



# Coincidence and Common Fixed Point Results in $G$ -Metric Spaces using Generalized Cyclic Contraction

Sejal V. Puvar<sup>1,\*</sup> and Rajendra G. Vyas<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, the Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, Vadodara, Gujarat 390002, India

e-mail : [puvarsejal@gmail.com](mailto:puvarsejal@gmail.com) (S.V. Puvar); [drrgvyas@yahoo.com](mailto:drrgvyas@yahoo.com) (R.G. Vyas)

**Abstract** Here, we have established the generalized cyclic contractive condition in  $G$ -metric spaces which can't be reduced to the contractive condition in standard metric spaces. The coincidence and common fixed point results are obtained for the pair of  $(A, B)$ -weakly increasing mappings in  $G$ -metric spaces.

**MSC:** 47H10; 54H25

**Keywords:**  $G$ -metric spaces; cyclic maps; common fixed point

---

Submission date: 26.11.2021 / Acceptance date: 14.03.2022

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 2006, Mustafa and Sims [1] introduced the notion of  $G$ -metric spaces. After that many researchers established fixed point and common fixed point results in  $G$ -metric spaces. Jleli and Samet [2], Samet et al. [3] have shown that  $G$ -metric space has a quasi-metric type structure and then many results on such spaces are derived from quasi-metric spaces.

The notion of cyclic mappings was introduced by Kirk et al. [4] and proved fixed point results for cyclic mappings. Such results are generalized by Shatanawi and Postolache [5] by introducing the notion of  $(A, B)$ -weakly increasing maps.

Shatanawi and Abodayeh [6] introduced new contractive condition and proved fixed point and common fixed point results in  $G$ -metric spaces for which the techniques of Jleli and Samet [2], Samet et al. [3] can't be used to reduce the contractive condition to metric spaces.

In this paper, we have dropped the continuity condition and used  $\psi \in \Psi$  instead of  $\psi \in \Phi$  and generalized the contractive condition of Shatanawi and Abodayeh [6] for cyclic mappings and proved common fixed point result in  $G$ -metric spaces for the pair of  $(A, B)$ -weakly increasing mappings and some illustrative examples are given. Note that

---

\*Corresponding author.

the generalized cyclic contractive condition can't be reduced to contractive conditions in standard metric spaces.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

### Notations:

- (1)  $\Psi$  is the family of all mappings  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  verifying: if  $\{t_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset [0, \infty)$  and  $\psi(t_m) \rightarrow 0$  then  $t_m \rightarrow 0$ .
- (ii)  $\Phi$  is the family of all altering distance functions.

**Definition 2.1.** An altering distance function is a continuous, non-decreasing mapping  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $\phi^{-1}(0) = 0$ .

**Remark 2.2.**  $\Phi \subset \Psi$ .

**Lemma 2.3** ([7]). Let  $\phi \in \Phi, \psi \in \Psi$  and  $t_n \subset [0, \infty)$  be a sequence such that  $\phi(t_{n+1}) \leq \phi(t_n) - \psi(t_n)$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $t_n \rightarrow 0$ .

**Definition 2.4** ([1]). Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. Let  $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a function satisfying the following properties:

- (G<sub>1</sub>)  $G(x, y, z) = 0$ , if  $x = y = z$ ,
- (G<sub>2</sub>)  $G(x, x, y) > 0$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ ,
- (G<sub>3</sub>)  $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$ ; for all  $x, y, z \in X$  with  $z \neq y$ ,
- (G<sub>4</sub>)  $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$  (symmetry in all three variables),
- (G<sub>5</sub>)  $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$ ; for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$  (rectangle inequality).

The function  $G$  is called  $G$ -metric on  $X$  and the pair  $(X, G)$  is called a  $G$ -metric space.

**Definition 2.5.** A  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  is said to be symmetric if  $G(x, y, y) = G(y, x, x)$ ; for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** If  $(X, G)$  is a  $G$ -metric space, then

$$G(x, y, y) \leq 2G(y, x, x), \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space, let  $x \in X$  be a point and let  $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$  be a sequence. We say that:

- (1)  $\{x_n\}$   $G$ -converges to  $x$ , and we write  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$ , if  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_m, x) = 0$ , that is, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $G(x_n, x_m, x) \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $n, m \geq n_0$ . (In such a case,  $x$  is the  $G$ -limit of  $x_n$ ).
- (2)  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -Cauchy if  $\lim_{n, m, k \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_m, x_k) = 0$ , that is, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $G(x_n, x_m, x_k) \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $n, m, k \geq n_0$ .
- (3)  $(X, G)$  is complete if every  $G$ -Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is  $G$ -convergent in  $X$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space, let  $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$  be a sequence and let  $x \in X$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- (a)  $\{x_n\}$   $G$ -converges to  $x$ ,
- (b)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_n, x) = 0$ ,
- (c)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x, x) = 0$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space, let  $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$  be a sequence and let  $x \in X$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- (a)  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -Cauchy,  
 (b)  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_m, x_m) = 0$ .

**Definition 2.10.** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  is asymptotically regular if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.11** ([8], Lemma 4.1.5). Let  $\{x_n\}$  be an asymptotically regular sequence in a  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  and suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  is not Cauchy. Then there exists a positive real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  and two subsequences  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  and  $\{x_{m_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$k \leq n_k < m_k < n_{k+1},$$

$$G(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k+1}, x_{m_k-1}) \leq \varepsilon < G(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k+1}, x_{m_k})$$

and also, for all given  $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{n_k+p_1}, x_{m_k+p_2}, x_{m_k+p_3}) = \varepsilon.$$

**Definition 2.12.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. We say that a mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is  $G$ -continuous at  $x \in X$  if  $\{Tx_m\} \rightarrow Tx$  for all sequence  $\{x_m\} \subseteq X$  such that  $\{x_m\} \rightarrow x$ .

In 2013, Shatanawi and Postolache [5] introduced  $(A, B)$ -weakly increasing functions for pair of mappings.

**Definition 2.13.** Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $A, B$  be two closed subsets of  $X$  with  $X = A \cup B$ . Let  $f, g : X \rightarrow X$  be two mappings. Then the pair  $(f, g)$  is said to be  $(A, B)$ -weakly increasing if  $fx \preceq gfx$  for all  $x \in A$  and  $gx \preceq fgx$  for all  $x \in B$ .

Shatanawi and Abodayeh [6] introduced a new contractive condition by utilizing the notion of  $(A, B)$ - weakly increasing mappings and using auxiliary functions from  $\Phi$ , proved the following common fixed point result in  $G$ -metric spaces.

**Theorem 2.14.** Let  $\preceq$  be an ordered relation in a set  $X$ . Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$ -metric space and  $X = A \cup B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are nonempty closed subsets of  $X$ . Let  $f, g$  be self mappings on  $X$  that satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) The pair  $(f, g)$  is  $(A, B)$ -weakly increasing.
- (2)  $f(A) \subseteq B$  and  $g(B) \subseteq A$ .
- (3) There exist two functions  $\phi, \psi \in \Phi$  such that

$$\phi(G(fx, gfx, gy)) \leq \phi(G(x, fx, y)) - \psi(G(x, fx, y))$$

holds for all comparative elements  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$  and

$$\phi(G(gx, fgx, fy)) \leq \phi(G((x, gx, y))) - \psi(G(x, gx, y))$$

holds for all comparative elements  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \in B$  and  $y \in A$ .

- (4)  $f$  or  $g$  is continuous.

Then,  $f$  and  $g$  have a common fixed point in  $A \cap B$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

Here, we have considered functions  $\psi \in \Psi$  and generalized the contractivity condition of Theorem 2.14 and proved common fixed point theorems in  $G$ -metric spaces.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\preceq$  be an ordered relation in a set  $X$ . Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$ -metric space and  $X = A \cup B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are nonempty closed subsets of  $X$ . Let  $f, g$  be self mappings on  $X$  that satisfy the following conditions:*

- (1) *The pair  $(f, g)$  is  $(A, B)$ -weakly increasing.*
- (2)  *$f(A) \subseteq B$  and  $g(B) \subseteq A$ .*
- (3) *There exist two functions  $\phi \in \Phi, \psi \in \Psi$  such that*

$$\phi(G(fx, gfx, gy)) \leq \phi(M(x, y)) - \psi(M(x, y)) \quad (3.1)$$

*holds for all comparative elements  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$  and*

$$\phi(G(gx, fgy, fy)) \leq \phi(M'(x, y)) - \psi(M'(x, y)) \quad (3.2)$$

*holds for all comparative elements  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \in B$  and  $y \in A$ , where*

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ G(x, fx, y), G(x, fx, fx), G(y, gy, gy), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \left( G(fx, fx, gy), G(x, gfx, gy), G(fx, gfx, y) \right) \right\}$$

*and*

$$M'(x, y) = \max \left\{ G(x, gx, y), G(x, gx, gx), G(y, fy, fy), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \left( G(gx, gx, fy), G(x, fgy, fy), G(gx, fgy, y) \right) \right\}.$$

- (4)  *$f$  or  $g$  is continuous.*

*Then,  $f$  and  $g$  have a common fixed point in  $A \cap B$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is nonempty, start with  $x_0 \in A$ . In view of condition (2), we can construct a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $fx_{2n} = x_{2n+1}$ , for  $x_{2n} \in A$  and  $gx_{2n+1} = x_{2n+2}$ , for  $x_{2n+1} \in B, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

By condition (1), we have  $x_n \preceq x_{n+1}$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $x_{2n_0} = x_{2n_0+1}$  for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x_{2n_0}$  is a fixed point of  $f$  in  $A \cap B$ . Since  $x_{2n_0} \preceq x_{2n_0+1}$ , by condition (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(G(x_{2n_0+1}, x_{2n_0+2}, x_{2n_0+2})) &= \phi(G(fx_{2n_0}, gfx_{2n_0}, gx_{2n_0+1})) \\ &\leq \phi(M(x_{2n_0}, x_{2n_0+1})) - \psi(M(x_{2n_0}, x_{2n_0+1})), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &M(x_{2n_0}, x_{2n_0+1}) \\ &= \max \left\{ G(x_{2n_0}, fx_{2n_0}, x_{2n_0+1}), G(x_{2n_0}, fx_{2n_0}, fx_{2n_0}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. G(x_{2n_0+1}, gx_{2n_0+1}, gx_{2n_0+1}), \frac{1}{2} \left( G(fx_{2n_0}, fx_{2n_0}, gx_{2n_0+1}), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. G(x_{2n_0}, gfx_{2n_0}, gx_{2n_0+1}), G(fx_{2n_0}, gfx_{2n_0}, x_{2n_0+1}) \right) \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ G(x_{2n_0}, x_{2n_0+1}, x_{2n_0+1}), G(x_{2n_0+1}, x_{2n_0+2}, x_{2n_0+2}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{2} \left( G(x_{2n_0+1}, x_{2n_0+1}, x_{2n_0+2}), G(x_{2n_0}, x_{2n_0+2}, x_{2n_0+2}) \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$G(x_{2n_0+1}, x_{2n_0+1}, x_{2n_0+2}) \leq 2G(x_{2n_0+1}, x_{2n_0+2}, x_{2n_0+2}),$$

and by rectangle inequality ( $G_5$ ), we get

$$G(x_{2n_0}, x_{2n_0+2}, x_{2n_0+2}) \leq G(x_{2n_0}, x_{2n_0+1}, x_{2n_0+1}) + G(x_{2n_0+1}, x_{2n_0+2}, x_{2n_0+2}).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{2n_0}, x_{2n_0+1}) &= \max \{ G(x_{2n_0}, x_{2n_0+1}, x_{2n_0+1}), G(x_{2n_0+1}, x_{2n_0+2}, x_{2n_0+2}) \} \\ &= G(x_{2n_0+1}, x_{2n_0+2}, x_{2n_0+2}). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(G(x_{2n_0+1}, x_{2n_0+2}, x_{2n_0+2})) &\leq \phi(G(x_{2n_0+1}, x_{2n_0+2}, x_{2n_0+2})) \\ &\quad - \psi(G(x_{2n_0+1}, x_{2n_0+2}, x_{2n_0+2})). \end{aligned}$$

Implies  $\psi(G(x_{2n_0+1}, x_{2n_0+2}, x_{2n_0+2})) = 0$ . Since  $\psi \in \Psi$ , we have

$$G(x_{2n_0+1}, x_{2n_0+2}, x_{2n_0+2}) = 0$$

and  $x_{2n_0+1} = x_{2n_0+2}$ . So, we get  $x_{2n_0} = x_{2n_0+1} = x_{2n_0+2}$ . Therefore,  $x_{2n_0}$  is a fixed point of  $g$  in  $A \cap B$ . Hence,  $x_{2n_0}$  is a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$  in  $A \cap B$ .

Now, we assume that  $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since,  $x_{2n} \preceq x_{2n+1}$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by condition (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(G(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2})) &= \phi(G(fx_{2n}, gfx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})) \\ &\leq \phi(M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})) - \psi(M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})), \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = \max \{ G(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}), G(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}) \}.$$

**Case i:** If  $M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = G(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2})$ , then by (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(G(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2})) &\leq \phi(G(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2})) \\ &\quad - \psi(G(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2})). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\psi(G(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2})) = 0$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(G(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2})) = 0.$$

Since  $\psi \in \Psi$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}) = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

**Case ii:** If  $M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = G(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})$ . From (3.4), we have

$$\phi(G(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2})) \leq \phi(G(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})) - \psi(G(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})). \tag{3.6}$$

By Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

From (3.5) and (3.7), we obtain that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

From definition of  $G$ -metric spaces, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

That is,  $\{x_n\}$  is asymptotically regular sequence. Now, we prove that  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -Cauchy. It is sufficient to show that  $\{x_{2n}\}$  is a  $G$ -Cauchy sequence. Suppose on contrary that is not. Then by (3.8), (3.9) and Lemma 2.11 there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and two subsequences  $\{x_{2n_k}\}$  and  $\{x_{2m_k}\}$  of  $\{x_{2n}\}$  such that, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \leq 2n_k < 2m_k < 2n_{k+1}$  and for all given  $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{2n_k+p_1}, x_{2m_k+p_2}, x_{2m_k+p_3}) = \varepsilon. \tag{3.10}$$

Since,  $x_{2m_k} \preceq x_{2n_k+1}$ , by using condition (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(G(x_{2m_k+1}, x_{2m_k+2}, x_{2n_k+2})) &= \phi(G(fx_{2m_k}, gfx_{2m_k}, gx_{2n_k+1})) \\ &\leq \phi(M(x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k+1})) - \psi(M(x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k+1})), \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &M(x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k+1}) \\ &= \max \left\{ G(x_{2m_k}, fx_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k+1}), G(x_{2m_k}, fx_{2m_k}, fx_{2m_k}), \right. \\ &\quad G(x_{2n_k+1}, gx_{2n_k+1}, gx_{2n_k+1}), \frac{1}{2} \left( G(fx_{2m_k}, fx_{2m_k}, gx_{2n_k+1}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. G(x_{2m_k}, gfx_{2m_k}, gx_{2n_k+1}), G(fx_{2m_k}, gfx_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k+1}) \right) \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ G(x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k+1}, x_{2n_k+1}), G(x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k+1}, x_{2m_k+1}), \right. \\ &\quad G(x_{2n_k+1}, x_{2n_k+2}, x_{2n_k+2}), \frac{1}{2} \left( G(x_{2m_k+1}, x_{2m_k+1}, x_{2n_k+2}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. G(x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k+2}, x_{2n_k+2}), G(x_{2m_k+1}, x_{2m_k+2}, x_{2n_k+1}) \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By using (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10), we get  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k+1}) = \max\{\varepsilon, 0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\} = \varepsilon$ .

Take  $\{t_k = G(x_{2m_k+1}, x_{2m_k+2}, x_{2n_k+2})\}$ ,  $\{s_k = M(x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k+1})\}$ . Then  $\{t_k\}$  and  $\{s_k\}$  are sequences converging to the same limit  $\varepsilon$  and they satisfy  $\phi(t_k) \leq \phi(s_k) - \psi(s_k)$ , for

all  $k$ .

Therefore,  $\psi(s_k) \leq \phi(s_k) - \phi(t_k)$ .

By taking limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $\phi \in \Phi$ , we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi(s_k) \leq \phi(\varepsilon) - \phi(\varepsilon) = 0.$$

Since  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_k = 0$ . This implies that  $\varepsilon = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $\{x_{2n}\}$  is  $G$ -Cauchy. So, sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -Cauchy. Since  $(X, G)$  is complete, there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $u$ . Therefore, the subsequences  $\{x_{2n+1}\}$  and  $\{x_{2n}\}$  are  $G$ -convergent to  $u$ .

Since  $\{x_{2n}\} \subseteq A$  and  $A$  is closed, implies  $u \in A$ . Also,  $\{x_{2n+1}\} \subseteq B$  and  $B$  is closed, implies  $u \in B$ . We may assume that  $f$  is continuous. So, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_{2n} = fu$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n+1} = u$ . By uniqueness of the limit we have  $fu = u$ .

Since  $u \preceq u$ , by condition (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(G(u, gu, gu)) &= \phi(G(fu, gfu, gu)) \\ &\leq \phi(M(u, u) - \psi(M(u, u))), \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(u, u) &= \max \left\{ G(u, fu, u), G(u, fu, fu), G(u, gu, gu), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{2} \left( G(fu, fu, gu), G(u, gfu, gu), G(fu, gfu, u) \right) \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ G(u, u, u), G(u, gu, gu), \frac{1}{2} (G(u, u, gu), G(u, gu, gu), G(u, gu, u)) \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ G(u, gu, gu), \frac{1}{2} G(u, gu, gu) \right\} \\ &= G(u, gu, gu). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(G(u, gu, gu)) &= \phi(G(fu, gfu, gu)) \\ &\leq \phi(G(u, gu, gu) - \psi(G(u, gu, gu))). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\psi(G(u, gu, gu)) = 0$ . Implies,  $G(u, gu, gu) = 0$ . Hence,  $gu = u$ . Thus,  $u$  is a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$  in  $A \cap B$ . ■

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $\preceq$  be an ordered relation in a set  $X$ . Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$ -metric space and  $X = A \cup B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are nonempty closed subsets of  $X$ . Let  $f$  be a continuous self map on  $X$  that satisfy the following conditions:*

- (1)  $fx \preceq f^2x$ , for all  $x \in X$ .
- (2)  $f(A) \subseteq B$  and  $f(B) \subseteq A$ .
- (3) There exist two functions  $\phi \in \Phi, \psi \in \Psi$  such that

$$\phi(G(fx, f^2x, fy)) \leq \phi(M(x, y) - \psi(M(x, y))) \tag{3.13}$$

holds for all comparative elements  $x, y \in X$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, y) &= \max \{ G(x, fx, y), G(x, fx, fx), G(y, fy, fy), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} (G(fx, fx, fy), G(x, f^2x, fy), G(fx, f^2x, y)) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $f$  has a fixed point in  $A \cap B$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 3.1 by taking  $g = f$ . ■

To support the usability of our result, following example is stated.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be given as  $f(x) = \frac{x^2}{1+x}$ . Take  $A = [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $B = [0, 1]$ . Define the function  $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  as

$$G(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = y = z, \\ \max\{x, y, z\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $G$  is a complete  $G$ -metric on  $X$ . We introduce a relation on  $X$  by  $x \preceq y$  if and only if  $y \leq x$ . Also, define the functions  $\phi, \psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $\phi(t) = 2t$  and  $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{1+2t}$ .

Note that  $fA = [0, \frac{1}{6}] \subseteq B$  and  $fB = [0, \frac{1}{2}] \subseteq A$ .

To prove (1), given  $x \in X$ ,

$$f^2x = \frac{x^2}{(1+x)} \frac{x^2}{(1+x+x^2)}.$$

Since  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\frac{x^2}{(1+x+x^2)} < 1$ . Thus,  $f^2x \leq fx$  and hence  $fx \preceq f^2x$  for all  $x \in X$ .

To prove (3), given  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \geq y$ . Then,

$$G(fx, f^2x, fy) = \max \left\{ \frac{x^2}{(1+x)}, \frac{x^2}{(1+x)} \frac{x^2}{(1+x+x^2)}, \frac{y^2}{(1+y)} \right\} = \frac{x^2}{(1+x)}$$

and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ x, y, \frac{x^2}{2(1+x)}, \frac{x}{2} \right\} = x.$$

Since

$$\frac{2x^2}{(1+x)} \leq 2x - \frac{x}{(1+2x)},$$

we have

$$\phi(G(fx, f^2x, fy)) \leq \phi(M(x, y)) - \psi(M(x, y)).$$

Hence, all the conditions of Corollary 3.2 are satisfied. Notice that 0 is the unique fixed point of  $f$ .

In Theorem 2.14, we drop the condition of continuity and  $\psi(0) = 0$  and replace  $\psi \in \Phi$  with  $\psi \in \Psi$ , then we get the following result.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\preceq$  be an ordered relation in a set  $X$ . Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$ -metric space and  $X = A \cup B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are nonempty closed subsets of  $X$ . Let  $f, g$  be self mappings on  $X$  that satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) The pair  $(f, g)$  is  $(A, B)$ -weakly increasing.
- (2)  $f(A) \subseteq B$  and  $g(B) \subseteq A$ .
- (3) There exist two functions  $\phi \in \Phi, \psi \in \Psi$  such that

$$\phi(G(fx, gfx, gy)) \leq \phi(G(x, fx, y)) - \psi(G(x, fx, y))$$

holds for all comparative elements  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$  and

$$\phi(G(gx, fgy, fy)) \leq \phi(G((x, gx, y))) - \psi(G(x, gx, y))$$

holds for all comparative elements  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \in B$  and  $y \in A$ .



(4)  $f$  or  $g$  is continuous.

Then,  $f$  and  $g$  have a common fixed point in  $A \cap B$ .

*Proof.* By taking  $M(x, y) = G(x, fx, y)$  and  $M'(x, y) = G(x, gx, y)$  in Theorem 3.1 and using similar argument the result can be proved. ■

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions. The first author acknowledges the financial support by SHODH-Scheme (Gujarat Government) with student reference number 202001720096.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 7(2) (2006) 289–297.
- [2] M. Jleli, B. Samet, Remarks on  $G$ -metric spaces and fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2012 (2012) Paper No. 210.
- [3] B. Samet, C. Vetro, F. Vetro, Remarks on  $G$ -metric spaces, *Int. J. Anal.* 2013 (2013) Article ID 917158.
- [4] W. Kirk, P. Srinivasan, P. Veeramani, Fixed points for mappings satisfying cyclical contractive conditions, *Fixed Point Theory* 4 (1) (2003) 79–89.
- [5] W. Shatanawi, M. Postolache, Common fixed point results for mappings under non-linear contraction of cyclic form in ordered metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013 (2013) Paper No. 60.
- [6] W. Shatanawi, K. Abodayeh, Some fixed and common fixed point results in  $G$ -metric spaces which can't be obtained from metric spaces, *Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat.* 38(6) (2020) 43–51.
- [7] B. Amin, R.G. Vyas, Common fixed point theorems in generalized metric spaces in any number of arguments, *Math. Student* 89 (2020) 141–152.
- [8] R.P. Agrawal, E. Karapinar, D. O'Regan, A.F. Roldán-López-De Hierro, *Fixed point theory in metric type spaces*, Springer 2015.