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#### Abstract

The primary objective of this study is to present a new self-adaptive method to solve an equilibrium problem involving pseudomonotone bifunction in real Hilbert spaces. This method could be viewed as an improvement of the paper title Extragradient algorithms extended to equilibrium problem by Tran et al. [D.Q. Tran, M.L. Dung, V.H. Nguyen, Extragradient algorithms extended to equilibrium problems, Optim. 57 (2008) 749-776]. A weak convergence theorem for the generated sequence has been proven and implemented to solve variational inequality problems. We have used different numerical examples to illustrate our well-established convergence results.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $C$ to be a nonempty closed, convex subset of $\mathbb{E}$ and $f: \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction such that $f(x, x)=0$, for all $x \in C$. The equilibrium problem for the bifunction $f$ on $C$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Find } x^{*} \in C \text { such that } f\left(x^{*}, y\right) \geq 0, \forall y \in C \tag{EP}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equilibrium problem (EP) contains many mathematical problems as a specific case, i.e. the variational inequality problems (shortly, $V I P$ ), complementarity problems, minimization problems, the fixed point problems, Nash equilibrium of noncooperative games, saddle point problems and vector minimization problem (see e.g., [1, 2]). The explicit formula of an equilibrium problem was established in 1992 by Muu and Oettli [3] and has been further developed by Blum and Oettli [1]. The equilibrium problem also known as Ky Fan inequality problem, Fan [4] established conditions on a bifunction for the existence of a solution of an equilibrium problem. Several authors have achieved and generalized many results with regard to the existence of an equilibrium problem solution and particular form of an equilibrium problem (e.g., see [5-9] and the references therein).

On the other hand, iterative methods are useful tools for determining the approximate solution of an equilibrium problem. A large number of methods were established to deal different types of equilibrium problems for both finite and infinite dimensional spaces (for details, see [10-29]). More precisely, Quoc et al. [30] provided an iterative sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in C \\
y_{n}=\arg \min \left\{\lambda f\left(x_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|^{2}: y \in C\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=\arg \min \left\{\lambda f\left(y_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|^{2}: y \in C\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $0<\lambda<\min \left\{\frac{1}{2 c_{1}}, \frac{1}{2 c_{2}}\right\}$ with $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are Lipschitz constants of a bifunction. The extra-gradient method was introduced by Quoc et al. [30] for dealing with the equilibrium problem involving pseudomonotone bifunction in real Hilbert spaces. Quoc proved a weak convergence results for iterative sequence generated based upon the hypotheses of Lipschitz type-continuity and monotonicity. It is essential to consider two minimization problems on a closed convex set in each iteration of the method and there is a reasonable fixed step-size in each minimization problems.

In this paper, we consider Quoc et al. [30] extragradient method and provide its improvement by providing the explicit step-size formula for both minimization problems. We introduce a self-adaptive extragradient method for dealing with a pseudomonotone equilibrium problem in real Hilbert spaces. A weak convergence theorem for our suggested method is proved by choosing an adequate explicit step-size formula. Some application to the variational inequality problem is presented and also some numerical examples in finite and infinite dimensional spaces are considered for supporting the practicality of our established results.

The rest of the paper was organized as follows: Section 2 presents a number of definitions and key results to be used in this paper. Section 3 includes our first method involving pseudomonotone bifunction and produces a weak convergence result. Section 4 presents an application of our results in the variational inequality problems. Section 5 sets
out the numerical experimental work to show the scientific performance of the proposed algorithms.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We require some relevant lemmas, definitions and other notions that will be needed throughout the convergence analysis. The notion $\langle.,$.$\rangle and \|$.$\| represents the inner product$ and norm on the Hilbert space. We write down $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$ mention the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ weakly converges to $x^{*}$. In addition, $E P(f, C)$ means the solution set of an equilibrium problem over $C$ and $V I(G, C)$ denotes the the solution set of an variational inequality problem over $C$ with $x^{*}$ an arbitrary element of $E P(f, C)$ or $V I(G, C)$.

Let $g: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function and subdifferential of $g$ at $x \in C$ is define as follows:

$$
\partial g(x)=\{z \in \mathbb{E}: g(y)-g(x) \geq\langle z, y-x\rangle, \forall y \in C\}
$$

The normal cone of $C$ at $x \in C$ is defined by

$$
N_{C}(x)=\{z \in \mathbb{E}:\langle z, y-x\rangle \leq 0, \forall y \in C\}
$$

We can see the different notions of the bifunction monotonicity (see [1, 31] for more details).

Definition 2.1. A bifunction $f: \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on $C$ for $\gamma>0$ is said to be:
(i) strongly monotone if

$$
f(x, y)+f(y, x) \leq-\gamma\|x-y\|^{2}, \forall x, y \in C
$$

(ii) monotone if

$$
f(x, y)+f(y, x) \leq 0, \forall x, y \in C
$$

(iii) strongly pseudomonotone if

$$
f(x, y) \geq 0 \Longrightarrow f(y, x) \leq-\gamma\|x-y\|^{2}, \forall x, y \in C
$$

(iv) pseudomonotone if

$$
f(x, y) \geq 0 \Longrightarrow f(y, x) \leq 0, \forall x, y \in C
$$

(v) satisfying the Lipschitz-type condition on $C$ if there exist two real numbers $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
f(x, z) \leq f(x, y)+f(y, z)+c_{1}\|x-y\|^{2}+c_{2}\|y-z\|^{2}, \forall x, y, z \in C
$$

Remark 2.2. We shall have the following implications from the above notions.
strongly monotone $\Longrightarrow$ monotone $\Longrightarrow$ pseudomonotone
strongly monotone $\Longrightarrow$ strongly pseudomonotone $\Longrightarrow$ pseudomonotone
Definition 2.3. [32] The metric projection $P_{C}(x)$ of $x$ onto a closed, convex subset $C$ of $\mathbb{E}$ is define as follows:

$$
P_{C}(x)=\underset{y \in C}{\arg \min }\{\|y-x\|\} .
$$

Lemma 2.4. [33] Let $P_{C}: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow C$ be the metric projection from $\mathbb{E}$ onto $C$. Then
(i) For all $x \in C$ and $y \in \mathbb{E}$

$$
\left\|x-P_{C}(y)\right\|^{2}+\left\|P_{C}(y)-y\right\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|^{2} .
$$

(ii) $z=P_{C}(x)$ if and only if

$$
\langle x-z, y-z\rangle \leq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

Lemma 2.5. [34] Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space $\mathbb{E}$ and $g: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex, subdifferentiable and lower semicontinuous function on $C$. Moreover, $x \in C$ is a minimizer of a function $g$ if and only if $0 \in \partial g(x)+N_{C}(x)$ where $\partial g(x)$ and $N_{C}(x)$ denotes the subdifferential of $g$ at $x$ and the normal cone of $C$ at $x$ respectively.

Lemma 2.6. [35] Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{E}$ and $C \subset \mathbb{E}$ such that the following assumptions are true:
(i) For each $x \in C$ the $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|$ exists;
(ii) Every sequentially weak cluster point of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ lies in $C$;

Thus $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to a point in $C$.
Lemma 2.7. [36] For every $a, b \in \mathbb{E}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ the the following relation is true:

$$
\|\xi a+(1-\xi) b\|^{2}=\xi\|a\|^{2}+(1-\xi)\|b\|^{2}-\xi(1-\xi)\|a-b\|^{2} .
$$

Lemma 2.8. [37] Assume $\left\{a_{n}\right\},\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ are two sequences in $\mathbb{R}$ in such a way that $a_{n} \leq b_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $\varrho, \sigma \in(0,1)$ and $\mu \in(0, \sigma)$. Then, there is a sequence $\zeta_{n}$ in a manner that $\zeta_{n} a_{n} \leq \mu b_{n}$ and $\zeta_{n} \in(\varrho \mu, \sigma)$.

The Lipschitz-type condition with above result gives the following inequality.
Corollary 2.9. Assume that bifunction $f$ satisfy a Lipschitz-type condition on $C$ through two positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$. Let $\varrho \in(0,1), \sigma<\min \left\{1, \frac{1}{2 c_{1}}, \frac{1}{2 c_{2}}\right\}$ and $\mu \in(0, \sigma)$. Then, there is a real number $\zeta$ such that

$$
\zeta\left(f(x, z)-f(x, y)-c_{1}\|x-y\|^{2}-c_{2}\|y-z\|^{2}\right) \leq \mu f(y, z)
$$

and $\varrho \mu<\zeta<\sigma$ where $x, y, z \in C$.
Assumption 1. Let a bifunction $f: \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following conditions:
$f_{1} . f(x, x)=0, \forall x \in C$ and $f$ is pseudomontone on feasible set $C$.
$f_{2} . f$ satisfy the Lipschitz-type condition on $\mathbb{E}$ with constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$.
$f_{3}$. $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{n}, y\right) \leq f\left(u^{*}, y\right)$ for every $y \in C$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C$ satisfy $x_{n} \rightharpoonup u^{*}$.
$f_{4} . f(x,$.$) is convex and subdifferentiable on C$ for every $x \in C$.

## 3. An Algorithm and Its Convergence Analysis

In this section, we discuss our first method and set a weak convergence theorem for our proposed method. The illustration of the method is given below.

```
Algorithm 1 (A self-adaptive two-step extragradient method for PEP)
    Initialization: Choose \(x_{0} \in \mathbb{E}, \varrho \in(0,1), \sigma<\min \left\{1, \frac{1}{2 c_{1}}, \frac{1}{2 c_{2}}\right\}, \mu \in(0, \sigma)\) and
    \(\lambda_{0}>0\).
```

    Iterative steps: Given \(x_{n}\) and \(\lambda_{n}\) are known for \(n \geq 0\).
    Step 1: Compute
    $$
y_{n}=\underset{y \in C}{\arg \min }\left\{\lambda_{n} f\left(x_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|^{2}\right\} .
$$

If $x_{n}=y_{n}$; STOP. Otherwise, go to next step.
Step 2: Compute $z_{n}=\underset{y \in C}{\arg \min }\left\{\lambda_{n} f\left(y_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|^{2}\right\}$ and

$$
x_{n+1}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} z_{n} .
$$

The step-size sequence $\lambda_{n+1}$ is updated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n+1}=\min \left\{\sigma, \frac{\mu f\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}, z_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)-c_{1}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-c_{2}\left\|z_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+1}\right\} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $n:=n+1$ and return back to Iterative steps.

Remark 3.1. By Corollary 2.9 and $\lambda_{n+1}$ in eq. (3.1) is well-defined and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n+1}\left[f\left(x_{n}, z_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)-c_{1}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-c_{2}\left\|y_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}\right] \leq \mu f\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let a bifunction $f: \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the Assumptions 1 . Thus, for each $x^{*} \in E P(f, C) \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-\left(1-\lambda_{n+1}\right)\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\lambda_{n+1}\left(1-2 c_{1} \lambda_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-\lambda_{n+1}\left(1-2 c_{2} \lambda_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.5 and definition of $z_{n}$ gives that

$$
0 \in \partial_{2}\left\{\lambda_{n} f\left(y_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|^{2}\right\}\left(z_{n}\right)+N_{C}\left(z_{n}\right)
$$

There is $\omega \in \partial_{2} f\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)$ and $\bar{\omega} \in N_{C}\left(z_{n}\right)$ such that $\lambda_{n} \omega+z_{n}-x_{n}+\bar{\omega}=0$. The above implies that

$$
\left\langle x_{n}-z_{n}, y-z_{n}\right\rangle=\lambda_{n}\left\langle\omega, y-z_{n}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\omega}, y-z_{n}\right\rangle, \forall y \in C .
$$

By $\bar{\omega} \in N_{C}\left(z_{n}\right)$ and $\left\langle\bar{\omega}, y-z_{n}\right\rangle \leq 0$ for all $y \in C$. This gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}\left\langle\omega, y-z_{n}\right\rangle \geq\left\langle x_{n}-z_{n}, y-z_{n}\right\rangle, \forall y \in C . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\omega \in \partial_{2} f\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(y_{n}, y\right)-f\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right) \geq\left\langle\omega, y-z_{n}\right\rangle, \forall y \in C . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.3) and (3.4) gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n} f\left(y_{n}, y\right)-\lambda_{n} f\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right) \geq\left\langle x_{n}-z_{n}, y-z_{n}\right\rangle, \forall y \in C . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting $y=x^{*}$ into the expression (3.5) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n} f\left(y_{n}, x^{*}\right)-\lambda_{n} f\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right) \geq\left\langle x_{n}-z_{n}, x^{*}-z_{n}\right\rangle, \forall y \in C . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x^{*} \in E P(f, C)$ implies that $f\left(x^{*}, y_{n}\right) \geq 0$ and due to the pseudomonotonicity of a bifunction $f$ implies that $f\left(y_{n}, x^{*}\right) \leq 0$. From eq. (3.6) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{n}-z_{n}, z_{n}-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq \lambda_{n} f\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression (3.2) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right) \geq \lambda_{n+1}\left(f\left(x_{n}, z_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)-c_{1}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-c_{2}\left\|y_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.7) and (3.8) provides that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle x_{n}-z_{n}, z_{n}-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq & \lambda_{n+1}\left[\lambda_{n}\left\{f\left(x_{n}, z_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right\}\right. \\
& \left.-c_{1} \lambda_{n}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-c_{2} \lambda_{n}\left\|z_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}\right] . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly by the definition of $y_{n}$ obtain the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}\left\{f\left(x_{n}, y\right)-f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right\} \geq\left\langle x_{n}-y_{n}, y-y_{n}\right\rangle . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $y=z_{n}$ into above inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}\left\{f\left(x_{n}, z_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right\} \geq\left\langle x_{n}-y_{n}, z_{n}-y_{n}\right\rangle . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By combining the expression (3.9) and (3.11) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
2\left\langle x_{n}-z_{n}, z_{n}-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq & \lambda_{n+1}\left[2\left\langle x_{n}-y_{n}, z_{n}-y_{n}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.-2 c_{1} \lambda_{n}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-2 c_{2} \lambda_{n}\left\|z_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}\right] . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

We have the following facts:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left\langle x_{n}-z_{n}, z_{n}-x^{*}\right\rangle=\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} . \\
& 2\left\langle x_{n}-y_{n}, z_{n}-y_{n}\right\rangle=\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above last two inequalities and expression (3.12) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-\left(1-\lambda_{n+1}\right)\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\lambda_{n+1}\left(1-2 c_{1} \lambda_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-\lambda_{n+1}\left(1-2 c_{2} \lambda_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.3. Let a bifunction $f: \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the Assumptions 1 . Thus, for each $x^{*} \in E P(f, C) \neq \emptyset$, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ generated by Algorithm 1 converges weakly to $x^{*}$. Moreover, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{E P(f, C)}\left(x_{n}\right)=x^{*}$.
Proof. By the definition of $x_{n+1}$ and Lemma 2.7 gives that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} z_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-x^{*}\right)+\beta_{n}\left(z_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left\|z_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left\|z_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

By combining the expressions (3.13) and Lemma 3.2 such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n}\left(1-\lambda_{n+1}\right)\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\beta_{n} \lambda_{n+1}\left(1-2 c_{1} \lambda_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\beta_{n} \lambda_{n+1}\left(1-2 c_{2} \lambda_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

From Corollary 2.9 we have $\varrho \mu<\lambda_{n}<\sigma$ for each $n>0$. The above implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that the sequence $\left\{\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|\right\}$ is non-increasing. Then, there exists the finite limit of $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|$ and also implies that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. By using the expression (3.14) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \leq & \beta_{n}\left(1-\lambda_{n+1}\right)\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n} \lambda_{n+1}\left(1-2 c_{1} \lambda_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\beta_{n} \lambda_{n+1}\left(1-2 c_{2} \lambda_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $0<\beta_{n} \leq 1$ and $\varrho \mu<\lambda_{n}<\sigma$ for each $n>0$, implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude that the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ are bounded and for every $x^{*} \in$ $E P(f, C)$, the $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}$ exists. Now further, we show that for every sequential weak cluster point of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is in $E P(f, C)$. Assume that $z$ is a weak cluster point of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ i.e. there exists a subsequence denoted by $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ weakly converging to $z$. Then $\left\{y_{n_{k}}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n_{k}}\right\}$ also weakly converges to $z$ and $z \in C$. Let us show that $z \in E P(f, C)$. By expression (3.5), (3.8) and (3.11) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{n} f\left(y_{n_{k}}, y\right) \geq & \lambda_{n} f\left(y_{n_{k}}, z_{n_{k}}\right)+\left\langle x_{n_{k}}-z_{n_{k}}, y-z_{n_{k}}\right\rangle \\
\geq & \lambda_{n} \lambda_{n+1} f\left(x_{n_{k}}, z_{n_{k}}\right)-\lambda_{n} \lambda_{n+1} f\left(x_{n_{k}}, y_{n_{k}}\right)-c_{1} \lambda_{n} \lambda_{n+1}\left\|x_{n_{k}}-y_{n_{k}}\right\|^{2} \\
& -c_{2} \lambda_{n} \lambda_{n+1}\left\|y_{n_{k}}-z_{n_{k}}\right\|^{2}+\left\langle x_{n_{k}}-z_{n_{k}}, y-z_{n_{k}}\right\rangle \\
\geq \geq & \lambda_{n+1}\left\langle x_{n_{k}}-y_{n_{k}}, z_{n_{k}}-y_{n_{k}}\right\rangle-c_{1} \lambda_{n} \lambda_{n+1}\left\|x_{n_{k}}-y_{n_{k}}\right\|^{2} \\
& -c_{2} \lambda_{n} \lambda_{n+1}\left\|y_{n_{k}}-z_{n_{k}}\right\|^{2}+\left\langle x_{n_{k}}-z_{n_{k}}, y-z_{n_{k}}\right\rangle \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $y$ is an any element in $C$. It follows from (3.17) and the boundness of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ that the right-hand side of the last inequality tends to zero. Using $\lambda_{n}>0$, condition $\left(f_{3}\right)$ and $y_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup z$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} f\left(y_{n_{k}}, y\right) \leq f(z, y), \quad \forall y \in C \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $f(z, y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in C$ shows that $z \in E P(f, C)$. Thus, Lemma 2.6 provides that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x^{*}, y_{n} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$ and $z_{n} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$.

Define $t_{n}:=P_{E P(f, C)}\left(x_{n}\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $x^{*} \in E P(f, C)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|t_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|t_{n}-x_{n}\right\|+\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|x^{*}-x_{n}\right\|+\left\|x_{n}\right\| \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. By expression (3.15) we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-t_{n+1}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-t_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-t_{n}\right\|^{2}, \forall n \geq 1 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.20) and (3.21) imply the existence of the $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-t_{n}\right\|$. By using the expression (3.15) for all $m>n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|t_{n}-x_{m}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|t_{n}-x_{m-1}\right\|^{2} \leq \cdots \leq\left\|t_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we show that $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let take $t_{m}, t_{n} \in E P(f, C)$, for $m>n \geq 1$ and Lemma 2.4(i) with (3.22) gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|t_{n}-t_{m}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|t_{n}-x_{m}\right\|^{2}-\left\|t_{m}-x_{m}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|t_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|t_{m}-x_{m}\right\|^{2} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The existence of $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|t_{n}-x_{n}\right\|$ imply that the $\lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|t_{n}-t_{m}\right\|=0$ for all $m>n$. Consequently $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $E P(f, C)$ is closed implies that $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $t^{*} \in E P(f, C)$. Now we prove that $t^{*}=x^{*}$. It follows from Lemma 2.4(ii) and $x^{*}, t^{*} \in E P(f, C)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{n}-t_{n}, x^{*}-t_{n}\right\rangle \leq 0 . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $t_{n} \rightarrow t^{*}$ and $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$ we have

$$
\left\langle x^{*}-t^{*}, x^{*}-t^{*}\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

which implies that $x^{*}=t^{*}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{E P(f, C)}\left(x_{n}\right)$. Further $\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ implies that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{E P(f, C)}\left(y_{n}\right)=x^{*}$.

## 4. Application to Variational Inequality Problems

We consider the application of our results discussed in above mentioned sections to solve a problem of variational inequality involving pseudomonotone with Lipschitz-type continuous operator. The variational inequality problem is expressed in the following manner:

Find $x^{*} \in C$ such that $\left\langle G\left(x^{*}\right), y-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C$.
An operator $G: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is said to be
i. L-Lipschitz continuous on $C$ if $\|G(x)-G(y)\| \leq L\|x-y\|, \forall x, y \in C$.
ii. pseudomonotone on $C$ if $\langle G(x), y-x\rangle \geq 0 \Rightarrow\langle G(y), x-y\rangle \leq 0, \forall x, y \in C$.

Note: If bifunction $f(x, y):=\langle G(x), y-x\rangle$ for all $x, y \in C$, thus the equilibrium problem translate into the above variational inequality problem with $L=2 c_{1}=2 c_{2}$. By definitions of $y_{n}$ in the Algorithm 1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =\underset{y \in C}{\arg \min }\left\{\lambda_{n} f\left(x_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
& =\underset{y \in C}{\arg \min }\left\{\lambda_{n}\left\langle G\left(x_{n}\right), y-x_{n}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
& =\underset{y \in C}{\arg \min }\left\{\lambda_{n}\left\langle G\left(x_{n}\right), y-x_{n}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|^{2}+\frac{\lambda_{n}^{2}}{2}\left\|G\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}-\frac{\lambda_{n}^{2}}{2}\left\|G\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
& =\underset{y \in C}{\arg \min }\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left\|y-\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} G\left(x_{n}\right) \|^{2}\right\}-\frac{\lambda_{n}^{2}}{2}\right\| G\left(x_{n}\right) \|^{2}\right. \\
& =P_{C}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} G\left(x_{n}\right)\right) . \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly the value of $z_{n}$ in Algorithm 1 convert into

$$
z_{n}=P_{C}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} G\left(y_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

Assumption 2. We assume that $G$ satisfying the following assumptions:
$G_{1} . G$ is pseudomonotone on $C$ and $V I(G, C)$ is nonempty;
$G_{2} . G$ is L-Lipschitz continuous on $C$ through positive constant $L>0$;
$G_{3} . \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle G\left(x_{n}\right), y-x_{n}\right\rangle \leq\left\langle G\left(u^{*}\right), y-u^{*}\right\rangle$ for every $y \in C$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C$ satisfying $x_{n} \rightharpoonup u^{*}$.

We have reduced the following results from our main results that are applicable to solve variational inequality problems.

Corollary 4.1. Assume that $G: C \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is satisfying Assumption 2. Let the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be generating in the following way:
(i) Choose $x_{0} \in \mathbb{E}, \varrho \in(0,1)$, $\sigma<\min \left\{1, \frac{1}{L}\right\}, \mu \in(0, \sigma)$ and $\lambda_{0}>0$. Compute

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=P_{C}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} G\left(x_{n}\right)\right), \\
z_{n}=P_{C}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} G\left(y_{n}\right)\right), \\
x_{n+1}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} z_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where step-size $\lambda_{n+1}$ updated as follows:

$$
\lambda_{n+1}=\min \left\{\sigma, \frac{\mu\left\langle G\left(y_{n}\right), z_{n}-y_{n}\right\rangle}{\left\langle G\left(x_{n}\right), z_{n}-y_{n}\right\rangle-\frac{L}{2}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-\frac{L}{2}\left\|z_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+1}\right\}
$$

Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ weakly converges to the solution $x^{*}$ of $V I(G, C)$.

## 5. Computational Experiment

Some numerical results will be produced in this part to demonstrate the performance of our proposed methods. The MATLAB codes run in MATLAB version 9.5 (R2018b) on a PC Intel(R) Core(TM)i5-6200 CPU @ 2.30 GHz 2.40 GHz , RAM 8.00 GB . During all these examples y-axes represent for the value of $D_{n}=\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|$ while the x-axis indicates to the number of iterations or the execution time (in seconds).

Example 5.1. Assume that a function $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is

$$
f(x, y)=\langle A x+B y+c, y-x\rangle
$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $A, B$ are matrices of order $n$ such that $B$ is symmetric positive semidefinite and $B-A$ is symmetric negative definite with Lipschitz constants $c_{1}=c_{2}=$ $\frac{1}{2}\|A-B\|$ (see [30]). Two matrices $A, B$ are randomly generated and entries of a vector $c$ entries randomly belongs to $[-1,1]$. The feasible set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is closed and convex and write as

$$
C:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:-5 \leq x_{i} \leq 5\right\} .
$$

The numerical results are shown in Figures 1-6 and Table 1 with $x_{0}=(1, \cdots, 1), \lambda=\frac{1}{2.1 c_{1}}$, $\sigma=\frac{1}{2.2 c_{1}}, \mu=\frac{1}{2.3 c_{1}}, \lambda_{0}=\frac{1}{2 c_{1}}$ and $T O L=10^{-4}$.

Table 1. Numerical results for Example 5.1.

|  | Quoc Algorithm |  | $[30]$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Algorithm 1 |  |  |
|  | No. of Iter. | CPU(s) time |  | No. of Iter. | CPU(s) time |
| 5 | 41 | 0.6449 |  | 8 | 0.0786 |
| 10 | 35 | 0.2643 |  | 12 | 0.0883 |
| 20 | 42 | 0.3483 |  | 13 | 0.1073 |

Two matrices are randomly generated $E$ and $F$ with entries from $[-2,2]$. The matrix $B=E^{T} E, S=F^{T} F$ and $A=S+B$.


Figure 1. Example 5.1 when $n=5$.


Figure 2. Example 5.1 when $n=5$.


Figure 3. Example 5.1 when $n=10$.


Figure 4. Example 5.1 when $n=10$.


Figure 5. Example 5.1 when $n=20$.


Figure 6. Example 5.1 when $n=20$.

Example 5.2. Suppose $G: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is defined by

$$
G(x)=\binom{0.5 x_{1} x_{2}-2 x_{2}-10^{7}}{-4 x_{1}-0.1 x_{2}^{2}-10^{7}}
$$

and $C=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\left(x_{1}-2\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}-2\right)^{2} \leq 1\right\}$. It is not hard to check that $G$ is Lipschitz continuous with $L=5$ and pseudomonotone. Now we use Corollary 4.1 and step-size $\lambda=10^{-8}, \lambda_{0}=0.1, \sigma=\frac{1}{1.1 L}$ and $\mu=\frac{1}{1.2 L}$. The experimental results are shown in Table 2 and Figures 7-10.

Table 2. Numerical results for Example 5.2.

|  | Quoc Algorithm |  | $[30]$ |  | Algorithm 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{0}=y_{0}$ | No. of Iter. | $\mathrm{CPU}(\mathrm{s})$ time |  | No. of Iter. | $\mathrm{CPU}(\mathrm{s})$ time |  |
| $(1.5,1.7)$ | 15 | 0.6449 |  | 4 | 0.2107 |  |
| $(2.0,3.0)$ | 16 | 0.7224 |  | 9 | 0.6880 |  |
| $(1.0,2.0)$ | 17 | 0.6681 |  | 5 | 0.3384 |  |
| $(2.7,2.6)$ | 14 | 0.6439 |  | 8 | 0.6027 |  |



Figure 7. Example 5.2 for $x_{0}=(1.5,1.7)$.


Figure 8. Example 5.2 for $x_{0}=(2.0,3.0)$.


Figure 9. Example 5.2 for $x_{0}=(1.0,2.0)$.


Figure 10. Example 5.2 for $x_{0}=(2.7,2.6)$.

## 6. Conclusion

Two new methods are introduced in this study to deal with pseudomonotone equilibrium problems and variational inequality problems. These methods are a two-step proximal method that gives a weak converging iterative sequence. Numerical results have been accounted for to demonstrate the computational behaviour of methods related to other methods.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the referee(s) for comments and suggestions on the manuscript. Nopparat Wairojjana was partially supported by Valaya Alongkorn Rajabhat University under the Royal Patronage, Thailand.

## Disclosure Statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

## References

[1] E. Blum, From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems, Math. Student. 63 (1994) 123-145.
[2] I. Konnov, Equilibrium Models and Variational Inequalities, Elsevier, 2007.
[3] L.D. Muu, W. Oettli, Convergence of an adaptive penalty scheme for finding constrained equilibria, Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl. 18 (1992) 1159-1166.
[4] K. Fan, A Minimax Inequality and Applications, Inequalities III (O. Shisha, ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1972.
[5] Q. Ansari, N.C. Wong, J.C. Yao, The existence of nonlinear inequalities, Appl. Math. Lett. 12 (1999) 89-92.
[6] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, G. Stampacchia, A remark on ky fan's minimax principle, Bollettino dell unione matematica italiana. 1 (2008) 257-264.
[7] H.U. Rehman, D. Gopal, P. Kumam, Generalizations of darbo's fixed point theorem for new condensing operators with application to a functional integral equation, Demonstr. Math. 52 (2019) 166-182.
[8] H.U. Rehman, P. Kumam, S. Dhompongsa, Existence of tripled fixed points and solution of functional integral equations through a measure of noncompactness, Carpathian J. Math. 35 (2019) 193-208.
[9] N. Wairojjana, H.U. Rehman, M. Sirajo, N. Pakkaranang, Fixed point theorems for meir-keeler condensing operators in partially ordered Banach spaces, Thai J. Math. 18 (2019) 77-93.
[10] J. Abubakar, K. Sombut, H.U. Rehman, A.H. Ibrahim, An accelerated subgradient extragradient algorithm for strongly pseudomonotone variational inequality problems, Thai J. Math. 18 (1) (2020) 166-187.
[11] A. S. Antipin, The convergence of proximal methods to fixed points of extremal mappings and estimates of their rate of convergence, Comput. Math. Math. Phys. 35 (1995) 539-552.
[12] S.D. Flaam, A.S. Antipin, Equilibrium programming using proximal-like algorithms, Math. Program. 78 (1996) 29-41.
[13] P.L. Combettes, S.A. Hirstoaga, Equilibrium programming in Hilbert spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal 6 (2005) 117-136.
[14] C. Jaiboon, P. Kumam, An extragradient approximation method for system of equilibrium problems and variational inequality problems, Thai J. Math. 7 (2012) 77-104.
[15] S. Kesornprom, P. Cholamjiak, Strong convergence of the modified projection and contraction methods for split feasibility problem, Thai J. Math., Special Issue ACFPTO2018 (2018) 76-94.
[16] S. Kesornprom, P. Cholamjiak, Proximal type algorithms involving linesearch and inertial technique for split variational inclusion problem in Hilbert spaces with applications, Optim. 68 (2019) 2369-2395.
[17] K. Khammahawong, P. Kumam, P. Chaipunya, J.C. Yao, C.F. Wen, W. Jirakitpuwapat, An extragradient algorithm for strongly pseudomonotone equilibrium problems on hadamard manifolds, Thai J. Math. 18 (2020) 350-371.
[18] I. Konnov, Application of the proximal point method to nonmonotone equilibrium problems, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 119 (2003) 317-333.
[19] A. Moudafi, Proximal point algorithm extended to equilibrium problems, Journal of Natural Geometry 15 (1999) 91-100.
[20] T. Seangwattana, S. Plubtieng, T. Yuying, An extragradient method without monotonicity, Thai J. Math. 18 (1) (2020) 94-103.
[21] S. Suantai, P. Cholamjiak, Y.J. Cho, W. Cholamjiak, On solving split equilibrium problems and fixed point problems of nonspreading multi-valued mappings in Hilbert spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2016 (2016) https://doi.org/10.1186/s13663-016-0509-4.
[22] S. Suantai, Y. Shehu, P. Cholamjiak, O.S. Iyiola, Strong convergence of a selfadaptive method for the split feasibility problem in Banach spaces, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 20 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1007/s11784-018-0549-y.
[23] D.V. Thong, P. Cholamjiak, Strong convergence of a forward-backward splitting method with a new step size for solving monotone inclusions, Comput. Appl. Math. 38 (2019) https://doi.org/10.1007/s40314-019-0855-z.
[24] H.U. Rehman, P. Kumam, A.B. Abubakar, Y.J. Cho, The extragradient algorithm with inertial effects extended to equilibrium problems, Comput. Appl. Math. 39 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1007/s40314-020-1093-0.
[25] H.U. Rehman, P. Kumam, I.K. Argyros, N.A. Alreshidi, W. Kumam, W. Jirakitpuwapat, A self-adaptive extra-gradient methods for a family of pseudomonotone equilibrium programming with application in different classes of variational inequality problems, Symmetry 12 (2020) 523.
[26] H.U. Rehman, P. Kumam, I.K. Argyros, W. Deebani, W. Kumam, Inertial extragradient method for solving a family of strongly pseudomonotone equilibrium problems in real Hilbert spaces with application in variational inequality problem, Symmetry 12 (2020) 503.
[27] H.U. Rehman, P. Kumam, Y.J. Cho, P. Yordsorn, Weak convergence of explicit extragradient algorithms for solving equilibirum problems, J. Inequal. Appl. 2019 (2019) https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-019-2233-1.
[28] H.U. Rehman, P. Kumam, Y.J. Cho, Y.I. Suleiman, W. Kumam, Modified popov's explicit iterative algorithms for solving pseudomonotone equilibrium problems, Optim Methods Softw. (2020) 1-32.
[29] H.U. Rehman, P. Kumam, W. Kumam, M. Shutaywi, W. Jirakitpuwapat, The inertial sub-gradient extra-gradient method for a class of pseudo-monotone equilibrium problems, Symmetry 12 (2020) 463.
[30] D.Q. Tran, M.L. Dung, V.H. Nguyen, Extragradient algorithms extended to equilibrium problems, Optim. 57 (2008) 749-776.
[31] M. Bianchi, S. Schaible, Generalized monotone bifunctions and equilibrium problems, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 90 (1996) 31-43.
[32] K. Goebel, S. Reich, Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry, and Nonexpansive, CRC Press, New york, 1984.
[33] E. Kreyszig, Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications, Wiley, New York, 1978.
[34] J.V. Tiel, Convex Analysis, John Wiley, 1984.
[35] Z. Opial, Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings, Bull New Ser Am Math Soc. 73 (1967) 591-597.
[36] H.H. Bauschke, P.L. Combettes, Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces, Springer International Publishing, Springer, 2011.
[37] V. Dadashi, O.S. Iyiola, Y. Shehu, The subgradient extragradient method for pseudomonotone equilibrium problems, Optim. 69 (2019) 1-23.


[^0]:    *Corresponding author.

