



Refinement of the Jensen Inequality for Convex Functions of Several Variables with Applications

Muhammad Adil Khan^{1,*} and Josip Pečarić²

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Peshawar, Peshawar 25000, Pakistan
e-mail : madilkhan@uop.edu.pk, adilsвати@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematical, Physical and Chemical Sciences, Croatian Academy of Sciences and Arts,
Zrinski trg 11, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
e-mail : pecaric@element.hr

Abstract In this manuscript we give a refinement of Jensen's inequality for convex functions of several variables associated to certain tuples. As applications we deduce refinements of Beck's inequality. At the end of the manuscript further generalization has been presented for n finite certain sequences.

MSC: 26D15; 94A17; 94A15

Keywords: Jensen's inequality; Beck's inequality; convex functions; means

Submission date: 29.12.2019 / Acceptance date: 02.06.2021

1. INTRODUCTION

The Jensen inequality for convex function is one of the most important inequality and no one can ignore the importance of this inequality in almost every field of science. Due to the great importance of this inequality, there is an extensive literature devoted to the Jensen inequality concerning different refinements, counterparts, generalizations in different manners and their applications [1–27]. Jensen's inequality has been given for different classes of functions such as (α, m) -convex, $\alpha(x)$ -convex, Q -class convex, m -convex, strongly convex and coordinate convex functions etc [28–32].

The following Jensen inequality for convex functions of several variables has been given in [33].

Theorem 1.1. *Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_m be intervals in \mathbb{R} and $y_j^i \in I_i, \zeta_j \in \mathbb{R}^+$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If $\psi : I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function, then*

$$\psi\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j y_j^1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j}, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j y_j^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j y_j^m}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} \sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \psi(y_j^1, y_j^2, \dots, y_j^m). \quad (1.1)$$

*Corresponding author.

Let $q : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous and strictly monotone function defined on the interval I , then the quasiarithmetic (q-mean) of vector $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in I^n$ with positive weights $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n)$ is defined by

$$q(\mathbf{z}; \boldsymbol{\zeta}) = q^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} \sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j q(z_j) \right). \quad (1.2)$$

Similarly, integral quasiarithmetic mean can be defined as:

Let $q : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous and strictly monotone function defined on the interval I , $g : [a, b] \rightarrow I$ and $p : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be integrable functions, then the quasiarithmetic mean of the function g with weight p is defined by

$$q(g; p) = q^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\int_a^b p(\omega) d\omega} \int_a^b p(\omega) q(g(\omega)) d\omega \right).$$

The following weighted version of Beck's inequality has been given in [34].

Theorem 1.2. *Let $q_i : I_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) be strictly monotone and $P : I_P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and strictly increasing functions whose domains are intervals in \mathbb{R} , and $\psi : I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_m \rightarrow I_P$ be a continuous function. Let $\mathbf{y}^i = (y_1^i, y_2^i, \dots, y_n^i) \in I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_n$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n)$ be a nonnegative n-tuple such that $\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j = 1$, then*

$$\psi \left(q_1(\mathbf{y}^1; \boldsymbol{\zeta}), q_2(\mathbf{y}^2; \boldsymbol{\zeta}), \dots, q_m(\mathbf{y}^m; \boldsymbol{\zeta}) \right) \geq P^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j P(\psi(y_j^1, y_j^2, \dots, y_j^m)) \right). \quad (1.3)$$

holds for all possible \mathbf{y}^i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$, if and only if the function \mathfrak{D} defined on $q_1(I_1) \times q_2(I_2) \times \dots \times q_m(I_m)$ by

$$\mathfrak{D}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = P(\psi(q_1^{-1}(z_1), q_2^{-1}(z_2), \dots, q_m^{-1}(z_m)))$$

is concave.

The inequality in (1.3) is reversed for all possible \mathbf{y}^i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) and $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$, if and only if \mathfrak{D} is convex.

Beck's original result (see [35], p. 249), [36], p. 300], [37], [38], p. 194]) was Theorem 1.2 for the case $m = 2$ which is stated as:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $K : I_K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $L : I_L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be strictly monotone and $N : I_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and strictly increasing functions whose domains are intervals in \mathbb{R} , and let $\psi : I_K \times I_L \rightarrow I_N$ be a continuous function. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in I_K^n$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in I_L^n$ and $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n)$ be a nonnegative n-tuple such that $\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j = 1$. Then the following inequality holds*

$$\psi(K(\mathbf{a}; \boldsymbol{\zeta}), L(\mathbf{b}; \boldsymbol{\zeta})) \geq M(\psi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}); \boldsymbol{\zeta}), \quad (1.4)$$

$$\text{where } \psi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = (\psi(a_1, b_1), \psi(a_2, b_2), \dots, \psi(a_n, b_n)),$$

if and only if the function $H(s, t) = M(\psi(K^{-1}(s), L^{-1}(t)))$, is concave.

The inequality (1.4) holds in reverse direction if and only if H is convex.

Corollary 1.4. [38, p. 194] If $\psi(x, y) = x + y$ and $H(s, t) = M(K^{-1}(s) + L^{-1}(t))$, and if $E := \frac{K'}{K''}, F := \frac{L'}{L''}, G := \frac{N'}{N''}$, where all $K', L', N', K'', L'', N''$ are all positive, then (1.4) holds for all possible tuples \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} if and only if

$$E(x) + F(y) \leq G(x + y). \quad (1.5)$$

Corollary 1.5. [38, p. 194] Let $\psi(x, y) = xy$ and $H(s, t) = M(K^{-1}(s)L^{-1}(t))$. If $A(x) := \frac{K'(x)}{K'(x)+xK''(x)}, B(x) := \frac{L'(x)}{L'(x)+xL''(x)}, C(x) := \frac{M'(x)}{M'(x)+xN''(x)}$, and if the functions K', L', N', A, B, C are all positive, then (1.4) holds for all possible tuples \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} if and only if

$$A(x) + B(y) \leq C(xy). \quad (1.6)$$

This paper is organized as: First of all we prove a refinement of discrete Jensen's inequality for convex functions of several variables connected to two certain tuples. As a application, we deduce refinement of the weighted generalized version of Beck's inequality. In particular, we discuss refinements of Beck's inequality. Also, we present integral version of the related results. At the end of the paper, we establish further generalization of Jensen's inequality related to n certain tuples.

2. MAIN RESULTS

We begin to present refinement of Jensen's inequality for convex functions of several variables.

Theorem 2.1. Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_m be intervals in \mathbb{R} , $\psi : I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function and $y_j^i \in I_i, \zeta_j, \eta_j, \theta_j \in \mathbb{R}^+$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If $\eta_j + \theta_j = 1$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j y_j^1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j}, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j y_j^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j y_j^m}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j}\right) \\ & \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} \psi\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j y_j^1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j y_j^m}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j}\right) \\ & \quad + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} \psi\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j y_j^1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j y_j^m}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j}\right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} \sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \psi(y_j^1, y_j^2, \dots, y_j^m). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

If the function ψ is concave then the reverse inequalities hold in (2.1).

Proof. Since $\eta_j + \theta_j = 1$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j y_j^1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j}, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j y_j^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j y_j^m}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} \right) \\
&= \psi \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j y_j^1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j y_j^1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j y_j^m}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j y_j^m}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} \right) \\
&= \psi \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j y_j^1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j} + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j y_j^1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j}, \dots, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j y_j^m}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j} + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j y_j^m}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} \psi \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j y_j^1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j y_j^m}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} \psi \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j y_j^1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j y_j^m}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} \sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \psi(y_j^1, y_j^2, \dots, y_j^m).
\end{aligned}$$

The first inequality has been obtained by using (1.1) for the case $n = 2$, while the second inequality has been obtained by using (1.1) on both the terms. ■

The integral version of the above theorem can be stated as:

Theorem 2.2. Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_m be intervals in \mathbb{R} , $\psi : I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. Let $u, v, p, g_i : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be integrable functions such that $g_i(\omega) \in I_i, u(\omega), v(\omega), p(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ for all $\omega \in [a, b], i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $v(\omega) + u(\omega) = 1$, $P = \int_a^b p(\omega) d\omega$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi \left(\frac{1}{P} \int_a^b p(\omega) g_1(\omega) d\omega, \dots, \frac{1}{P} \int_a^b p(\omega) g_m(\omega) d\omega \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{P} \int_a^b u(\omega) p(\omega) d\omega \psi \left(\frac{\int_a^b p(\omega) u(\omega) g_1(\omega) d\omega}{\int_a^b p(\omega) u(\omega) d\omega}, \dots, \frac{\int_a^b p(\omega) u(\omega) g_m(\omega) d\omega}{\int_a^b p(\omega) u(\omega) d\omega} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{P} \int_a^b u(\omega) p(\omega) d\omega \psi \left(\frac{\int_a^b p(\omega) v(\omega) g_1(\omega) d\omega}{\int_a^b p(\omega) v(\omega) d\omega}, \dots, \frac{\int_a^b p(\omega) v(\omega) g_m(\omega) d\omega}{\int_a^b p(\omega) v(\omega) d\omega} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{P} \int_a^b p(\omega) \psi(g_1(\omega), g_2(\omega), \dots, g_m(\omega)) d\omega.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

If the function ψ is concave then the reverse inequalities hold in (2.2).

Remark 2.3. Analogously, related refinement can be given for Jensen's inequality (2.8) as given in [33].

In the following theorem we present refinement of the inequality (1.3).

Theorem 2.4. Let $q_i : I_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) be strictly monotone and $P : I_P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and strictly increasing functions whose domains are intervals in \mathbb{R} , and $\psi : I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_m \rightarrow I_P$ be a continuous function. Let $\mathbf{y}^i = (y_1^i, y_2^i, \dots, y_n^i) \in I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_n$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. If $\zeta_j, \eta_j, \theta_j \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\eta_j + \theta_j = 1$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi\left(q_1(\mathbf{y}^1; \zeta), q_2(\mathbf{y}^2; \zeta), \dots, q_m(\mathbf{y}^m; \zeta)\right) \\ & \geq P^{-1}\left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} P\left(\psi\left(q_1(\mathbf{y}^1; \zeta \cdot \eta), \dots, q_m(\mathbf{y}^m; \zeta \cdot \eta)\right)\right)\right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} P\left(\psi\left(q_1(\mathbf{y}^1; \zeta \cdot \theta), \dots, q_m(\mathbf{y}^m; \zeta \cdot \theta)\right)\right)\right] \\ & \geq P^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} \sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j P(\psi(y_j^1, y_j^2, \dots, y_j^m))\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

if and only if the function \mathfrak{D} defined on $q_1(I_1) \times q_2(I_2) \times \dots \times q_m(I_m)$ by

$$\mathfrak{D}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) = P(\psi(q_1^{-1}(z_1), q_2^{-1}(z_2), \dots, q_m^{-1}(z_m))) \quad (2.4)$$

is concave.

The inequalities in (2.3) hold in reverse direction for all possible \mathbf{y}^i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and ζ , if and only if \mathfrak{D} is convex.

Proof. Replace ψ by \mathfrak{D} and y_j^i by $q_j(y_j^i)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and then applying the increasing function P^{-1} in the reverse inequality in (2.1), we obtain (2.3). ■

The integral version of the above theorem can be stated as:

Theorem 2.5. Let $q_i : I_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) be strictly monotone and $T : I_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and strictly increasing functions whose domains are intervals in \mathbb{R} , and $\psi : I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_m \rightarrow I_T$ be a continuous function. Let $u, v, p, g_i : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be integrable functions such that $g_i(\omega) \in I_i, u(\omega), v(\omega), p(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ for all $\omega \in [a, b], i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $v(\omega) + u(\omega) = 1, P = \int_a^b p(\omega) d\omega$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi\left(q_1(g_1; p), q_2(g_2; p), \dots, q_m(g_m; p)\right) \\ & \geq T^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{P} \int_a^b u(\omega) p(\omega) d\omega T\left(\psi\left(q_1(g_1; p \cdot u), \dots, q_m(g_m; p \cdot u)\right)\right)\right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{P} \int_a^b v(\omega) p(\omega) d\omega T\left(\psi\left(q_1(g_1; p \cdot v), \dots, q_m(g_m; p \cdot v)\right)\right)\right] \\ & \geq T^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{P} \int_a^b p(\omega) T(\psi(g_1(\omega), g_2(\omega), \dots, g_m(\omega))) d\omega\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

if and only if the function \mathfrak{D} defined on $q_1(I_1) \times q_2(I_2) \times \dots \times q_m(I_m)$ by

$$\mathfrak{D}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) = T(\psi(q_1^{-1}(z_1), q_2^{-1}(z_2), \dots, q_m^{-1}(z_m)))$$

is concave.

The inequalities in (2.5) hold in reverse direction for all possible $\mathbf{y}^i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ and ζ , if and only if \mathfrak{D} is convex.

As a consequence of the above theorem for the case $m = 2$, the following refinement of Beck's inequality holds:

Corollary 2.6. *Let all the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 hold. If $\eta_j, \theta_j \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\eta_j + \theta_j = 1$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(K(\mathbf{a}; \zeta), L(\mathbf{b}; \zeta)) &\geq M^{-1} \left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} M \left(\psi \left(K(\mathbf{a}; \zeta \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}), L(\mathbf{b}; \zeta \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}) \right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} M \left(\psi \left(K(\mathbf{a}; \zeta \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}), (L(\mathbf{a}; \zeta \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta})) \right) \right) \right] \geq M(\psi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}); \zeta). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

if and only if the function $H(s, t) = M(\psi(K^{-1}(s), L^{-1}(t)))$, is concave.

The inequality (2.6) holds in reverse direction if and only if H is convex.

Corollary 2.7. *Let K, L, M be twice continuously differentiable and strictly monotone functions such that $K', L', M', K'', L'', M''$ are all positive. If $\eta_j, \theta_j \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\eta_j + \theta_j = 1$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} K(\mathbf{a}; \zeta) + L(\mathbf{b}; \zeta) &\geq M^{-1} \left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} M \left(K(\mathbf{a}; \zeta \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}) + L(\mathbf{b}; \zeta \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} M \left(K(\mathbf{a}; \zeta \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}) + L(\mathbf{a}; \zeta \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right) \right] \geq M(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}; \zeta). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

holds for all possible tuples \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} and positive tuple ζ if and only if

$$E(x) + F(y) \leq G(x + y), \quad (2.8)$$

where $E := \frac{K'}{K''}$, $F := \frac{L'}{L''}$, $G := \frac{M'}{M''}$.

Proof. Let $H(s, t) = M(K^{-1}(s) + L^{-1}(t))$. We prove that the function H is concave. Since H is twice continuously differentiable function, therefore for the concavity of H , we show that

$$a_1^2 \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial s^2} + 2a_1 a_2 \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial s \partial t} + a_2^2 \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial t^2} \leq 0, \text{ for all } a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.9)$$

But by taking partial derivatives of H of order 2 and using (2.8), we obtain (2.9).

Finally, using H and $\psi(x, y) = x + y$ in (2.6), we deduce (2.7). ■

In the following corollary we present refinement of the inequality given in Corollary 1.5. The idea of the proof is similar to the proof of Corollary 1.5.

Corollary 2.8. *Let K, L, M be twice continuously differentiable and strictly monotone functions and let $A(x) := \frac{K'(x)}{K'(x) + xK''(x)}$, $B(x) := \frac{L'(x)}{L'(x) + xL''(x)}$, $C(x) := \frac{M'(x)}{M'(x) + xN''(x)}$.*

Also, assume that the functions K', L', N', A, B, C are all positive. If $\eta_j, \theta_j \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\eta_j + \theta_j = 1$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} K(\mathbf{a}; \boldsymbol{\zeta})L(\mathbf{b}; \boldsymbol{\zeta}) &\geq M^{-1} \left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \eta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} M \left(K(\mathbf{a}; \boldsymbol{\zeta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta})L(\mathbf{b}; \boldsymbol{\zeta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \theta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} M \left(K(\mathbf{a}; \boldsymbol{\zeta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta})(L(\mathbf{a}; \boldsymbol{\zeta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta})) \right) \right] \\ &\geq M(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}; \boldsymbol{\zeta}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

holds for all possible tuples \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} and positive tuple $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ if and only if

$$A(x) + B(y) \leq C(xy). \quad (2.11)$$

We give a refinement of the Minkowski's inequality.

Corollary 2.9. Let I be an interval in \mathbb{R} , $\mathbf{y}_j = (y_j^1, y_j^2, \dots, y_j^m) \in I^m$, $\zeta_j, \eta_j, \theta_j \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) such that $\eta_j + \theta_j = 1$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and $M : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous and strictly monotone function. Consider the quasi-arithmetic mean function $M_n : I^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$M_n(\mathbf{y}; \boldsymbol{\zeta}) = M^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j} \sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j M(y_j) \right)$$

is convex, then

$$M_m \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{y}_j; \boldsymbol{\zeta} \right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j M_m(\mathbf{y}_\eta; \boldsymbol{\zeta}) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j M_m(\mathbf{y}_\theta; \boldsymbol{\zeta}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n M_m(\mathbf{y}_j; \boldsymbol{\zeta}), \quad (2.12)$$

where $\mathbf{y}_\eta = \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j y_j^1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j y_j^m}{\sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j} \right)$, $\mathbf{y}_\theta = \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j y_j^1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j y_j^m}{\sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j} \right)$.

Proof. The proof follows by using Theorem 2.1 for $\zeta_j = 1$ and then taking the function $M_m(\cdot; \boldsymbol{\zeta})$ instead of ψ . ■

Remark 2.10. Analogously as above we can give the integral version of Corollaries 2.6-2.9.

3. FURTHER GENERALIZATION

In the following theorem, we present further refinement of the Jensen inequality related to tn sequences.

Theorem 3.1. Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_m be intervals in \mathbb{R} , $\psi : I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function and $y_j^i \in I_i$, $\zeta_j, \theta_j^l \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, t$) such that $\sum_{l=1}^t \theta_j^l = 1$ for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $\bar{\zeta} = \sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j$. Assume that L_1 and L_2 are non

empty disjoint subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ such that $L_1 \cup L_2 = \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \psi\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j y_j^1}{\bar{\zeta}}, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j y_j^2}{\bar{\zeta}}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j y_j^m}{\bar{\zeta}}\right) \\
 & \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_1} \zeta_j \theta_j^l}{\bar{\zeta}} \psi\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_1} \zeta_j \theta_j^l y_j^1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_1} \zeta_j \theta_j^l}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_1} \zeta_j \theta_j^l y_j^m}{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_1} \zeta_j \theta_j^l}\right) \\
 & + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_2} \zeta_j \theta_j^l}{\bar{\zeta}} \psi\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_2} \zeta_j \theta_j^l y_j^1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_2} \zeta_j \theta_j^l}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_2} \zeta_j \theta_j^l y_j^m}{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_2} \zeta_j \theta_j^l}\right) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}} \sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \psi(y_j^1, y_j^2, \dots, y_j^m). \tag{3.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

If the function ψ is concave then the reverse inequalities hold in (3.1).

Proof. Since $\sum_{l=1}^t \theta_j^l = 1$ for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, therefore we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}} \sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \psi(y_j^1, y_j^2, \dots, y_j^m) &= \frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_1} \theta_j^l \zeta_j \psi(y_j^1, y_j^2, \dots, y_j^m) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_2} \theta_j^l \zeta_j \psi(y_j^1, y_j^2, \dots, y_j^m). \tag{3.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Jensen's inequality (1.1) on both terms on the right hand side of (3.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}} \sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j \psi(y_j^1, y_j^2, \dots, y_j^m) \\
 & \geq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_1} \zeta_j \theta_j^l}{\bar{\zeta}} \psi\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_1} \zeta_j \theta_j^l y_j^1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_1} \zeta_j \theta_j^l}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_1} \zeta_j \theta_j^l y_j^m}{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_1} \zeta_j \theta_j^l}\right) \\
 & + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_2} \zeta_j \theta_j^l}{\bar{\zeta}} \psi\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_2} \zeta_j \theta_j^l y_j^1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_2} \zeta_j \theta_j^l}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_2} \zeta_j \theta_j^l y_j^m}{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_2} \zeta_j \theta_j^l}\right) \\
 & \geq \psi\left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_1} \zeta_j \theta_j^l}{\bar{\zeta}} \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_1} \zeta_j \theta_j^l y_j^1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_1} \zeta_j \theta_j^l}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_1} \zeta_j \theta_j^l y_j^m}{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_1} \zeta_j \theta_j^l}\right)\right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_2} \zeta_j \theta_j^l}{\bar{\zeta}} \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_2} \zeta_j \theta_j^l y_j^1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_2} \zeta_j \theta_j^l}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_2} \zeta_j \theta_j^l y_j^m}{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l \in L_2} \zeta_j \theta_j^l}\right)\right] \\
 & \quad \text{(By the convexity of } \psi\text{)} \\
 & = \psi\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j y_j^1}{\bar{\zeta}}, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j y_j^2}{\bar{\zeta}}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_j y_j^m}{\bar{\zeta}}\right). \tag{3.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

■

The integral version of the above theorem can be states as:

Theorem 3.2. Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_m be intervals in \mathbb{R} , $\psi : I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. Let $p, g_i, u_l \in L[a, b]$ such that $g_i(\omega) \in I_i, p(\omega), u_l(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ for all $\omega \in [a, b]$

$(i = 1, 2, \dots, n, l = 1, 2, \dots, t)$ and $\sum_{l=1}^t u_l(\omega) = 1$, $P = \int_a^b p(\omega)d\omega$. Assume that L_1 and L_2 are non empty disjoint subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ such that $L_1 \cup L_2 = \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi \left(\frac{1}{P} \int_a^b p(\omega)g_1(\omega)d\omega, \dots, \frac{1}{P} \int_a^b p(\omega)g_m(\omega)d\omega \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{P} \int_a^b \sum_{l \in L_1} u_l(\omega)p(\omega)d\omega \psi \left(\frac{\int_a^b \sum_{l \in L_1} u_l(\omega)p(\omega)g_1(\omega)d\omega}{\int_a^b \sum_{l \in L_1} u_l(\omega)p(\omega)d\omega}, \dots, \frac{\int_a^b \sum_{l \in L_1} u_l(\omega)p(\omega)g_n(\omega)d\omega}{\int_a^b \sum_{l \in L_1} u_l(\omega)p(\omega)d\omega} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{P} \int_a^b \sum_{l \in L_2} u_l(\omega)p(\omega)d\omega \psi \left(\frac{\int_a^b \sum_{l \in L_2} u_l(\omega)p(\omega)g_1(\omega)d\omega}{\int_a^b \sum_{l \in L_2} u_l(\omega)p(\omega)d\omega}, \dots, \frac{\int_a^b \sum_{l \in L_2} u_l(\omega)p(\omega)g_n(\omega)d\omega}{\int_a^b \sum_{l \in L_2} u_l(\omega)p(\omega)d\omega} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{P} \int_a^b p(\omega) \psi(g_1(\omega), g_2(\omega), \dots, g_m(\omega))d\omega. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

If the function ψ is concave then the reverse inequalities hold in (3.4).

Remark 3.3. All the results presented in this paper may also be generalized using Theorem 3.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.A. Khan, Đ. Pečarić, J. Pečarić, On Zipf-Mandelbrot entropy, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 346 (2019) 192–204.
- [2] M.A. Khan, J. Khan, J. Pečarić, Generalization of Jensen's and Jensen-Steffensen's inequalities by generalized majorization theorem, *J. Math. Inequal.* 11 (4) (2017) 1049–1074.
- [3] M.A. Khan, M. Hanif, Z.A. Khan, K. Ahmad, Y. Chu, Association of Jensen inequality for s -convex function, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2019 (2019) Article No. 162.
- [4] M.A. Khan, Đ. Pečarić, J. Pečarić, Bounds for Shannon and Zipf-mandelbrot entropies, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 40 (18) (2017) 7316–7322.
- [5] M.A. Khan, S.Z. Ullah, Y.-M. Chu, The concept of coordinate strongly convex functions and related inequalities, *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Fisicas y Naturales. Serie A, Matematicas* 113 (2019) 2235–2251.
- [6] M.A. Khan, Đ. Pečarić, J. Pečarić, Bounds for Csiszár divergence and hybrid Zipf-Mandelbrot entropy, *Math. Method. Appl. Sci.* 42 (2019) 7411–7424.
- [7] M.A. Khan, T. Ali, Q. Din, A. Kilicman, Refinements of Jensen's inequality for convex functions on the co-ordinates of a rectangle from the plane, *Filomat* 30 (3) (2016) 803–814.
- [8] M.A. Khan, Z.M. Al-sahwi, Y.-M. Chu, New estimations for Shannon and Zipf-Mandelbrot entropies, *Entropy* 20 (8) (2018) 1–10.
- [9] M.A. Khan, Đ. Pečarić, J. Pečarić, New refinement of the Jensen inequality associated to certain functions with applications, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2020 (2020) Article No. 76.

- [10] S. Khan, M.A. Khan, Y.-M. Chu, New converses of Jensen inequality via Green functions with applications, *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Fisicas y Naturales. Serie A, Matematicas* 114 (3) (2020) Article No. 114.
- [11] M.A. Khan, S. Khan, Y.-M. Chu, A new bound for the Jensen gap with applications in information theory, *IEEE Access* 20 (2020) 98001–98008.
- [12] M.A. Khan, J. Pečarić, Y.-M. Chu, Refinements of Jensen's and McShane's inequalities with applications, *AIMS Mathematics* 5 (5) (2020) 4931–4945.
- [13] S. Khan, M.A. Khan, S.I. Butt, Y.-M. Chu, A new bound for the Jensen gap pertaining twice differentiable functions with applications, *Adv. Difference Equ.* 2020 (2020) Article No. 333.
- [14] K. Ahmad, M.A. Khan, S. Khan, A. Ali, Y.-M. Chu, New estimates for generalized Shannon and Zipf-Mandelbrot entropies via convexity results, *Results in Physics* 18 (2020) Article ID 103305.
- [15] S. Zaheer Ullah, M. Adil Khan, Z.A. Khan, Y.-M. Chu, Coordinate strongly s -convex functions and related results, *J. Math. Inequal.* 14 (3) (2020) 829–843.
- [16] M.A. Khan, S. Khan, Y.-M. Chu, New estimates for the Jensen gap using s -convexity with applications, *Frontier in Physics* 8 (2020) Article No. 313.
- [17] M.A. Khan, Z. Husain, Y.-M. Chu, New estimates for Csiszár divergence and Zipf-Mandelbrot entropy via Jensen-Mercer's inequality, *Complexity* 2020 (2020) Article ID 8928691.
- [18] M.A. Khan, Đ. Pečarić, J. Pečarić, A new refinement of the Jensen inequality with applications in information theory, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 44 (1) (2021) 267–278.
- [19] M.A. Khan, S. Khan, I. Ullah, K.A. Khan, Y.-M. Chu, A novel approach to the Jensen gap through Taylor's theorem, *Math. Meth. Appl Sci.* 44 (2021) 3324–3333.
- [20] M.A. Khan, S. Khan, Đ. Pečarić, J. Pečarić, New improvements of Jensen's type inequalities via 4-convex functions with applications, *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Fisicas y Naturales. Serie A, Matematicas* 115 (2021) Article No. 43.
- [21] K. Ahmad, M.A. Khan, S. Khan, A. Ali, Y.-M. Chu, New estimation of Zipf-Mandelbrot and Shannon entropies via refinements of Jensen's inequality, *AIP Advances* 11 (2021) Article ID 015147.
- [22] D. Choi, M. Krnić, J. Pečarić, More accurate classes of Jensen-type inequalities for convex and operator convex functions, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 21 (2) (2018) 301–321.
- [23] J. Khan, M.A. Khan, J. Pečarić, On Jensen's type inequalities via generalized majorization Inequalities, *Filomat* 32 (16) (2018) 5719–5733.
- [24] S. Khan, M.A. Khan, Y.-M. Chu, Converses of the Jensen inequality derived from the Green functions with applications in information theory, *Math. Method. Appl. Sci.* 43 (5) (2020) 2577–2587.
- [25] J.M. Hot, Y. Seo, An interpolation of Jensen's inequality and its converses with applications to quasi-arithmetic mean inequalities, *J. Math. Inequal.* 12 (2) (2018) 303–313.

- [26] J. Pečarić, J. Perić, New improvement of the converse Jensen inequality, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 21 (1) (2018) 217–234.
- [27] M. Sababheh, Improved Jensen's inequality, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 20 (2) (2017) 389–403.
- [28] M.K. Bakula, J. Pečarić, On the Jensen's inequality for convex functions on the co-ordinates in a rectangle from the plane, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 10 (2006) 1271–1292.
- [29] M.S. Moslehian, M. Kian, Jensen type inequalities for Q -class functions, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 85 (2012) 128–142.
- [30] K. Krulić, J. Pečarić, K. Smoljak, $a(x)$ -convex functions and their inequalities, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 35 (3) (2012) 695–716.
- [31] K. Nikodem, J.L. Sanchez, L. Sanchez, Jensen and Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for strongly convex set-valued maps, *Aust. Math. Aeterna.* 4 (2014) 979–987.
- [32] S.Z. Ullah, M.A. Khan, Y.-M. Chu, A note on generalized convex functions, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2009 (2009) Article No. 291.
- [33] J. Pečarić, F. Proschan, Y.L. Tong, *Convex Functions, Partial Orderings and Statistical Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1992.
- [34] L. Horváth, K.A. Khan, J. Pečarić, Refinements of Hölder and Minkowski inequalities with weights, *Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst.* 158 (2012) 33–56.
- [35] P.S. Bullen, D.S. Mitrinović, P.M. Vasić, *Means and Their Inequalities*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, 1988.
- [36] P.S. Bullen, *Handbook of Means and Their Inequalities*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, 2003.
- [37] E. Beck, Über Ungleichungen von der Form $f(M_\phi(x; \alpha); M_\psi(y; \alpha)) \geq M_\chi(f(x; y); \alpha)$, *Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz.* 320–328 (1970), 1–14.
- [38] D.S. Mitrinović, J. Pečarić, A.M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, 1993.