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#### Abstract

In this paper we have discussed the Riemannian manifolds admitting a semi-symmetric metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ by taking $\rho$ as a unit parallel vector field with respect to Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$. We found that the manifold $M$ be concircular semi-symmetric with respect to Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ if and only if it is semi-symmetric with respect to $\nabla$ and $M$ be a quasi-Einstein manifold if it will be concircularly-flat with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$. Also, we have shown that a semisymmetric manifold $M$ be a conformally-flat quasi-Einstein manifold under the condition $R . \bar{C}=0$ or $R . \bar{C}-C \cdot \bar{R}=0$ for a concircular curvature tensor $C$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $(M, g)$ be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with Riemannian connection $\nabla$. The torsion tensor $T$ of the connection $\nabla$ in a Riemannian manifold is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(X, Y)=\nabla_{X} Y-\nabla_{Y} X-[X, Y] \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ and $Y$ are vector fields.
In 1932, Hayden introduced the idea of metric connection with non-vanishing torsion tensor on a Riemannian manifold. The basic concept of a semi-symmetric linear connection in a differentiable manifold, is given by Friedmann and Shouten in 1924. A linear connection $\nabla$ is said to be a semi-symmetric connection if the torsion tensor of the connection has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(X, Y)=\omega(Y) X-\omega(X) Y \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]A connection is said to be a metric connection if the covariant derivative of a Riemannian metric $g$ vanishes, i. e., $\nabla g=0$.

In 1970, Yano [1] discussed the Riemannian manifold admitting a semi-symmetric metric connection with vanishing curvature tensor and proved certain results. Recently, the semi-symmetric metric connection is studied by U. C. De and S. C. Biswas [2] in a Riemannian manifold, a semi-symmetric non-metric connection by B. B. Chaturvedi and P. N. Pandey [3]. In 2008, C. Murathan and C. Özgür [4] considered the semi-symmetric metric connection with a unit parallel vector field $\rho$ and obtained some interesting results on a Riemannian manifold. In 2014, Ahmet Yildiz and Azime cetinkaya [5] found some conditions on a Riemannian manifold equipped with a semi-symmetric metric connection to be Projectively semi-symmetric manifold, conformally flat and quasi-Einstein manifold.

If a Riemannian manifold satisfies $R . R=0$ then it is said to be a semi-symmetric manifold. For a concircular tensor C, the Riemannian manifold is called concircular semi-symmetric manifold if $R . C=0$.

## 2. PRELIminaries

If the Ricci tensor $S$ of the connection $\nabla$ in a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{r}{n} g(X, Y), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the manifold is called an Einstein manifold, where $r$ is the scalar curvature tensor. A manifold is called a quasi-Einstein manifold if the Ricci tensor have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(X, Y)=a g(X, Y)+b \omega(X) \omega(Y) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b$ are scalars and $\omega$ is a non-zero 1 -form.
Deszcz, R. [6] defined two tensor fields R.T and $Q(E, T)$ for a tensor field $T$ of type $(0, k)$ and $(0, k+2), k \geq 1$ on $(M, g)$ respectively by

$$
\begin{align*}
(R(X, Y) T)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots ., X_{k}\right)= & -T\left(R(X, Y) X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)-. \\
& -T\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots \ldots, R(X, Y) X_{k}\right), \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
Q(E, T)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots . X_{k}, X, Y\right)= & -T\left(\left(X \wedge_{E} Y\right) X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots ., X_{k}\right)-\ldots \ldots \\
& -T\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots,\left(X \wedge_{E} Y\right) X_{k}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $X \wedge_{E} Y$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(X \wedge_{E} Y\right) Z=E(Y, Z) X-E(X, Z) Y \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a tensor field $E$ of type $(0,2)$.
The Weyl tensor $W$ and the concircular tensor $C$ of a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
W(X, Y, Z, T)= & R(X, Y, Z, T)-\frac{1}{n-2}[S(Y, Z) g(X, T)-S(X, Z) g(Y, T) \\
& +g(Y, Z) S(X, T)-g(X, Z) S(Y, T)]  \tag{2.6}\\
& +\frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)}[g(Y, Z) g(X, T)-g(X, Z) g(Y, T)]
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(X, Y, Z, T)=R(X, Y, Z, T)-\frac{r}{n(n-1)}[g(Y, Z) g(X, T)-g(X, Z) g(Y, T)] \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ denotes the scalar curvature of $M$.
Deszcz, R. gave two lemmas as follows:
Lemma 2.1 ([7]). Let $(M, g)$ be an $n \geq 3$ dimensional Riemannian manifold. Let at a point $x \in M$, a non-zero symmetric tensor $E$ of type $(0,2)$ and a generalized curvature tensor $B$ are given such that $Q(E, B)=0$. Moreover, let $V$ be a vector at $x$ such that the scalar $\rho=a(V)$ is non zero, where $a$ is defined by $a(X)=E(X, V), X \in T_{X} M$.
i) If $E=\frac{1}{\rho} a \otimes a$, then at $x$ we have $X_{X, Y, Z} a(X) B(Y, Z)$ where $X, Y, Z \in T_{X} M$.
ii) If $E-\frac{1}{\rho} a \otimes a$ is non-zero, then at $x$ we have $B=\frac{\gamma}{2} E \wedge E, \gamma \in R$. Moreover, in both cases, at $x$ we have $B . B=Q(\operatorname{Ric}(B), B)$.
Lemma 2.2 ([8]). Let $(M, g)$ be an $n \geq 4$ dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold and $E$ be the symmetric tensor of type $(0,2)$ at $x \in M$ defined by $E=\alpha g+\beta \omega \otimes \omega, \omega \in T_{X} M$, $\alpha, \beta \in R$. If at $x$, the curvature tensor $R$ is expressed by $R=\frac{\gamma}{2} E \wedge E, \gamma \in R$, then the Weyl tensor vanishes at $x$.

## 3. Semi-Symmetric Metric Connection

If $\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection of a Riemannian manifold $M$, then we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+\omega(Y) X-g(X, Y) \rho, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega(X)=g(X, \rho)$ and $X, Y, \rho$ are vector fields on $M$. If $R$ and $\bar{R}$ be the Riemannian curvature tensor with respect to $\nabla$ and $\bar{\nabla}$ respectively, then Yano K. [9] derived a relation between $R$ and $\bar{R}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, T)= & R(X, Y, Z, T)-\theta(Y, Z) g(X, T)+\theta(X, Z) g(Y, T)  \tag{3.2}\\
& -g(Y, Z) \theta(X, T)+g(X, Z) \theta(Y, T)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(X, Y)=\left(\nabla_{X} \omega\right) Y-\omega(X) \omega(Y)+\frac{1}{2} g(X, Y) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if $\rho$ be a parallel unit vector field with respect to the connection $\nabla$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \rho=0 \text { and }\|U\|=1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.4), we can easily determine

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} \omega\right) Y=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from equation (3.3), we have $\theta$ is a symmetric tensor field of type $(0,2)$.
We know that the Kulkarni-Nomizu product $\bar{\pi}$ of two tensors of type $(0,2)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
(g \bar{\wedge})(X, Y, Z, T)= & \theta(Y, Z) g(X, T)-\theta(X, Z) g(Y, T)+  \tag{3.6}\\
& g(Y, Z) \theta(X, T)-g(X, Z) \theta(Y, T) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then equation (3.2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, T)=R(X, Y, Z, T)-(g \bar{\wedge} \theta)(X, Y, Z, T) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By straight forward calculation, we can easily write

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(X, Y) \rho=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contracting above equation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(Y, \rho)=\omega(L Y)=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ denotes the Ricci tensor of the connection $\nabla$ and $L$ is the Ricci operator defined by $g(L X, Y)=S(X, Y)$.
Contracting (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}(Y, Z)=S(Y, Z)-(n-2)[g(Y, Z)-\omega(Y) \omega(Z)] \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{r}=r-(n-1)(n-2) . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.7), (3.10) and (3.11), we obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{W}(X, Y, Z, T)=W(X, Y, Z, T) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}(X, Y, Z, T)=C(X, Y, Z, T)-(g \bar{\wedge} \theta)(X, Y, Z, T)+G(X, Y, Z, T), \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(X, Y, Z, T)=\frac{(n-2)}{n}[g(Y, Z) g(X, T)-g(X, Z) g(Y, T)] . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (3.13), we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{C}(X, Y) Z=C(X, Y) Z+g(Y, Z) \omega(X) \rho-g(X, Z) \omega(Y) \rho \\
& +\omega(Y) \omega(Z) X-\omega(X) \omega(Z) Y-\frac{2}{n}[g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y] \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.3) and (2.4) in (3.14), two important conditions also can be obtained easily

$$
\begin{equation*}
(R(X, Y) G)(Z, T, U, V)=0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(G(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V)=\frac{(n-2)}{n} Q(g, R)(Z, T, U, V, X, Y) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

And from (2.3), (2.4) and (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(C(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V)= & (R(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V) \\
& -\frac{r}{n(n-1)} Q(g, R)(Z, T, U, V, X, Y) . \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. Concircular Semi-Symmetric Manifold and Quasi-Einstein Manifold

From equation (2.3), we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& (R(X, Y) C)(Z, T, U, V)=-C(R(X, Y) Z, T, U, V)-C(Z, R(X, Y) T, U, V) \\
& -C(Z, T, R(X, Y) U, T)-C(Z, T, U, R(X, Y) V) \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.7) in above equation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (R(X, Y) C)(Z, T, U, V)=-R(R(X, Y) Z, T, U, V)-R(Z, R(X, Y) T, U, V) \\
& -R(Z, T, R(X, Y) U, T)-R(Z, T, U, R(X, Y) V) \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.3) in (4.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(R(X, Y) C)(Z, T, U, V)=(R(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above equation shows that $R . C$ vanishes if and only if $R . R$ vanishes. Hence we can state:

Theorem 4.1. If $M$ be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold, then $M$ be a concircular semi-symmetric manifold with respect to the the connection $\nabla$ if and only if it is a semisymmetric manifold with respect to $\nabla$.

Now we can compose:
Theorem 4.2. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold equipped with a semi-symmetric metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$. If the manifold $M$ be a concircularly flat manifold with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$, then $M$ is a quasi-Einstein manifold with respect to $\nabla$.
Proof. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold equipped with a semi-symmetric metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$.
Then from equation (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}(X, Y, Z, T)=\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, T)-\frac{\bar{r}}{n(n-1)}[g(Y, Z) g(X, T)-g(X, Z) g(Y, T)] . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.2) and (3.11) in (4.4), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{C}(X, Y, Z, T)= & R(X, Y, Z, T)+\omega(X) \omega(T) g(Y, Z)-\omega(Y) \omega(T) g(X, Z) \\
& +\omega(Y) \omega(Z) g(X, T)-\omega(X) \omega(Z) g(Y, T)  \tag{4.5}\\
& -\frac{(r+2 n-2)}{n(n-1)}[g(Y, Z) g(X, T)-g(X, Z) g(Y, T)]
\end{align*}
$$

If the manifold $M$ be concircularly flat with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$, then from (4.5) we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y, Z, T)= & \omega(Y) \omega(T) g(X, Z)-\omega(X) \omega(T) g(Y, Z) \\
& +\omega(X) \omega(Z) g(Y, T)-\omega(Y) \omega(Z) g(X, T)  \tag{4.6}\\
& +\frac{(r+2 n-2)}{n(n-1)}[g(Y, Z) g(X, T)-g(X, Z) g(Y, T)]
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $X=T=e_{i}$ and taking summation over $i$ from 1 to $n$ in (4.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(Y, Z)=-\frac{\left(r-n^{2}+2 n-2\right)}{n} g(Y, Z)-(n-2) \omega(Y) \omega(Z) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the manifold $M$ is a quasi-Einstein manifold.

## 5. Conformally-Flat Quasi-Einstein Manifold

Theorem 5.1. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold equipped with a semi-symmetric metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$. If $\rho$ is a parallel unit vector field with respect to the connection $\nabla$, then the following two relations hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (i) }(R(X, Y) \bar{C})(Z, T, U, V)=(R(X, Y) C)(Z, T, U, V) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\text { (ii) } \begin{align*}
& (\bar{C}(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V)=(C(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V) \\
& -Q\left(\frac{2}{n} g-\omega \otimes \omega, R\right)(Z, T, U, V, X, Y) . \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Since $\rho$ is a parallel unit vector field, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(R(X, Y) \theta)(Z, T)=0 \text { and }(R(X, Y) G)(Z, T, U, V)=0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, from (2.3) and (3.13), we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& (R(X, Y) \bar{C})(Z, T, U, V)=(R(X, Y) C)(Z, T, U, V) \\
& -g(Z, T) \bar{\wedge}(R(X, Y) \theta)(U, V)-(R(X, Y) G)(Z, T, U, V) \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (5.3) in (5.4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(R(X, Y) \bar{C})(Z, T, U, V)=(R(X, Y) C)(Z, T, U, V) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the first part of the theorem is completed.
Again from (2.3) and (3.13), we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\bar{C}(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V)=-R(\bar{C}(X, Y) Z, T, U, V)-R(Z, \bar{C}(X, Y) T, U, V) \\
& -R(Z, T, \bar{C}(X, Y) U, V)-R(Z, T, U, \bar{C}(X, Y) V) \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.15) in (5.6), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\bar{C}(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V)=(C(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V) \\
& +\omega(X) \omega(Z) R(Y, T, U, V)-\omega(Y) \omega(Z) R(X, T, U, V) \\
& +\omega(X) \omega(T) R(Z, Y, U, V)-\omega(Y) \omega(T) R(Z, X, U, V) \\
& +\omega(X) \omega(U) R(Z, T, Y, V)-\omega(Y) \omega(U) R(Z, T, X, V) \\
& +\omega(X) \omega(V) R(Z, T, U, Y)-\omega(Y) \omega(V) R(Z, T, U, X)  \tag{5.7}\\
& -\frac{2}{n}[g(X, Z) R(Y, T, U, V)-g(Y, Z) R(X, T, U, V) \\
& +g(X, T) R(Z, Y, U, V)-g(Y, T) R(Z, X, U, V) \\
& +g(X, U) R(Z, T, Y, V)-g(Y, U) R(Z, T, X, V) \\
& +g(X, V) R(Z, T, U, Y)-g(Y, V) R(Z, T, U, X)]
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.4) in (5.7), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\bar{C}(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V)=(C(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V) \\
& -Q(g-\omega \otimes \omega, R)(Z, T, U, V, X, Y)  \tag{5.8}\\
& +(G(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V)
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.17) in (5.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\bar{C}(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V)=(C(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V) \\
& -Q\left(\frac{2}{n} g-\omega \otimes \omega, R\right)(Z, T, U, V, X, Y) \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the second part of the theorem is completed.

Now, from above theorem, we can state:
Theorem 5.2. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold equipped with a semi-symmetric metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$. If $\rho$ is a parallel unit vector field with respect to the connection $\nabla$, then $M$ be a concircular semi-symmetric manifold if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(R(X, Y) \bar{C})(Z, T, U, V)=0 \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.3. Let $(M, g)$ be an $n>3$ dimensional semi-symmetric Riemannian manifold equipped with a semi-symmetric metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$. If $\rho$ is a parallel unit vector field with respect to the connection $\nabla$ and $(\bar{C}(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V)=0$ then $M$ be a conformally flat quasi-Einstein manifold.

Proof. Since $(\bar{C}(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V)=0$, from equation (5.2) we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
(C(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V)=Q\left(\frac{2}{n} g-\omega \otimes \omega, R\right)(Z, T, U, V, X, Y) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.18) in (5.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(R(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V) & -\frac{r}{n(n-1)} Q(g, R)(Z, T, U, V, X, Y)  \tag{5.12}\\
& =Q\left(\frac{2}{n} g-\omega \otimes \omega, R\right)(Z, T, U, V, X, Y)
\end{align*}
$$

Since the manifold $M$ is semi-symmetric, from (5.12) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r}{n(n-1)} Q(g, R)(Z, T, U, V, X, Y)+Q\left(\frac{2}{n} g-\omega \otimes \omega, R\right)(Z, T, U, V, X, Y)=0 . \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.13), we can write easily

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\frac{(r+2 n-2)}{n(n-1)} g-\omega \otimes \omega, R\right)(Z, T, U, V, X, Y)=0 \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

equation (5.14) implies that one of the following two conditions may hold,
either (i) $\operatorname{rank}\left(\frac{(r+2 n-2)}{n(n-1)} g-\omega \otimes \omega\right)(Z, T)=1$,
or (ii) $\operatorname{rank}\left(\frac{(r+2 n-2)}{n(n-1)} g-\omega \otimes \omega\right)(Z, T) \geq 1$.
But the first condition can never hold, because if (i) hold, then at a point $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(r+2 n-2)}{n(n-1)} g-\omega \otimes \omega=\lambda z \otimes z, \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in T_{X} M$ and $\lambda \in R$.
which gives contradiction due to non-zero coefficient of $g$.
Because if $\frac{(r+2 n-2)}{n(n-1)}=0$ then we have $r=-2(n-1)$ which gives negative values of $r$ for $n>3$. Hence the condition (ii) must hold and then from Lemma (2.1) we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(X, Y, Z, T)=\frac{\mu}{2}(g-\omega \otimes \omega) \wedge(g-\omega \otimes \omega)(X, Y, Z, T) \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mu(\neq 0) \in R$.
Again using Lemma (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(X, Y, Z, T)=0 \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the manifold $M$ is a conformally-flat manifold.
Now putting $Z=V=e_{i}$ in (5.14) and taking summation over $i$ from 1 to $n$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{(r+2 n-2)}{n(n-1)}[g(X, T) S(Y, U)-g(Y, T) S(X, U) \\
& +g(X, U) S(Y, T)-g(Y, U) S(T, X)]  \tag{5.18}\\
& +\omega(Y) \omega(T) S(X, U)-\omega(X) \omega(T) S(Y, U) \\
& +\omega(Y) \omega(U) S(T, X)-\omega(X) \omega(U) S(T, Y)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Again putting $X=T=e_{i}$ in equation (5.18) and summing over $i$ from 1 to $n$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(Y, U)=\frac{r}{(r+n-1)}\left[\frac{(r+2 n-2)}{n} g(Y, U)-(n-1) \omega(Y) \omega(U)\right] . \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (5.17) and (5.19) imply, the manifold $M$ is a conformally-flat quasi-Einstein manifold.

Theorem 5.4. Let $(M, g)$ be an $n>3$ dimensional Riemannian manifold equipped with a semi-symmetric metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$. If $\rho$ is a parallel unit vector field with respect to the connection $\nabla$ and $(R(X, Y) \bar{C})(Z, T, U, V)-(\bar{C}(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V)=0$, then $M$ be a conformally-flat quasi-Einstein manifold.
Proof. Since $(R(X, Y) \bar{C})(Z, T, U, V)-(\bar{C}(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V)=0$, from (5.1) and (5.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (R(X, Y) C)(Z, T, U, V)-(C(X, Y) R)(Z, T, U, V) \\
& +Q\left(\frac{2}{n} g-\omega \otimes \omega, R\right)(Z, T, U, V, X, Y)=0 \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.18) and (4.3) in (5.20), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\frac{(r+2 n-2)}{n(n-1)} g-\omega \otimes \omega, R\right)(Z, T, U, V, X, Y)=0 . \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using the method of proof of theorem (5.3), we can prove easily that the manifold $M$ is a conformally-flat quasi-Einstein manifold.
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