



Generalized Difference Sequence Spaces Defined by Orlicz Functions and their Köthe-Toeplitz and Null Duals

H. Dutta

Abstract : In this article we define some difference sequence spaces and its sub-spaces using an Orlicz function. We find their isometrically isomorphic spaces and study some other properties. Moreover we compute Köthe-Toeplitz and null duals of all these spaces.

Keywords : Difference sequence spaces, Orlicz function, Köthe Toeplitz dual, Null dual.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 40A05, 40C05, 46A45.

1 Introduction

Throughout this section w , ℓ_∞ , ℓ_1 , c and c_0 denote the spaces of all, bounded, absolutely summable, convergent and null sequences $x = (x_k)$ with complex terms respectively. The notion of difference sequence space was introduced by Kizmaz [4], who studied the difference sequence spaces $\ell_\infty(\Delta)$, $c(\Delta)$ and $c_0(\Delta)$. The notion was further generalized by Et and Colak [3] by introducing the spaces $\ell_\infty(\Delta^m)$, $c(\Delta^m)$ and $c_0(\Delta^m)$.

Let n be non-negative integers then for Z a given sequence space we have $Z(\Delta^m) = \{x = (x_k) \in w : (\Delta^m x_k) \in Z\}$, where $\Delta^m x = (\Delta^m x_k) = (\Delta^{m-1} x_k - \Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1})$ and $\Delta^0 x_k = x_k$ for all $k \in N$, which is equivalent to the following binomial representation.

$$\Delta^m x_k = \sum_{v=0}^m (-1)^v \binom{m}{v} x_{k+v}.$$

Taking $m = 1$, we get the spaces $\ell_\infty(\Delta)$, $c(\Delta)$ and $c_0(\Delta)$ introduced and studied by Kizmaz [4].

An Orlicz function is a function $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with $M(0) = 0$, $M(x) > 0$, for $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy Δ_2 -condition for all values of u , if there exists a constant $K > 0$, such that

$$M(2u) < KM(u), \quad \text{where } u \geq 0$$

The Δ_2 -condition is equivalent to $M(lu) \leq KlM(u)$, for all values of u and for $l > 1$.

An Orlicz function M can always be represented in the following integral form:

$$M(x) = \int_0^x p(t)dt$$

where p , known as kernel of M , is right differentiable for $t \geq 0$, $p(0) = 0$, $p(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, p is non-decreasing, and $p(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Consider the kernel $p(t)$ associated with the Orlicz function $M(t)$, and let

$$q(s) = \sup\{t : p(t) \leq s\}$$

Then q possesses the same properties as the function p . Suppose now

$$\Phi(x) = \int_0^x q(s)ds$$

Then Φ is an Orlicz function. The functions M and Φ are called mutually complementary Orlicz functions.

Now we state the following results which can be found in [5].

Let M and Φ are mutually complementary Orlicz functions. Then we have (Young's inequality)

$$(i) \text{ For } x, y \geq 0, \quad xy \leq M(x) + \Phi(y).$$

Also we have

$$(ii) M(\lambda x) < \lambda M(x) \text{ for all } x \geq 0 \text{ and } \lambda \text{ with } 0 < \lambda < 1.$$

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [6] used the Orlicz function and introduced the sequence space ℓ_M as follows:

$$\ell_M = \{(x_k) \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\}.$$

They proved that ℓ_M is a Banach space normed by

$$\|(x_k)\| = \inf\{\rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1\}$$

A norm $\|\bullet\|$ on a vector space X is said to be equivalent to a norm $\|\bullet\|_0$ on X if there are positive numbers A and B such that for all $x \in X$, we have

$$A\|x\|_0 \leq \|x\| \leq B\|x\|_0.$$

This concept is motivated by the fact that equivalent norms on X define the same topology for X .

An isomorphism of a normed space X onto a normed space Y is a bijective linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ which preserves the norm, that is, for all $x \in X$,

$$\|Tx\| = \|x\|. \text{ (Hence } T \text{ is isometric)}$$

X is then called isomorphic with Y , and X and Y are called isomorphic normed spaces.

Let m be a non-negative integer. Then we can have the following sequence

spaces for an Orlicz function M as:

$$c_0(M, \Delta^m) = \{x = (x_k) : \lim_k M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m x_k|}{\rho}\right) = 0, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\},$$

$$c(M, \Delta^m) = \{x = (x_k) : \lim_k M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m x_k - L|}{\rho}\right) = 0, \text{ for some } L \text{ and } \rho > 0\},$$

$$\ell_\infty(M, \Delta^m) = \{x = (x_k) : \sup_k M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\},$$

where $\Delta^m x_k = \Delta^{m-1} x_k - \Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1}$, $\Delta_r^m x_k = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} x_{k+i}$ for all $k \in N$.

It is obvious that $c_0(M, \Delta^m) \subset c(M, \Delta^m) \subset \ell_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$ (1.1)

Several authors have studied different algebraic and topological properties of such spaces. In this article our main aim to compute the Köthe-Toeplitz and Null duals of such spaces.

Throughout the paper X will denote one of the sequence spaces c_0 , c and ℓ_∞ . The sequence spaces $X(M, \Delta^m)$ are Banach spaces normed by

$$\|x\|_{\Delta^m} = \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i| + \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sup_k M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\} \quad (1.2)$$

Now we take

$$\Delta^{(m)} x_k = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} x_{k-i}.$$

It is trivial that $(\Delta^m x_k) \in X(M)$ if and only if $(\Delta^{(m)} x_k) \in X(M)$. Now for $x \in X(M, \Delta^{(m)})$, we define

$$\|x\|_{\Delta^{(m)}} = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sup_k M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

It can be shown that $X(M, \Delta^{(m)})$ is a BK space under the norm $\|x\|_{\Delta^{(m)}}$ and the norms $\|x\|_{\Delta^m}$ and $\|x\|_{\Delta^{(m)}}$ are equivalent. Obviously $\Delta^{(m)} : X(M, \Delta^{(m)}) \rightarrow X(M)$, denoted by $\Delta^{(m)} x = y = (\Delta^{(m)} x_k)$, is isometric isomorphism.

Hence $c_0(M, \Delta^m)$, $c(M, \Delta^m)$ and $\ell_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$ are isometrically isomorphic to $c_0(M)$, $c(M)$ and $\ell_\infty(M)$ respectively. From abstract point of view $X(M, \Delta_r^m)$ is identical with $X(M)$, for $X = c_0$, c and ℓ_∞ .

Now we define the spaces $\bar{c}_0(M, \Delta^m)$, $\bar{c}(M, \Delta^m)$ and $\bar{\ell}_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$ as follows: $\bar{c}_0(M, \Delta^m)$ is a subspace of $c_0(M, \Delta^m)$ consisting of those x in $c_0(M, \Delta^m)$ such that $\lim_k M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m x_k|}{d}\right) = 0$, for each $d > 0$.

Similarly we define $\bar{c}(M, \Delta^m)$ and $\bar{\ell}_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$ as a subspace of $c(M, \Delta^m)$ and $\ell_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$ respectively. The topology of $\bar{X}(M, \Delta^m)$ is the one it inherits from $\|\bullet\|_{\Delta^m}$.

It is obvious that $\bar{c}_0(M, \Delta^m) \subset \bar{c}(M, \Delta^m) \subset \bar{\ell}_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$.

Also as above we can show that $\bar{c}_0(M, \Delta^m)$, $\bar{c}(M, \Delta^m)$ and $\bar{\ell}_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$ are isometrically isomorphic to $\bar{c}_0(M)$, $\bar{c}(M)$ and $\bar{\ell}_\infty(M)$ respectively.

Moreover $X(M, \Delta^i) \subset X(M, \Delta^m)$ and $\bar{X}(M, \Delta^i) \subset \bar{X}(M, \Delta^m)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$. which can be shown by repeated application of the following inequality.

$$M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m x_k|}{2\rho}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}M\left(\frac{|\Delta^{m-1} x_k|}{\rho}\right) + \frac{1}{2}M\left(\frac{|\Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1}|}{\rho}\right).$$

2 Some Properties of the Spaces

Theorem 2.1. *If M satisfies the Δ_2 -condition then we have $X(M, \Delta^m) = \bar{X}(M, \Delta^m)$ for $X = c_0, c$ and ℓ_∞ .*

Proof. We give the proof for $X = \ell_\infty$ and for other spaces it will follow on applying similar arguments.

To prove the theorem it is enough to show that $\ell_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$ is a subspace of $\bar{\ell}_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$. Let $x \in \ell_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$, then for some $\rho > 0$,

$$\sup_k M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty \text{ and } M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty \text{ for every } k \geq 1$$

Choose an arbitrary $\eta > 0$. If $\rho \leq \eta$ then $M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m x_k|}{\eta}\right) < \infty$ for every $k \geq 1$. Let now $\eta < \rho$ and put $l = \frac{\rho}{\eta} > 1$.

Since M satisfies the Δ_2 -condition, there exists a constant K such that

$$M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m x_k|}{\eta}\right) \leq K \frac{\rho}{\eta} M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty \text{ for every } k \geq 1 \text{ and hence}$$

$$\sup_k M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m x_k|}{\eta}\right) < \infty \text{ for every } \eta > 0 \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.2. (i) $c_0(M, \Delta^m)$, $c(M, \Delta^m)$ and $\ell_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$ are convex sets.

(ii) If M satisfies the Δ_2 -condition then $\bar{c}_0(M, \Delta^m)$, $\bar{c}(M, \Delta^m)$ and $\bar{\ell}_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$ are convex sets.

Proof. (i) We prove the Theorem for $c_0(M, \Delta^m)$ and for other cases it will follow on applying similar arguments.

Let $x, y \in c_0(M, \Delta^m)$. Then there exist $\rho_1, \rho_2 > 0$ such that

$$\lim_k M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m x_k|}{\rho_1}\right) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_k M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m y_k|}{\rho_2}\right) = 0$$

For $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = 1$, the result is obvious. Let $0 < \lambda < 1$. Considering $\rho = \max(|\lambda|\rho_1, |1-\lambda|\rho_2)$, we have

$$M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m(\lambda x_k + (1-\lambda)y_k)|}{2\rho}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m(\lambda x_k)|}{\rho}\right) + \frac{1}{2}M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m(1-\lambda)y_k|}{\rho}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m x_k|}{\rho_1}\right) + \frac{1}{2}M\left(\frac{|\Delta^m y_k|}{\rho_2}\right)$$

This completes the proof.

(ii) Proof follows from (i) using Theorem 2.1. \square

Theorem 2.3. (i) $c_0(M, \Delta^m)$ and $c(M, \Delta^m)$ are nowhere dense subsets of $\ell_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$.

(ii) $\bar{c}_0(M, \Delta^m)$ and $\bar{c}(M, \Delta^m)$ are nowhere dense subsets of $\bar{\ell}_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$.

Proof. The proof follows from (1.1) and (1.2). \square

3 Köthe Toeplitz and Null Dual Spaces

In this section we give the α -dual and N -(or null) dual of the sequence spaces $c_0(M, \Delta^m)$, $c(M, \Delta^m)$, $\ell_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$, $\bar{c}_0(M, \Delta^m)$, $\bar{c}(M, \Delta^m)$ and $\bar{\ell}_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$.

Let E and F be two sequence spaces. Then the F dual of E is defined as

$$E^F = \{(x_k) \in w : (x_k y_k) \in F \text{ for all } (y_k) \in E\}.$$

For $F = \ell_1$ and c_o , the duals are termed as α -dual (Köthe Toeplitz dual) and N -(or null) dual of E and denoted by E^α and E^N respectively. If $X \subset Y$, then $Y^z \subset X^z$ for $z = \alpha, N$.

Lemma 3.1. [9] Let m be a positive integer. Then there exists positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$C_1 k^m \leq \binom{m+k}{k} \leq C_2 k^m, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Lemma 3.2. $x \in \ell_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$ implies $\sup_k M\left(\frac{|k^{-1}\Delta^{m-1}x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty$, for some $\rho > 0$.

Proof. Let $x \in \ell_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$, then

$$\sup_k M\left(\frac{|\Delta^{m-1}x_k - \Delta^{m-1}x_{k+1}|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0.$$

Then there exists a $U > 0$ such that

$$M\left(\frac{|\Delta^{m-1}x_k - \Delta^{m-1}x_{k+1}|}{\rho}\right) < U, \text{ for all } k \in N.$$

On taking $\eta = k\rho$, $k > 1$ being arbitrary fixed number, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M\left(\frac{|\Delta^{m-1}x_1 - \Delta^{m-1}x_{k+1}|}{\eta}\right) &= M\left(\frac{|\sum_{i=1}^k \Delta^{m-1}x_i - \Delta^{m-1}x_{i+1}|}{k\rho}\right) \\ &\leq M\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k |\Delta^{m-1}x_i - \Delta^{m-1}x_{i+1}|}{k\rho}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{k}M\left(\frac{|\Delta^{m-1}x_1 - \Delta^{m-1}x_2|}{\rho}\right) + \cdots + \frac{1}{k}M\left(\frac{|\Delta^{m-1}x_k - \Delta^{m-1}x_{k+1}|}{\rho}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k}U + \frac{1}{k}U + \cdots + \frac{1}{k}U = O(k) \end{aligned}$$

Now the result follows from the following inequality using the convexity of M :

$$|\Delta^{m-1}x_{k+1}| \leq |\Delta^{m-1}x_1| + |\Delta^{m-1}x_1 - \Delta^{m-1}x_{k+1}|.$$

□

Lemma 3.3. (i) $\sup_k M\left(\frac{|k^{-1}\Delta^m x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty$ implies $\sup_k M\left(\frac{|k^{-m}x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty$

for some $\rho > 0$

(ii) $x \in \ell_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$ implies $\sup_k M\left(\frac{|k^{-m}x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty$, for some $\rho > 0$,

(iii) $x \in \ell_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$ implies $\sup_k |k^{-m}x_k| < \infty$.

Proof. (i) Proof follows from Lemma 3.2 by repeated application of the same arguments.

(ii) Combining the Lemma 3.2 and part (i).

(iii) Proof follows from part (i). □

Remark 1. Similar results as in Lemma 3.3 hold for $\bar{\ell}_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$ also, where the statement "for some $\rho > 0$ " should be replaced by "for every $\rho > 0$ "

Theorem 3.4. Let M be an Orlicz function. Then

(i) $[c_0(M, \Delta^m)]^\alpha = [c(M, \Delta^m)]^\alpha = [\ell_\infty(M, \Delta^m)]^\alpha = D_1$,

(ii) $D_1^\alpha = D_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \left\{ a = (a_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |k^m a_k| < \infty \right\}, \\ D_2 &= \left\{ b = (b_k) : \sup_k |k^{-m} b_k| < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) Let $a \in D_1$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |k^m a_k| < \infty$. Now for any $x \in \ell_\infty(M, \Delta^m)$ we have $\sup_k |k^{-m} x_k| < \infty$. Then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k x_k| \leq \sup_k |k^{-m} x_k| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |k^m a_k| < \infty.$$

Hence $a \in [\ell_\infty(M, \Delta^m)]^\alpha$. Conversely suppose that $a \in [X(M, \Delta^m)]^\alpha$, for $X = c$ and ℓ_∞ . Then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k x_k| < \infty$, for each $x \in X(M, \Delta^m)$. So we take $x_k = k^m$,

$k \geq 1$ then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |k^m a_k| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k x_k| < \infty$. This implies that $a \in D_1$.

Again suppose that $a \in [c_0(M, \Delta^m)]^\alpha$ and $a \notin D_1$. Then there exists a strictly increasing sequence (n_i) of positive integers n_i with $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$, such that

$$\sum_{k=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} |k^m a_k| > i.$$

Define $x \in c_0(M, \Delta^m)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} x_k &= 0, & 1 \leq k \leq n_1 \\ &= k^m \frac{sgn a_k}{i}, & n_i < k \leq n_{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then we have } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k x_k| &= \sum_{k=n_1+1}^{n_2} |a_k x_k| + \dots + \sum_{k=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} |a_k x_k| + \dots \\ &= \sum_{k=n_1+1}^{n_2} |k^m a_k| + \dots + \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} |k^m a_k| + \dots \\ &> 1 + 1 + \dots = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts to $a \in [c_0(M, \Delta^m)]^\alpha$. Hence $a \in D_1$. This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) proof is similar to proof of part (i). □

Theorem 3.5. *Let M be an Orlicz function. Then*

(i) $[\bar{c}_0(M, \Delta^m)]^\alpha = [\bar{c}(M, \Delta^m)]^\alpha = [\bar{\ell}_\infty(M, \Delta^m)]^\alpha = D_1$,

(ii) $D_1^\alpha = D_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \left\{ a = (a_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |k^m a_k| < \infty \right\}, \\ D_2 &= \left\{ b = (b_k) : \sup_k |k^{-m} b_k| < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4. □

If we take $m = 0$ in Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5 then we obtain the following Corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *For $X = c_0, c$ and ℓ_∞ .*

(i) $[X(M)]^\alpha = [\bar{X}(M)]^\alpha = H_1$,

(ii) $H_1^\alpha = H_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= \left\{ a = (a_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| < \infty \right\}, \\ H_2 &= \left\{ b = (b_k) : \sup_k |b_k| < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.7. *If $x \in c_0(M, \Delta^m)$, then $\lim_k M \left(\frac{\binom{m+k}{k}^{-1} x_k}{\rho} \right) = 0$, for some $\rho > 0$.*

Proof. The proof follows from Lemma 3.1.

Theorem 3.8. Let M and Φ be mutually complementary Orlicz functions. Then

(i) $[c(M, \Delta^m)]^N = [\ell_\infty(M, \Delta^m)]^N = G_1$,

(ii) $[c_0(M, \Delta^m)]^N = \overline{G}_2$, where

$$G_1 = \{a = (a_k) : \lim_k k^m a_k = 0\},$$

$$\overline{G}_2 = \left\{ a = (a_k) : \lim_k \Phi \left(\frac{| \binom{m+k}{k} a_k |}{d} \right) = 0, \text{ for every } d > 0 \right\}.$$

Proof. (i) Proof is immediate using Lemma 3.3(iii).

(ii) Let $a \in \overline{G}_2$, then $\lim_k \Phi \left(\frac{| \binom{m+k}{k} a_k |}{d} \right) = 0$ for every $d > 0$. Now for any

$x \in c_0(M, \Delta^m)$ we have

$$\lim_k M \left(\frac{| \binom{m+k}{k}^{-1} x_k |}{\rho} \right) = 0 \text{ for some } \rho > 0. \text{ Then using the inequality}$$

$$|a_k x_k| \leq M \left(\left| \frac{\binom{m+k}{k}^{-1} x_k}{\rho} \right| \right) + \Phi \left(\rho \left| \binom{m+k}{k} a_k \right| \right),$$

we have $\lim_k a_k x_k = 0$ and hence $a \in [c_0(M, \Delta^m)]^N$.

Conversely suppose that $a \in [c_0(M, \Delta^m)]^N$. Then $\lim_k a_k x_k = 0$, for each $x \in c_0(M, \Delta^m)$. So we take $x_k = \binom{m+k}{k}$, $k \geq 1$, then $\lim_k \binom{m+k}{k} a_k = \lim_k a_k x_k = 0$ and so

$$\lim_k \Phi \left(\frac{| \binom{m+k}{k} a_k |}{d} \right) = 0$$

for every $d > 0$. Hence $a \in \overline{G}_2$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.9. Let M and Φ be mutually complementary Orlicz functions. Then

(i) $[\overline{c}(M, \Delta^m)]^N = [\overline{\ell}_\infty(M, \Delta^m)]^N = G_1$,

(ii) $[\overline{c}_0(M, \Delta^m)]^N = G_2$, where

$$G_1 = \{a = (a_k) : \lim_k k^m a_k = 0\},$$

$$G_2 = \left\{ a = (a_k) : \lim_k \Phi \left(\frac{| \binom{m+k}{k} a_k |}{d} \right) = 0, \text{ for some } d > 0 \right\}.$$

Proof. Proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.8. \square

If we take $m = 0$ in Theorem 3.8 and Theorem 3.9 then we obtain the following Corollary.

Corollary 3.10. For $X = c$ and ℓ_∞ ,

(i) $[X(M)]^N = [\overline{X}(M)]^N = L_1$,

(ii) $[c_0(M)]^N = \overline{L}_2$,

(iii) $[\overline{c}_0(M)]^N = L_2$, where

$$L_1 = \left\{ a = (a_k) : \lim_k a_k = 0 \right\},$$

$$\overline{L}_2 = \{a = (a_k) : \lim_k \Phi\left(\frac{|a_k|}{d}\right) = 0, \text{ for every } d > 0\},$$

and

$$L_2 = \{a = (a_k) : \lim_k \Phi\left(\frac{|a_k|}{d}\right) = 0, \text{ for some } d > 0\}.$$

References

- [1] P. Chandra and B.C. Tripathy, On generalized Köthe-Toeplitz duals of some sequence spaces, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **33**(8) (2002), 1301-1306.
- [2] M. Et, On some topological properties of generalized difference sequence spaces, *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, **24**(11) (2000), 785-791.
- [3] M. Et, R. Colak, On generalized difference sequence spaces, *Soochow J. Math.*, **21**(4) (1995), 377-386.
- [4] H. Kizmaz, On certain sequence spaces, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **24**(2) (1981), 169-176.
- [5] P.K. Kamthan and M. Gupta, Sequence Spaces and Series, *Marcel Dekker Inc., New York*, (1981).
- [6] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, On Orlicz sequence spaces, *Israel J. Math.*, **10** (1971), 379-390.
- [7] E. Kreyszig, Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications, *Jhon Wiley and Sons, New York* (1978).
- [8] I.J. Maddox, Elements of Functional Analysis, *Universal Book Stall, New Delhi* (1989).
- [9] E. Malkowsky and S.D. Parasar, Matrix transformation in spaces of bounded and convergent difference sequences of order m, *Analysis*, **17** (1997), 87-97.

(Received 26 September 2008)

Hemen Dutta
Department of Mathematics,
Gauhati University,
Kokrajhar Campus,
Kokrajhar-783370,
Assam, India.
e-mail : hemen_dutta08@rediffmail.com