



Local Well-posedness of Nonlinear Time–fractional Diffusion Equation

Apassara Suechoei¹ and Parinya Sa Ngiamsunthorn^{2,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Mongkuts University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT), Bang Mod, Thung Khru, Bangkok, 10140, Thailand.

e-mail : apassara.sue@gmail.com (A. Suechoei);

²Mathematics and Statistics with Applications (MaSA), Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Mongkuts University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT), Thung Khru, Bangkok, Thailand.

e-mail : parinya.san@kmutt.ac.th (P. Sa Ngiamsunthorn)

Abstract We study the local well-posedness of the following time-fractional nonlinear diffusion equation

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0,t}^{\alpha,\lambda} u - \Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T], \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $T < \infty$, $p > 1$, $u_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and ${}^C D_{0,t}^{\alpha,\lambda}$ denotes Caputo tempered fractional derivative of order α . The local existence and uniqueness results are obtained from heat kernel and fixed point theorem. Then, we extend the solution to establish a maximal mild solution. Moreover, we provide estimate for continuous dependence on initial condition.

MSC: 26A33; 35A01; 35B30

Keywords: tempered fractional calculus; existence and uniqueness; diffusion equation

Submission date: 26.04.2021 / Acceptance date: 20.07.2021

1. INTRODUCTION

Fractional calculus is a rapidly developing field of research, at the interface between many phenomena and differential equations such as chemistry, physics, biology, engineering, epidemiology, etc (see [1–3]). The research on fractional calculus becomes a focus area of study due to the fact that some dynamical models can be described more accurately with fractional derivatives than the ones with integer-order derivatives. In recent years, there have been many studies on fractional differential equations in various aspects such as existence and uniqueness of solution [4–9], stability of solutions [10, 11], numerical solutions [12, 13] and optimal control problem [14, 15].

One of the main research focuses on fractional calculus is the theory of fractional nonlinear diffusion equations. Nonlinear diffusion equations is an essential class of parabolic partial differential equations, derive from a variety of diffusion phenomena which appear

*Corresponding author.

extensively in nature. Many research suggested mathematical models of physical problems in many fields and scientific phenomena, such as heat transfer, fluid mechanics, plasma physics, plasma waves, thermoelasticity, biochemistry and dynamics of biological groups [16–20]. These models are determined by replacing the first-order time derivative from the classical diffusion equation with a fractional derivative of order α and $0 < \alpha < 1$. So, appreciable attention has been attracted to the time fractional diffusion equation. Recently, researchers have studied fractional calculus for nonlinear diffusion equations based on fixed point theorem [21–26] and in terms of fractional evolution equation [27–30].

In practical applications, there are different types of fractional operators such as Riemann-Liouville, Caputo, Riesz, Hilfer, etc (see [1, 31–34]). Fractional calculus involves the operation of convolution with a power law. In particular, changing the memory kernel of the fractional operator by multiplying with an exponential factor leads to the notion of tempered fractional calculus [35]. Tempered fractional calculus is applied for describing the transition between normal and anomalous diffusions (or the anomalous diffusion in finite time or bounded physical space). Thus, it is natural to find in its focused area of study, for example, tempered fractional diffusion equations, tempered fractional Brownian motion. Moreover, it can be accepted as the generalization of fractional calculus because it can be reduced to the classical of Riemann-Liouville and Caputo fractional calculus.

In 2015, Zhang and Sun [25] investigated the following time-fractional diffusion equation with the Caputo fractional derivative:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0,t}^\alpha u - \Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, $p > 1$ and ${}^C D_{0,t}^\alpha$ is Caputo fractional derivative. They obtained the Fujita critical exponent of (1.1) that if $1 < p < 1 + \frac{2}{n}$, then any nontrivial positive solution of (1.1) blows up in finite time, while if $p \geq 1 + \frac{2}{n}$ and the initial value is sufficiently small, problem (1.1) exists a global solution. This motivates us to study time-fractional diffusion equation with a more general fractional derivative.

This paper is concerned with the local well-posedness of the following Cauchy problems for nonlinear diffusion equations:

$${}^C D_{0,t}^{\alpha,\lambda} u - \Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T], \quad (1.2)$$

subject to the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.3)$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $p > 1$, $0 < T < \infty$, ${}^C D_{0,t}^{\alpha,\lambda}$ denotes Caputo tempered fractional derivative and

$$u_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in C(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0 \right\}.$$

The aim of this paper is to investigate a well-posed problem, that is, a solution exists, the solution is unique and the solution depends continuously upon the given data. Firstly, we derive a mild solution for the problem (1.2)-(1.3) by using Laplace transform and Fourier transform in terms of the heat kernel. Then, the local existence and uniqueness of mild solution for the problem (1.2)-(1.3) is investigated by means of fixed point theorem. Moreover, we extend the result to prove the maximal existence of solutions. The continuous dependence of the solution is studied in the end.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some notions, definitions, preliminaries that will be useful. Next, in Section 3, we derive the mild solution for problem (1.2)-(1.3). Then, we prove local existence and uniqueness of solutions to the problem (1.2)-(1.3) and the maximal existence of solutions will also be investigated in Section 4. In Section 5, the continuous dependence of solutions on initial data will be obtained. Finally, we give an example to illustrate the main results in the last section.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We provide some preliminary details, results and definitions of fractional calculus in this section which are important throughout this paper.

Definition 2.1. The Gamma function $\Gamma : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau} \tau^{s-1} d\tau.$$

Definition 2.2 ([36]). If $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define its Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}[\varphi(x)] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \varphi(x) dx =: \hat{\varphi}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and its inverse Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi(\xi)] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \varphi(\xi) d\xi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Definition 2.3 ([36]). The Laplace transform of a function f is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}\{f(t)\} = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) dt, \quad t > 0,$$

where $f(t)$ is a given function.

Here, we have identified $u(t) = u(\cdot, t)$.

Definition 2.4 (Riemann-Liouville tempered fractional integral, [37]). Let $u(t)$ be piecewise continuous on (a, b) , $u(t) \in L^1([a, b])$ and α, λ be parameters with $\alpha > 0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. The Riemann-Liouville tempered fractional integral operator $I_{a,t}^{\alpha,\lambda}$ of order α is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{a,t}^{\alpha,\lambda} u(t) &:= e^{-\lambda t} I_{a,t}^\alpha (e^{\lambda t} u(t)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} u(s) ds, \quad a \leq t \leq b, \end{aligned}$$

where $I_{a,t}^\alpha$ denotes the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral

$$I_{a,t}^\alpha u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} u(s) ds.$$

Definition 2.5 (Riemann-Liouville tempered fractional derivative [37]). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n-1 < \alpha < n$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. The Riemann-Liouville tempered fractional derivative operator $D_{a,t}^{\alpha,\lambda}$ of order α is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{RL}D_{a,t}^{\alpha,\lambda} u(t) &:= e^{-\lambda t} ({}^{RL}D_{a,t}^\alpha (e^{\lambda t} u(t))) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} e^{\lambda s} u(s) ds, \quad a \leq t \leq b, \end{aligned}$$

where ${}^{RL}D_{a,t}^\alpha$ denotes the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative

$${}^{RL}D_{a,t}^\alpha u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} u(s) ds.$$

Definition 2.6 (Caputo tempered fractional derivative, [37]). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n-1 < \alpha < n$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. The Caputo tempered fractional derivative operator ${}^C D_{a,t}^{\alpha,\lambda}$ of order α is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C D_{a,t}^{\alpha,\lambda} u(t) &:= e^{-\lambda t} ({}^C D_{a,t}^\alpha (e^{\lambda t} u(t))) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} \frac{d^n e^{\lambda s} u(s)}{ds^n} ds, \quad a \leq t \leq b, \end{aligned}$$

where ${}^C D_{a,t}^\alpha$ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative

$${}^C D_{a,t}^\alpha u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} \frac{d^n u(s)}{ds^n} ds.$$

Lemma 2.7 ([37]). Let $u(t) \in AC^n[a, b]$ and $n-1 < \alpha < n$. Then the Caputo tempered fractional derivative and the Riemann-Liouville tempered fractional integral have the composite properties

$$I_{a,t}^{\alpha,\lambda} [{}^C D_{a,t}^{\alpha,\lambda} u(t)] = u(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(t-a)^k}{k!} \left[\frac{d^k (e^{\lambda t} u(t))}{dt^k} \Big|_{t=a} \right]$$

and

$${}^C D_{a,t}^{\alpha,\lambda} [I_{a,t}^{\alpha,\lambda} u(t)] = u(t) \quad \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Lemma 2.8 ([37]). The Laplace transform of the Riemann-Liouville tempered fractional integral is given by

$$\mathcal{L} \{ I_{0,t}^{\alpha,\lambda} f(t) \} = (s+\lambda)^{-\alpha} \mathcal{L} \{ f(t) \}.$$

Definition 2.9 ([31]). The Mittag-Leffler function is defined by

$$E_\alpha(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(k\alpha+1)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha > 0.$$

The two-parameter Mittag-Leffler function is defined by

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(k\alpha+\beta)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0.$$

One can see $E_\alpha(z) = E_{\alpha,1}(z)$ and $E_1(z) = E_{1,1}(z) = e^z$ from the above equation.

Lemma 2.10 ([31]). The Laplace transform of two parameter Mittag-Leffler function is

$$\mathcal{L} \{ t^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\beta}(-at^\alpha) \} = \frac{s^{\alpha-\beta}}{s^\alpha + a}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > |a|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}},$$

where t and s are the variables in the time domain and Laplace domain, respectively.

Lemma 2.11 ([38]). Let $\alpha > 0$ and v be a nonnegative locally integrable function on $[0, T]$ for some $T \leq \infty$. Assume that

- (1) $h(t) \geq 0$ is a nondecreasing continuous function on $[0, T]$,

- (2) there exists $M > 0$ such that $h(t) \leq M$, and
- (3) $u(t)$ is a nonnegative and locally integrable on $0 \leq t < T$.

If

$$u(t) \leq v(t) + h(t) \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha-1} u(s) ds,$$

then

$$u(t) \leq v(t) + \int_0^t \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(h(t)\Gamma(\alpha))^n}{\Gamma(n\alpha)} (t - s)^{n\alpha-1} v(s) \right] ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Moreover, if $v(t)$ is nondecreasing function on $[0, T]$ then

$$u(t) \leq v(t) E_{\alpha}(h(t)\Gamma(\alpha)t^{\alpha}).$$

Definition 2.12 ([1, 39]). The Wright type function is given by

$$\phi_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-z)^k}{k! \Gamma(-\alpha k + 1 - \alpha)} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-z)^k \Gamma(\alpha(k + 1)) \sin(\pi(k + 1)\alpha)}{k!}$$

for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 2.13 ([1, 39]). The wright type function ϕ_{α} is an entire function and has the following properties:

- (1) $\phi_{\alpha}(\theta) \geq 0$ for $\theta \geq 0$ and $\int_0^{\infty} \phi_{\alpha}(\theta) d\theta = 1$;
- (2) $\int_0^{\infty} \phi_{\alpha}(\theta) \theta^r d\theta = \frac{\Gamma(1+r)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha r)}$ for $r > -1$;
- (3) $\int_0^{\infty} \phi_{\alpha}(\theta) e^{-z\theta} d\theta = E_{\alpha}(-z)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$;
- (4) $\alpha \int_0^{\infty} \theta \phi_{\alpha}(\theta) e^{-z\theta} d\theta = E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-z)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

From the problem (1.2)-(1.3), we denote $A = \Delta$ and it generates a semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with domain $D(A) = \{u \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n) | \Delta u \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$. Then $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is an analytic contractive semigroup $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and, for $t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$T(t)u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}(x - y, t) u(y, t) dy,$$

where $\mathcal{G}(x, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} e^{-|x|^2/(4t)}$ and $T(t)$ is a contractive semigroup on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p \geq 1$.

Lemma 2.14 (Lemma 3.5.8, [40]). For $t > 0$, we have

$$\|T(t)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for $u_0 \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$.

3. REPRESENTATION FORMULA OF MILD SOLUTIONS

Lemma 3.1. Let $u_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f \in L^1((0, T], C_0(\mathbb{R}^n))$. The solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0,t}^{\alpha, \lambda} u(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) = f(x, t), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T], \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ satisfies the following integral equation

$$u(x, t) = e^{-\lambda t} S_\alpha(t) u_0 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T_\alpha(t-s) f(x, s) ds,$$

where

$$S_\alpha(t) u_0 = \int_0^\infty \phi_\alpha(\theta) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}(x-y, t^\alpha \theta) u_0(y) dy \right) d\theta$$

and

$$T_\alpha(t) u_0 = \int_0^\infty \alpha \theta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_\alpha(\theta) \mathcal{G}(x-y, t^\alpha \theta) u_0(y) dy \right) d\theta \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Proof. Taking the Fourier transform with respect to x into Equation (3.1), we obtain

$${}^C D_{0,t}^{\alpha,\lambda} \hat{U}(\xi, t) + |\xi|^2 \hat{U}(\xi, t) = \hat{F}(\xi, t) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{U}(\xi, 0) = \hat{U}_0(\xi).$$

Applying Lemma 2.7, we obtain

$$\hat{U}(\xi, t) = e^{-\lambda t} \hat{U}_0(\xi) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-\tau)} \left(-|\xi|^2 \hat{U}(\xi, \tau) + \hat{F}(\xi, \tau) \right) d\tau. \quad (3.2)$$

Taking Laplace transform with respect to t into both side of (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \left\{ \hat{U}(\xi, t) \right\} &= \mathcal{L} \left\{ e^{-\lambda t} \hat{U}_0(\xi) \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(s+\lambda)^\alpha} \mathcal{L} \left\{ \left(-|\xi|^2 \hat{U}(\xi, t) + \hat{F}(\xi, t) \right) \right\} \\ \left(1 + (s+\lambda)^{-\alpha} |\xi|^2 \right) \mathcal{L} \left\{ \hat{U}(\xi, t) \right\} &= \frac{1}{s+\lambda} \hat{U}_0(\xi) + \frac{1}{(s+\lambda)^\alpha} \mathcal{L} \left\{ \hat{F}(\xi, t) \right\} \\ \left((s+\lambda)^{-\alpha} + |\xi|^2 \right) \mathcal{L} \left\{ \hat{U}(\xi, t) \right\} &= (s+\lambda)^{\alpha-1} \hat{U}_0(\xi) + \mathcal{L} \left\{ \hat{F}(\xi, t) \right\} \\ \mathcal{L} \left\{ \hat{U}(\xi, t) \right\} &= \left((s+\lambda)^\alpha + |\xi|^2 \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \left[(s+\lambda)^{\alpha-1} \hat{U}_0(\xi) + \mathcal{L} \left\{ \hat{F}(\xi, t) \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the inverse Laplace transform to the both sides of the above equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}(\xi, t) &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \left((s+\lambda)^\alpha + |\xi|^2 \right)^{-1} (s+\lambda)^{\alpha-1} \right\} \hat{U}_0(\xi) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \left((s+\lambda)^\alpha + |\xi|^2 \right)^{-1} \right\} * \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \mathcal{L} \left\{ \hat{F}(\xi, t) \right\} \right\} \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} E_\alpha(-|\xi|^2 t^\alpha) \hat{U}_0(\xi) + e^{-\lambda t} t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-|\xi|^2 t^\alpha) * \hat{F}(\xi, t) \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} E_\alpha(-|\xi|^2 t^\alpha) \hat{U}_0(\xi) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-|\xi|^2 (t-s)^\alpha) \hat{F}(\xi, s) ds \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^\infty \phi_\alpha(\theta) e^{-|\xi|^2 t^\alpha \theta} d\theta \hat{U}_0(\xi) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \left(\alpha \int_0^\infty \theta \phi_\alpha(\theta) e^{(-|\xi|^2 (t-s)^\alpha \theta)} d\theta \right) \hat{F}(\xi, s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[e^{-|\xi|^2 t} \right] = \frac{1}{(2t)^{n/2}} e^{-|x|^2/(4t)},$$

the inverse Fourier transform of the above equation can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned}
 &u(x, t) \\
 &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^\infty \phi_\alpha(\theta) e^{-|\xi|^2 t^\alpha \theta} d\theta \right] * u_0(x) \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[(t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \left(\alpha \int_0^\infty \theta \phi_\alpha(\theta) e^{-|\xi|^2 (t-s)^\alpha} d\theta \right) \right] * f(x, s) ds \\
 &= e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^\infty \phi_\alpha(\theta) \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-|\xi|^2 t^\alpha \theta} e^{ix\xi} d\xi \right\} d\theta * u_0(x) \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \\
 &\quad \quad \times \left(\alpha \int_0^\infty \theta \phi_\alpha(\theta) \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-|\xi|^2 (t-s)^\alpha} e^{ix\xi} d\xi \right\} d\theta \right) * f(x, s) ds \\
 &= e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^\infty \phi_\alpha(\theta) \mathcal{G}(x, t^\alpha \theta) d\theta * u_0(x) \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \left(\alpha \int_0^\infty \theta \phi_\alpha(\theta) \mathcal{G}(x, (t-s)^\alpha \theta) d\theta \right) * f(x, s) ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{G}(x, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}$ is the heat kernel.

Therefore, the solution of Equation (3.1) can be represented by

$$\begin{aligned}
 &u(x, t) \\
 &= e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^\infty \phi_\alpha(\theta) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}(x-y, t^\alpha \theta) u_0(y) dy \right) d\theta \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \left(\int_0^\infty \alpha \theta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_\alpha(\theta) \mathcal{G}(x-y, (t-s)^\alpha \theta) f(y, s) dy \right) d\theta \right) ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2 ([25]). *The operator $S_\alpha(t)$ for $t > 0$ has the following properties:*

(1) *If $u_0 \geq 0$ and $u_0 \neq 0$, then*

$$S_\alpha(t)u_0 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|S_\alpha(t)u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

(2) *If $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} < \frac{2}{n}$, then*

$$\|S_\alpha(t)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq (4\pi t^\alpha)^{-\frac{n}{2r}} \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{n}{2r})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{n}{2r}\alpha)} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Lemma 3.3 ([25]). *The operator $T_\alpha(t)$ for $t > 0$ has the following properties:*

(1) *If $u_0 \geq 0$ and $u_0 \neq 0$, then*

$$T_\alpha(t)u_0 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_\alpha(t)u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

(2) *If $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} < \frac{4}{n}$, then*

$$\|T_\alpha(t)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \alpha(4\pi t^\alpha)^{-\frac{n}{2r}} \frac{\Gamma(2 - \frac{n}{2r})}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha - \alpha\frac{n}{2r})} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Lemma 3.4. Assume that $f \in L^p([0, T]; C_0(\mathbb{R}^n))$, $p > 1$, $\alpha p > 1$ and

$$w(t) = \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T_\alpha(t-s) f(s) ds.$$

Then $w \in C([0, T]; C_0(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

Proof. The proof follows a similar argument to the proof of Lemma 2.4 in [25]. Let $X = C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We have that for every $h > 0$ and $t \leq t+h \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} w(t+h) - w(t) &= \int_0^{t+h} (t+h-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t+h-s)} T_\alpha(t+h-s) f(s) ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T_\alpha(t-s) f(s) ds \\ &= \alpha \int_0^{t+h} \int_0^\infty \theta \phi_\alpha(\theta) (t+h-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t+h-s)} T((t+h-s)^\alpha \theta) f(s) d\theta ds \\ &\quad - \alpha \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta \phi_\alpha(\theta) (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) f(s) d\theta ds \\ &= \alpha \int_t^{t+h} \int_0^\infty \theta \phi_\alpha(\theta) (t+h-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t+h-s)} T((t+h-s)^\alpha \theta) f(s) d\theta ds \\ &\quad + \alpha \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta \phi_\alpha(\theta) \left[(t+h-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t+h-s)} T((t+h-s)^\alpha \theta) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) \right] f(s) d\theta ds \\ &=: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate I_1 by Hölder inequality and Proposition 2.13 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_1\|_X &\leq \alpha \int_t^{t+h} \int_0^\infty \theta \phi_\alpha(\theta) (t+h-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t+h-s)} \|f(s)\|_X d\theta ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_t^{t+h} \left(\int_0^\infty \phi_\alpha(\theta) d\theta \right) (t+h-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t+h-s)} \|f(s)\|_X ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \|f\|_{L^p((0,T),X)} \left(\int_t^{t+h} \left[(t+h-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t+h-s)} \right]^{\frac{p}{p-1}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-\lambda(t+h)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \|f\|_{L^p((0,T),X)} \left(\int_t^{t+h} \left[(t+h-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{\lambda s} \right]^{\frac{p}{p-1}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p\alpha-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^p((0,T),X)} h^{\frac{p\alpha-1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

To estimate I_2 , consider for $0 < s < t$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| (t+h-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t+h-s)} T((t+h-s)^\alpha \theta) f(s) - (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) f(s) \right\|_X \\ &\leq 2(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|f(s)\|_X \end{aligned}$$

and there exists constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left[(t+h-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t+h-s)} T((t+h-s)^\alpha \theta) - (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) \right] f(s) \right\|_X \\ & \leq \left| (t+h-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t+h-s)} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \right| \|T((t+h-s)^\alpha \theta) f(s)\|_X \\ & \quad + (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \|(T((t+h-s)^\alpha \theta) - T((t-s)^\alpha \theta)) f(s)\|_X \\ & \leq C(t-s)^{\alpha-2} h \|f(s)\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_2\|_X & \leq C \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \alpha \theta \phi_\alpha(\theta) \min \left\{ \frac{1}{(t-s)^{1-\alpha}}, \frac{h}{(t-s)^{2-\alpha}} \right\} d\theta \|f(s)\|_X ds \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\int_0^t \left(\min \left\{ \frac{1}{(t-s)^{1-\alpha}}, \frac{h}{(t-s)^{2-\alpha}} \right\} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^p((0,T),X)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \left(\min \left\{ \frac{1}{(t-s)^{1-\alpha}}, \frac{h}{(t-s)^{2-\alpha}} \right\} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} ds \\ & = \int_0^t \left(\min \left\{ \frac{1}{s^{1-\alpha}}, \frac{h}{s^{2-\alpha}} \right\} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} ds \\ & \leq \int_0^\infty \left(\min \left\{ \frac{1}{s^{1-\alpha}}, \frac{h}{s^{2-\alpha}} \right\} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} ds \\ & = \int_0^h s^{p(\alpha-1)/(p-1)} ds + \int_h^\infty h^{\frac{p}{p-1}} s^{\frac{p(\alpha-2)}{p-1}} ds \\ & = \frac{p(p-1)}{(p\alpha-1)(p+1-p\alpha)} h^{\frac{p\alpha-1}{p-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\|I_2\|_X \leq C \|f\|_{L^p((0,T),X)} h^{\frac{p\alpha-1}{p}}. \tag{3.4}$$

By the estimation (3.3) and (3.4), we conclude

$$\|w(t+h) - w(t)\|_X \leq \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p\alpha-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} h^{\frac{p\alpha-1}{p}} + Ch^{\frac{p\alpha-1}{p-1}} \right) \|f\|_{L^p((0,T),X)}.$$

It follows that

$$\|w(t+h) - w(t)\|_X \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0$$

which implies that w is continuous. ■

4. LOCAL EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS RESULTS

For this section, we will prove the local existence and uniqueness of mild solution for problem (1.2)-(1.3). Firstly, we introduce the definition of mild solution of (1.2)-(1.3).

Definition 4.1. Let $u_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $T > 0$. A function $u \in C([0, T]; C_0(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is called a mild solution of the problem (1.2)-(1.3) if satisfies the following integral equation

$$u(t) = e^{-\lambda t} S_\alpha(t) u_0 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T_\alpha(t-s) |u|^{p-1} u(s) ds.$$

Theorem 4.2. Let $u_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, there exists $T > 0$ such that the problem (1.2)-(1.3) has a unique mild solution u in $C([0, T]; C_0(\mathbb{R}^n))$ provided that satisfy

$$\frac{2^p T^\alpha e^{\lambda T}}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p-1} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{4^{p-1} p T^\alpha e^{\lambda T}}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p-1} < 1. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. For given $T > 0$ and $u_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let

$$E_T = \{u \mid u \in C([0, T]; C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)), \|u\|_{L^\infty((0, T); L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq 2\|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\}$$

and

$$d(u, v) = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{for } u, v \in E_T.$$

Since $C([0, T]; C_0(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is a Banach space, (E_T, d) is a complete metric space. We define the operator as

$$(\mathcal{A}u)(t) = e^{-\lambda t} S_\alpha(t) u_0 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T_\alpha(t-s) |u|^{p-1} u(s) ds, \quad u \in E_T.$$

Then, $\mathcal{A}u \in C([0, T]; C_0(\mathbb{R}^n))$ by Lemma 3.4. First, we will show that \mathcal{A} is a self map on E_T . Let $u \in E_T$. Then for any $t \in [0, T]$ by Lemma 3.2 and 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathcal{A}u)(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq e^{-\lambda t} \|S_\alpha(t) u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T_\alpha(t-s) |u(s)|^{p-1} u(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq e^{-\lambda t} \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} |T_\alpha(t-s)| |u(s)|^{p-1} \|u(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \\ & \leq e^{-\lambda t} \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \|u(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p ds \\ & \leq e^{-\lambda t} \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \frac{2^p \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p e^{-\lambda t}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{\lambda s} ds \\ & \leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \frac{2^p \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p e^{\lambda T}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds \\ & = \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \frac{2^p \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p e^{\lambda T}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \\ & \leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \frac{2^p T^\alpha e^{\lambda T}}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|(\mathcal{A}u)(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2\|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Now, we show that \mathcal{A} is a contraction map. Let $u, v \in E_T$. Then, for any $t \in [0, T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathcal{A}u)(t) - (\mathcal{A}v)(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \left\| T_\alpha(t-s)|u(s)|^{p-1}u(s) - T_\alpha(t-s)|v(s)|^{p-1}v(s) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \left\| |u(s)|^{p-1}u(s) - |v(s)|^{p-1}v(s) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \\ & \leq \frac{p}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \left(\|u(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p-1} + \|v(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p-1} \right) \|u(s) - v(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \\ & \leq \frac{4^{p-1}p \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \|u(s) - v(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \\ & \leq \frac{4^{p-1}p \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \|u(s) - v(s)\|_{L^\infty((0,T);L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} ds \\ & = \frac{4^{p-1}p \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p-1} e^{-\lambda t}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \|u(s) - v(s)\|_{L^\infty((0,T);L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{\lambda s} ds \\ & = \frac{4^{p-1}p \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p-1} t^\alpha e^{\lambda T}}{\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \|u(s) - v(s)\|_{L^\infty((0,T);L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ & \leq \frac{4^{p-1}p \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p-1} T^\alpha e^{\lambda T}}{\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \|u(s) - v(s)\|_{L^\infty((0,T);L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ & < \|u(s) - v(s)\|_{L^\infty((0,T);L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore \mathcal{A} is a contraction map on E_T . As a consequence of the Banach fixed point theorem, there exists a unique fixed point $u^* \in E_T$ such that $u^* = \mathcal{A}u^*$. Therefore, u^* is the unique mild to problems (1.2)-(1.3) on $[0, T]$. ■

Theorem 4.3. *Let $u_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, there exists a maximal time $T_{max} = T(u_0) > 0$ such that the problem (1.2)-(1.3) has a unique mild solution u in $C([0, T]; C_0(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and either $T_{max} = +\infty$ or $T_{max} < +\infty$ and $\|u\|_{L^\infty((0,t);C_0(\mathbb{R}^n))} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow T_{max}$.*

Proof. We notice that a mild solution u of the problem (1.2)-(1.3) defined on $[0, T]$ can be extended to a larger interval $[0, T + h]$ with $h > 0$. Let $v(t) = u(t + T)$ be a mild solution of

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0,t}^{\alpha,\lambda} v - \Delta v = |v|^{p-1}v, & t \in (0, h], \\ v(0) = u(T). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, repeating the methods of steps in Theorem 4.2, we can prove that there exists a maximal interval $[0, T_{max})$ such that the mild solution u of the problem (1.2)-(1.3), where

$$T_{max} = \sup\{T > 0 \mid \text{there exists a mild solution } u \text{ of (1.2)-(1.3) in } C([0, T]; C_0(\mathbb{R}^n))\}.$$

Assume that $T_{max} < +\infty$ and there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq M, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T_{max}).$$

Now, we will claim that $\lim_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}^-} u(t)$ exists in $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $0 < t_1 < t_2 < T_{\max}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u(t_2) - u(t_1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \leq \|e^{-\lambda t_2} S_\alpha(t_2)u_0 - e^{-\lambda t_1} S_\alpha(t_1)u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \quad + \left\| \int_0^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t_2-s)} T_\alpha(t_2 - s) |u(s)|^{p-1} u(s) ds \right. \\
& \quad \quad \left. - \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t_1-s)} T_\alpha(t_1 - s) |u(s)|^{p-1} u(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \leq \|e^{-\lambda t_2} S_\alpha(t_2)u_0 - e^{-\lambda t_1} S_\alpha(t_1)u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \quad + \left\| \int_0^{t_1} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t_2-s)} T_\alpha(t_2 - s) |u(s)|^{p-1} u(s) ds \right. \\
& \quad \quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t_2-s)} T_\alpha(t_2 - s) |u(s)|^{p-1} u(s) ds \\
& \quad \quad - \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t_1-s)} T_\alpha(t_1 - s) |u(s)|^{p-1} u(s) ds \\
& \quad \quad + \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t_2-s)} T_\alpha(t_2 - s) |u(s)|^{p-1} u(s) ds \\
& \quad \quad \left. - \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t_2-s)} T_\alpha(t_2 - s) |u(s)|^{p-1} u(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \leq \|e^{-\lambda t_2} S_\alpha(t_2)u_0 - e^{-\lambda t_1} S_\alpha(t_1)u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \quad + \left\| \int_0^{t_1} [(t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}] e^{-\lambda(t_2-s)} T_\alpha(t_2 - s) |u(s)|^{p-1} u(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \quad + \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t_2-s)} T_\alpha(t_2 - s) |u(s)|^{p-1} u(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \quad + \left\| \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} e^{\lambda s} [e^{-\lambda t_2} T_\alpha(t_2 - s) - e^{-\lambda t_1} T_\alpha(t_1 - s)] |u(s)|^{p-1} u(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.
\end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that $I_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ and we obtain that

$$I_2 \leq \frac{M^p e^{\lambda T_{\max}}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} [t_2^\alpha - t_1^\alpha - (t_2 - t_1)^\alpha]$$

and

$$I_3 \leq \frac{M^p e^{\lambda T_{\max}}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} (t_2 - t_1)^\alpha$$

and hence $I_2 \rightarrow 0$ and $I_3 \rightarrow 0$ as $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$.

For $t_1 = 0$ and $0 < t_2 \leq T$, it is easy to see that $I_4 = 0$. Then, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, t_1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_4 &\leq \left\| \int_0^{t_1-\varepsilon} (t_1-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{\lambda s} [e^{-\lambda t_2} T_\alpha(t_2-s) - e^{-\lambda t_1} T_\alpha(t_1-s)] |u(s)|^{p-1} u(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 &\quad + \left\| \int_{t_1-\varepsilon}^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{\lambda s} [e^{-\lambda t_2} T_\alpha(t_2-s) - e^{-\lambda t_1} T_\alpha(t_1-s)] |u(s)|^{p-1} u(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 &\leq \sup_{0 \leq s < t_1-\varepsilon} \left\| e^{-\lambda(t_2-s)} T_\alpha(t_2-s) - e^{-\lambda(t_1-s)} T_\alpha(t_1-s) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \times \frac{M^p \varepsilon^\alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{2M^p \varepsilon^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $I_4 \rightarrow 0$ as $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This implies that

$$\|u(t_2) - u(t_1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t_2 \rightarrow t_1.$$

Hence $u_{T_{\max}} := \lim_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}^-} u(t)$ exists in $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We define $u(T_{\max}) = u_{T_{\max}}$. Then, $u \in C([0, T_{\max}], C_0(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and then, by Lemma 3.4,

$$\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T_\alpha(t-s) |u|^{p-1} u(s) ds \in C([0, T_{\max}], C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

For $h > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, we let

$$E_{h,\delta} = \{u \in C([T_{\max}, T_{\max} + h]; C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)) \mid u(T_{\max}) = u_{T_{\max}}, d(u, u_{T_{\max}}) \leq \delta\},$$

where

$$d(u, v) = \max_{t \in [T_{\max}, T_{\max} + h]} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for $u, v \in E_{h,\delta}$. Since $C([T_{\max}, T_{\max} + h]; C_0(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is a Banach space, $(E_{h,\delta}, d)$ is a complete metric space. We define the operator \mathcal{K} on $E_{h,\delta}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{K}v)(t) &= e^{-\lambda t} S_\alpha(t) u_0 + \int_0^{T_{\max}} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T_\alpha(t-s) |u|^{p-1} u(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{T_{\max}}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T_\alpha(t-s) |v|^{p-1} v(s) ds, \quad v \in E_{h,\delta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is clearly that $\mathcal{K}v \in C([T_{\max}, T_{\max} + h]; C_0(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $(\mathcal{K}v)(T_{\max}) = u_{T_{\max}}$. Let $v \in E_{h,\delta}$. Then, for any $t \in [T_{\max}, T_{\max} + h]$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|(\mathcal{K}v)(t) - u_{T_{\max}}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 &\leq \|e^{-\lambda t} S_\alpha(t) u_0 - e^{-\lambda T_{\max}} S_\alpha(T_{\max}) u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 &\quad + \left\| \int_0^{T_{\max}} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T_\alpha(t-s) |u|^{p-1} u(s) ds \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \left. - \int_0^{T_{\max}} (T_{\max}-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(T_{\max}-s)} T_\alpha(T_{\max}-s) |u|^{p-1} u(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 &\quad + \left\| \int_{T_{\max}}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T_\alpha(t-s) |v|^{p-1} v(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 &=: J_1 + J_2 + J_3.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $h > 0$ small enough so that $J_1 \leq \frac{\delta}{3}$, $J_2 \leq \frac{\delta}{3}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_3 &\leq \left\| \int_{T_{\max}}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T_\alpha(t-s) \left(|v|^{p-1} v(s) - |u_{T_{\max}}|^{p-1} u_{T_{\max}} \right) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 &\quad + \left\| \int_{T_{\max}}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T_\alpha(t-s) |u_{T_{\max}}|^{p-1} u_{T_{\max}} ds \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 &\leq \int_{T_{\max}}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(T_{\max}-s)} \left\| T_\alpha(t-s) \left(|v|^{p-1} v(s) - |u_{T_{\max}}|^{p-1} u_{T_{\max}} \right) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{T_{\max}}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(T_{\max}-s)} \left\| T_\alpha(t-s) |u_{T_{\max}}|^{p-1} u_{T_{\max}} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \\
 &\leq \frac{p}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\|v\|_{L^\infty((T_{\max}, T_{\max}+h); L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))}^{p-1} + \|u_{T_{\max}}\|_{L^\infty((T_{\max}, T_{\max}+h); L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))}^{p-1} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \int_{T_{\max}}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(T_{\max}-s)} \|v(s) - u_{T_{\max}}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{T_{\max}}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(T_{\max}-s)} \left\| T_\alpha(t-s) |u_{T_{\max}}|^{p-1} u_{T_{\max}} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \\
 &\leq \frac{p}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\|v\|_{L^\infty((T_{\max}, T_{\max}+h); L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))}^{p-1} + \|u_{T_{\max}}\|_{L^\infty((T_{\max}, T_{\max}+h); L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))}^{p-1} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \delta \int_{T_{\max}}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(T_{\max}-s)} ds \\
 &\quad + \frac{\|u_{T_{\max}}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{T_{\max}}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(T_{\max}-s)} ds \\
 &\leq \frac{p}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\|v\|_{L^\infty((T_{\max}, T_{\max}+h); L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|u_{T_{\max}}\|_{L^\infty((T_{\max}, T_{\max}+h); L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} \right)^{p-1} \\
 &\quad \times \frac{\delta}{\alpha} e^{\lambda(t-T_{\max})} (t-T_{\max})^\alpha + \frac{\|u_{T_{\max}}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} e^{\lambda(t-T_{\max})} (t-T_{\max})^\alpha \\
 &\leq \frac{\delta}{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [T_{\max}, T_{\max} + h]$. Then, we obtain

$$\|(\mathcal{K}v)(t) - u_{T_{\max}}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \delta, \quad t \in [T_{\max}, T_{\max} + h].$$

Next, we show that \mathcal{K} is a contraction map on $E_{h,\delta}$ for h small enough. Let $w, v \in E_{h,\delta}$. Then, for any $t \in [T_{\max}, T_{\max} + h]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|(\mathcal{K}w)(t) - (\mathcal{K}v)(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 &\leq \int_{T_{\max}}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \left\| T_\alpha(t-s) \left(|w|^{p-1} w(s) - |v|^{p-1} v(s) \right) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \\
 &\leq \|w - v\|_{L^\infty((T_{\max}, T_{\max}+h); L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\
 &\quad \times p \left(\|w\|_{L^\infty((T_{\max}, T_{\max}+h); L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))}^{p-1} + \|v\|_{L^\infty((T_{\max}, T_{\max}+h); L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))}^{p-1} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \frac{e^{-\lambda T_{\max}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{T_{\max}}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{\lambda s} ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|w - v\|_{L^\infty((T_{\max}, T_{\max}+h); L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ &\quad \times p \left(\|w\|_{L^\infty((T_{\max}, T_{\max}+h); L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|v\|_{L^\infty((T_{\max}, T_{\max}+h); L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} \right)^{p-1} \\ &\quad \times \frac{e^{\lambda(t-T_{\max})}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} (t - T_{\max})^\alpha \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} p \left(\delta + \|u_{T_{\max}}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right)^{p-1} \frac{e^{\lambda(t-T_{\max})}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} (t - T_{\max})^\alpha \\ &\quad \times \|w - v\|_{L^\infty((T_{\max}, T_{\max}+h); L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} p \left(\delta + \|u_{T_{\max}}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right)^{p-1} \frac{e^{\lambda h}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} h^\alpha \|w - v\|_{L^\infty((T_{\max}, T_{\max}+h); L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing h small enough such that

$$2^{p-1} p \left(\delta + \|u_{T_{\max}}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right)^{p-1} \frac{e^{\lambda h}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} h^\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then, it follows that \mathcal{K} is a contraction map on $E_{h,\delta}$. By the Banach fixed point theorem, there exists a unique fixed point $v^* \in E_{h,\delta}$ such that $(\mathcal{K}v^*)(t) = v^*(t)$. Since $v(T_{\max}) = \mathcal{K}v(T_{\max}) = u_{T_{\max}}$, we can define

$$\tilde{u}(t) = \begin{cases} u(t), & t \in [0, T_{\max}] \\ v(t), & t \in [T_{\max}, T_{\max} + h], \end{cases}$$

then $\tilde{u}(t) \in C([0, T_{\max} + h], C_0(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and

$$\tilde{u}(t) = e^{-\lambda t} S_\alpha(t)u_0 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T_\alpha(t-s) |\tilde{u}|^{p-1} \tilde{u}(s) ds.$$

Thus, $\tilde{u}(t)$ is a mild solution of (1.2)-(1.3), which contradicts with the definition of T_{\max} . ■

5. CONTINUOUS DEPENDENCE ON INITIAL CONDITIONS

Now, we give a characterization of continuous dependence on initial conditions of the problem (1.2)-(1.3).

Theorem 5.1. *Let u and w be solutions to the following problem:*

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0,t}^{\alpha,\lambda} u - \Delta u = |u|^{p-1} u, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T_{\max}] \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0,t}^{\alpha,\lambda} w - \Delta w = |w|^{p-1} w, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \tilde{T}_{\max}] \\ w(x, 0) = w_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}$$

for some $T_{\max} < \infty$ and $\tilde{T}_{\max} < \infty$ satisfying the condition (4.1). Then, for all $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$\|u(t) - w(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq e^{-\lambda t} \|u_0 - w_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} E_\alpha \left(p \left[2 (\|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \right]^{p-1} t^\alpha \right)$$

for some $0 < T \leq \min\{T_{\max}, \tilde{T}_{\max}\}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$u(t) = e^{-\lambda t} S_\alpha(t) u_0 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T_\alpha(t-s) |u|^{p-1} u(s) ds, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T_{\max}]$$

and

$$w(t) = e^{-\lambda t} S_\alpha(t) w_0 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} T_\alpha(t-s) |w|^{p-1} w(s) ds \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \tilde{T}_{\max}].$$

By the proof of Theorem 4.2, we can find $0 < T \leq \min\{T_{\max}, \tilde{T}_{\max}\}$ such that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2\|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|w(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2\|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Setting $x(t) := \|u(t) - w(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\leq e^{-\lambda t} \|S_\alpha(t) (u_0 - w_0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \|T_\alpha(t-s) |u|^{p-1} u(s) - T_\alpha(t-s) |w|^{p-1} w(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda t} \|u_0 - w_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \| |u|^{p-1} u(s) - |w|^{p-1} w(s) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda t} \|u_0 - w_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad + \frac{2^{p-1} p}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)})^{p-1} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \|u(s) - w(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\lambda t} x(t) &\leq \|u_0 - w_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad + \frac{2^{p-1} p}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)})^{p-1} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{\lambda s} \|u(s) - w(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \\ &= x(0) + \frac{2^{p-1} p}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)})^{p-1} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{\lambda s} \|x(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.11, we obtain

$$e^{\lambda t} x(t) \leq x(0) E_\alpha \left(\frac{2^{p-1} p}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)})^{p-1} \Gamma(\alpha) t^\alpha \right)$$

and hence

$$x(t) \leq e^{-\lambda t} x(0) E_\alpha \left(2^{p-1} p (\|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)})^{p-1} t^\alpha \right).$$

■

6. AN EXAMPLE

In this section, we present an example of the time-fractional nonlinear diffusion equation. Let u and w be solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0,t}^{\frac{1}{6},1} u - \Delta u = |u|^2 u, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1], \\ u(x, 0) = \frac{1}{8} e^{-x^2-2}, & x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0,t}^{\frac{1}{6},1} w - \Delta w = |w|^2 w, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \frac{3}{2}], \\ w(x, 0) = \frac{1}{20} \sin^2(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

respectively. Here, we let $\alpha = \frac{1}{6}$, $\lambda = 1$, $p = 3$, $T = 1$, $\tilde{T} = \frac{3}{2}$, $u_0(x) = \frac{1}{8} e^{-x^2-2}$ and $w_0(x) = \frac{1}{20} \sin^2(x)$.

Then, we calculate the condition (4.1) to obtain

$$\frac{2^p T^\alpha e^{\lambda T}}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-1} = \frac{8e}{\Gamma(\frac{7}{6})} \left(\frac{1}{8e^2} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} e^{-x^2} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{8e^3 \Gamma(\frac{7}{6})} \approx 0.00671 \leq 1,$$

$$\frac{2^p \tilde{T}^\alpha e^{\lambda \tilde{T}}}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-1} = \frac{8 \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} e^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{7}{6})} \left(\frac{1}{20} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sin^2(x) \right)^2 = 0.10337 \leq 1,$$

$$\frac{4^{p-1} p T^\alpha e^{\lambda T}}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-1} = \frac{48e}{\Gamma(\frac{7}{6})} \left(\frac{1}{8e^2} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} e^{-x^2} \right)^2 = \frac{3}{4e^3 \Gamma(\frac{7}{6})} \approx 0.04025 < 1$$

and

$$\frac{4^{p-1} p \tilde{T}^\alpha e^{\lambda \tilde{T}}}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \|w_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-1} = \frac{48 \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} e^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{7}{6})} \left(\frac{1}{20} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sin^2(x) \right)^2 \approx 0.62024 < 1.$$

By Theorem 4.2, we obtain that the problem (6.1) and (6.2) have the unique mild solutions u and w , respectively. Moreover, the mild solution u of the problem (6.1) is continuously dependent on the initial data (6.1) with

$$\|u(t) - w(t)\| \leq e^{-t} \left(\frac{1}{8} e^{-2} + \frac{1}{20} \right) E_\alpha \left(3 \left(\frac{1}{4e^2} + \frac{1}{10} \right)^2 t^\alpha \right) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T],$$

with $0 < T \leq \min\{T, \tilde{T}\}$.

7. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we investigated local existence uniqueness of mild solution which is basic concepts of knowledge. These results can be extended to maximal existence result. Furthermore, the continuous dependence on initial conditions are also proved. Other aspects in qualitative analysis of solutions of diffusion equations such as blow-up, global existence and regularity could be further investigated under various fractional derivatives and conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank referees for their suggestions. Moreover, the first author is grateful to the Science Achievement Scholarship of Thailand for financial support throughout my study.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Podlubny, *Fractional differential equations: an introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications*, Elsevier, 198 (1998).
- [2] A. Kochubei, Y. Luchko, V.E. Tarasov, I. Petráš, *Handbook of fractional calculus with applications (Vol. 1)*, Grand Forks: De Gruyter, 2019.
- [3] I. Ahmed, E. F. D. Goufo, A. Yusuf, P. Kumam, P. Chaipanya, K. Nonlaopon, An epidemic prediction from analysis of a combined HIV-COVID-19 co-infection model via ABC-fractional operator, *Alexandria Engineering Journal* 60(3) (2021) 2979–2995.
- [4] Y. Zhou, J. Wang, L. Zhang, *Basic theory of fractional differential equations*, World scientific, 2016.
- [5] N. Limpanukorn, P. Sa Ngiamsunthorn, Existence and Ulam stability of solution to fractional order hybrid differential equations of variable order, *Thai Journal of Mathematics* 18 (1) (2020) 453–463.
- [6] P. Borisut, P. Kumam, I. Ahmed, K. Sitthithakerngkiet, Positive solution for nonlinear fractional differential equation with nonlocal multi-point condition, *Fixed Point Theory* 21(2) (2020) 427–440.
- [7] P. Borisut, P. Kumam, I. Ahmed, W. Jirakitpuwapat, Existence and uniqueness for ψ -Hilfer fractional differential equation with nonlocal multi-point condition, *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* 44 (3) (2021) 2506–2520.
- [8] A. Suechoei, P. Sa Ngiamsunthorn, Extremal solutions of φ -Caputo fractional evolution equations involving integral kernels, *AIMS Mathematics* 6(5) (2021) 4734–4757.
- [9] I. Ahmed, P. Kumam, J. Abubakar, P. Borisut, K. Sitthithakerngkiet, Solutions for impulsive fractional pantograph differential equation via generalized anti-periodic boundary condition, *Advances in Difference Equations* 2020 (1) (2020) 1–15.
- [10] W. Chartbupapan, O. Bagdasar, K. Mukdasai, A novel delay-dependent asymptotic stability conditions for differential and Riemann-Liouville fractional differential neutral systems with constant delays and nonlinear perturbation, *Mathematics* 8 (1) (2020) 82.
- [11] I. Ahmed, P. Kumam, F. Jarad, P. Borisut, K. Sitthithakerngkiet, A. Ibrahim, Stability analysis for boundary value problems with generalized nonlocal condition via Hilfer-Katugampola fractional derivative, *Advances in Difference Equations* 2020 (1) (2020) 1–18.
- [12] R. Garrappa, Numerical solution of fractional differential equations: A survey and a software tutorial, *Mathematics* 6 (2) (2018) 16.
- [13] C. Li, F. Zeng, *Numerical methods for fractional calculus*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2019.

- [14] G. M. Bahaa, A. Hamiaz, Optimal control problem for coupled time-fractional diffusion systems with final observations, *Journal of Taibah University for Science* 13 (1) (2019) 124–135.
- [15] P. Sa Ngiamsunthorn, A. Suechoei, P. Kumam, Optimal control for obstacle problems involving time-dependent variational inequalities with Liouville-Caputo fractional derivative. *Advances in Difference Equations* 2021 (1) (2021) 1–18.
- [16] H. Fujita, On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{\alpha+1}$, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I* 13 (1966) 109–124.
- [17] N. F. Britton, *Reaction-diffusion equations and their applications to biology*, Academic Press, 1986.
- [18] O. Pironneau, On the transport-diffusion algorithm and its applications to the Navier-Stokes equations, *Numerische Mathematik* 38 (3) (1982) 309–332.
- [19] P. Grindrod, *The theory and applications of reaction-diffusion equations: patterns and waves*, Clarendon Press, 1996.
- [20] H. Li, Z. Wu, J. Yin, J. Zhao, *Nonlinear diffusion equations*, World Scientific, 2001.
- [21] Y. Li, Q. Zhang, Blow-up and global existence of solutions for a time fractional diffusion equation, *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis* 21 (6) (2018) 1619–1640.
- [22] S. Subedi, A. S. Vatsala, Blow-up results for one dimensional Caputo fractional reaction diffusion equation, *Mathematics in Engineering, Science & Aerospace (MESA)* 10 (1) (2019).
- [23] W. McLean, K. Mustapha, R. Ali, O. Knio, Well-posedness of time-fractional advection-diffusion-reaction equations, *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis* 22 (4) (2019) 918–944.
- [24] S. Das, P. K. Gupta, P. Ghosh, An approximate solution of nonlinear fractional reaction-diffusion equation, *Applied mathematical modelling* 35 (8) (2011) 4071–4076.
- [25] Q.G. Zhang, H.R. Sun, The blow-up and global existence of solutions of Cauchy problems for a time fractional diffusion equation, *Topol. Meth. Nonlinear Anal.* 46 (2015) 69–92.
- [26] Q. Xu, Y. Xu, Extremely low order time-fractional differential equation and application in combustion process, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* 64 (2018) 135–148.
- [27] E. Bazhlekova, The abstract Cauchy problem for the fractional evolution equation, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* 1(3) (1998) 255–270.
- [28] Y. Zhou, F. Jiao, Nonlocal Cauchy problem for fractional evolution equations, *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, 11 (5) (2010) 4465–4475.
- [29] E. F. D. Goufo, I. T. Toudjeu, Analysis of recent fractional evolution equations and applications, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* 126 (2019) 337–350.
- [30] A. Suechoei, P. Sa Ngiamsunthorn, Existence uniqueness and stability of mild solutions for semilinear ψ -Caputo fractional evolution equations. *Advances in Difference Equations* 2020 (1) (2020) 1–28.
- [31] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.

-
- [32] S. Samko, A. Kilbas, O. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach, London, 1993.
- [33] R. Hilfer, *Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2000.
- [34] I. Ahmed, P. Kumam, F. Jarad, P. Borisut, W. Jirakitpuwapat, On Hilfer generalized proportional fractional derivative, *Advances in Difference Equations* 2020 (1) (2020) 1–18.
- [35] F. Sabzikar, M. M. Meerschaert, J. Chen, Tempered fractional calculus, *Journal of Computational Physics* 293 (2015) 14–28.
- [36] L. C. Evans, *Partial differential equations*, Graduate studies in mathematics 19 (2) (1998).
- [37] C. Li, W. Deng, L. Zhao, Well-posedness and numerical algorithm for the tempered fractional ordinary differential equations, arXiv:1501.00337v1 (2015).
- [38] H. Ye, J. Gao, Y. Ding, A generalized Gronwall inequality and its application to a fractional differential equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 328 (2007) 1075–1081.
- [39] F. Mainardi, On the initial value problem for the fractional diffusion-wave equation, *Waves and Stability in continuous Media* (S. Rionero and T. Ruggeri, eds.) (1994) 246–251
- [40] T. Cazenave, A. Haraux, *An Introduction to Semilinear Evolution Equations*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, 1998.