



Dedicated to Prof. Suthep Suantai on the occasion of his 60th anniversary

Some Property of Common Fixed Point in Complex Valued b-Metric Spaces

Warinsinee Chantakun*, Chonthicha Khun-inpho and Issara Inchan

Department of Mathematics, Uttaradit Rajabhat University, Uttaradit, Thailand
e-mail : warinsinee@hotmail.com (W. Chantakun)

Abstract The purpose of this paper, is to introduce sufficient conditions for prove existence and uniqueness of common fixed point in complete complex valued b-metric spaces. Our main results extend and improve results of Dubey et. al [A K. Dubey, R. Shukla, R.P. Dubey, Some fixed point theorems in complex valued b-metric spaces, Hindawi Publishing Corporation Journal of Complex Systems 2015 (2015) 1–7].

MSC: 49K35; 47H10; 20M12

Keywords: common fixed point; complex valued metric space; complex valued b-metric space

Submission date: 09.03.2020 / Acceptance date: 20.04.2020

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of a metric space was introduced by Frechet in 1906 [1]. Mathematicians studied the existence and uniqueness of fixed points by using Banach contraction principle. Banach contraction principle was proved in every new generalized metric spaces [2].

Fixed point theorems in metric spaces have been studied extensively by many researchers as in [3, 4] and [5]. In 1989, Bakhtin [6] introduced the notion of b-metric spaces. After, researchers extended fixed point theorems from metric space to b-metric spaces, for example in [7, 8].

In 2011, A. Azam, B. Fisher and M. Khan [8] introduced the notion of complex valued metric spaces and established sufficient conditions for the existence of common fixed points of a pair of mappings satisfying a contractive condition. Complex valued metric space is a generalization of classical metric space. In 2011, S. Bhatt, S. Chaukiyal and R. C. Dimri [9] have proved a common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in a complex valued metric space. Recently, R.K. Verma and H.K. Pathak [10] introduced the concept of the property (E.A) in complex valued metric spaces for prove a common fixed point theorem for two pairs of weakly compatible mappings with property (E.A)

*Corresponding author.

and a common fixed point theorem using (CLRg) property which was introduced by Sintunavarat and Kumam [11].

In 2015, Dubey et. al [12] prove some fixed point results for the mapping satisfying rational expressions in complex valued b-metric spaces.

The aim of this paper is to introduce some contraction of two mappings and to prove some common fixed point theorems in complex valued b-metric spaces. Our results is generalized than the results of Dubey et. al [12].

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we present some definitions and lemmas for using in Section 3, and define the definition of b-metric space in the complex plane.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a nonempty set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called metric if for $x, y, z \in X$ the following conditions are satisfied.

- (i) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$;
- (iii) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$.

The pair (X, d) is called metric space, and d is called a metric on X .

Next, we suppose the definition of b-metric space which is generalized than metric spaces.

Definition 2.2 ([6]). Let X be a nonempty set and $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called b-metric if for all $x, y, z \in X$ the following conditions are satisfied.

- (i) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$;
- (iii) $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$.

The pair (X, d) is called a b-metric space. The number $s \geq 1$ is called the coefficient of (X, d) .

The following is some example of b-metric spaces.

Example 2.3 ([6]). Let (X, d) be a metric space. The function $\rho(x, y)$ is defined by $\rho(x, y) = (d(x, y))^2$. Then (X, ρ) is a b-metric space with coefficient $s = 2$. This can be seen from the nonnegative property and triangle inequality of metric to prove the property (iii).

There is a completeness property in real number but on order relation is not well-defined in complex numbers. Before giving the definition of complex valued metric spaces and complex valued b-metric spaces, we define partial order in complex numbers (see [13]). Let \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Define partial order relation \preceq on \mathbb{C} as follows;

$$z_1 \preceq z_2 \text{ if and only if } \operatorname{Re}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Re}(z_2) \text{ and } \operatorname{Im}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Im}(z_2).$$

This means that we would have $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$,
- (ii) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$,
- (iii) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) < \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$,

(iv) $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$.

If one of the conditions (ii), (iii), and (iv) holds, then we write $z_1 \prec z_2$. From the above partial order relation we have the following remark.

Remark 2.4. We can easily check the following:

- (i) If $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq a \leq b$ and $z_1 \preceq z_2$ then $az_1 \preceq bz_2, \forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.
- (ii) If $0 \preceq z_1 \prec z_2$ then $|z_1| < |z_2|$.
- (iii) If $z_1 \preceq z_2$ and $z_2 \prec z_3$ then $z_1 \prec z_3$.
- (iv) If $z \in \mathbb{C}$, for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \leq b$, then $az \preceq bz$.

A b-metric on a b-metric space is a function having real value. Based on the definition of partial order on complex number, real valued b-metric can be generalized into complex valued b-metric as follows.

Definition 2.5 ([8]). Let X be a nonempty set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a complex valued metric on X if for all $x, y, z \in X$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $0 \preceq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$,
- (iii) $d(x, z) \preceq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$.

Then d is called a complex valued metric on X and (X, d) is called a complex valued metric space.

Next, we give the definition of complex valued b-metric space.

Definition 2.6 ([5]). Let X be a nonempty set and let $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a complex valued b-metric on X if, for all $x, y, z \in X$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $0 \preceq d(x, y)$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$,
- (iii) $d(x, z) \preceq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$.

The pair (X, d) is called a complex valued b-metric space. We see that if $s = 1$ then (X, d) is complex valued metric space is defined in Definition 2.5, we can suppose some example of complex valued b-metric space.

Example 2.7 ([5]). Let $X = \mathbb{C}$. Define the mapping $d : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2 + i|x - y|^2$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then (\mathbb{C}, d) is complex valued b-metric space with $s = 2$.

Definition 2.8 ([14]). Let (X, d) be a complex valued b-metric space.

(i) A point $x \in X$ is called interior point of set $A \subseteq X$ if there exists $0 \prec r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$B(x, r) = \{y \in Y : d(x, y) \prec r\} \subseteq A.$$

(ii) A point $x \in X$ is called limit point of a set A if for every $0 \prec r \in \mathbb{C}, B(x, r) \cap (A - x) \neq \emptyset$

(iii) A subset $A \subseteq X$ is open if each element of A is an interior point of A .

(iv) A subset $A \subseteq X$ is closed if each limit point of A is contained in A .

Definition 2.9 ([14]). Let (X, d) be complex valued b-metric space, $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $x \in X$.

(i) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is converges to $x \in X$ if for every $0 \prec r \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N, d(x_n, x) \prec r$. Thus x is the limit of (x_n) and we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ or $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(ii) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for ever $0 < r \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N, d(x_n, x_{n+m}) < r$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

(iii) If for every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent, then (X, d) is said to be a complete complex valued b-metric space.

Lemma 2.10 ([14]). *Let (X, d) be a complex valued b-metric space ad let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if and only if $|d(x_n, x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Lemma 2.11 ([14]). *. Let (X, d) be a complex valued b-metric space ad let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we give some contractive condition and prove the existence and uniqueness of common fixed point of a pair of mappings in a complete complex valued b-metric space.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued b-metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and let $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be two self mappings satisfying the following condition:*

$$d(Sx, Ty) \preceq \lambda \frac{d^2(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \mu d(y, Ty) + \rho d(x, Sx), \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where λ, μ, ρ are nonnegative reals with $s(\lambda + \rho) + \mu < 1$. Then S and T has a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point. We define

$$\begin{aligned} x_{2n+1} &= Sx_{2n} \text{ and} \\ x_{2n+2} &= Tx_{2n+1}, n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Now, we show that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) &= d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \\ &\preceq \lambda \frac{d^2(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})} + \mu d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + \rho d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) \\ &= \lambda \frac{d^2(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})} + \mu d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + \rho d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})| &\leq \lambda \frac{|d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})|}{|1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})|} |d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})| \\ &\quad + \mu |d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})| + \rho |d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})|. \end{aligned}$$

We get,

$$\begin{aligned} |d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})| &\leq \lambda |d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})| + \mu |d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})| \\ &\quad + \rho |d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that,

$$\begin{aligned} |d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})| - \mu|d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})| &\leq \lambda|d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})| + \rho|d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})| \\ (1 - \mu)|d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})| &\leq (\lambda + \rho)|d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})| \\ |d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})| &\leq \frac{(\lambda + \rho)}{(1 - \mu)}|d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $s(\lambda + \rho) + \mu < 1$ and $s \geq 1$, we have $\lambda + \mu < 1$. It follows that $\delta = \frac{(\lambda + \rho)}{(1 - \mu)} < 1$ for all $n \geq 0$. Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})| &\leq \delta|d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})| \\ &\leq \delta^2|d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})| \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \delta^{2n+1}|d(x_0, x_1)| \\ |d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| &\leq \delta|d(x_n, x_{n+1})| \\ &\leq \delta^2|d(x_{n-1}, x_n)| \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \delta^{n+1}|d(x_0, x_1)|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$ and since $s\delta = s(\lambda + \rho) < 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |d(x_n, x_{n+m})| &\leq s|d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + s|d(x_{n+1}, x_m)| \\ &\leq s|d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + s^2|d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| + s^2|d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+m})| \\ &\leq s|d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + s^2|d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| + s^3|d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3})| \\ &\quad + s^3|d(x_{n+3}, x_{n+m})| \\ &\vdots \\ |d(x_n, x_{n+m})| &\leq s|d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + s^2|d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| + s^3|d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3})| \\ &\quad + s^3|d(x_{n+3}, x_{n+m})| + \dots + s^{n+m-1}|d(x_{n+m-2}, x_{n+m-1})| \\ &\quad + s^m|d(x_{n+m-1}, x_{n+m})|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

By using (3.2) and (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |d(x_n, x_{n+m})| &\leq s\delta^n|d(x_0, x_1)| + s^2\delta^{n+1}|d(x_0, x_1)| + s^3\delta^{n+2}|d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &\quad + s^3|d(x_{n+3}, x_m)| + \dots + s^{m-n-1}\delta^{m-2}|d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &\quad + s^m\delta^{m-1}|d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &= (s\delta^n + s^2\delta^{n+1} + s^2\delta^{n+2} + \dots + s^{n+m-1}\delta^{n+m-2} \\ &\quad + s^m\delta^{n+m-1})|d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &\leq [(s\delta)^n + (s\delta)^{n+1} + (s\delta)^{n+2} + \dots + (s\delta)^{n+m-2} + (s\delta)^{n+m-1} \\ &\quad + \delta^{n+m-1}]|d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &= \sum_{i=n}^{n+m-1} (s\delta)^i |d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} (s\delta)^i |d(x_0, x_1)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $s(\lambda + \rho) < 1$ for $s \geq 1$ then $\delta < 1$ and $s\delta < 1$, it follows that

$$|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \leq \frac{(s\delta)^n}{1 - s\delta} |d(x_0, x_1)|. \tag{3.4}$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.4), we have $(s\delta)^n \rightarrow 0$. This implies $|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 2.11, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is a complete complex valued b-metric space then $\{x_n\}$ is a convergent sequence. Let u be the limit of $\{x_n\}$. We show that u is a common fixed point of S and T . Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Su, x_{2n+2}) &= d(Su, Tx_{2n+1}) \\ &\preceq \lambda \frac{d^2(u, Tx_{2n+1})}{1 + d(u, x_{2n+1})} + \mu d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + \rho d(u, Su) \\ &\preceq \lambda d(u, Tx_{2n+1}) + \mu d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + \rho d(u, Su). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ converges to u , (3.5), Remark 2.4 (ii) and Lemma 2.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d(Su, u)| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d(Su, x_{2n+2})| \\ &\leq \lambda |d(u, u)| + \mu |d(u, u)| + \rho |d(u, Su)| = \rho |d(u, Su)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

From (3.6), we have $(1 - \rho)|d(Su, u)| \leq 0$. Since $0 < 1 - \rho < 1$, it follows that, $u = Su$. Hence u is a fixed point of S . Next, we show that u is a fixed point of T . Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n+1}, Tu) &= d(Sx_{2n}, Tu) \\ &\preceq \lambda \frac{d^2(x_{2n}, u)}{1 + d(x_{2n}, u)} + \mu d(u, Tu) + \rho d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) \\ &\preceq \lambda d(x_{2n}, u) + \mu d(u, Tu) + \rho d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ converges to u , (3.7), Remark 2.4(ii) and Lemma 2.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d(u, Tu)| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d(x_{2n+1}, Tu)| \\ &\leq \lambda |d(u, u)| + \mu |d(u, Tu)| + \rho |d(u, u)| = \mu |d(u, Tu)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

From (3.8), we have $(1 - \mu)|d(u, Tu)| \leq 0$. Since $0 < 1 - \mu < 1$, it follows that, $u = Tu$. Hence u is a fixed point of T . Hence, u is a common fixed point of S and T . Finally, we prove the uniqueness of common fixed point of S and T . Suppose v is a common fixed point of S and T . So, $Sv = v = Tv$. Now, we show that $u = v$, that consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, v) &= d(Su, Tv) \\ &\preceq \lambda \frac{d^2(u, v)}{1 + d(u, v)} + \mu d(v, Tv) + \rho d(u, Su) \\ &\preceq \lambda d(u, v) + \mu d(v, Tv) + \rho d(u, Su) \\ &= \lambda d(u, v) + \mu d(v, v) + \rho d(u, u) \\ &\preceq \lambda d(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

From Remark 2.4 (ii), taking absolute value of both side, we have

$$(1 - \lambda)|d(u, v)| \leq 0.$$

Since $0 < 1 - \lambda < 1$, it follows that $|d(u, v)| = 0$. By Definition 2.6 (ii), we have $u = v$. Therefore, S and T has a unique common fixed point in X . ■

From Theorem 3.1, we can reduced to the result of Dubey et. al [12] as follows.

Corollary 3.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued b-metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mappings satisfying the following condition*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \preceq \lambda \frac{d^2(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \mu d(y, Ty), \quad (3.9)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where λ, μ are nonnegative reals with $s\lambda + \mu < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $\rho = 0$ and $S = T$ in the equation (3.1). From Theorem 3.1, T has a unique fixed point. ■

From Theorem 3.1, we can applied to the theorem as follows.

Theorem 3.3. Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued b-metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and let $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be two self mappings satisfying the following condition:

$$d(S^n x, T^n y) \preceq \lambda \frac{d^2(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \mu d(y, T^n y) + \rho d(x, S^n x), \quad (3.10)$$

for all $x, y \in X, n > 1$ and λ, μ, ρ are nonnegative reals with $s(\lambda + \rho) + \mu < 1$. Then S and T has a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Suppose $A = S^n$ and $B = T^n$, by Theorem 3.1, there exists a common fixed point u of A and B , such that

$$Au = u = Bu.$$

Thus $S^n u = u$ and $T^n u = u$. We claim that $Su = u$. Assume that $Su \neq u$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Su, u) &= d(S(S^n u), T^n u) \\ &= d(S^n(Su), T^n u) \\ &\preceq \lambda \frac{d^2(Su, u)}{1 + d(Su, u)} + \mu d(u, T^n u) + \rho d(Su, S^n(Su)) \\ &= \lambda \frac{d^2(Su, u)}{1 + d(Su, u)} + \mu d(u, T^n u) + \rho d(Su, S(S^n u)) \\ &= \lambda \frac{d^2(Su, u)}{1 + d(Su, u)} + \mu d(u, T^n u) + \rho d(Su, Su) \\ &= \lambda \frac{d^2(Su, u)}{1 + d(Su, u)} \\ \therefore d(Su, u) &\preceq (\lambda - 1)d^2(Su, u). \end{aligned}$$

A contradiction, because $\lambda < 1$. Hence, $Su = u$. Next, we claim that $Tu = u$. Assume that $Tu \neq u$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, Tu) &= d(S^n u, T(T^n u)) \\ &= d(S^n u, T^n(Tu)) \\ &\preceq \lambda \frac{d^2(u, Tu)}{1 + d(u, Tu)} + \mu d(Tu, T^n(Tu)) + \rho d(u, S^n u) \\ &= \lambda \frac{d^2(u, Tu)}{1 + d(u, Tu)} + \mu d(Tu, T(T^n u)) + \rho d(u, S^n u) \\ &= \lambda \frac{d^2(u, Tu)}{1 + d(u, Tu)} + \mu d(Tu, Tu) + \rho d(u, S^n u) \\ &= \lambda \frac{d^2(u, Tu)}{1 + d(u, Tu)} \\ \therefore d(u, Tu) &\preceq (\lambda - 1)d^2(u, Tu). \end{aligned}$$

A contradiction, because $\lambda < 1$. Hence, $Tu = u$. Hence u is a common fixed point of S and T .

Finally, we show that u is a unique fixed point of S and T . Let v be a common fixed point of S and T , thus $S^n v = v = T^n v$. We must show that $u = v$. Assume that $u \neq v$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, v) &= d(S^n u, T^n v) \\ &\leq \lambda \frac{d^2(u, v)}{1 + d(u, v)} + \mu d(v, T^n v) + \rho d(u, S^n u) \\ &= \lambda \frac{d^2(u, v)}{1 + d(u, v)} \\ \therefore d(u, v) &\leq (\lambda - 1)d^2(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

A contradiction, because $\lambda < 1$. Hence, $u = v$. Therefore, u is a unique common fixed point of S and T . \blacksquare

Example 3.4. Let $X = \mathbb{C}$. Define a function $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$d(z_1, z_2) = |x_1 - x_2|^2 + i|y_1 - y_2|^2,$$

where $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1, z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$.

From Example 18 in [13], it implied that (X, d) is a complete complex valued b -metric space with $s = 2$. Now, we define two self-mappings $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ as follows:

$$Sz = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a, b \in \mathbb{Q}, \\ 2 & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{Q}^C, b \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 2i & \text{if } a, b \in \mathbb{Q}^C \\ 2 + 2i & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{Q}, b \in \mathbb{Q}^C \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad Tz = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a, b \in \mathbb{Q}, \\ 1 & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{Q}^C, b \in \mathbb{Q} \\ i & \text{if } a, b \in \mathbb{Q}^C \\ 1 + i & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{Q}, b \in \mathbb{Q}^C \end{cases}$$

where $z = a + bi \in X$. We see that $S^n z = 0 = T^n z$ for $n > 1$, so

$$d(S^n x, T^n y) = 0 \leq \lambda \frac{d^2(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \mu d(y, T^n y) + \rho d(x, S^n x),$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda, \mu, \rho \geq 0$ with $2(\lambda + \rho) + \mu < 1$. So all conditions of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied to get a unique common fixed point 0 of S and T .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Department of Mathematics, faculty of Science and Technology. Moreover, we would like to thank Uttaradit Rajabhat University for financial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Frechet, Sur quelques points du calcul fonctionnel, Rendicontide Circolo Matematico diPalermo. 22 (1) (1906) 1–72.
- [2] O. Ege, I. Karaca, Banach fixed point theorem for digital images, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 8 (2015) 237–245.
- [3] T. Zamfirescu, Fix point theorems in metric spaces, Archiv der Mathematik 2 (1) (1972) 292–298.

- [4] G. Emmanuele, Fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications* 5 (3) (1981) 287–292.
- [5] T. Suzuki, A new type of fixed point theorem in metric spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications* 71 (11) (2009) 5313–5317.
- [6] I.A. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces, *Functional Analysis* 30 (1989) 26–37.
- [7] S. Ali, A common fixed point result in complex valued b -metric spaces under contractive condition, *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 13 (9) (2017) 4869–4876.
- [8] A. Azam, F. Brain, M. Khan, Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 32 (3) (2011) 243–253.
- [9] S. Bhatt, S. Chaukiyal, R.C. Dimri, A common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible maps in complex valued metric space, *Int. J. Math. Sci. Appl.* 1 (3) (2011) 1385–1389.
- [10] R.K. Verma, H.K. Pathak, Common fixed point theorems using property (E.A) in complex valued metric spaces, *Thai Journal of Mathematics* 11 (2) (2013) 275–283.
- [11] W. Sintunavarat, P. Kumam, Common fixed point theorem for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces, *J. Appl. Math.* 2011 (2011) 637–958.
- [12] A.K. Dubey, R. Shukla, R.P. Dubey, Some fixed point theorems in complex valued b -metric spaces, *Hindawi Publishing Corporation Journal of Complex Systems* 2015 (2015) 1–7.
- [13] A.A. Mukheimer, Some common fixed point theorems in complex valued b -metric spaces, *The Scientific World Journal* 2014 (1014) 1–6.
- [14] K. Rao, P. Swamy, J. Prasad, A common fixed point theorem in complex valued b -metric spaces, *Bulletin of Mathematics and Statistics Research* 1 (1) 2013.