



Pseudo-Differential Operators associated with Bessel Type Operators - I

B. B. Waphare

Abstract : In this paper Bessel type differential operator $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$, the pseudo differential type operators are defined and the symbol classes H^m and H_0^m are introduced. It is established that Pseudo-differential type operator associated with symbols belonging to these classes are continuous linear mappings of the Zemanian space $H_{\alpha,\beta}$ into itself. Integral representation for Pseudo-differential type operator $h_{\alpha,\beta,a}$ is obtained. Finally it is shown that Pseudo-differential type operators satisfy L^1 -norm inequality.

Keywords : Pseudo-Differential type operator; Bessel type operator; symbol class; integral representation; Hankel type convolution; Hankel type transformation; Sobolev type space.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : Primary 44A15; Secondary 46F12.

1 Introduction

The Hankel type transformation,

$$(h_{\alpha,\beta}\phi)(x) = \int_0^\infty (xy)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy)\phi(y)dy \quad (1.1)$$

is extended by Zemanian [7] to distributions belonging to $H'_{\alpha,\beta}$, the dual of the function space $H_{\alpha,\beta}$ which consists of all complex valued infinitely differentiable functions defined on $I = (0, \infty)$ satisfying,

$$\rho_{m,k}^{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi) = \sup_{x \in I} |x^m (x^{-1}D_x)^k (x^{2\beta-1}\phi(x))| < \infty \quad (1.2)$$

for every $m, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Zaidman [5], [6] has used Schwartz's theory of the Fourier transform of distributions in $\mathfrak{S}'(R^n)$ in the study of Pseudo-differential operators. But Zemanian's theory of the Hankel type transform has not been used so far to develop a theory of Pseudo-differential operators associated with Bessel type operators as a special case. The purpose of the present paper is to change this Scenario (situation)

2 Notations and Terminology

We define the differential operators $P_{\alpha,\beta}$, $Q_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $S_{\alpha,\beta}$ as,

$$P_{\alpha,\beta} = P_{\alpha,\beta,x} = x^{2\alpha} D_x x^{2\beta-1} \quad (2.1)$$

$$Q_{\alpha,\beta,x} = x^{2\beta-1} D_x x^{2\alpha} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} = \Delta_{\alpha,\beta,x} &= Q_{\alpha,\beta} P_{\alpha,\beta} = x^{2\beta-1} D_x x^{4\alpha} D_x x^{2\beta-1} \\ &= (2\beta-1)(4\alpha+2\beta-2) x^{4(\alpha+\beta-1)} \\ &+ 2(2\alpha+2\beta-1) x^{4\alpha+4\beta-3} D_x + x^{2(2\alpha+2\beta-1)} D_x^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\text{where, } D_x = \frac{d}{dx}$$

Following [7, p. 139] and [2, p. 948], we can establish the following relations for any $\phi \in H_{\alpha,\beta}$

$$h_{\alpha,\beta,1}(-x\phi) = P_{\alpha,\beta} h_{\alpha,\beta} \phi \quad (2.4)$$

$$h_{\alpha,\beta,1}(P_{\alpha,\beta} \phi) = -y h_{\alpha,\beta} \phi \quad (2.5)$$

$$h_{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \phi) = -y^2 h_{\alpha,\beta} \phi \quad (2.6)$$

$$(x^{-1}D)^k (x^{2\beta-1}\theta\phi) = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} (x^{-1}D_x)^i \theta (x^{-1}D_x)^{k-i} (x^{2\beta-1}\phi) \quad (2.7)$$

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,x}^r \phi(x) = \sum_{j=0}^r b_j x^{2j+2\alpha} (x^{-1}D_x)^{r+j} (x^{2\beta-1}\phi(x)) , \quad (2.8)$$

where b_j are constants depending only on $\alpha - \beta$.

We also need a lemma due to Haimo [1] for the Hankel type convolution transform.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\Delta(x, y, z)$ be the area of a triangle with sides x, y, z if such a triangle exists for fixed $(a - b) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$, set,*

$$D(x, y, z) = \frac{2^{3(a-b)-1} (\Gamma(a-b+1))^2}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(a-b+\frac{1}{2})} (xyz)^{-2(a-b)} [\Delta(x, y, z)]^{2(a-b)-1} \quad (2.9)$$

if Δ exists and zero otherwise. We note that $D(x, y, z) \geq 0$ and that it is symmetric in x, y, z . Further we have the following basic formula:

$$\int_0^\infty i(zt) D(x, y, z) d\mu(z) = i(xt) i(yt) \quad (2.10)$$

$$\text{where, } d\mu(x) = \frac{x^{2(a-b)+1}}{2^{a-b}\Gamma(a-b+1)} dx \quad (2.11)$$

$$i(x) = 2^{a-b} \Gamma(a-b+1) x^{-(a-b)} J_{a-b}(x) \cdot \quad (2.12)$$

Let $f \in L^1(0, \infty)$ Then its associated function $f(x, y)$ is defined by,

$$f(x, y) = \int_0^\infty f(z) D(x, y, z) d\mu(z) \quad 0 < x, y < \infty \cdot \quad (2.13)$$

Lemma 2.2. Let f and g be functions of $L^1(0, \infty)$ and let,

$$f \# g(x) = \int_0^\infty f(x, y) g(y) d\mu(y) \quad 0 < x < \infty \cdot \quad (2.14)$$

Then the integral defining $f \# g(x)$ converges for almost all x , $0 < x < \infty$, and

$$\|f \# g\|_{L^1} \leq \|f\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^1} \cdot \quad (2.15)$$

3 The Pseudo-Differential type Operator $h_{\alpha, \beta, a}$

Definition 3.1. Let $a(x, y)$ be a complex valued function belonging to the space $C^\infty(I \times I)$, where $I = (0, \infty)$ and let its derivatives satisfy certain growth conditions such as (3.5). Then the Pseudo-differential type operator $h_{\alpha, \beta, a}$ associated with the symbol $a(x, y)$ is defined by,

$$(h_{\alpha, \beta, a} u)(x) = \int_0^\infty (xy)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy) a(x, y) U_{\alpha, \beta}(y) dy \quad (3.1)$$

where,

$$U_{\alpha, \beta}(y) = (h_{\alpha, \beta} u)(y) = \int_0^\infty (xy)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy) u(x) dx; \quad (\alpha - \beta) \geq -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \quad (3.2)$$

In case $a(x, y) = b(y)$, then clearly we have,

$$(h_{\alpha, \beta, a} u)(x) = h_{\alpha, \beta}[b(y) U_{\alpha, \beta}(y)] \cdot$$

If $a(x, y)$ possesses a power series expansion in $(-y^2)$ with variable coefficients depending on x , that is,

$$a(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^N a_k(x) (-y^2)^k. \tag{3.3}$$

Then using formula (2.6) one can show that

$$(h_{\alpha, \beta, a} u)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N a_k(x) (\Delta_{\alpha, \beta})^k u(x) \tag{3.4}$$

so that the Pseudo-differential type operator associated with the symbol (3.3) could be a finite order differential operator involving $\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}$.

Definition 3.2. *The function $a(x, y) : C^\infty(I \times I) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to class H^m if and only if for every $q \in \mathbb{N}_0, i \in \mathbb{N}_0, p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exists $K_{p,i,m,q} > 0$ such that,*

$$(1+x)^q \left| (x^{-1} D_x)^i (y^{-1} D_y)^p a(x, y) \right| \leq K_{p,i,m,q} (1+y)^{m-p} \tag{3.5}$$

where $D_y = \frac{d}{dy}$ and m is a fixed real number.

If $a(x, y)$ satisfies (3.5) with $q = 0$, then the symbol class will be denoted by H_0^m ; clearly $H^m \subset H_0^m$. One can easily show that $a(x, y) = (1+x^2)^{-n} (1+y^2)^{\frac{m}{2}}$ $n > 0, m \in \mathbb{R}$ is an element of H_0^m , but it does not belong to H^m .

Nevertheless $a(x, y) = e^{-x^2} (1+y^2)^{\frac{m}{2}}$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$ belongs to H^m .

Theorem 3.3. *Let the symbol $a(x, y) \in H_0^m$ (or H^m). Then for $(\alpha - \beta) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$, the pseudo-differential type operator $h_{\alpha, \beta, a}$ is a continuous linear mapping of $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ into itself.*

Proof. Let $\phi(y) = (h_{\alpha, \beta, a} u)(y)$, $u \in H_{\alpha, \beta}(I)$. Then using formulae (2.4), (2.5) and Zemanian's technique, [6, p. 141], we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & (P_{\alpha, \beta, k-1} \dots P_{\alpha, \beta} \phi)(y) = \\ & \sum_{r=0}^k C_r \int_0^\infty y^{r+\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} (y^{-1} D_y)^r a(x, y) (-x)^{k-r} u(x) J_{\alpha-\beta+k-r}(xy) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where C_r are certain positive real numbers.

Set $a_r(x, y) = (y^{-1} D_y)^r a(x, y)$

Now using formula (2.7) and induction, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} & (-y)^n (P_{\alpha, \beta, k-1} \dots P_{\alpha, \beta} \phi)(y) \\ & = \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^{k-r} C_r \int_0^\infty y^{r+\frac{1}{2}} x^{\alpha-\beta+k+n-r+1} \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} (x^{-1} D_x)^i \\ & \times a_r(x, y) (x^{-1} D_x)^{n-i} (x^{2\beta-1} u(x)) J_{\alpha-\beta+k+n-r}(xy) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\alpha - \beta + k - r = \lambda$ and using formula (2.1), we can obtain,

$$(-1)^n y^n (y^{-1} D_y)^k (y^{2\beta-1} \phi(y)) = \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^{k-r} C_r \int_0^\infty x^{2\lambda+n+1} \cdot \\ \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} (x^{-1} D_x)^i a_r(x, y) (x^{-1} D_x)^{n-i} (x^{2\beta-1} u(x)) J_{\lambda+n}(xy) (xy)^{-\lambda} dx .$$

Now setting $n = t + s$ where $s, t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $s \geq m$, and $n = t$ in turn, in the above expression and using (3.5) with $q = 0$ and assumption $(\alpha - \beta) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$, we can estimate the above expression in absolute value as follows:

There exists a constant $K_{m,\nu,r}$ such that,

$$(1 + y^s) |y^t (y^{-1} D_y)^k y^{2\beta-1} \phi(y)| \\ \leq \sum_{r=0}^k \int_0^\infty 2^m (1 + y^m) (1 + y)^{-p} \cdot \\ \left[(1 + x)^{2\lambda+t+s+1} \sum_{i=0}^{t+s} K_{m,\nu,r} \binom{t+s}{i} (x^{-1} D_x)^{t+s-i} (x^{2\beta-1} u(x)) \right. \\ \left. + (1 + x)^{2\lambda+t+1} \sum_{i=0}^t K_{m,\nu,r} \binom{t}{i} (x^{-1} D_x)^{t-i} (x^{2\beta-1} u(x)) \right] dx$$

$$\text{Thus, } |y^t (y^{-1} D_y)^k y^{2\beta-1} \phi(y)| \leq K \sum_{r=0}^k \int_0^\infty 2^m (1 + y^m) (1 + y^s)^{-1} \cdot \\ \left[(1 + x)^{2\lambda+t+s+1} \sum_{i=0}^{t+s} \binom{t+s}{i} (x^{-1} D_x)^{t+s-i} (x^{2\beta-1} u(x)) \right. \\ \left. + (1 + x)^{2\lambda+t+1} \sum_{i=0}^t \binom{t}{i} (x^{-1} D_x)^{t-i} (x^{2\beta-1} u(x)) \right] dx$$

Now, we can choose a non-negative integer N such that $N > 2(\alpha - \beta + k) + t + s + 3$. Then using the fact that $(1 + y^m)/(1 + y^s) \leq 2$ for $y \geq 0$, $s \geq m$, we obtain,

$$|y^t (y^{-1} D_y)^k y^{2\beta-1} \phi(y)| \leq K' \sum_{r=0}^k 2^{m+1} \int_0^\infty (1 + x)^{N-2} \cdot \\ \left[\sum_{i=0}^{t+s} \binom{t+s}{i} |(x^{-1} D_x)^{t+s-i} x^{2\beta-1} u(x)| + \sum_{i=0}^t \binom{t}{i} |(x^{-1} D_x)^{t-i} x^{2\beta-1} u(x)| \right] dx \\ \leq K'' \sum_{i=0}^k \left[\sum_{i=0}^{t+s} \binom{t+s}{i} \sum_{j=0}^N \binom{N}{j} \rho_{j,t+s-i}^{\alpha,\beta}(u) + \sum_{i=0}^t \binom{t}{i} \sum_{j=0}^N \binom{N}{j} P_{j,t-i}^{\alpha,\beta}(u) \right] .$$

Therefore by using (1.2), we have,

$$\rho_{t,k}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) \leq K'' \sum_{r=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^N \binom{N}{j} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{t+s} \binom{t+s}{i} \rho_{j,t+s-i}^{\alpha,\beta}(u) + \sum_{i=0}^t \binom{t}{i} \rho_{j,t-i}^{\alpha,\beta}(u) \right] \quad (3.6)$$

where K'' is a positive constant. The continuity of $h_{\alpha,\beta,a}$ follows from (3.6) and hence the proof is complete. \square

4 Integral Representation for $h_{\alpha,\beta,a}$

The function $a_\eta(y)$, associated with the symbol $a(x, y)$ and defined by,

$$a_\eta(y) = \int_0^\infty (xy)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy) [(x\eta)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x\eta) a(x, \eta)] dx \quad (4.1)$$

will play a fundamental role in our investigation. An estimate for $a_\eta(y)$ is given by the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1. *Let the symbol $a(x, y) \in H^m$. Then the function $a_\eta(y)$ defined by (4.1) satisfies the inequality,*

$|a_\eta(y)| \leq A_{\alpha,\beta,m,r,q} (1+\eta)^{2\alpha+m+4r} (1+y)^{2\alpha} (1+y^{2r})^{-1}$ where $A_{\alpha,\beta,m,r,q}$ is a positive constant.

Proof. For $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, using formula (2.6), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} (-y^2)^r a_\eta(y) &= \int_0^\infty (xy)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy) (\Delta_{\alpha,\beta})^r [(x\eta)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x\eta) a(x, \eta)] dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty (xy)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy) \sum_{j=0}^r b_j x^{2j+2\alpha} (x^{-1} D_x)^{r+j} x^{2\beta-1} \\ &\quad \times [(x\eta)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x\eta) a(x, \eta)] dx . \end{aligned}$$

Using formula (2.7) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} (-y^2)^r a_\eta(y) &= \int_0^\infty (xy)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy) \sum_{j=0}^r b_j x^{2j+2\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{r+j} \binom{r+j}{i} \\ &\quad \times (x^{-1} D_x)^i a(x, \eta) (x^{-1} D_x)^{r+j-i} \left(x^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x\eta) \right) \eta^{\alpha+\beta} dx . \end{aligned}$$

Now using the formula,

$$(x^{-1} D_x)^m x^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x\eta) = (-\eta)^m x^{-(\alpha-\beta)-m} J_{\alpha-\beta+m}(x\eta) .$$

We can obtain,

$$|(-y^2)^r a_\eta(y)| \leq \int_0^\infty \left| (xy)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy) \sum_{j=0}^r b_j x^{2j+2\alpha} \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \sum_{i=0}^{r+j} \binom{r+j}{i} (x^{-1} D_x)^i a(x, \eta) \eta^{2r+2j-2i+2\alpha} (x\eta)^{-(\alpha-\beta)-r-j-i} J_{\alpha-\beta+r+j-i}(x\eta) \Big| dx \\
& \leq y^{2\alpha} \int_0^\infty x^{2\alpha} \left| (xy)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy) \right| \\
& \quad \times \left| \sum_{j=0}^r b_j x^{2j+2\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{r+j} \binom{r+j}{i} (x^{-1} D_x)^i a(x, \eta) \eta^{2r+2j-2i+2\alpha} \right| \\
& \quad \times \left| (x\eta)^{-(\alpha-\beta)-r-j-i} J_{\alpha-\beta+r+j-i}(x\eta) \right| dx \\
& \leq B_{\alpha,\beta} y^{2\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{i=0}^{r+j} \binom{r+j}{i} D' \eta^{2r+2j-2i+2\alpha} (1+\eta)^m \int_0^\infty x^{2(\alpha-\beta)+1+2j} (1+x)^{-q} dx \\
& \leq B_{\alpha,\beta} y^{2\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{i=0}^{r+j} \binom{r+j}{i} D' \eta^{2r+2j-2i+2\alpha} (1+\eta)^m \\
& \quad \times B(2(\alpha-\beta) + 2j + 2, q - 2(\alpha-\beta) - 2j - 2); \\
& \text{for } q > 2(\alpha - \beta + j + 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore there exists a constant $A_{\alpha,\beta,m,r,q}$ such that,
 $|a_\eta(y)| \leq A_{\alpha,\beta,m,r,q} (1+\eta)^{m+4r+2\alpha} (1+y)^{2\alpha} (1+y^{2r})^{-1}$, for every $r > 0$.

This completes the proof. \square

Now we are ready to obtain an integral representation for the Pseudo-differential type operator $h_{\alpha,\beta,a}$ as the following theorem:

Theorem 4.2. *For any symbol $a(x, y) \in H^m$, the associated operator $h_{\alpha,\beta,a}$ can be represented by*

$$(h_{\alpha,\beta,a} u)(x) = \int_0^\infty (xy)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy) \left[\int_0^\infty a_\eta(y) U_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta) \right] dy, u \in H_{\alpha,\beta}(I), \quad (4.2)$$

where $U_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta) = (h_{\alpha,\beta} u)(\eta)$ and all involved integrals are convergent.

Proof. We have,

$$a_\eta(y) = \int_0^\infty (xy)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy) [(x\eta)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x\eta) a(x, \eta)] dx$$

Now by inversion, formula, we have,

$$\int_0^\infty a_\eta(y) (xy)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy) dy = (x\eta)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x\eta) a(x, \eta)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (h_{\alpha,\beta,a} u)(x) &= \int_0^\infty (x\eta)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x\eta) a(x,\eta) U_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty U_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta) d\eta \int_0^\infty a_\eta(y) (xy)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy) dy \\ &= \int_0^\infty (xy)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy) dy \int_0^\infty U_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta) a_\eta(y) d\eta . \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.1) for $a_\eta(y)$, the above change in the order of integration can be justified and the existence of the last integral can be proved.

Note also that as $U_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta) \in H_{\alpha,\beta}(I)$, we have,

$$|U_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta)| \leq C \eta^{2\alpha} (1+\eta)^{-\ell}, \quad \text{for all } \ell > 0 .$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |(h_{\alpha,\beta,a} u)(x)| &\leq \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (xy)^{2\alpha} \left| (xy)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy) \right| \\ &\times A_{\alpha,\beta,m,r,q} (1+y)^{2\alpha} (1+y^{2r})^{-1} \times (1+\eta)^{m+4r+2\alpha} C \eta^{2\alpha} (1+\eta)^{-\ell} d\eta dy \\ &\leq D'_{\alpha,\beta,m,r,q} x^{2\alpha} \int_0^\infty (1+y)^{2(\alpha-\beta)+1} (1+y^{2r})^{-1} dy \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty (1+\eta)^{2(\alpha-\beta)+m+4r-\ell+1} d\eta \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\alpha - \beta) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$, and ℓ and r can be chosen sufficiently large, the above integrals are convergent. This completes the proof. \square

5 An L^1 - Norm Inequality

In this section we shall need the following

Definition 5.1. (Sobolev type space) The space $G^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, is defined to be the set of all those elements $u \in H_{\alpha,\beta}(I)$, which satisfy,

$$\|u\|_{G^s} = \|\eta^{s+2\beta-1} h_{\alpha,\beta}(u)\|_{L^1} < \infty . \quad (5.1)$$

Lemma 5.2. For $(\alpha - \beta) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exists a constant $C_{r,m,q} > 0$ such that,

$$|A_\eta(y)| \leq C_{r,m,q} (1+\eta)^m y^{2\alpha} (1+y^{2r})^{-1} , \quad (5.2)$$

$$\text{where, } A_\eta(y) = h_{\alpha,\beta}(x^{2\alpha} a(x,\eta)(y)) . \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we can obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} (-y^2)^r A_\eta(y) &= \int_0^\infty (xy)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy) (\Delta_{\alpha,\beta})^r [x^{2\alpha} a(x, \eta)] dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty (xy)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy) \sum_{j=0}^r b_j x^{2j+2\alpha} (x^{-1} D_x)^{r+j} a(x, \eta) dx . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |(-y^2)^r A_\eta(y)| &\leq \int_0^\infty |(xy)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy)| \sum_{j=0}^r |b_j| x^{2j+2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times D_{r+j,m,q} (1+\eta)^m (1+x)^{-q} dx \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty y^{2\alpha} x^{2\alpha} |(xy)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy)| \sum_{j=0}^r |b_j| x^{2j+2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times D_{r+j,m,q} (1+\eta)^m (1+x)^{-q} dx \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^r y^{2\alpha} B_{j,m,q} (1+\eta)^m \int_0^\infty (1+x)^{2(\alpha-\beta)+2j+1-q} dx . \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $q > 2(\alpha - \beta + r + 1)$, we can obtain,

$$|A_\eta(y)| \leq C_{r,m,q} (1+\eta)^m (1+y^{2r})^{-1} y^{2\alpha} ,$$

where $C_{r,m,q}$ is a positive constant. Thus the proof is complete. \square

Now we prove our main theorem.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $(\alpha - \beta) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$. Then for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that,*

$$\|h_{\alpha,\beta,a}(u)\|_{G^0} \leq C \sum_{\ell=0}^m \|u\|_{G^\ell} \quad u \in H_{\alpha,\beta}(I) . \quad (5.4)$$

Proof. From Theorem 4.2, equation (4.1) and the relation (2.10) we have,

$$\int_0^\infty (xy)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy) (h_{\alpha,\beta,a} u)(x) dx = \int_0^\infty a_\eta(y) U_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta) d\eta$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty y^{2\beta-1} (xy)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(xy) (h_{\alpha,\beta,a} u)(x) dx = \\ &A \int_0^\infty \eta^{2\alpha} U_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta) d\eta \int_0^\infty z^{2\alpha} D(\eta, y, z) dz \cdot \int_0^\infty x^{2\alpha} a(x, \eta) (zx)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(zx) dx , \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where, $A = \frac{1}{[2^{\alpha-\beta}\Gamma(\alpha-\beta+1)]^2}$

An application of the estimate (5.2) to (5.5) yields,

$$\begin{aligned} & |y^{2\beta-1} h_{\alpha,\beta} (h_{\alpha,\beta,a} u)(y)| \\ & \leq C_{r,m,q} A \int_0^\infty (1+\eta)^m \eta^{2\alpha} U_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta) d\eta \cdot \int_0^\infty z^{2(\alpha-\beta)+1} (1+z^{2r})^{-1} D(\eta, y, z) dz \quad (5.6) \\ & \leq D_{\alpha,\beta,m,r,q} \sum_{\ell=0}^m \binom{m}{\ell} \int_0^\infty \eta^{\ell+2\alpha} U_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta) d\eta \cdot \int_0^\infty (1+z^{2r})^{-1} D(\eta, y, z) z^{2(\alpha-\beta)+1} 2^{-(\alpha-\beta)} \\ & \quad \times (\Gamma(\alpha-\beta+1))^{-1} dz . \end{aligned}$$

Set $f(z) = (1+z^{2r})^{-1} \in L^1(0, \infty)$; for $r > 0$, and

$g(\eta) = 2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(\alpha-\beta+1) \eta^{\ell+2\beta-1} U_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta) \in L^1(0, \infty)$, for all $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$

Thus according to (2.13) and (2.14) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\eta, y) &= \int_0^\infty f(z) D(\eta, y, z) z^{2(\alpha-\beta)+1} 2^{-(\alpha-\beta)} (\Gamma(\alpha-\beta+1))^{-1} dz \\ &\text{and} \\ (f \# g)(y) &= \int_0^\infty f(\eta, y) g(\eta) \eta^{2(\alpha-\beta)+1} 2^{-(\alpha-\beta)} (\Gamma(\alpha-\beta+1))^{-1} d\eta \end{aligned}$$

Now if we apply (2.15) to (5.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| y^{2\beta-1} h_{\alpha,\beta} (h_{\alpha,\beta,a} u)(y) \right\|_{L^1} \\ & \leq D_{\alpha,\beta,r,m,q} \sum_{\ell=0}^m \binom{m}{\ell} \left\| \eta^{\ell+2\beta-1} U_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta) \right\|_{L^1} \cdot \left\| (1+z^{2r})^{-1} \right\|_{L^1} \\ & \leq C \sum_{\ell=0}^m \binom{m}{\ell} \left\| \eta^{\ell+2\beta-1} h_{\alpha,\beta} u(\eta) \right\|_{L^1} \quad (5.7) \end{aligned}$$

Now inequality (5.4) follows from the inequality (5.7).

This completes the proof. \square

Conclusions

1. If we take $\alpha = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mu}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\mu}{2}$ in (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain respectively,

$$P_\mu = P_{\mu,x} = x^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} D_x x^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} ,$$

$$Q_\mu = Q_{\mu,x} = x^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} D_x x^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$\Delta_\mu = \Delta_{\mu,x} = Q_\mu P_\mu = x^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} D_x x^{2\mu+1} x^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} = D_x^2 + \frac{(1-4\mu^2)}{x^2}$$

which are operators studied in Zemanian [7] and later on in Pathak and Pandey [3] with P_μ, Q_μ, Δ_μ respectively replaced by N_μ, M_μ, S_μ .

2. Author claims that results developed in this paper are stronger than Pathak and Pandey [3].

Remark : It is proposed to obtain more results on Pseudo-differential operators associated with Bessel type operators in future.

Acknowledgement : I would like to thank the referees for their comments and suggestions on the manuscript.

References

- [1] D. T. Haimo, Integral equations associated with Hankel convolutions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 116 (1965), 330-375.
- [2] E. L. Koh and A. H. Zemanian, The complex Hankel and I-transformations of Generalized functions, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 16 (1968), 945-957.
- [3] R. S. Pathak and P. K. Pandey, A class of Pseudo-Differential operators Associated with Bessel operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 196 (1995), 736-747.
- [4] L. Schwartz, Theorie des Distributions, Herman, Paris, 1978.
- [5] S. Zaidman, Distributions and Pseudo-Differential operators, Logman, Essex, England, 1991.
- [6] S. Zaidman, On some simple estimates for Pseudo-differential operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 39 (1972), 202-207.
- [7] A. H. Zemanian, Generalized Integral Transformations, Interscience, Newyork, 1968.

(Received 16 June 2008)

B. B. Waphare
MAEER's MIT Arts, Commerce Science College,
Alandi (D), Tal. Khed, Dist. Pune,

Maharashtra 412105, INDIA.

e-mail : principal@mitacasc.com and bwaphare@mitpune.com