**Thai J**ournal of **Math**ematics Volume 19 Number 2 (2021) Pages 583–592

http://thaijmath.in.cmu.ac.th



## A Cone Generalized b-Metric Like Space over Banach Algebra and Contraction Principle

Zoran D. Mitrović  $^{1,\ast},$  Aziz Ahmed  $^2$  and J. N. Salunke  $^2$ 

<sup>1</sup> University of Banja Luka, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Patre 5, 78000 Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina

e-mail : zoran.mitrovic@etf.unibl.org (Z. D. Mitrović)

<sup>2</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences, Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University, Nanded, India e-mail : azizahmed02@gmail.com (A. Ahmed); drjnsalunke@gmail.com (J. N. Salunke)

**Abstract** This paper introduce the notion of cone generalized b-metric like space over a Banach algebra which is a generalization of cone metric-like space over a Banach algebra, cone metric space over a Banach algebra and metric like space. Also, this prove Banach and Kannan fixed point theorems for contractive generalized Lipschitz mapping in such a space. In addition some examples are given to illustrate that our generalization is a real generalization.

MSC: 54H25; 47H10

**Keywords:** cone metric space over a Banach algebra; cone b-metric space; fixed point; c-sequence; metric-like space

Submission date: 21.04.2018 / Acceptance date: 26.02.2019

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The metric space was originated in the Ph.D. thesis of Maurice Fréchet [1] in 1906. After that many authors generalized this concept and obtained partial metric space, generalized metric space, rectangular metric space, K-metric space i.e. cone metric space by some authors, cone metric space, rectangular b-metric space,  $b_v(s)$ -metric space (see for example [2–13]). One of the generalization of metric space is given by Bakhtin [14], in 1989, known as b-metric space, where the triangular inequality is replaced by b-triangular inequality with coefficient  $s \ge 1$ . In 2016 Huang and Radenović [15] introduced the concept of cone b-metric space over a Banach algebra. Recently, in 2017 second and third author [16] define algebra cone generalized b-metric space over a Banach algebra by replacing the constant s with the vector, an element of a cone P with  $r(s) \ge 1$ .

Now, this paper defines cone generalized b-metric-like space over a Banach algebra by replacing the coefficient of b-metric,  $s \ge 1$  with a vector  $s \succeq e$ , an element of cone P and obtain Banach and Kannan fixed point results on such space for contractive generalized Lipschitz mappings.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

The following definitions and results will be needed in the sequel.

In 1998, Czerwik [17] introduced the notion of a b-metric space.

**Definition 1.1.** Let X be a nonempty set,  $s \ge 1$  and  $d: X \times X \to [0, +\infty)$  a function such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

(1) d(x,y) = 0 if and only if x = y,

(2) d(x,y) = d(y,x),

(3)  $d(x,z) \le s[d(x,y) + d(y,z)].$ 

Then d is called a b-metric on X and (X, d, s) is called a b-metric space.

Let A be a real Banach algebra that is a real Banach space in which an operation of multiplication is defined subject to the following properties

- (1) (xy)z = x(yz),
- (2) x(y+z) = xy + xz and (x+y)z = xz + yz,
- (3)  $\alpha(xy) = (\alpha x)y = x(\alpha y),$
- $(4) ||xy|| \le ||x|| ||y||,$

for all  $x, y, z \in A$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

An algebra A with unit element e, is called unital algebra A, i.e. multiplicative identity e such that ex = xe = x for all  $x \in A$ . An element  $x \in A$  is said to be invertible if there is an inverse element  $y \in A$  such that xy = yx = e. The inverse of x is denoted by  $x^{-1}$ .

A subset P of a Banach algebra A is called a cone if

- (1) P is non-empty, closed and  $\{\theta, e\} \subset P$ ,
- (2)  $aP + bP \subset P$ , for all non-negative real numbers a and b,
- (3)  $P^2 = PP \subset P$ ,
- $(4) P \cap (-P) = \{\theta\},\$

where  $\theta$  denotes the null of the Banach algebra A. For a given cone  $P \subseteq A$ , we can define a partial ordering  $\leq$  with respect to P by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $y - x \in P$  and we write  $x \leq y$  if  $x \leq y$  and  $x \neq y$  while  $x \ll y$  will stands for  $y - x \in intP$ , where intP denotes the interior of P. If  $intP \neq \emptyset$  then P is called a solid cone. The cone P is called normal if there is a number M > 0 such that for all  $x, y \in A$ ,

$$\theta \preceq x \preceq y \Rightarrow \|x\| \le M \|y\|.$$

**Definition 1.2.** ([18]) Let A be a Banach algebra with unit elements e and  $P \subseteq A$  be a cone, P is algebra cone if  $e \in P$  and  $ab \in P$  for all  $a, b \in P$ .

**Definition 1.3.** ([19]) Let X be a non-empty set. Let A be a Banach algebra and  $P \subseteq A$  be an algebra cone. Suppose the mapping  $d: X \times X \to A$  satisfies

- (1)  $\theta \leq d(x, y)$  for every  $x, y \in X$  and  $d(x, y) = \theta$  if and only if x = y,
- (2) d(x,y) = d(y,x) for every  $x, y \in X$ ,
- (3)  $d(x,y) \leq d(x,z) + d(z,y)$  for every  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Then (X, d) is called algebra cone metric space over a Banach algebra.

**Definition 1.4.** ([6, 15]) Let (X, d) be a cone metric space over a Banach algebra A,  $x \in X$  and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X. Then

(1)  $\{x_n\}$  converges to x whenever for each  $c \in A$  with  $\theta \ll c$  there is a natural number N such that  $d(x_n, x) \ll c$  for all  $n \ge N$ ;

(2)  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence whenever for each  $c \in A$  with  $\theta \ll c$  there is a natural number N such that  $d(x_n, x_m) \ll c$  for all n, m > N;

- (3) A cone metric space X is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent in X.
- In the sequel we shall consider so-called solid cone  $(intP \neq \emptyset)$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** ([15, 20]) Let A be a Banach algebra with a unity e and  $x \in A$ , then  $\lim_{x\to\infty} ||x^n||^{1/n}$  exists and the spectral radius r(x) satisfies

$$r(x) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \|x^n\|^{1/n} = \inf \|x^n\|^{1/n}$$

If  $r(x) < |\lambda|$  then  $\lambda e - k$  is invertible in A. Moreover,

$$(\lambda e - x)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^i}{\lambda^{i+1}},$$

where  $\lambda$  is a complex constant.

**Lemma 1.6.** ([15]) Let A be a Banach algebra with a unity e and  $k \in A$ . If  $\lambda$  is a complex constant and  $r(k) < |\lambda|$  then

$$r((\lambda e - k)^{-1}) \le \frac{1}{|\lambda| - r(k)}$$

**Definition 1.7.** ([21, 22]) If P be a solid cone in a Banach space X. A sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset X$  is a c-sequence if for each  $c \gg \theta$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $u_n \ll c$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ .

**Proposition 1.8.** ([21]) Let P be a solid cone in a Banach space X and let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are sequences in X. If  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are c-sequence and  $\alpha, \beta \in P$  then  $\{\alpha x_n + \beta y_n\}$  is a c-sequence.

**Proposition 1.9.** ([21]) Let P be a solid cone in a Banach space X and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in P. Then the following conditions are equivalent

- (1)  $\{x_n\}$  is a c-sequence;
- (2) for each  $c \gg \theta$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_n \prec c$  for  $n \ge n_0$ ;
- (3) for each  $c \gg \theta$  there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_n \preceq c$  for  $n \ge n_1$ .

**Proposition 1.10.** ([22]) Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space over a Banach algebra A and let P be the underlying solid cone in A. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X. If  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x \in X$  then we have

- (1)  $\{d(x_n, x)\}$  is a c-sequence,
- (2) for any  $n, p \in \mathbb{N}, \{d(x_n, x_{n+p})\}$  is a c-sequence.

Remark 1.11. From Proposition 1.10 we have, for any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , m > n,  $\{d(x_n, x_m)\}$  is a c-sequence.

**Lemma 1.12.** ([22]) Let A Banach algebra A and  $x, y \in A$ . If x and y commutes then the following hold

(1)  $r(xy) \le r(x)r(y),$ (2)  $r(x+y) \le r(x) + r(y).$ 

**Lemma 1.13.** ([15]) Let A be a Banach algebra with a unity e and P be a underlying solid cone in A. Let  $y \in A$  and  $u_n = y^n$ . If r(y) < 1 then  $\{u_n\}$  is a c-sequence.

**Lemma 1.14.** ([23]) Let E is a real Banach space with a solid cone P and if  $\theta \le u \ll c$  for each  $c \gg \theta$  then  $u = \theta$ .

**Lemma 1.15.** ([23]) Let E is a real Banach space with a solid cone P and if  $||x_n|| \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty)$  then for any  $\theta \ll c$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any n > N we have  $x_n \ll c$ .

*Remark* 1.16. ([22]) If r(k) < 1 then  $||k^n|| \to 0$  when  $(n \to \infty)$ .

## 2. Main Result

This section defines cone b-metric-like space over a Banach algebra.

**Definition 2.1.** Let X be a non-empty set,  $P \subseteq A$  be a cone in a Banach algebra A and  $d: X \times X \to A$  be a mapping such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$  satisfies

- (1)  $d(x, y) = \theta$  if and only if x = y,
- $(2) \quad d(x,y) = d(y,x),$
- (3) there exists  $s \in P, e \leq s$  such that  $d(x, y) \leq s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$ .

Then d is called algebra cone b-metric and (X, d) is called algebra cone b-metric space over a Banach algebra (in short ACbMS-BA) with coefficient s.

**Definition 2.2.** Let X be a non-empty set,  $P \subseteq A$  be a cone in a Banach algebra A and  $d: X \times X \to A$  be a mapping such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$  satisfies

(1) 
$$d(x, y) = \theta$$
 implies  $x = y$ ,

$$(2) \quad d(x,y) = d(y,x),$$

(3) there exists  $s \in P, e \leq s$  such that  $d(x, y) \leq s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$ .

Then d is called cone b-metric-like and (X, d) is called cone generalized b-metric-like space over a Banach algebra (in short CGbMLS-BA) with coefficient s.

A cone generalized *b*-metric-like space over a Banach algebra satisfies all of the conditions of a cone *b*-metric space over a Banach algebra except that d(x, x) need not be  $\theta$  for  $x \in X$ .

We present an example of a cone generalized b-metric-like space over a Banach algebra in the following.

*Example 2.3.* Let  $A = \{a = (a_{ij})_{3 \times 3} : a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \le i, j \le 3\}$  and

$$||a|| = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{1 \le i,j \le 3} |a_{ij}|.$$

Take a cone  $P=\{a\in A:a_{ij}\geq 0,1\leq i,j\leq 3\}$  in A. Let  $X=\{1,2,3\}$  . Define a mapping  $d:X\times X\to A$  by and

$$d(1,1) = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 & 3 \\ 5 & 7 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \ d(2,2) = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 5 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \ d(3,3) = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 6 & 3 \\ 8 & 4 & 5 \\ 7 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$d(1,2) = d(2,1) = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 7 & 3 \\ 3 & 5 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \ d(3,1) = d(1,3) \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 4 & 4 \\ 6 & 12 & 5 \\ 7 & 5 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$d(2,3) = d(3,2) = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 5 & 6 \\ 6 & 10 & 6 \\ 7 & 9 & 5 \end{pmatrix},$$

Then (X, d) is a cone generalized *b*-metric-like space over a Banach algebra with coefficient s = 2I, where I is identity matrix.

Now we shall prove Banach fixed point theorem in setting of cone generalized b-metric space over a Banach algebra.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let (X, d) be a complete cone generalized b-metric-like space over a Banach algebra A with coefficient  $s \succeq e$ . Let  $k \in P$  such that k commutes with s and  $r(k) \in [0, 1)$ . Suppose that the mapping  $T : X \to X$  satisfies generalized Lipschitz condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \preceq kd(x, y), \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , then T has a unique fixed point in X.

*Proof.* We use some ideas from [11]. Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary and  $x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0$ . Then from (2.1) we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)$$
  

$$\preceq kd(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$
  

$$\preceq k^2 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})$$
  

$$\ldots$$
  

$$\preceq k^n d(x_0, x_1).$$

Similarly, as above, we get it

$$d(x_{m+p}, x_{n+p}) \leq k^p d(x_m, x_n), \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $m, n, p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Next, as it is r(k) < 1 we get it to exist  $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$r(s)^2 r(k)^{p_0} < 1. (2.3)$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_m, x_n) & \preceq & s(d(x_m, x_{m+p_0}) + d(x_{m+p_0}, x_n)) \\ & \preceq & s[d(x_m, x_{m+p_0}) + s(d(x_{m+p_0}, x_{n+p_0}) + d(x_{n+p_0}, x_n))] \\ & \preceq & sd(x_m, x_{m+p_0}) + s^2(d(x_{m+p_0}, x_{n+p_0}) + d(x_{n+p_0}, x_n)). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.2) we obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} d(x_m, x_{m+p_0}) & \preceq & k^m d(x_0, x_{p_0}), \\ d(x_{m+p_0}, x_{n+p_0}) & \preceq & k^{p_0} d(x_m, x_n), \\ d(x_{n+p_0}, x_n) & \preceq & k^n d(x_{p_0}, x_0). \end{array}$$

Based on the above, we conclude that it is valid

$$d(x_m, x_n) \preceq sk^m d(x_0, x_{p_0}) + s^2 k^{p_0} d(x_m, x_n) + s^2 k^n d(x_{p_0}, x_0).$$

From here we get,

$$(e - s^2 k^{p_0}) d(x_m, x_n) \preceq s k^m d(x_0, x_{p_0}) + s^2 k^n d(x_{p_0}, x_0).$$

Since k commutes with s, by Lemma 1.6 and Lemma 1.12 we obtain that

$$r(s^2 k^{p_0}) \le r(s)^2 r(k)^{p_0} < 1,$$

and that  $(e - s^2 k^{p_0})$  is invertible. Therefore, we conclude that it is valid

$$d(x_n, x_m) \preceq (e - s^2 k^{p_0})^{-1} [sk^m d(x_0, x_{p_0}) + s^2 k^n d(x_{p_0}, x_0)]$$

Now Lemma 1.13 implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Since X is complete, there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $x_n \to x^*$   $(n \to \infty)$ 

$$d(Tx^*, x^*) \leq s(d(Tx^*, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, x^*))$$
$$\leq skd(x^*, x_n) + sd(x_{n+1}, x^*)$$

From Definition 1.7, Propositions 1.8, 1.9 and 1.10 we have

$$d(Tx^*, x^*) \preceq u_n,$$

where  $u_n = skd(x^*, x_n) + sd(x_{n+1}, x^*)$  is a c-sequence in cone *P*. Hence for each  $c \gg \theta$  we have  $d(Tx^*, x^*) \preceq c$  so by Lemma 1.14 we obtain

$$d(Tx^*, x^*) = \theta$$

From Definition 2.2 we obtain that  $x^*$  is a fixed point of T.

Let  $y^*$  be the another fixed point of T. Then we have

$$d(x^*, y^*) = d(Tx^*, Ty^*) \preceq kd(x^*, y^*)$$

So,

$$(e-k)d(x^*, y^*) \leq \theta$$
 and  $d(x^*, y^*) = \theta$ 

again from Definition 2.2 we have  $x^* = y^*$ . Hence T has a unique fixed point in X.

Now, we shall prove Kannan fixed point theorem in the framework of cone generalized *b*-metric space over a Banach algebra.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let (X, d) be a complete cone generalized b-metric-like space over a Banach algebra A with coefficient  $s \succeq e$ . Let  $k_1, k_2, s \in P$  commutes and  $r(k_1 + k_2) \leq \min\{1, \frac{2}{r(s)}\}$ . If the mapping  $T: X \to X$  satisfies

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq k_1 d(Tx, x) + k_2 d(Ty, y), \tag{2.4}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , then T has a unique fixed point in X.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary and  $x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = d(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1})$$
  

$$\leq k_1 d(Tx_n, x_n) + k_2 d(Tx_{n-1}, x_{n-1})$$
  

$$\leq k_1 d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + k_2 d(x_n, x_{n-1}).$$

So, we have

$$(e - k_1)d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq k_2 d(x_n, x_{n-1}).$$
(2.5)

Also we have,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)$$
  

$$\leq k_1 d(Tx_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) + k_2 d(Tx_n, x_n)$$
  

$$\prec k_1 d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + k_2 d(x_{n+1}, x_n).$$

Therefore,

$$(e - k_2)d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq k_1 d(x_n, x_{n-1}).$$
(2.6)

Add up equation (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$(2e - k_1 - k_2)d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \preceq (k_1 + k_2)d(x_n, x_{n-1})).$$

Let  $k = k_1 + k_2$  so  $r(k) = r(k_1 + k_2) < 1 < 2$  so by Lemma 1.5 (2e - k) is invertible.  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k(2e - k)^{-1}d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$ 

Let  $h = k(2e - k)^{-1}$ , since k commutes with  $(2e - k)^{-1}$ , we have

$$r(h) = r((2e-k)^{-1}k) \le r((2e-k)^{-1})r(k) = \frac{r(k)}{2-r(k)} < 1.$$
(2.7)

Therefore,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq h^n d(x_0, x_1).$$
(2.8)

Now, for  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  with m > n, from (2.4) and (2.8), we have,

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq k_1 d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + k_2 d(x_m, x_{m-1}))$$
  
$$\leq k_1 h^{n-1} d(x_1, x_0) + k_2 h^{m-1} d(x_1, x_0)$$
  
$$\leq (k_1 h^{n-1} + k_2 h^{m-1}) d(x_1, x_0).$$

Since  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  commutes we obtain (see (2.7))

$$r((k_1 + k_2)h^{n-1}) \le r(k)r(h)^{n-1} \le \frac{r(k)^n}{2 - r(k)}$$

This implies that it is  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $x_n \to x^*$   $(n \to \infty)$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$d(Tx^*, x^*) \leq s(d(Tx^*, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, x^*))$$
  
$$\leq s(k_1 d(Tx^*, x^*) + k_2 d(Tx_n, x_n)) + sd(Tx_n, x^*).$$

So,

$$(e - sk_1)d(Tx^*, x^*) \leq sk_2d(Tx_n, x_n) + sd(Tx_n, x^*).$$
(2.9)

Now,

$$d(x^*, Tx^*) \leq s(d(x^*, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, Tx^*)) \\ \leq sd(x^*, Tx_n) + s(k_1d(Tx_n, x_n) + k_2d(Tx^*, x^*)).$$

Therefore,

$$(e - sk_2)d(Tx^*, x^*) \leq sk_1d(Tx_n, x_n) + sd(Tx_n, x^*).$$
(2.10)

From (2.9) and (2.10), we get,

$$(2e - sk_1 - sk_2)d(Tx^*, x^*) \leq s(k_1 + k_2)d(Tx_n, x_n) + 2sd(Tx_n, x^*)$$
$$(2e - sk)d(Tx^*, x^*) \leq skd(Tx_n, x_n) + 2sd(Tx_n, x^*)$$
$$(2e - sk)d(Tx^*, x^*) \leq skd(x_{n+1}, x_n) + 2sd(x_{n+1}, x^*)$$

From Definition 1.7, Propositions 1.8, 1.9, and 1.10 we have

$$(2e - sk)d(Tx^*, x^*) \preceq u_n$$

where

$$u_n = skd(x_{n+1}, x_n) + 2sd(x_{n+1}, x^*)$$

is a c-sequence in cone *P*. Since  $r(k) < \frac{2}{r(s)}$  we have that r(sk) < 2 and 2e - sk is invertible, hence for each  $c \gg \theta$  we have

$$d(Tx^*, x^*) \preceq (2e - sk)^{-1}u_n \preceq c.$$

So, by Lemma 1.14, we have

$$d(Tx^*, x^*) = \theta.$$

Therefore,

$$d(Tx^*, x^*) = \theta,$$

and from Definition 2.2 we obtain that  $x^*$  is a fixed point of T.

Let  $y^*$  be the another fixed point of T.

$$d(x^*, y^*) = d(Tx^*, Ty^*) \\ \leq k_1 d(Tx^*, x^*) + k_2 d(Ty^*, y^*) \\ \leq \theta.$$

This implies that  $d(x^*, y^*) = \theta$  so, from Definition 2.2 we obtain  $x^* = y^*$ . Hence T has a unique fixed point in X.

It is easy to prove the following Theorems by Theorems 2.4 and 2.5, so we omits the proofs.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let (X, d) be a complete algebra cone metric space over a Banach algebra. If the mapping  $T: X \longrightarrow X$  satisfies

$$d(Tx, Ty) \preceq kd(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $k \in [0, 1)$  then T has a unique fixed point in X.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let (X, d) be a complete algebra cone metric space over a Banach algebra. If the mapping  $T: X \longrightarrow X$  satisfies

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq k_1 d(Tx, x) + k_2 d(Ty, y),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $k_1, k_2 \ge 0$  such that  $k_1 + k_2 < 1$  then T has a unique fixed point in X.

We present an example to illustrate Theorem 2.4.

x=2 is the unique fixed point of T.

Example 2.8. Consider Example 2.3, Let  $T : X \to X$  be a mapping define by T1 = T2 = 2, T3 = 1 and let  $k = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0.2 & 0.4 \\ 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.6 \\ 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix} \in P$  then  $r(k) = 0.933 \in [0, 1)$ . By simple calculations we can see that all the conditions of Theorem 2.4 are satisfied. The point

From Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 we obtain the following results in b-metric spaces.

**Theorem 2.9.** ([24], Theorem 2.1) Let (X, d, s) be a complete b-metric space. If the mapping  $T: X \longrightarrow X$  satisfies

$$d(Tx, Ty) \preceq kd(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $k \in [0, 1)$  then T has a unique fixed point in X.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let (X, d, s) be a complete b-metric space. If the mapping  $T : X \longrightarrow X$  satisfies

$$d(Tx, Ty) \preceq k_1 d(Tx, x) + k_2 d(Ty, y),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $k_1, k_2 \ge 0$  such that  $k_1 + k_2 < \min\{1, \frac{2}{s}\}$  then T has a unique fixed point in X.

Remark 2.11. 1. Theorem 2.9 is a proper generalization and improvement of Theorem 3.13. in [25] in the sense that the range of Lipschitzian constant  $\lambda$  is increased from  $[0, \frac{1}{s})$  to the interval [0, 1) and the metric function d it does not have to be continuous.

2. Note that the Theorem of Kannan (see [26]) holds in b-metric space if  $s \leq 2$ .

## References

- M. Fréchet, Sur work quelques points du clacul fonctionnel, Rendic. Circ. Mat. Palermo 22 (1906) 1–74.
- U.Y. Batsari, P. Kumam, K. Sitthithakerngkiet, Some globally stable fixed points in b-metric spaces, Symmetry 10 (11) (2018) https://doi.org/10.3390/sym10110555.
- [3] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccippoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces, Publ. Math. Debrecen. 57 (2000) 31–37.
- [4] J. Eisenfeld, V. Lakshmikantham, Comparison principle and nonlinear contractions in abstract spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 49 (1975) 504–511.
- [5] L. Hao, X. Shaoyuan, Cone metric spaces with Banach algebras and fixed point theorems of generalized Lipschitz mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013 (2013) Article no. 320.
- [6] L.G. Huang, X. Zhang, Cone metric space and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332 (2007) 1468–1476.
- [7] C. Kongban, P. Kumam, Quadruple random common fixed point results of generalized Lipschitz mappings in cone b-metric spaces over Banach algebras, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 11 (2018) 137–156.
- [8] P. Kumam, N.V. Dung, V.T.L. Hang, Some equivalences between cone b-metric spaces and b-metric spaces, Abstract and Applied Analysis 2013 (2013) Article ID 573740.
- [9] H. Huang, S. Radenović, G. Deng, A sharp generalization on cone b-metric space over a Banach algebra, J. Nonlinaer Sci. Appl. 10 (2017) 429–435.
- [10] S.G. Mathews, Partial metric topology, Proc. 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Appl., NewYork, Acad. Sci. 728 (1994) 183–197.
- [11] Z.D. Mitrović, On an open problem in rectangular b-metric space, J. Anal. 25 (1) (2017) 135–137.
- [12] Z.D. Mitrović, S. Radenović, The Banach and Reich contractions in  $b_v(s)$ -metric spaces, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 19 (2017) 3087–3095.
- [13] S. Shukla, S. Radenović, V. Ćojbašić-Rajić, Some common fixed point theorems in  $0 \sigma$ -complete metric-like spaces, Vietnam J. Math. 4 (2013) 341–352.

- [14] I.A. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces, Funct. Anal., Ulianowsk Gos. Ped. Inst. 30 (1989) 26–37.
- [15] H. Huang, S. Radenović, Common fixed point theorems of generalized Lipschitz mappings in cone b-metric spaces over Banach algebras and applications, J. Nonlinear Sci. and Appl. 8 (2015) 787–799.
- [16] A. Ahmed, J.N. Salunke, Algebra cone generalized b-metric space over Banach algebra and fixed point theorems of generalized Lipschitz mappings, Asian-European J. Math. (to appear).
- [17] S. Czerwik, Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Atti Sem. Mat. Univ. Modena 46 (1998) 263–276.
- [18] H. Raubenheimer, S. Rode, Cones in Banach algebras, Indag. Mathem. 7 (1996) 489–502.
- [19] M.A. Tootkaboni, A.B. Salec, Algebraic cone metric space and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2014 (2014) Article no. 160.
- [20] W. Rudin, Functional Analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1991.
- [21] H. Huang, S. Radenović, Some fixed point results of generalized Lipschitz mappings on cone b-metric spaces over Banach algebra, J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 20 (2016) 566–583.
- [22] S. Xu, S. Radenović, Fixed point theorems of generalized Lipschitz mappings on cone metric spaces over Banach algebra without assumption of normality, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2014 (2014) Article no. 102.
- [23] S. Radenović, B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point theorems for two non-self mappings in cone metric spaces, Comput. Math. Appl. 57 (2009) 1701–1707.
- [24] N.V. Dung, V.T.L. Hang, On relaxations of contraction constants and Caristi's theorem in b-metric spaces, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 18 (2016) 267–284.
- [25] M. Jovanović, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, Common fixed point results in metrictype spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2010 (2010) Article ID 978121.
- [26] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 60 (1968) 71–76.