



# Quasi-Homeomorphisms Between Ordered Topological Spaces

**Abdelwaheb Mhemdi**

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences and Humanities in Aflaj, Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia*  
*e-mail : mhemdiabd@gmail.com (A. Mhemdi)*

**Abstract** In this paper, we introduce the notion of quasi-homeomorphism in the category of ordered topological spaces. Some related results are given. We give also some relation of quasi-homeomorphisms with  $T_0$ -ordered topological spaces.

**MSC:** 54F05; 54C99; 54B15

**Keywords:** ordered topological space; quasi-homeomorphism;  $T_0$ -ordered topological space

---

Submission date: 20.03.2019 / Acceptance date: 05.07.2021

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For topological spaces, Grothendieck introduced, for the first time, the notion of quasi-homeomorphism (see [1]). After that, Kai-Wing presented an other definition of this notion in [2] that is equivalent to the previous one given by Grothendieck.

L. Nachbin, introduced the definition of ordered topological spaces in [3]. Many recent references was investigated some properties of ordered topological spaces, we cite for examples [4–11]. In this paper we give a generalization of the two definitions of quasi-homeomorphism to the category of ordered topological spaces **OrdTop** which has continuous increasing maps as arrows. We study the equivalence between the two definitions in this category. The case of quasi-homeomorphism for topological spaces will be seen as a particular case from our work when we take the equality as an order.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is devoted to the presentation of quasi-homeomorphisms in **OrdTop**. In Section 3, we study some relations between quasi-homeomorphism and  $T_0$ -ordered topological spaces.

Now, we introduce some basic notions and definitions that will be needed throughout this paper.

Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \gamma)$  be a continuous map between topological spaces.  $f$  is called a quasi-homeomorphism according the Grothendieck definition if the map  $U \mapsto f^{-1}(U)$  is a bijection between the set of all open sets of  $Y$  and the set of all open sets of  $X$ .

$f$  is said to be a quasi-homeomorphism according the Yip definition if it satisfies the following conditions:

- For any closed set  $A$  in  $X$ ,  $f^{-1}(\overline{f(A)}) = A$ .
- For any closed set  $B$  in  $Y$ ,  $\overline{f(f^{-1}(B))} = B$ .

The two previous definitions are equivalent by Proposition 2.2 in [12].

$(X, \tau, \leq)$  is an object of **OrdTop** if  $(X, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set and  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological space.

Let  $(X, \tau, \leq)$  be an ordered topological space and  $A$  be a nonempty subset of  $X$ . We say that  $A$  is an increasing (resp. decreasing) set if, when  $A$  contain  $x$  and  $x \leq y$  (resp.  $y \leq x$ ), then  $A$  must contain  $y$ .

The closed increasing (resp. decreasing) hull of  $A$  is the smallest closed increasing (resp. decreasing) set containing  $A$  it will be denoted by  $I(A)$  (resp.  $D(A)$ ). We denote also by  $C(A)$  the set  $I(A) \cap D(A)$ . You can find all this previous notations and definitions in [13]. If  $x$  is an element of  $(X, \tau, \leq)$  we denote  $I(x)$ ,  $D(x)$  and  $C(x)$  instead of  $I(\{x\})$ ,  $D(\{x\})$  and  $C(\{x\})$ .

It is easy to prove that  $I(x) = I(y)$  and  $D(x) = D(y)$  is equivalent to  $C(x) = C(y)$ . These previous assertions define an equivalence relation in an ordered topological spaces needed in section 3.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

To introduce a similar definition of Grothendieck's quasi-homeomorphism in **OrdTop** we will use the collection of all closed increasing sets of an ordered topological space  $(X, \tau, \leq)$  which will be denoted by  $FI(X)$ .

According to the Grothendieck's definition we introduce the notion of quasi-homeomorphism in **OrdTop** as follows

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $f : (X, \tau, \leq) \longrightarrow (Y, \gamma, \sqsubseteq)$  be an continuous increasing map between two ordered topological spaces.  $f$  is said to be a quasi-homeomorphism if the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_f : FI(Y) &\longrightarrow FI(X) \\ A &\longmapsto f^{-1}(A) \end{aligned}$$

is bijective.

**Remark 2.2.**

- It's clear that every isomorphism is a quasi-homeomorphism.
- If we replace  $FI$  by  $OD$  in the previous definition we get an equivalent definition of quasi-homeomorphism, when  $OD(X)$  denote the collection of all open decreasing sets of  $(X, \tau, \leq)$ .

*Proof.* The first items is straightforward.

For the second one, we use the fact that the complement of an closed increasing set is an open decreasing set. ■

When we take equality as orders in the previous definition we obtain the Grothendieck's definition of quasi-homeomorphism for topological spaces.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $f : (X, \tau, \leq) \longrightarrow (Y, \gamma, \sqsubseteq)$ ,  $g : (Y, \gamma, \sqsubseteq) \longrightarrow (Z, \delta, \preceq)$  and  $h = g \circ f$ . The set  $\{f, g, h\}$  can not contain exactly two quasi-homeomorphisms.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to see that if two of the three maps  $\psi_f, \psi_g, \psi_h$  are bijective, then so is the third one. ■

**Proposition 2.4.** *let  $f : (X, \tau, \leq) \longrightarrow (Y, \gamma, \sqsubseteq)$  be a continuous increasing map between two ordered topological spaces. Then,*

- (1)  *$f$  may induce a quasi-homeomorphism in **Top** from  $(X, \tau)$  to  $(Y, \gamma)$  but  $f$  is not a quasi-homeomorphism in **OrdTop**.*
- (2)  *$f$  may be a quasi-homeomorphism in **OrdTop** but the induced map in **Top** may not be a quasi-homeomorphism.*

*Proof.*

(1) **Counter example 1**

The identity  $Id : (\mathbb{R}, \tau_u, =) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \tau_u, \leq)$  induce a quasi-homeomorphism in **Top** but it is not a quasi-homeomorphism in **OrdTop**.

(2) **Counter example 2**

Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  equipped with the two topologies  $\tau$  and  $\gamma$  defined by its closed sets represented by the following graphs and the natural order.



Identity map from  $X$  to itself is a quasi-homeomorphism in **OrdTop** but not in **Top**. ■

We present, now, the definition of quasi-homeomorphism in **OrdTop** according to Yip’s definition in **Top**.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $f : (X, \tau, \leq) \longrightarrow (Y, \gamma, \sqsubseteq)$  be an continuous increasing map between two ordered topological spaces.  $f$  is said to be a quasi-homeomorphism if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $\forall A \in FI(X) \ A = f^{-1}(I(f(A)))$ .
- (2)  $\forall B \in FI(Y) \ B = I(f(f^{-1}(B)))$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *Definition 2.1 is equivalent to Definition 2.5.*

*Proof.* Clearly Yip’s Definition implies Grothendiek’s Definition.

Conversely, suppose  $f$  satisfies the Grothendiek’s Definition of quasi-homeomorphism.

Let  $A \in FI(X)$ . On one a hand, we have  $A \subseteq f^{-1}(I(f(A)))$ . On an other hand, let  $D \in FI(Y)$  such that  $A = f^{-1}(D)$  then  $f(A) \subseteq D$ .

- If  $x \in f^{-1}(I(f(A)))$  then  $f(x) \in I(f(A))$  or  $I(f(A)) \subseteq D$  which implies that  $x \in q^{-1}(D) = A$  so that  $f^{-1}(I(f(A))) \subseteq A$ .
- Let  $B \in FI(Y)$ .  $f(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq B$  then  $I(f(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq B$  so  $f^{-1}(I(f(f^{-1}(B)))) \subseteq f^{-1}(B)$ . Conversely, let  $x \in f^{-1}(B)$  then  $f(x) \in I(f(f^{-1}(B)))$  so that  $x \in f^{-1}(I(f(f^{-1}(B))))$  and then  $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(I(f(f^{-1}(B))))$ . We can see that  $\varphi_f(B) = \varphi_f(I(f(f^{-1}(B))))$ , since  $\varphi_f$  is bijective then  $B = I(f(f^{-1}(B)))$ . ■

**Corollary 2.7.** *let  $f : (X, \tau, \leq) \longrightarrow (Y, \gamma, \sqsubseteq)$  be a continuous increasing map. Then,*

- If  $f$  is one to one and satisfies the second condition of the Definition 2.5, then  $f$  is a quasi-homeomorphism.
- If  $f$  is onto and satisfies the first condition of the Definition 2.5, then  $f$  is a quasi-homeomorphism.

**Corollary 2.8.** *let  $f : (X, \tau, \leq) \rightarrow (Y, \gamma, \sqsubseteq)$  be a continuous increasing map.  $f$  induce a lattice-isomorphism  $\varphi_f$  if and only if  $f$  is a quasi-homeomorphism.*

In **Top**, a bijective quasi-homeomorphism is a homeomorphism but in **OrdTop**, the bijectivity of a quasi-homeomorphism is not sufficient to be a homeomorphism since it miss the condition "bi-increasing."

**Example 2.9. Counter example**

It is sufficient to take the identity map from  $X = \{0, 1\}$ , with the discreet topology and the equality, to the same set with the same topology and the natural order.

**Corollary 2.10.** *A bijective bi-increasing quasi-homeomorphism is an homeomorphism in **OrdTop**.*

### 3. QUASI-HOMEOMORPHISM AND $T_0$ -ORDERED TOPOLOGICAL SPACE

Let  $(X, \tau, \leq)$  be an ordered topological space.  $(X, \tau, \leq)$  is said to be a  $T_0$ -ordered topological space if for all  $x \neq y$  there exists a monotone (increasing or decreasing) open set which contain one of the point and does not contain the other point. This definition is equivalent to:  $I(x) \cap D(x) = I(y) \cap D(y)$  implies  $x = y$ . We can find this definition in [14].

Let  $(X, \tau, \leq)$  be an ordered topological space. The relation defined on  $X$  by  $x \sim y$  if and only if  $I(x) = I(y)$  and  $D(x) = D(y)$  is an equivalence relation. Now we take the finite step order  $\leq^0$  in the quotient set  $X/\sim$  which is defined by:

$\bar{x} \leq^0 \bar{y}$  if and only if there exist  $z_i, z_i^*, z_i'$  ( $i = 0, \dots, n$ ) such that  $\bar{z}_i = \bar{z}_i^* = \bar{z}_i'$  and  $z_i^* \leq z_{i+1}'$ .

Richmond and Kunzi proved that  $(X/\sim, \tau/\sim, \leq^0)$  is the  $T_0$ -ordered reflection of  $(X, \tau, \leq)$ , you can see Theorem 3.1 in [14].

**Theorem 3.1.** *The canonical surjection  $\mu_X : (X, \tau, \leq) \rightarrow (X/\sim, \tau/\sim, \leq^0)$  is a quasi-homeomorphism*

*Proof.* Since  $\mu_X$  is onto, then by Corollary 2.7 it is sufficient to prove the first condition in Definition 2.5.

We start by proving that  $\forall A \in FI(X)$  we have  $\mu_X^{-1}(\mu_X(A)) = A$ . On one hand, the fact that  $A \subseteq \mu_X^{-1}(\mu_X(A))$  is clear. On an other hand, if  $x \in \mu_X^{-1}(\mu_X(A))$  then there exists  $y \in A$  such that  $y \sim x$  which implies that  $x \in A$  and then  $\mu_X^{-1}(\mu_X(A)) \subseteq A$ .

Now, let us prove that  $A \in FI(X)$  implies  $\mu_X(A) \in FI(X/\sim)$ .

Let  $\bar{x} \in \mu_X(A)$  and  $\bar{x} \leq^0 \bar{y}$ . By the definition of  $\leq^0$  there exists a set of elements  $\{z_i, z_i', z_i^*; 0 \leq i \leq n\}$  such that  $z_0^* \in A$  and  $z_0^* \leq z_1'$  which implies  $z_1' \in A$  and also  $z_1 \in A$ , by induction we can prove that  $y \in A$  so that  $\bar{y} \in p(A)$ . Now, we can see that  $p(A) \in FI(X/\sim)$ .

As a conclusion for all  $A \in FI(X)$  we have

$$\mu_X^{-1}(I(\mu_X(A))) = \mu_X^{-1}(\mu_X(A)) = A. \text{ This is sufficient to complete the proof.}$$



**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau, \leq) \rightarrow (Y, \gamma, \sqsubseteq)$  is a quasi-homeomorphism such that  $X$  is a  $T_0$ -ordered topological space. Then,*

- (1)  $f$  is one to one.
- (2) If  $f$  is onto then  $Y$  is a  $T_0$ -ordered topological space.

*Proof.*

- (1) Let  $x \neq y \in X$ . Since  $X$  is  $T_0$  then there exists an increasing open set  $U$  of  $X$  which contain, for example,  $x$  and not contain  $y$ . Since  $f$  is a quasi-homeomorphism then there exists an open increasing set  $O$  of  $Y$  such that  $f^{-1}(O) = U$ . Finally  $O$  is a closed increasing set which contain  $f(x)$  and not  $f(y)$ . So that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  and  $f$  is one to one.
- (2) Let  $f(x) \neq f(y) \in Y$ . Since  $x \neq y$  there exists an increasing open set  $U$  of  $X$  which contain, for example,  $x$  and not contain  $y$ . Since  $f$  is a quasi-homeomorphism then there exists an open increasing set  $O$  of  $Y$  such that  $f^{-1}(O) = U$ . Finally  $O$  is a closed increasing set which contain  $f(x)$  and not  $f(y)$ . Which complete the proof. ■

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau, \leq) \rightarrow (Y, \gamma, \sqsubseteq)$  be a continuous increasing map and  $\tilde{f}$  be the map defined by the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (X, \tau, \leq) & \xrightarrow{f} & (Y, \gamma, \sqsubseteq) \\
 \mu_X \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \mu_Y \\
 (X/\approx, \tau/\approx, \leq^0) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & (Y/\approx, \gamma/\approx, \sqsubseteq^0)
 \end{array}$$

when  $\tilde{f}(\bar{x}) = \overline{f(x)}$ . Then,  $f$  is a quasi-homeomorphism if and only if  $\tilde{f}$  is a quasi-homeomorphism.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to use the Lemma 2.3. ■

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau, \leq) \rightarrow (Y, \gamma, \sqsubseteq)$  be a quasi-homeomorphism. If  $Y$  is a  $T_0$ -ordered topological space. Then  $f$  is one to one if and only if  $X$  is a  $T_0$ -ordered topological space.*

*Proof.* Let  $x \neq y \in X$ . Since  $f$  is one to one then  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . Now,  $Y$  is  $T_0$  then there exists  $A \in FI(Y)$  such that  $Card(A \cap \{f(x), f(y)\}) = 1$ . Then  $f^{-1}(A) \in FI(X)$  and  $Card(f^{-1}(A) \cap \{x, y\}) = 1$ . Which is sufficient to prove that  $X$  is  $T_0$ . Conversely, it is the first result in Theorem 3.2. ■

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This publication was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research at Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Alkharj, Saudi Arabia.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné, *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, Springer, Cham, New York, 1971.
- [2] Y. Kai-Wing, Quasi-homeomorphisms and lattice-equivalences of topological spaces, *Journ. Austral. Math. Soc.* 14 (1) (1972) 41–44.
- [3] L. Nachbin, *Topology and Order*, D. Van Nostrand Inc. Princeton, New Jersey, 1965.
- [4] T.M. Al-shami, M.E. El-Shafei, M. Abo-Elhamayel, On soft topological ordered spaces, *Journal of King Saud University-Science* 31 (4) (2019) 556–566.
- [5] T.M. Al-shami, M.E. El-Shafei, Two new forms of ordered soft separation axioms, *Demonstr. Math.* 53 (2020) 8–26.
- [6] T.M. Al-shami, M.E. El-Shafei, On supra soft topological ordered spaces, *Arab Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* 26 (1) (2019) 433–445.
- [7] T.M. Al-shami, M.E. El-Shafei, M. Abo-Elhamayel, On soft ordered maps, *General letters in Mathematics* 5 (3) (2018) 118–131.
- [8] T.M. Al-shami, M.E. El-Shafei, Some types of soft ordered maps via soft pre open sets, *Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences* 13 (5) (2019) 707–715.
- [9] T.M. Al-shami, M.E. El-Shafei, M. Abo-Elhamayel, New types of soft ordered mappings via soft  $\alpha$ -open sets, *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 42 (2019) 357–375.
- [10] T.M. Al-shami, M.E. El-Shafei, B. A. Asaad, Other kinds of soft  $\beta$  mappings via soft topological ordered spaces, *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 12 (1) (2019) 176–193.
- [11] M.E. El-Shafei, T. M. Al-shami, Some new types of soft b-ordered mappings, *International Journal of Advances in Mathematics* 2019 (3) (2019) 1 – 14.
- [12] O. Echi, S. Lazaar, Quasihomeomorphisms and lattice equivalent topological spaces, *Applied General Topology* 10 (2) (2009) 227 – 237.
- [13] W.J. Thron, Lattice-equivalence of topological spaces, *Duke. Math. Journ.* 29 (1962) 671–679.
- [14] H-P. A. Künzi, T. A. Richmond,  *$T_i$ -ordered reflections*, *Appl. Gen. Topol.* 6 (2) (2005) 207–216.
- [15] D. Dikranjan, W. Tholen, *Categorical Structure of Closure Operators*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995.
- [16] P.D. Finch, *On the lattice-equivalence of topological spaces*, *Journ. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 6 (4) (1966) 495–511.
- [17] S. Lazaar, A. Mhemdi, *On some properties of  $T_0$ -ordered reflection*, *Appl. Gen. Topol.* 15 (1) (2014) 43–54.