Thai Journal of **Math**ematics Volume 20 Number 2 (2022) Pages 629–637

http://thaijmath.in.cmu.ac.th



On Asymptotically Ideal Invariant Equivalence of Double Sequences

Erdinç Dündar^{1,*}, Uğur Ulusu² and Fatih Nuray¹

 ¹ Department of Mathematics, Afyon Kocatepe University, 03200, Afyonkarahisar, Turkey e-mail : edundar@aku.edu.tr (Erdinç Dündar); fnuray@aku.edu.tr (Fatih Nuray)
² Sivas Cumhuriyet University, 58140, Sivas, Turkey e-mail : ugurulusu@cumhuriyet.edu.tr (Uğur Ulusu)

Abstract In this study, the concepts of asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -equivalence, asymptotically invariant equivalence, strongly asymptotically invariant equivalence and *p*-strongly asymptotically invariant equivalence for double sequences are defined. Also, we investigate the relationships between these new type equivalence concepts.

MSC: 34C41; 40A35

Keywords: Asymptotically equivalence; invariant convergence; \mathcal{I} -convergence; double sequences; statistical convergence

Submission date: 09.05.2018 / Acceptance date: 09.01.2020

1. INTRODUCTION

Let σ be a mapping of the positive integers into themselves. A continuous linear functional ϕ on ℓ_{∞} , the space of real bounded sequences, is said to be an invariant mean or a σ -mean if it satisfies following conditions:

- (1) $\phi(x) \ge 0$, when the sequence $x = (x_n)$ has $x_n \ge 0$ for all n,
- (2) $\phi(e) = 1$, where e = (1, 1, 1, ...) and
- (3) $\phi(x_{\sigma(n)}) = \phi(x_n)$ for all $x \in \ell_{\infty}$.

The mappings σ are assumed to be one-to-one and such that $\sigma^k(n) \neq n$ for all positive integers n and k, where $\sigma^k(n)$ denotes the k th iterate of the mapping σ at n. Thus, ϕ extends the limit functional on c, the space of convergent sequences, in the sense that $\phi(x) = \lim x$ for all $x \in c$.

In the case σ is translation mappings $\sigma(n) = n+1$, the σ -mean is often called a Banach limit and the space V_{σ} , the set of bounded sequences all of whose invariant means are

^{*}Corresponding author.

equal, is the set of almost convergent sequences \hat{c} . It can be shown that

$$V_{\sigma} = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \ell_{\infty} : \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m x_{\sigma^k(n)} = L, \text{ uniformly in } n \right\}.$$

Several authors have studied on invariant convergent sequences (see, [16–18, 20, 21, 26–28, 30, 31, 33]). The concept of strongly σ -convergence was defined by Mursaleen in [17]:

A bounded sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be strongly σ -convergent to L if

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} |x_{\sigma^k(n)} - L| = 0,$$

uniformly in n. It is denoted by $x_k \to L[V_{\sigma}]$.

By $[V_{\sigma}]$, we denote the set of all strongly σ -convergent sequences.

In the case $\sigma(n) = n + 1$, the space $[V_{\sigma}]$ is the set of strongly almost convergent sequences $[\hat{c}]$.

The concept of strongly σ -convergence was generalized by Savaş [27] as below:

$$[V_{\sigma}]_{p} = \left\{ x = (x_{k}) : \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} |x_{\sigma^{k}(n)} - L|^{p} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n \right\},$$

where 0 .

If p = 1, then $[V_{\sigma}]_p = [V_{\sigma}]$. It is known that $[V_{\sigma}]_p \subset \ell_{\infty}$.

The idea of statistical convergence was introduced by Fast [11] and then studied by many authors. The concept of σ -statistically convergent sequence was presented by Savaş and Nuray in [30]. The idea of \mathcal{I} -convergence was introduced by Kostyrko et al. [13] as a generalization of statistical convergence which is based on the structure of the ideal \mathcal{I} of subset of the set of natural numbers \mathbb{N} . Similar concepts can be seen in [12, 20].

A family of sets $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called an ideal if and only if $(i) \ \emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$, (ii) For each $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$, (iii) For each $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and each $B \subseteq A$ we have $B \in \mathcal{I}$.

An ideal is called non-trivial if $\mathbb{N} \notin \mathcal{I}$ and a non-trivial ideal is called admissible if $\{n\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Recently, the concepts of σ -uniform density of subset A of the set \mathbb{N} and corresponding \mathcal{I}_{σ} -convergence for real sequences was introduced by Nuray et al. [20].

Marouf [15] presented definitions for asymptotically equivalent sequences and asymptotic regular matrices. Then, the concept of asymptotically equivalence has been developed by many researchers (see, [22–24, 29, 33, 34, 36]).

Two non-negative sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be asymptotically equivalent if $\lim_k \frac{x_k}{y_k} = 1$. It is denoted by $x \sim y$.

Convergence and \mathcal{I} -convergence of double sequences in a metric space and some properties of this convergence, and similar concepts which are noted following can be seen in [1–4, 6–8, 14, 19, 25, 32, 35].

A double sequence $x = (x_{mn})$ is said to be bounded if $\sup_{m,n} x_{mn} < \infty$. The set of all bounded double sequences will be denoted by ℓ_{∞}^2 .

A non-trivial ideal \mathcal{I}_2 of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is called strongly admissible ideal if $\{i\} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N} \times \{i\}$ belong to \mathcal{I}_2 for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is evident that a strongly admissible ideal is admissible also.

Let (X, ρ) be a metric space and \mathcal{I}_2 be a strongly admissible ideal in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. A double sequence $x = (x_{mn})$ in X is said to be \mathcal{I}_2 -convergent to $L \in X$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_{mn}, L) \ge \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

It is denoted by $\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n \to \infty} x_{mn} = L.$

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and

$$s_{mn} := \min_{s,t} \left| A \cap \left\{ \left(\sigma(s), \sigma(t) \right), \left(\sigma^2(s), \sigma^2(t) \right), ..., \left(\sigma^m(s), \sigma^n(t) \right) \right\} \right|$$

and

$$S_{mn} := \max_{s,t} \left| A \cap \left\{ \left(\sigma(s), \sigma(t) \right), \left(\sigma^2(s), \sigma^2(t) \right), ..., \left(\sigma^m(s), \sigma^n(t) \right) \right\} \right|.$$

If the following

$$\underline{V_2}(A) := \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \frac{s_{mn}}{mn} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{V_2}(A) := \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \frac{S_{mn}}{mn}$$

exist, then they are called a lower and an upper σ -uniform density of the set A, respectively. If $\underline{V_2}(A) = \overline{V_2}(A)$, then $V_2(A) = \underline{V_2}(A) = \overline{V_2}(A)$ is called the σ -uniform density of A.

Denote by \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} the class of all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with $V_2(A) = 0$.

Throughout the paper, we let $\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ be a strongly admissible ideal.

Dündar et al. [5] studied on the concepts of invariant convergence, strongly invariant convergence, p-strongly invariant convergence and ideal invariant convergence of double sequences.

A double sequence $x = (x_{kl})$ is said to be \mathcal{I}_2 -invariant convergent or \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -convergent to L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $A(\varepsilon) = \{(k,l) : |x_{kl} - L| \ge \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma}$; that is, $V_2(A(\varepsilon)) = 0$. It is denoted by $\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma} - \lim x = L$ or $x_{kl} \to L(\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma})$.

The set of all \mathcal{I}_2 -invariant convergent double sequences will be denoted by \mathfrak{I}_2^{σ} .

A double sequence $x = (x_{kl})$ is said to be strongly invariant convergent to L if

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left| x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)} - L \right| = 0,$$

uniformly in s, t. It is denoted by $x_{kl} \to L([V_{\sigma}^2])$.

A double sequence $x = (x_{kl})$ is said to be *p*-strongly invariant convergent to *L*, if

$$\lim_{m,n \to \infty} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} |x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)} - L|^p = 0,$$

uniformly in s, t, where $0 . It is denoted by <math>x_{kl} \to L([V_{\sigma}^2]_p)$.

The set of all *p*-strongly invariant convergent double sequences will be denoted by $[V_{\sigma}^2]_p$.

Hazarika [9] introduced the concept of asymptotically \mathcal{I} -equivalence and investigated it's some properties. Definitions of *P*-asymptotically equivalence, asymptotically statistical equivalence and asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2 -equivalence for double sequences were presented by Hazarika and Kumar [10] as following:

Two non-negative double sequences $x = (x_{kl})$ and $x = (y_{kl})$ are said to be *P*-asymptotically equivalent if $P - \lim_{k,l} \frac{x_{kl}}{y_{kl}} = 1$. It is denoted by $x \sim^P y$.

Two non-negative double sequences $x = (x_{kl})$ and $y = (y_{kl})$ are said to be asymptotically statistical equivalent of multiple L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$P - \lim_{m,n} \frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ k \le m, l \le n : \left| \frac{x_{kl}}{y_{kl}} - L \right| \right\} \right| = 0.$$

It is denoted by $x \sim^{S^L} y$ and simply called asymptotically statistical equivalent if L = 1.

Two non-negative double sequences $x = (x_{kl})$ and $x = (y_{kl})$ are said to be asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2 -equivalent of multiple L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\left\{ (k,l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \left| \frac{x_{kl}}{y_{kl}} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2$$

It is denoted by $x \sim \mathcal{I}_2^L y$ and simply called asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2 -equivalent if L = 1.

2. Asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -Equivalence

In this section, the concepts of asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -equivalence, asymptotically σ_2 -equivalence, strongly asymptotically σ_2 -equivalence and *p*-strongly asymptotically σ_2 -equivalence for double sequences are defined. Also, we investigate the relationships between these new type equivalence concepts.

Definition 2.1. Two non-negative double sequences $x = (x_{kl})$ and $y = (y_{kl})$ are said to be asymptotically invariant equivalent or asymptotically σ_2 -equivalent of multiple L if

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty}\frac{1}{mn}\sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n}\frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}=L,$$

uniformly in s, t. In this case, we write $x \overset{V_{2(L)}^{\sigma}}{\sim} y$ and simply called asymptotically σ_2 -equivalent if L = 1.

Definition 2.2. Two non-negative double sequences $x = (x_{kl})$ and $y = (y_{kl})$ are said to be asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -equivalent of multiple L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$A_{\varepsilon} := \left\{ (k,l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \left| \frac{x_{kl}}{y_{kl}} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma},$$

i.e., $V_2(A_{\varepsilon}) = 0$. In this case, we write $x \stackrel{\mathcal{I}_{2(L)}^{\sigma}}{\sim} y$ and simply called asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -equivalent if L = 1.

The set of all asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -equivalent of multiple L sequences will be denoted by $\mathfrak{I}_{2(L)}^{\sigma}$.

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that $x = (x_{kl})$ and $y = (y_{kl})$ are bounded double sequences. If x and y are asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -equivalent of multiple L, then these sequences are σ_2 -asymptotically equivalent of multiple L. *Proof.* Let $m, n, s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary and $\varepsilon > 0$. Now, we calculate

$$u(m, n, s, t) := \left| \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s), \sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s), \sigma^l(t)}} - L \right|.$$

We have

$$u(m, n, s, t) \le u^{(1)}(m, n, s, t) + u^{(2)}(m, n, s, t),$$

where

$$u^{(1)}(m,n,s,t) := \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{\substack{k,l=1,1\\ \left|\frac{x_{\sigma^{k}(s),\sigma^{l}(t)}}{y_{\sigma^{k}(s),\sigma^{l}(t)} - L\right| \ge \varepsilon}}^{m,n} \left|\frac{x_{\sigma^{k}(s),\sigma^{l}(t)}}{y_{\sigma^{k}(s),\sigma^{l}(t)}} - L\right| \ge \varepsilon$$

and

$$u^{(2)}(m,n,s,t) := \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{\substack{k,l=1,1\\ \frac{x_{\sigma^{k}(s),\sigma^{l}(t)}}{y_{\sigma^{k}(s),\sigma^{l}(t)} - L \end{vmatrix} < \varepsilon}}^{m,n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^{k}(s),\sigma^{l}(t)}}{y_{\sigma^{k}(s),\sigma^{l}(t)}} - L \right| < \varepsilon$$

We have $u^{(2)}(m, n, s, t) < \varepsilon$, for every s, t = 1, 2, The boundedness of $x = (x_{kl})$ and $y = (y_{kl})$ implies that there exists an M > 0 such that

$$\left|\frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L\right| \le M,$$

for k, l = 1, 2, ..., s, t = 1, 2, ... Then, this implies that

$$\begin{split} u^{(1)}(m,n,s,t) &\leq \frac{M}{mn} \left| \left\{ 1 \leq k \leq m, \ 1 \leq l \leq n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ &\leq M \frac{\max_{s,t} \left| \left\{ 1 \leq k \leq m, \ 1 \leq l \leq n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ &= M \frac{S_{mn}}{mn}, \end{split}$$

hence x and y are σ_2 -asymptotically equivalent to multiple L.

The converse of Theorem 2.3 does not hold. For example, $x = (x_{kl})$ and $y = (y_{kl})$ are the double sequences defined by following;

$$x_{kl} := \begin{cases} 2 & , & \text{if } k+l \text{ is an even integer,} \\ \\ 0 & , & \text{if } k+l \text{ is an odd integer.} \end{cases}$$
$$y_{kl} := 1$$

When $\sigma(s) = s + 1$ and $\sigma(t) = t + 1$, these sequences are asymptotically σ_2 -equivalent but they are not asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -equivalent.

Definition 2.4. Two non-negative double sequence $x = (x_{kl})$ and $y = (y_{kl})$ are said to be strongly asymptotically invariant equivalent or strongly asymptotically σ_2 -equivalent of multiple L if

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty}\frac{1}{mn}\sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n}\left|\frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}-L\right|=0,$$

uniformly in s, t. In this case, we write $x \overset{[V_{2(L)}^{\sigma}]}{\sim} y$ and simply called strongly asymptotically σ_2 -equivalent if L = 1.

Definition 2.5. Let $0 . Two non-negative double sequence <math>x = (x_{kl})$ and $y = (y_{kl})$ are said to be *p*-strongly asymptotically invariant equivalent or *p*-strongly asymptotically σ_2 -equivalent of multiple *L* if

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right|^p = 0,$$

uniformly in s, t. In this case, we write $x \overset{[V_{2(L)}^{\sigma}]_{p}}{\sim} y$ and simply called *p*-strongly asymptotically σ_{2} -equivalent if L = 1.

The set of all *p*-strongly asymptotically σ_2 -equivalent of multiple *L* sequences will be denoted by $[\mathcal{V}_{2(L)}^{\sigma}]_p$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $0 . Then, <math>x \overset{[\mathcal{V}_{2(L)}^{\sigma}]_p}{\sim} y \Rightarrow x \overset{\mathcal{I}_{2(L)}^{\sigma}}{\sim} y$.

Proof. Assume that $x \overset{[\mathcal{V}_{\sigma(L)}^{\sigma}]_{p}}{\sim} y$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is given. For every $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right|^p \\ &\geq \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right|^p \\ &\left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \\ &\geq \varepsilon^p \left| \left\{ 1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ &\geq \varepsilon^p \max_{s,t} \left| \left\{ 1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right|^p \\ & \geq \varepsilon^p \frac{\max_{s,t} \left| \left\{ 1 \le k \le m, 1 \le l \le n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right|}{mn} \\ & = \varepsilon^p \frac{S_{mn}}{mn}. \end{split}$$

From by the assumption, this implies that $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \frac{S_{mn}}{mn} = 0$ and so we get $x \stackrel{\mathcal{I}_{2(L)}^{\sigma}}{\sim} y$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $0 and <math>x, y \in \ell_{\infty}^2$. Then, $x \stackrel{\mathcal{I}_{2(L)}^{\sigma}}{\sim} y \Rightarrow x \stackrel{[V_{2(L)}^{\sigma}]_p}{\sim} y$.

Proof. Suppose that $x, y \in \ell_{\infty}^2$ and $x \stackrel{\mathcal{I}_{2(L)}^{\sigma}}{\sim} y$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By assumption, we have $V_2(A_{\varepsilon}) = 0$. The boundedness of x and y implies that there exists an M > 0 such that

$$\left|\frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L\right| \le M$$

for k, l = 1, 2, ..., s, t = 1, 2, ... Observe that, for every $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right|^p \\ &= \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right|^p \\ &\left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \\ &+ \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right|^p \\ &\left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| < \varepsilon \\ &\leq M \frac{\max_{s,t} \left| \left\{ 1 \le k \le m, 1 \le l \le n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ &mn \\ &\leq M \frac{S_{mn}}{mn} + \varepsilon^p. \end{split}$$

Hence, the assumption, we obtain

$$\lim_{m,n \to \infty} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right|^p = 0,$$

uniformly in s, t.

Theorem 2.8. Let $0 . Then, <math>\mathfrak{I}^{\sigma}_{2(L)} \cap \ell^2_{\infty} = [\mathcal{V}^{\sigma}_{2(L)}]_p \cap \ell^2_{\infty}$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7.

Now, we give definition of asymptotically S_2^{σ} -equivalence for double sequences and shall state a theorem that gives a relationship between asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -equivalence and asymptotically S_2^{σ} -equivalence of double sequences.

Definition 2.9. Two non-negative double sequences $x = (x_{kl})$ and $y = (y_{kl})$ are said to be asymptotically S_2^{σ} -equivalent of multiple L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty}\frac{1}{mn}\left|\left\{k\leq m, l\leq n: \left|\frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L\right| \geq \varepsilon\right\}\right| = 0,$$

uniformly in s, t = 1, 2, ..., (denoted by $x \stackrel{S_{2(L)}^{\sigma}}{\sim} y$) and simply called asymptotically S_{2}^{σ} -equivalent if L = 1.

Theorem 2.10. The double sequences $x = (x_{kl})$ and $y = (y_{kl})$ are asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -equivalent to multiple L if and only if they are asymptotically S_2^{σ} -equivalent of multiple L.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by the Scientific Research Project Fund of Afyon Kocatepe University under the project number 18.KARIYER.76.

References

- P. Das, P. Kostyrko, W. Wilczyński, P. Malik, *I* and *I**-convergence of double sequences, Math. Slovaca 58(5) (2008) 605–620.
- [2] E. Dündar, B. Altay, Multipliers for bounded *I*₂-convergent of double sequences, Math. Comput. Modelling 55(3-4) (2012) 1193–1198.
- [3] E. Dündar, B. Altay, *I*₂-convergence and *I*₂-Cauchy of double sequences, Acta Math. Sci. 34B(2) (2014) 343–353.
- [4] E. Dündar, B. Altay, *I*₂-uniform convergence of double sequences of functions, Filomat, 30(5) (2016) 1273–1281.
- [5] E. Dündar, U. Ulusu, F. Nuray, On ideal invariant convergence of double sequences and some properties, Creat. Math. Inform. 27(2) (2018) 161–169.
- [6] M. Gürdal, Some types of convergence, Doctoral Dissertation, Süleyman Demirel Univ. Isparta, 2004.
- [7] M. Gürdal, A. Şahiner, Extremal *I*-limit points of double sequences, Appl. Math. E-Notes, 8 (2008) 131–137.
- [8] M. Gürdal, M.B. Huban, On *I*-convergence of double sequences in the topology induced by random 2-norms, Mat. Vesnik. 66(1) (2014) 73–83.
- [9] B. Hazarika, On asymptotically ideal equivalent sequences, J. Egyptian Math. Soc. 23 (2015) 67–72.
- [10] B. Hazarika, V. Kumar, On asymptotically double lacunary statistical equivalent sequences in ideal context, J. Inequal. Appl. 2013:543 (2013) 1–15.
- [11] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, Colloq. Math. 2 (1951) 241–244.
- [12] P. Kostyrko, M. Macaj, T. Salát, M. Sleziak, *I*-convergence and extermal *I*-limits points, Math. Slovaca, 55 (2005) 443–464.
- [13] P. Kostyrko, T. Salát, W. Wilczyński, *I*-convergence, Real Anal. Exchange, 26(2) (2000) 669–686.
- [14] V. Kumar, On \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{I}^* -convergence of double sequences, Math. Commun. 12 (2007) 171–181.
- [15] M. Marouf, Asymptotic equivalence and summability, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 16(4) (1993) 755–762.

- [16] M. Mursaleen, On finite matrices and invariant means, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 10 (1979) 457–460.
- [17] M. Mursaleen, Matrix transformation between some new sequence spaces, Houston J. Math. 9 (1983) 505–509.
- [18] M. Mursaleen, O.H.H. Edely, On the invariant mean and statistical convergence, Appl. Math. Lett. 22(11) (2009) 1700–1704.
- [19] A. Nabiev, S. Pehlivan, M. Gürdal, On *I*-Cauchy sequences, Taiwanese J. Math. 11(2) (2007) 569–576.
- [20] F. Nuray, H. Gök, U. Ulusu, \mathcal{I}_{σ} -convergence, Math. Commun. 16 (2011) 531–538.
- [21] F. Nuray, E. Savaş, Invariant statistical convergence and A-invariant statistical convergence, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 25(3) (1994) 267–274.
- [22] N. Pancaroğlu Akın, E. Dündar, F. Nuray, Wijsman *I*-invariant convergence of sequences of sets, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. 11(1) (2019) 1–9.
- [23] R.F. Patterson, On asymptotically statistically equivalent sequences, Demonstr. Math. 36(1) (2003) 149–153.
- [24] R.F. Patterson, E. Savaş, On asymptotically lacunary statistically equivalent sequences, Thai J. Math. 4(2) (2006) 267–272.
- [25] A. Pringsheim, Zur theorie der zweifach unendlichen Zahlenfolgen, Math. Ann. 53 (1900) 289–321.
- [26] R.A. Raimi, Invariant means and invariant matrix methods of summability, Duke Math. J. 30(1) (1963) 81–94.
- [27] E. Savaş, Some sequence spaces involving invariant means, Indian J. Math. 31 (1989) 1–8.
- [28] E. Savaş, Strongly σ -convergent sequences, Bull. Calcutta Math. 81 (1989) 295–300.
- [29] E. Savaş, On *I*-asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent sequences, Adv. Difference Equ. 2013 (2013) 111. doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2013-111
- [30] E. Savaş, F. Nuray, On σ -statistically convergence and lacunary σ -statistically convergence, Math. Slovaca 43(3) (1993) 309–315.
- [31] P. Schaefer, Infinite matrices and invariant means, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1972) 104–110.
- [32] Ş. Tortop, E. Dündar, Wijsman I₂-invariant convergence of double sequences of sets, J. Inequal. Spec. Funct. 9(4) (2018) 90–100.
- [33] U. Ulusu, Asymptotically ideal invariant equivalence, Creat. Math. Inform. 27(2) (2018) 215–220.
- [34] U. Ulusu, E. Dündar, Asymptotically lacunary \mathcal{I}_2 -invariant equivalence, J. Intell. Fuzzy Systems 9(4) (2018) 90–100.
- [35] U. Ulusu, E. Dündar, F. Nuray, Lacunary *I*₂-invariant convergence and some properties, Int. J. Anal. Appl. 16(3) (2018) 317–327.
- [36] U. Yamancı, M. Gürdal, On asymptotically generalized statistical equivalent double sequences via ideals, Electron. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 3(1) (2015) 89–96.