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#### Abstract

In this paper, we derive some interesting relations associated with some differential inequalities in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U}=\{z:|z|<1\}$. Some interesting applications of the main results are also obtained.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$ denote the class of analytic functions in $\mathbb{U}$. For n a positive integer and $a \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\mathcal{H}[a, n]=\left\{f \in \mathcal{H}: f(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\ldots\right\}
$$

with $\mathcal{H}_{0} \equiv \mathcal{H}[0,1]$.
Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of analytic functions of the form

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}
$$

which are analytic in the unit disc $\mathbb{U}$.
Definition 1.1. If $f$ and $g$ are two analytic functions in $\mathbb{U}$, we say that $f$ is said to be subordinate to $g$, written symbolically as $f \prec g$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w$, which (by definition) is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, with $w(0)=0$, and $|w(z)|<1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$, such that $f(z)=g(w(z)), z \in \mathbb{U}$.

If the function $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, then we have the following equivalence (c.f [1, 2]):

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0)=g(0) \quad \text { and } \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}) .
$$

Definition 1.2. Let $Q$ denote the set of all functions $q$ that are analytic and injective on $\partial \mathbb{U} \backslash E(q)$, where

[^0]$$
E(q)=\left\{\zeta \in \partial \mathbb{U}: \lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta} q(z)=\infty\right\}
$$
and are such that $q^{\prime}(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial \mathbb{U} \backslash E(q)$. Further, let the subclass of $Q$ for $Q(0) \equiv a$ be denoted by $Q(a)$ and $Q(1) \equiv Q_{1}$.

In the present paper, we obtain some interesting relations associated with some differential inequalities in $\mathbb{U}$. These relations extend and generalize earlier results. Some applications of the main results are also obtained.

## 2. Preliminaries

To prove our results, we need the following results due to Miller and Mocanu [2].
Lemma 2.1. [2, p. 24] Let $q \in Q$, with $q(0)=a$, and let $p(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\ldots$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $p(z) \not \equiv a$ and $k \geq 1$. If $p$ is not subordinate to $q$, then there exists points $z_{0}=r_{0} e^{i \theta_{0}} \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\zeta_{0} \in \partial \mathbb{U} \backslash E(q)$ and $k \geq n \geq 1$ for $p\left(\mathbb{U}_{r_{0}}\right) \subset q(\mathbb{U})$,
(i) $p\left(z_{0}\right)=q\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$,
(ii) $z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k \zeta_{0} q^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$.

Lemma 2.2. [2, p. 26] Let $p \in \mathcal{H}[a, n]$, with $p(z) \not \equiv a$ and $k \geq 1$. If $z_{0} \in \mathbb{U}$ and

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(p\left(z_{0}\right)\right)=\min \left\{\operatorname{Re}(p(z)):|z| \leq\left|z_{0}\right|\right\}
$$

then

$$
z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \leq-\frac{k}{2} \frac{\left|p\left(z_{0}\right)-a\right|^{2}}{\operatorname{Re}\left(a-p\left(z_{0}\right)\right)}
$$

## 3. Main Results

Unless and otherwise mentioned throughout the paper $\sigma \geq 0,0 \leq \beta \leq 1, a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(a)>0$ and all the powers are the principal ones.

Theorem 3.1. Let $p(z)$ be an analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{Im}\left([a p(z)-\lambda]\left[\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}+a p(z)-1\right]\right)\right|<\frac{\mathcal{T}}{(\operatorname{Re}(a) \sqrt{(\lambda+2 \lambda \operatorname{Re}(a)+2 \operatorname{Re}(a)) \lambda)}}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}=\lambda(2|a| \lambda \operatorname{Re}(a)+2 \operatorname{Re}(a)|a|+\lambda|a|+\sqrt{((\lambda+2 \lambda \operatorname{Re}(a)+2 \operatorname{Re}(a)) \lambda)} \operatorname{Im}(a)), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(\lambda>0)$ then $\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{ap}(z))>0$.
Proof. Let us define both $q(z)$ and $h(z)$ as follows

$$
q(z)=a p(z)
$$

and

$$
h(z)=\frac{a+\bar{a} z}{1-z}, \operatorname{Re}(a)>0
$$

where $q(z)$ and $h(z)$ are analytic functions in $\mathbb{U}$ with $g(0)=h(0)=a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $h(\mathbb{U})=\{w: \operatorname{Re}(w)>0\}$.

Now, we suppose that $q(z) \nprec h(z)$, then by using Lemma 2.1 there exists $z_{0} \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\zeta_{0} \in \partial \mathbb{U} \backslash\{1\}$ such that

$$
q\left(z_{0}\right)=h\left(\zeta_{0}\right)=i \beta \text { and } z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k \zeta_{0} h^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}\right), k>1
$$

Also, we note that

$$
z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=\frac{-\left|q\left(z_{0}\right)-a\right|^{2}}{2 \operatorname{Re}\left(a-q\left(z_{0}\right)\right)}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(a p\left(z_{0}\right)-\lambda\right)\left(\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}+\operatorname{ap}\left(z_{0}\right)-1\right)\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{k \zeta_{0} h^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}\right.}{h\left(\zeta_{0}\right)}\left(h\left(\zeta_{0}\right)-\lambda\right)+h\left(\zeta_{0}\right)\left(h\left(\zeta_{0}\right)-\lambda\right)-\left(h\left(\zeta_{0}\right)-\lambda\right)\right)\right| \\
& \geq\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\zeta_{0} h^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}\right.}{h\left(\zeta_{0}\right)}\left(h\left(\zeta_{0}\right)-\lambda\right)+h\left(\zeta_{0}\right)\left(h\left(\zeta_{0}\right)-\lambda\right)-\left(h\left(\zeta_{0}\right)-\lambda\right)\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{-|i \beta-a|^{2}}{2 \operatorname{Re}(a) i \beta}(i \beta-\lambda)+i \beta(i \beta-\lambda)-(i \beta-\lambda)\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{-\lambda\left(|a|^{2}+\beta^{2}-2 \operatorname{Im}(a) \beta\right)}{2 \beta \operatorname{Re}(a)}-\lambda \beta-\beta\right| \\
& \geq \frac{-\lambda\left(|a|^{2}+\beta^{2}-2 \operatorname{Im}(a) \beta\right)}{2 \beta \operatorname{Re}(a)}-\lambda \beta-\beta=Q(\beta),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Q(\beta)$ is a function of $\beta$, and it attains a minimum at

$$
\beta^{*}=\frac{-\sqrt{(\lambda+2 \lambda \operatorname{Re}(a)+2 \operatorname{Re}(a)) \lambda)}|a|}{(\lambda+2 \lambda \operatorname{Re}(a)+2 \operatorname{Re}(a))} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(a p\left(z_{0}\right)-\lambda\right)\left(\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}+a p\left(z_{0}\right)-1\right)\right)\right| & \geq Q\left(\beta^{*}\right) \\
& =\frac{\mathcal{T}}{(\operatorname{Re}(a) \sqrt{((\lambda+2 \lambda \operatorname{Re}(a)+2 \operatorname{Re}(a)) \lambda))}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{T}$ is defined by (3.2) and hence, we obtain a contradiction to the assumption (3.1). Therefore, $q(z) \prec h(z)$ and $\operatorname{Re}(a p(z))>0$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $B(z)$ be a complex valued function in $\mathbb{U}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(a B(z)) \leq \operatorname{Im}(a p(z))$.If $p(z)$ is an analytic function in $\mathbb{U}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z) B(z)}{p(z)^{2}}\right)>\frac{-K}{2|a|^{2} \operatorname{Re}(a)^{2}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
K= & |a|\left(1+\operatorname{Re}(a)^{6}\right)+2 \operatorname{Im}(a) \operatorname{Re}(a)|a|^{2}  \tag{3.4}\\
& +|a| \operatorname{Im}(a)^{2} \operatorname{Re}(a)^{2}\left(2 \operatorname{Re}(a)^{2}+\operatorname{Im}(a)^{2}\right)-2 \operatorname{Re}(a)^{2}|a|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

then $\operatorname{Re}(a p(z))>0$.

Proof. Let us define both $g(z)$ and $h(z)$ as follows

$$
g(z)=a p(z)
$$

and

$$
h(z)=\frac{a+\bar{a} z}{1-z}, \operatorname{Re}(a)>0
$$

where $g(z)$ and $h(z)$ are analytic functions in $\mathbb{U}$ with $g(0)=h(0)=a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $h(\mathbb{U})=\{w: \operatorname{Re}(w)>0\}$.
Now, suppose $g(z) \nprec h(z)$ by Lemma 2.1 there exists $z_{0} \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\zeta_{0} \in \partial \mathbb{U} \backslash\{1\}$ such that

$$
g\left(z_{0}\right)=h\left(\zeta_{0}\right)=i \gamma \text { and } z_{0} g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k \zeta_{0} h^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)
$$

Also, from Lemma 2.2 we have

$$
z_{0} g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \leq-\frac{k|i \gamma-a|^{2}}{2 \operatorname{Re}(a)}, k \geq 1
$$

Next,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) B\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)^{2}}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
& =1+\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z_{0} g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) a B\left(z_{0}\right)}{g\left(z_{0}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& =1+\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{k \zeta_{0} h^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}\right) a B\left(z_{0}\right)}{h\left(\zeta_{0}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq 1-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{k|i \gamma-a|^{2} a B\left(z_{0}\right)}{2 \operatorname{Re}(a)(i \gamma)^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq 1+\frac{\left(|a|^{2}-2 \operatorname{Im}(a) \gamma+\gamma^{2}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left(a B\left(z_{0}\right)\right)}{2 \operatorname{Re}(a) \gamma^{2}} \\
& \leq 1+\frac{\left(|a|^{2}-2 \operatorname{Im}(a) \gamma+\gamma^{2}\right) \gamma}{2 \operatorname{Re}(a) \gamma^{2}}=f(\gamma),
\end{align*}
$$

where the function $f(\gamma)$ attains the maximum at $|a|$. Therefore,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) B\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)^{2}}\right) \leq f(|a|)=\frac{-K}{2|a|^{2} \operatorname{Re}(a)^{2}}
$$

where K is defined by (3.4). Hence we obtain a contradiction to (3.3). Therefore $g(z) \prec$ $h(z)$ or $\operatorname{Re}(a p(z))>0$.

## 4. Applications and Examples

Letting $a=1$ in Theorem 3.1, we have the following Corollary
Corollary 4.1. Let $p(z)$ be an analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $p(0)=1$ and

$$
\left|\operatorname{Im}\left((p(z)-\lambda)\left(\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}+p(z)-1\right)\right)\right|<\sqrt{(3 \lambda+2) \lambda} \quad(\lambda>0)
$$

then $\operatorname{Re}(p(z))>0$.

By taking $p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}$ in the above Corollary, we get the following result due to Xu and Yang [3, Theorem 2, pp 586]

Corollary 4.2. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $f^{\prime}(z) f(z) \neq 0$ in $0<|z|<1$ and

$$
\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\frac{\lambda z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right|<\sqrt{(3 \lambda+2) \lambda} \text { for }(\lambda>0)
$$

then $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>0$.
Letting $\lambda=1$ in the above Corollary 4.2, we have the following result which is an improvement of the result which were earlier proved by Lin and Owa [4] and Obradovic [5] respectively
Corollary 4.3. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $f^{\prime}(z) f(z) \neq 0$ in $0<|z|<1$ and

$$
\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right|<\sqrt{5}
$$

then $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>0$.
Letting $p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}$ and $B(z)=1$ in Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following Corollary Corollary 4.4. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}}{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}}\right)>0$, then $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>0$.

By taking $p(z)=f^{\prime}(z)$ and $B(z)=1$ in Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following Corollary
Corollary 4.5. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)^{2}}\right)>0$, then $\operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)>0$, hence $f$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$.
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