

A Hybrid Algorithm for Pseudo-contractive Mappings

Suebkul Kanchanasuk and Kamonrat Nammanee*

Department of Mathematics, School of Science, University of Phayao, Phayao, Thailand
e-mail : suebkul.ka@up.ac.th (S. Kanchanasuk); kamonrat.na@up.ac.th (K. Nammanee)

Abstract In this paper, we present a hybrid technique for pseudo-contractive mappings in Hilbert spaces and prove a convergence theorem.

MSC: 49K35; 47H10; 20M12

Keywords: hybrid algorithm; pseudo-contractive mapping; fixed points

Submission date: 28.12.2021 / Acceptance date: 22.03.2022

1. INTRODUCTION

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let T be a self-mapping of C and $F(T)$ be a set of all fixed point of T .

A mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be strict pseudo-contraction [1] if there exists a constant $0 \leq k < 1$ such that

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + k\|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2, \text{ for all } x, y \in C.$$

If $k = 1$, then T is said to be a pseudo-contraction, i.e,

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2,$$

is equivalent to,

$$\langle (I - T)x - (I - T)y, x - y \rangle \geq 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in C.$$

A mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be nonexpansive, if $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$, for all $x, y \in C$. And $T : C \rightarrow C$ is L -Lipschitzian mapping if there exists a constant $L \geq 0$ such that

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \text{ for all } x, y \in C.$$

Example 1.1. [2] Let $H = (\mathbb{R}^2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, where \mathbb{R} be the set of real numbers and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be defined by the dot product on H . If $x = (x_1, x_2) \in H$, define $x^\perp = (x_2, -x_1)$, let $C = \{x \in H : \|x\| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \leq 5\}$ and $T : C \rightarrow C$ be defined by $Tx = x + x^\perp$. Then C is a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert H and T is a Lipschitz with $L = \sqrt{2}$ and pseudo-contractive and $F(T) = \{(0, 0)\}$.

*Corresponding author.

Nonexpansive mapping is extended by the class of stringent pseudo-contractions. It's worth noting that T is nonexpansive only if it's a 0-strict pseudo-contraction. The stringent pseudo-contractive mapping falls under the pseudo-contractive mapping category. Nonexpansive mappings, on the other hand, entail L -Lipschitzian mappings. Iterative approaches for nonexpansive mappings have recently received a lot of attention; see [3–6] and the references therein for further information. Although Browder and Petryshyn began their work in 1967, iterative approaches for strictly pseudo-contractive mappings are significantly less established than those for nonexpansive mappings. In addressing inverse problems, rigorously pseudo-contractive mappings, on the other hand, offer more potent applications than nonexpansive mappings; see Scherzer [7]. As a result, developing iterative methods for strictly pseudo-contractive mappings is intriguing.

The hybrid iterative approach for pseudo-contractive mapping in Hilbert spaces was introduced by Y. H. Yao et al. [8] in 2009:

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a pseudo-contractive mapping. Assume that $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0,1)$. Let $x_0 \in H$. For $C_1 = C$ and $x_1 = P_{C_1}x_0$, define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of C as follow:

$$\langle 1 \rangle \begin{cases} y_n = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_nTx_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \|\alpha_n(I - T)y_n\|^2 \leq 2\alpha_n\langle x_n - z, (I - T)y_n \rangle\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}}x_0, n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

They proved that if C is a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a L -Lipschitz pseudo-contractive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Assume $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[a, b]$ for some $a, b \in (0, \frac{1}{1+L})$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by $\langle 1 \rangle$ converges strongly to $P_{F(T)}x_0$.

Tang et al. [9] applied the hybrid algorithm $\langle 1 \rangle$ to the Ishikawa iterative process [10] as follows in 2011:

Let C be closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be pseudo-contractive mapping. Assume that $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$. Let $x_0 \in H$. For $C_1 = C$ and $x_1 = P_{C_1}x_0$, define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of C as follow:

$$\langle 2 \rangle \begin{cases} y_n = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_nTz_n, \\ z_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_nTx_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \|\alpha_n(I - T)y_n\|^2 \leq 2\alpha_n\langle x_n - z, (I - T)y_n \rangle \\ \quad + 2\alpha_n\beta_nL\|x_n - Tx_n\|\|y_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)y_n\|\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}}x_0, n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

They proved that if C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a L -Lipschitz pseudo-contractive mapping with $L \geq 1$ such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ are sequence in $(0, 1)$ satisfying: (i) $b \leq \alpha_n < \alpha_n(L + 1)(1 + \beta_nL) < a < 1$ for some $a, b \in (0, 1)$; (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by $\langle 2 \rangle$ converges strongly to $P_{F(T)}x_0$.

In 2011, Phuengrattana and Suantai [11] introduced the SP-iteration process is defined by the sequence $\{x_n\}$,

$$\langle 3 \rangle \begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \\ z_n = (1 - \gamma_n)x_n + \gamma_nTx_n, \\ y_n = (1 - \beta_n)z_n + \beta_nTz_n, \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)y_n + \alpha_nTy_n, \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\gamma_n\}$ are sequence in $[0, 1]$.

If $\gamma_n = \beta_n = 0$ for all n , then the iteration $\langle 3 \rangle$ reduce to Mann iteration [12],

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_nTx_n, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where $\{\alpha_n\} \subseteq [0, 1]$.

We are motivated and inspired by the work of Phuengrattana and Suantai [11] and Tang et al. [9]. We generalize the hybrid algorithm $\langle 2 \rangle$ to the SP-iterative process $\langle 3 \rangle$, which is defined by the following.

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a pseudo-contractive mapping. Assume that $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$. Let $x_0 \in H$. For $C_1 = C$ and $x_1 = P_{C_1}x_0$ define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of C as follow:

$$\langle 4 \rangle \begin{cases} z_n = (1 - \gamma_n)x_n + \gamma_nTx_n, \\ y_n = (1 - \beta_n)z_n + \beta_nTz_n, \\ w_n = (1 - \alpha_n)y_n + \alpha_nTy_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \|\alpha_n(I - T)w_n\|^2 \leq 2\alpha_n\langle x_n - z, (I - T)w_n \rangle \\ \quad + 2(\gamma_n + \beta_n(1 + \gamma_nL))(1 + \alpha_n(1 + L))\|x_n - Tx_n\|\|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}}x_0, n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Further, we prove the strong convergence of the hybrid algorithm $\langle 4 \rangle$ for Lipschitz pseudo-contractive mappings in Hilbert spaces.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We'll collect some helpful results in this section, which will be used in the next phase.

Let H be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$ and let C be a closed convex subset of H .

Recall that, the nearest point projection P_C form H onto C assigns to each $x \in H$ its nearest point denote P_Cx in C , that is, P_Cx is the unique point in with the property :

$$\|x - P_Cx\| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \text{for all } y \in C.$$

We use the following notations:

- (i) \rightarrow for weak convergence and \rightarrow for strong convergence;
 - (ii) $\omega_w(x_n) = \{x : \exists x_{n_j} \rightarrow x\}$ denotes the weak ω -limit set of $\{x_n\}$.
- The following Lemmas are well known.

Lemma 2.1. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Given $x \in H$ and $z \in C$, then $z = P_Cx$ if and only if there hold the relation $\langle x - z, y - z \rangle \leq 0$, for all $y \in C$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let H be a real Hilbert space, then for all $x, y \in H$, we have*

$$\|x - y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2 - 2\langle x - y, y \rangle.$$

Lemma 2.3. [13] *Let H be a real Hilbert space, C a closed convex subset of H and $T : C \rightarrow C$ a continuous pseudo-contractive mapping, then*

- (i) $F(T)$ closed convex subset of C .
- (ii) $I - T$ is demiclosed at zero, i.e., if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in C such that $x_n \rightarrow z$ and $(I - T)x_n \rightarrow 0$, then $(I - T)z = 0$.

Lemma 2.4. [14] *Let C be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in H and $u \in H$. Let $q = P_Cu$. If $\{x_n\}$ is such that $\omega_w(x_n) \subseteq C$ and satisfies the condition : $\|x_n - u\| \leq \|u - q\|, \forall n \geq 1$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to q .*

3. MAIN RESULTS

For pseudo-contractive mappings in Hilbert spaces, we argue that the modified hybrid algorithms (4) have a strong convergence theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a L -Lipschitz pseudo-contractive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\gamma_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$ satisfying:*

(i) $b \leq (L + 1)[\gamma_n + (\beta_n + (1 + \beta_n L)\alpha_n)(1 + \gamma_n L)] < a < 1$, for some $a, b \in (0, 1)$;

(ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = 0$.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (4) converges strongly to $P_{F(T)}x_0$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 (i), we get that $F(T)$ is closed and convex. Then $P_{F(T)}$ is well defined. It is easy to see that C_n is closed and convex.

Next, we will show that $F(T) \subseteq C_n$ for all n .

Let $p \in F(T)$, by Lemma 2.2 and $\langle (I - T)x - (I - T)y, x - y \rangle \geq 0$, for all $x, y \in C$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|x_n - p - \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\|^2 \\
 = & \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|\alpha_n(I - T)w_n\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \langle (I - T)w_n, x_n - p - \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle \\
 = & \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|\alpha_n(I - T)w_n\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \langle (I - T)w_n, x_n - w_n - \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle \\
 & - 2\alpha_n \langle (I - T)w_n - (I - T)p, w_n - p \rangle \\
 \leq & \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|\alpha_n(I - T)w_n\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \langle (I - T)w_n, x_n - w_n - \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle \\
 = & \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_n - w_n + w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\|^2 \\
 & - 2\alpha_n \langle (I - T)w_n, x_n - w_n - \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle \\
 = & \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_n - w_n\|^2 - \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\|^2 \\
 & - 2\langle x_n - w_n, w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle \\
 & + 2\alpha_n \langle (I - T)w_n, w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle \\
 \leq & \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_n - w_n\|^2 - \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\|^2 \\
 & + 2|\langle x_n - w_n - \alpha_n(I - T)w_n, w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

We consider the last item of (3.1), then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\langle x_n - w_n - \alpha_n(I - T)w_n, w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle| \\
 = & |\langle x_n - y_n + \alpha_n y_n - \alpha_n T y_n - \alpha_n(I - T)w_n, w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle| \\
 = & |\langle x_n - y_n + \alpha_n(y_n - T y_n) - \alpha_n(I - T)w_n, w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle| \\
 \leq & |\langle x_n - y_n, w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle| \\
 & + \alpha_n |\langle y_n - T y_n - (I - T)w_n, w_n - x_n + (I - T)w_n \rangle| \\
 = & |\langle x_n - z_n + \beta_n z_n - \beta_n T z_n, w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle| \\
 & + \alpha_n |\langle z_n - \beta_n z_n + \beta_n T z_n - T y_n - (I - T)w_n, w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle| \\
 \leq & |\langle x_n - z_n, w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle| + \beta_n |\langle z_n - T z_n, w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle| \\
 & + \alpha_n [|\langle z_n - \beta_n z_n + \beta_n T z_n - w_n, w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle| \\
 & + |\langle T w_n - T y_n, w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle|] \\
 \leq & |\langle x_n - z_n, w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle| + \beta_n |\langle z_n - T z_n, w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle| \\
 & + \alpha_n \beta_n |\langle T z_n - z_n, w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle| \\
 & + \alpha_n |\langle z_n - w_n, w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle| \\
 & + \alpha_n |\langle T w_n - T y_n, w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n \rangle|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \|x_n - z_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| + \beta_n \|z_n - Tz_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + \alpha_n \beta_n \|Tz_n - z_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + \alpha_n \|z_n - w_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + \alpha_n L \|w_n - y_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&= \|x_n - z_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + (\beta_n + \alpha_n \beta_n) \|Tz_n - z_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + \alpha_n \|z_n - w_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + \alpha_n L \|w_n - y_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\leq \|x_n - z_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + (\beta_n + \alpha_n \beta_n) \|Tz_n - z_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + \alpha_n [\|z_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - w_n\|] \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + \alpha_n L [\|w_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - y_n\|] \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&= (1 + \alpha_n) \|x_n - z_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + (\beta_n + \alpha_n \beta_n) \|Tz_n - z_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + (\alpha_n + \alpha_n L) \|x_n - w_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + \alpha_n L \|x_n - y_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\leq (1 + \alpha_n) \|x_n - z_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + (\beta_n + \alpha_n \beta_n) \|Tz_n - z_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + (\alpha_n + \alpha_n L) \|x_n - w_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + \alpha_n L [\|x_n - z_n\| + \|z_n - y_n\|] \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&= (1 + \alpha_n) \gamma_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + (\beta_n + \alpha_n \beta_n) \|Tz_n - z_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + (\alpha_n + \alpha_n L) \|x_n - w_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + \alpha_n L [\gamma_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| + \beta_n \|z_n - Tz_n\|] \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&= \alpha_n (1 + L) \|x_n - w_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + (\gamma_n + \alpha_n \gamma_n + \alpha_n \gamma_n L) \|x_n - Tx_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + (\beta_n + \alpha_n \beta_n + \alpha_n \beta_n L) \|Tz_n - z_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\leq \alpha_n (1 + L) \|x_n - w_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + (\gamma_n + \alpha_n \gamma_n + \alpha_n \gamma_n L) \|x_n - Tx_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + (\beta_n + \alpha_n \beta_n + \alpha_n \beta_n L) [\|Tz_n - Tx_n\| + \|Tx_n - z_n\|] \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\leq \alpha_n (1 + L) \|x_n - w_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + (\gamma_n + \alpha_n \gamma_n + \alpha_n \gamma_n L) \|x_n - Tx_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + (\beta_n + \alpha_n \beta_n + \alpha_n \beta_n L) [\gamma_n L \|x_n - Tx_n\| + \|x_n - Tx_n\|] \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\leq \alpha_n (1 + L) \|x_n - w_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + [\gamma_n + \alpha_n \gamma_n + \alpha_n \gamma_n L + \beta_n \gamma_n L + \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n L + \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n L^2 \\
&\quad + \beta_n + \alpha_n \beta_n + \alpha_n \beta_n L] \|x_n - Tx_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&= \alpha_n (1 + L) \|x_n - w_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\
&\quad + (\gamma_n + \beta_n (1 + \gamma_n L)) (1 + \alpha_n (1 + L)) \|x_n - Tx_n\| \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{\alpha_n(L+1)}{2}(\|x_n - w_n\|^2 + \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I-T)w_n\|^2) \\ &\quad + (\gamma_n + \beta_n(1 + \gamma_n L))(1 + \alpha_n(1 + L))\|x_n - Tx_n\|\|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I-T)w_n\| \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Substituting (3.2) into (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x_n - p - \alpha_n(I-T)w_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_n - w_n\|^2 - \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I-T)w_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + \alpha_n(L+1)(\|x_n - w_n\|^2 + \|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I-T)w_n\|^2) \\ &\quad + 2(\gamma_n + \beta_n(1 + \gamma_n L))(1 + \alpha_n(1 + L))\|x_n - Tx_n\|\|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I-T)w_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2(\gamma_n + \beta_n(1 + \gamma_n L))(1 + \alpha_n(1 + L))\|x_n - Tx_n\|\|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I-T)w_n\| \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Since

$$\|x_n - p - \alpha_n(I-T)w_n\|^2 = \|x_n - p\|^2 - 2\alpha_n\langle x_n - p, (I-T)w_n \rangle + \|\alpha_n(I-T)w_n\|^2 \quad (3.4)$$

Therefore, from (3.3) and (3.4) we get

$$\|\alpha_n(I-T)w_n\|^2 \leq 2\alpha_n\langle x_n - p, (I-T)w_n \rangle + 2(\gamma_n + \beta_n(1 + \gamma_n L))(1 + \alpha_n(1 + L))\|x_n - Tx_n\|\|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I-T)w_n\|,$$

which implies that $p \in C_n$. So $F(T) \subseteq C_n$ for all n .

From the definition of $\{x_n\}$ that $x_n = P_{C_n}x_0$. This implies that $\|x_n - x_0\| \leq \|z - x_0\|$, for all $z \in C_n$.

Since $F(T) \subseteq C_n$, we have $\|x_n - x_0\| \leq \|p - x_0\|$, for any $p \in F(T)$. In particular,

$$\|x_n - x_0\| \leq \|q - x_0\|, \quad q = P_{F(T)}x_0. \quad (3.5)$$

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Since T is L -Lipschitz continuous, then $\{Tx_n\}$, $\{w_n\}$, $\{Tw_n\}$, $\{z_n\}$, $\{Tz_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$, $\{Ty_n\}$ are all bounded.

From $x_n = P_{C_n}x_0$ and $x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}}x_0 \in C_{n+1} \subseteq C_n$, we have

$$\langle x_n - x_0, x_{n+1} - x_n \rangle \geq 0. \quad (3.6)$$

By Lemma 2.2 and (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 &= \|x_{n+1} - x_0 - (x_n - x_0)\|^2 \\ &= \|x_{n+1} - x_0\|^2 - \|x_n - x_0\|^2 - 2\langle x_{n+1} - x_n, x_n - x_0 \rangle \\ &\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_0\|^2 - \|x_n - x_0\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

which implies that $\|x_n - x_0\| \leq \|x_{n+1} - x_0\|$, for all n .

Then $\{\|x_n - x_0\|\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence, and notice that $\{\|x_n - x_0\|\}$ is also bounded.

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_0\|$ exists. At the same time, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the right side of inequality (3.7), we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0$. Since $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1} \subseteq C_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha_n(I-T)w_n\|^2 &\leq 2\alpha_n\|x_n - x_{n+1}\|\|(I-T)w_n\| \\ &\quad + 2(\gamma_n + \beta_n(1 + \gamma_n L))(1 + \alpha_n(1 + L))\|x_n - Tx_n\|\|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I-T)w_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

And since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = 0$, it imply that $\|w_n - Tw_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. And consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - Tx_n\| &\leq \|x_n - w_n\| + \|w_n - Tw_n\| + \|Tw_n - Tx_n\| \\ &\leq (L + 1)\|x_n - w_n\| + \|w_n - Tw_n\| \\ &\leq (L + 1)[\|x_n - y_n\| + \alpha_n\|y_n - Ty_n\|] + \|w_n - Tw_n\| \\ &\leq (L + 1)[\gamma_n\|x_n - Tx_n\| + \beta_n\|z_n - Tz_n\| \\ &\quad + \alpha_n[\|z_n - Tz_n\| + \beta_n L\|z_n - Tz_n\|]] + \|w_n - Tw_n\| \\ &= (L + 1)[\gamma_n\|x_n - Tx_n\| + (\beta_n + \alpha_n + \alpha_n\beta_n L)\|z_n - Tz_n\|] + \|w_n - Tw_n\| \\ &\leq (L + 1)[\gamma_n\|x_n - Tx_n\| + (\beta_n + \alpha_n + \alpha_n\beta_n L)L\|z_n - x_n\| + \|Tx_n - z_n\| \\ &\quad + \|w_n - Tw_n\|] \\ &\leq (L + 1)[\gamma_n\|x_n - Tx_n\| + (\beta_n + \alpha_n + \alpha_n\beta_n L)[\gamma_n L\|x_n - Tx_n\| + \|x_n - Tx_n\|] \\ &\quad + \|w_n - Tw_n\|] \\ &= (L + 1)[\gamma_n + (\beta_n + \alpha_n + \alpha_n\beta_n L)\gamma_n L + (\beta_n + \alpha_n + \alpha_n\beta_n L)]\|x_n - Tx_n\| \\ &\quad + \|w_n - Tw_n\| \\ &= (L + 1)[\gamma_n + (\beta_n + (1 + \beta_n L)\alpha_n)(1 + \gamma_n L)]\|x_n - Tx_n\| + \|w_n - Tw_n\| \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < b \leq (L + 1)[\gamma_n + (\beta_n + (1 + \beta_n L)\alpha_n)(1 + \gamma_n L)] < a < 1$, we have $\|x_n - Tx_n\| \leq \frac{1}{1-a}\|w_n - Tw_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By Lemma 2.3 (ii), $I - T$ is demiclosed at zero. Together with the fact that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, which guarantees that every weak limit point of $\{x_n\}$ is a fixed point of T . That is $\omega_w(x_n) \subseteq F(T)$. Therefore, by inequality (3.5) and Lemma 2.4, we know $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $q = P_{F(T)}x_0$. This completes the proof. ■

If T in Theorem 3.1 is a nonexpansive mapping, then we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\gamma_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$ satisfying:*

(i) $b \leq 2(\gamma_n + \alpha_n + 2\alpha_n\beta_n)(1 + \gamma_n) < a < 1$, for some $a, b \in (0, 1)$;

(ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = 0$.

Let $x_0 \in H$. For $C_1 = C$ and $x_1 = P_{C_1}x_0$. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by

$$\begin{cases} z_n = (1 - \gamma_n)x_n + \gamma_nTx_n, \\ y_n = (1 - \beta_n)z_n + \beta_nTz_n, \\ w_n = (1 - \alpha_n)y_n + \alpha_nTy_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \|\alpha_n(I - T)w_n\|^2 \leq 2\alpha_n\langle x_n - z, (I - T)w_n \rangle \\ \quad + 2(\beta_n + \gamma_n + \beta_n\gamma_n)(1 + 2\alpha_n)\|x_n - Tx_n\|\|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\|\} \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}}x_0, n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

converges strongly to $P_{F(T)}x_0$.

If $\gamma_n = 0$ for all n in Theorem 3.1, the we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a L -Lipschitz pseudo-contractive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$ satisfying:*

(i) $b \leq (L + 1)(\beta_n + (1 + \beta_n L)\alpha_n) < a < 1$, for some $a, b \in (0, 1)$;

(ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0$.

Let $x_0 \in H$. For $C_1 = C$ and $x_1 = P_{C_1}x_0$. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by

$$\begin{cases} y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_nTx_n, \\ w_n = (1 - \alpha_n)y_n + \alpha_nTy_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \|\alpha_n(I - T)w_n\|^2 \leq 2\alpha_n\langle x_n - z, (I - T)w_n \rangle \\ \quad + 2\beta_n(1 + \alpha_n(1 + L))\|x_n - Tx_n\|\|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}}x_0, n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

converges strongly to $P_{F(T)}x_0$.

If T in corollary 3.3 is a nonexpansive mapping, then we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$ satisfying:

- (i) $b \leq 2(\beta_n + (1 + \beta_n)\alpha_n) < a < 1$, for some $a, b \in (0, 1)$;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0$.

Let $x_0 \in H$. For $C_1 = C$ and $x_1 = P_{C_1}x_0$. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by

$$\begin{cases} y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_nTx_n, \\ w_n = (1 - \alpha_n)y_n + \alpha_nTy_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \|\alpha_n(I - T)w_n\|^2 \leq 2\alpha_n\langle x_n - z, (I - T)w_n \rangle \\ \quad + 2\beta_n(1 + 2\alpha_n)\|x_n - Tx_n\|\|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n(I - T)w_n\| \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}}x_0, n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

converges strongly to $P_{F(T)}x_0$.

Recall that a mapping A is said to be monotone or accretive, if $\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq 0$, for all $x, y \in H$. The pseudo-contractive mapping is strongly related to the monotone mapping. It is well known that A is monotone or accretive mapping if and only if $(I - A)$ is pseudo-contractive mapping. Hence, the fixed points of pseudo-contractive mapping actually is the zero of monotone or accretive mapping. Due to Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.3, respectively. We have two the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.5. Let $A : H \rightarrow H$ be a L -Lipschitz monotone mapping with $A^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\gamma_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$ satisfying:

- (i) $b \leq (L + 1)[\gamma_n + (\beta_n + (1 + \beta_nL)\alpha_n)(1 + \gamma_nL)] < a < 1$, for some $a, b \in (0, 1)$;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = 0$.

Let $x_0 \in H$. For $C_1 = C$ and $x_1 = P_{C_1}x_0$. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by

$$\begin{cases} z_n = x_n + \alpha_nAx_n, \\ y_n = z_n + \beta_nAz_n, \\ w_n = y_n + \gamma_nAy_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \|\alpha_nAw_n\|^2 \leq 2\alpha_n\langle x_n - z, Aw_n \rangle \\ \quad + 2(\gamma_n + \beta_n(1 + \gamma_nL))(1 + \alpha_n(1 + L))\|Ax_n\|\|w_n - x_n + \alpha_nAw_n\| \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}}x_0, n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

converges strongly to $P_{A^{-1}(0)}x_0$.

Corollary 3.6. Let $A : H \rightarrow H$ be a L -Lipschitz monotone mapping with $A^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$ satisfying:

- (i) $b \leq (L + 1)(\beta_n + (1 + \beta_nL)\alpha_n) < a < 1$, for some $a, b \in (0, 1)$;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0$.

Let $x_0 \in H$. For $C_1 = C$ and $x_1 = P_{C_1}x_0$. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by

$$\begin{cases} y_n = x_n + \beta_n Ax_n, \\ w_n = y_n + \gamma_n Ay_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \|\alpha_n Aw_n\|^2 \leq 2\alpha_n \langle x_n - z, Aw_n \rangle \\ \quad + 2\beta_n(1 + \alpha_n(1 + L))\|Ax_n\|\|w_n - x_n + \alpha_n Aw_n\| \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}}x_0, n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

converges strongly to $P_{A^{-1}(0)}x_0$.

4. CONCLUSION

In this research, we have constructed a new hybrid algorithm (4) for L -Lipschitz pseudo-contractive mappings and have established a strong convergence theorem. From Theorem 3.1, if $\beta_n = \gamma_n = 0$ for all n , then the hybrid algorithm (4) reduces to the hybrid algorithm (1). So Theorem 3.1 of Yao et al. [8] is a special case of our Theorem 3.1.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the reviewers for useful suggestions which improved the contents of this paper. This research project was supported by the Thailand Science Research and Innovation Fund and the University of Phayao (Grant No. FF64-RIB002), Thailand.

REFERENCES

- [1] F.E. Browder, W.V. Petryshyn, Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 20 (1967) 197–228.
- [2] K. Khomphurrgson, N. Kamyun, K. Nammanee, New modified hybrid algorithm for pseudo-contractive mappings in Hilbert spaces, *J. Nonlinear Funct. Anal.* 2022 (2022) Article No. 25.
- [3] A. Kaewkhao, L. Bussaban, S. Suantai, Convergence theorem of inertial P-iteration method for a family of nonexpansive mappings with applications, *Thai J. Math.* 18 (4) (2020) 1743–1751.
- [4] S.H. Khan, H. Fukhar-ud-din, Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings by RK-iterative process in modular function spaces, *J. Nonlinear Var. Anal.* 3 (2019) 107–114.
- [5] M. Budzyńska, A. Grzesik, W. Kaczor, T. Kuczumow, A remark on the fixed point property of nonexpansive mappings, *J. Nonlinear Var. Anal.* 2 (2018) 35–47.
- [6] G. Marino, R. Zaccone, On strong convergence of some midpoint type methods for nonexpansive mappings, *J. Nonlinear Var. Anal.* 1 (2017) 159–174.
- [7] O. Scherzer, Convergence criteria of iterative methods based on Landweber iteration for solving nonlinear problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 194 (1991) 911–933.
- [8] Y.H. Yao, Y.C. Liou, G. Marino, A hybrid algorithm for pseudo-contractive mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.* 71 (2009) 4997–5002.
- [9] Y.C. Tang, J.G. Peng, L.W. Liu, Strong convergence for pseudo-contractive mappings in Hilbert spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 74 (2011) 380–385.
- [10] S. Ishikawa, Fixed points by a new iteration method, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 44 (1) (1974) 147–150.
- [11] W. Phuengrattana, S. Suantai, On the rate of convergence of Mann, Ishikawa, Noor and SP-iterations for continuous functions on an arbitrary interval, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 235 (2011) 3006–3014.

- [12] W.R. Mann, Mean value methods in iteration, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1953) 506–510.
- [13] Q.B. Zhang, C.Z. Cheng, Strong convergence theorem for a family of Lipschitz pseudocontractive mappings in a Hilbert space, Math. Comput. Modelling 48 (2008) 480–485.
- [14] C. Matinez-Yanes, H.K. Xu, Strong convergence of the CQ method for fixed point process, Nonlinear Anal. 64 (2006) 2400–2411.