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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the stability of the following pexiderized cosine functional equation: $$
f_{1}(x+y)+f_{2}(x-y)=2 g_{1}(x) g_{2}(y)
$$
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## 1 Introduction

In 1940, S.M. Ulam [8] proposed the stability problem of the additive functional equation: $f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y)$. One year later, D.H. Hyers [3] gave the positive answer to the problem as follows. "Let $f: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ be a function from a Banach space to a Banach space which satisfies the inequality $\| f(x+y)$ -$f(x)-f(y) \| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x, y \in E$. Then there exists a unique additive function $\varphi$ satisfying the inequality $\|f(x)-\varphi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon$." In 1978, a generalized version of Hyers' result was proven by Th. M. Rassias in [6] where $f: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ satisfies the inequality $\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)$ for all $x, y \in E$ and for some constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $0 \leq p<1$.

In 1979, J. Baker, J. Lawrence, and F. Zorzitto [2] introduced that if $f$ satisfies the inequality $\left|E_{1}(f)-E_{2}(f)\right| \leq \varepsilon$, then either $f$ is bounded or $E_{1}(f)=E_{2}(f)$. This concept is now known as the superstability. In 1980, J. A. Baker [1] observed the superstability of the well-known cosine functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2 f(x) f(y) \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following functional equations are some generalized forms of the above functional equation:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2 f(x) g(y), \\
f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2 g(x) f(y)
\end{array} \quad\left(\mathrm{A}_{f g}\right)
$$

The superstability of $\left(\overline{\mathrm{A}_{f g}}\right)$ and $\left(\overline{\mathrm{A}_{g f}}\right)$ were also studied in [4, 5, 7].
In this paper, we investigate the superstability of the pexiderized cosine functional equation

$$
f_{1}(x+y)+f_{2}(x-y)=2 g_{1}(x) g_{2}(y),
$$

where $f_{1}, f_{2}, g_{1}$, and $g_{2}$ are functions from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{C}$.

## 2 Main Results

We will study the superstability of the pexiderized cosine functional equation by starting with Theorem 1 where the unboundedness of $g_{1}$ is assumed.

Theorem 1. Let $f_{1}, f_{2}, g_{1}, g_{2}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be functions satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{1}(x+y)+f_{2}(x-y)-2 g_{1}(x) g_{2}(y)\right| \leq \delta, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then either $g_{1}$ is bounded or there exists an even function $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $h(0)=1$ such that

$$
g_{2}(x+y)+g_{2}(x-y)=2 g_{2}(x) h(y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Proof. Suppose that $g_{1}$ is unbounded. Then we can choose a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $0 \neq\left|g_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, setting $x=x_{n}$ in (2.1) and dividing both sides of the resulting inequality by $\left|2 g_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)\right|$, we have

$$
\left|\frac{f_{1}\left(x_{n}+y\right)+f_{2}\left(x_{n}-y\right)}{2 g_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)}-g_{2}(y)\right| \leq \frac{\delta}{\left|2 g_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)\right|} .
$$

The right-hand side approaches to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{2}(y)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{1}\left(x_{n}+y\right)+f_{2}\left(x_{n}-y\right)}{2 g_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)} \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $(x, y)=\left(x_{n}+y, x\right)$ and then $(x, y)=\left(x_{n}-y, x\right)$ in (2.1), we obtained

$$
\left|f_{1}\left(\left(x_{n}+y\right)+x\right)+f_{2}\left(\left(x_{n}+y\right)-x\right)-2 g_{1}\left(x_{n}+y\right) g_{2}(x)\right| \leq \delta
$$

and

$$
\left|f_{1}\left(\left(x_{n}-y\right)+x\right)+f_{2}\left(\left(x_{n}-y\right)-x\right)-2 g_{1}\left(x_{n}-y\right) g_{2}(x)\right| \leq \delta
$$

By the triangle inequality, the last two inequalities lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{f_{1}\left(x_{n}+(x+y)\right)+f_{2}\left(x_{n}-(x+y)\right)}{2 g_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)}\right. \\
& \quad+\frac{f_{1}\left(x_{n}+(x-y)\right)+f_{2}\left(x_{n}-(x-y)\right)}{2 g_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)} \\
& \left.\quad-2\left(\frac{g_{1}\left(x_{n}+y\right)+g_{1}\left(x_{n}-y\right)}{2 g_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)}\right) g_{2}(x) \right\rvert\, \leq \frac{2 \delta}{\left|2 g_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)\right|} . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We notice that the right-hand side converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So we define

$$
h(y)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_{1}\left(x_{n}+y\right)+g_{1}\left(x_{n}-y\right)}{2 g_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)} \quad \text { for all } \quad y \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Notice that $h$ is even and $h(0)=1$.
Then, by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.3), we see that

$$
g_{2}(x+y)+g_{2}(x-y)=2 g_{2}(x) h(y) \quad \text { for all } \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}
$$

as desired.
In the other way around, we will look at the case $g_{2}$ is unbounded.
Theorem 2. Let $f_{1}, f_{2}, g_{1}, g_{2}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be functions satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{1}(x+y)+f_{2}(x-y)-2 g_{1}(x) g_{2}(y)\right| \leq \delta \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then either $g_{2}$ is bounded or there exists an even function $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $h(0)=1$ such that

$$
g_{1}(x+y)+g_{1}(x-y)=2 g_{1}(x) h(y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Proof. Suppose that $g_{2}$ is unbounded. Then we choose a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ such that $0 \neq\left|g_{2}\left(y_{n}\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It can be shown similarly to the above theorem that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(y)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{1}\left(x+y_{n}\right)+f_{2}\left(x-y_{n}\right)}{2 g_{2}\left(y_{n}\right)} \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $(x, y)=\left(x_{n}+y, x\right)$ and $(x, y)=\left(x_{n}-y, x\right)$, respectively, in (2.4) and proceed the same fashion of the previous proof. We are led to defining a function $h$ as follows

$$
h(y)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_{2}\left(y_{n}+y\right)+g_{2}\left(y_{n}-y\right)}{2 g_{2}\left(x_{n}\right)} \quad \text { for all } y \in \mathbb{R}
$$

and we then have

$$
g_{1}(x+y)+g_{1}(x-y)=2 g_{1}(x) h(y) \quad \text { for all } \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}
$$

as desired. Also, note that $h$ is even and $h(0)=1$.
Remark. The continuous solutions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the functional equation

$$
f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2 f(x) g(y)
$$

have been thoroughly investigated [9] and they fall into 4 categories:

- $f(x)=c \cos \alpha x+d \sin \alpha x, g(x)=\cos \alpha x ;$
- $f(x)=c \cosh \alpha x+d \sinh \alpha x, g(x)=\cosh \alpha x$;
- $f(x)=c+d x, g(x) \equiv 1$;
- $f(x) \equiv 0$, and $g$ arbitrary, where $\alpha, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$.

Notice that if we further assume the evenness of $f$, then either $f \equiv 0$ or $\hat{f}(x):=$ $\frac{f(x)}{f(0)}$ is equal to the cosine, the cosine hyperbolic, or the constant function 1 which satisfies the cosine functional equation. The following lemma which is easy to verify shows that the similar argument holds without assuming the continuity. To make it easy to write, we continue using this notation $\hat{f}$ and note that it is legel only when $f(0) \neq 0$.

Lemma 1. Let $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be functions satisfying

$$
f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2 f(x) g(y) \quad \text { for all } \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}
$$

If $f$ is an even function, then either $f \equiv 0$ or $\hat{f}(x)$ satisfies (A).
We now apply the preceding lemma to obtain the following theorems.
Theorem 3. Let $f_{1}, f_{2}, g_{1}, g_{2}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be functions such that

$$
\left|f_{1}(x+y)+f_{2}(x-y)-2 g_{1}(x) g_{2}(y)\right| \leq \delta,
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $g_{2}$ is an even function and $g_{2} \not \equiv 0$. Then either $g_{1}$ is bounded or $\hat{g_{2}}$ satisfies (A).

Proof. Assume that $g_{1}$ is unbounded. It follows from Theorem 1 that there is a function $h$ such that, for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
g_{2}(x+y)+g_{2}(x-y)=2 g_{2}(x) h(y) .
$$

Then, by the use of Lemma 1, we conclude that $\hat{g_{2}}$ satisfies (A).
Similarly, we come to the next theorem.
Theorem 4. Let $f_{1}, f_{2}, g_{1}, g_{2}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be functions such that

$$
\left|f_{1}(x+y)+f_{2}(x-y)-2 g_{1}(x) g_{2}(y)\right| \leq \delta,
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $g_{1}$ is an even function and $g_{1} \not \equiv 0$. Then either $g_{2}$ is bounded or $\hat{g_{1}}$ satisfies (A).

From this point onwards, we observe the stability of the special cases of the proposed functional equation as corollaries. We also have to refer to the definitions of $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ in the proofs of the first two theorems.

Corollary 1. Let $f, g_{1}, g_{2}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be functions such that

$$
\left|f(x+y)+f(x-y)-2 g_{1}(x) g_{2}(y)\right| \leq \delta,
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $g_{2} \not \equiv 0$. Then either $g_{1}$ is bounded or $\hat{g_{2}}$ satisfies (A).

Proof. Taking $f_{1}=f_{2}=f$ in Theorem 3, we infer the evenness of $g_{2}$ from its definition in (2.2) which completes the proof.

Corollary 2. Let $f, g_{1}, g_{2}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be functions such that

$$
\left|f(x+y)+f(x-y)-2 g_{1}(x) g_{2}(y)\right| \leq \delta
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $f$ is even and $g_{1} \not \equiv 0$. Then either $g_{2}$ is bounded or $\hat{g_{1}}$ satisfies (A).

Proof. Take $f_{1}=f_{2}=f$ in Theorem 3. The evenness of $f$ and the definition of $g_{1}$ in (2.5) lead to the evenness of $g_{1}$ which completes the proof.

Corollary 3. Let $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be functions such that

$$
|f(x+y)+f(x-y)-2 f(x) g(y)| \leq \delta
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then either $f$ is bounded or $g$ satisfies (A).
Proof. Take $g_{1}=f$ and $g_{2}=g$ in Corollary 1 and recall (2.2), where $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are substituted by $f$. We see that $g$ is even and $g(0)=1$; therefore, $g$, which is equal to $\hat{g}$, satisfies ( A ).

Corollary 4. Let $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be functions such that

$$
|f(x+y)+f(x-y)-2 g(x) f(y)| \leq \delta
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, provided that $f$ is even, either $f$ is bounded or $g$ satisfies (A).

Proof. Take $g_{1}=g$ and $g_{2}=f$ in Corollary 2 and recall (2.5), where $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are substituted by $f$. The evenness of $g$ follows from the evenness of $f$. Also, we see that $g(0)=1$. Thus, $g$, which is equal to $\hat{g}$, satisfies ( A$)$.

By taking $g=f$ in Corollary 3, we obtain the stability of the cosine functional equation.

Corollary 5. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be functions such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x+y)+f(x-y)-2 f(x) f(y)| \leq \delta \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then either $f$ is bounded or $f$ satisfies (A).
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