{x_n\}$ is not convergent.

On the other hand, if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}$, then either $2^k \leq n + 1 < 2^{k+1}$ or $n + 1 = 2^{k+1}$. Correspondingly, $x_{n+1} - x_n$ is either

$$\cos \frac{2\pi(n + 1 - 2^k)}{2^k} - \cos \frac{2\pi(n - 2^k)}{2^k}$$

or

$$\cos \frac{2\pi(2^{k+1} - 2^{k+1})}{2^{k+1}} - \cos \frac{2\pi(2^{k+1} - 1 - 2^k)}{2^k} = 1 - \cos \frac{2\pi(2^k - 1)}{2^k}.$$

As $|\cos\alpha - \cos\beta| \leq 2|\sin\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}|$, in the first case $|x_{n+1} - x_n| \leq 2|\sin\frac{\pi}{2^k}|$, hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_{n+1} - x_n| = 0$. In the second case the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_{n+1} - x_n|$ is obviously 0. Consequently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t}{t + |x_{n+1} - x_n|} = 1$$

for all $t > 0$, concluding that $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy.

To exemplify the corrected version of Theorem 3.2, instead of Example 3.3 in [2] one may consider the space (X, M, Min) , where

$$X = \{1, 1/2, \dots, 1/n, \dots\} \cup \{0\},$$

$$M(x, y, 0) = 0, \quad M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + |x - y|} \quad (t > 0)$$

and the mappings $r : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$,

$$r(t) = \sqrt{t},$$

$A, B, T, S : X \rightarrow X$,

$$Ax = Bx = 1 \quad (x \in X),$$

$Sx = Tx = 1$ if x is rational, $Sx = Tx = 0$ if x is irrational.

Then (see [5], Example) the conditions of Theorem 3.2 in [2], as well as the additional one $M(x, y, t) > 0 \forall t > 0$ are satisfied (the common fixed point of A, B, S, T is $x = 1$).

References

- [1] V. Gregori, A. Sapena, On fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 125 (2002), 245–252.
- [2] S. Kumar, Common Fixed Point Theorem for Minimal Commutativity Type Mappings in Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *Thai Journal of Mathematics* Volume 6 (2) (2008), 239- 270.
- [3] D. Mihet, A note on a common fixed point theorem in probabilistic metric spaces, *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 125 (1–2) (2009), 127–130.
- [4] R. Vasuki, P. Veeramani, Fixed point theorems and Cauchy sequences in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 135 (2003), 409-413.
- [5] R. Vasuki, Common fixed points for R-weakly commuting maps in fuzzy metric spaces, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 30 (1999), 419-423.

(Received 17 December 2008)

Dorel Mihet
West University of Timisoara,
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Bv. V. Parvan 4, 300223 Timisoara, Romania
e-mail : mihet@math.uvt.ro