

Some Common Fixed Point Theorem for Geraghty's Type Contraction Mapping with Two T -Metrics in T -Metric Spaces with Graph

Chaiporn Thangthong and Phakdi Charoensawan*

Research Center in Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand
e-mail : cthagthong@hotmail.com (C. Thangthong); phakdi@hotmail.com (P. Charoensawan)

Abstract The purpose of this paper is to present some existence and uniqueness results for common fixed point theorems for Geraghty's type contraction mappings with two T -metrics in T -metric spaces endowed with a directed graph. In addition, Our results generalize those presented in [J. Martínez-Moreno, W. Sintunavarat, Y.J. Cho, Common fixed point theorems for Geraghty's type contraction mappings using the monotone property with two metrics, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2015 (2015) 174].

MSC: 47H09; 47H10

Keywords: fixed point; coincidence point; Geraghty; common fixed point; T -metrics

Submission date: 18.09.2017 / Acceptance date: 24.09.2018

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Geraghty [1] introduced an interesting class Θ of functions $\theta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ satisfying that:

$$\theta(t_n) \rightarrow 1 \implies t_n \rightarrow 0,$$

and obtained some results which is a generalization of the Banach's contraction principle in 1973.

Recently, Martínez-Moreno et al. [2] gave some new common fixed point theorems for Geraghty's type contraction mappings employing the monotone property with two metrics by using d -compatibility and g -uniform continuity defined as follows.

Definition 1.1 ([3]). Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. The mappings g and f are said to be d -compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gfx_n, fgx_n) = 0$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n$.

*Corresponding author.

Definition 1.2 ([3]). Let (X, d) and (Y, d') be two metric spaces, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. A mapping f is said to be *g-uniformly continuous* on X if, for any real number $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $d'(fx, fy) < \epsilon$ whenever $x, y \in X$ and $d(gx, gy) < \delta$. If g is the identity mapping, then f is said to be *uniformly continuous* on X .

Let (X, d) be a metric space, and Δ be a diagonal of $X \times X$. Let G be a directed graph such that the set $V(G)$ of its vertices coincides with X and $\Delta \subseteq E(G)$, where $E(G)$ is the set of the edges of the graph. Assume also that G has no parallel edges and, thus, one can identify G with the pair $(V(G), E(G))$.

Throughout the paper we shall say that G with the above-mentioned properties satisfies standard conditions.

The fixed point theorem using the context of metric spaces endowed with a graph was initiated by Jachymski [4], which generalizes the Banach contraction principle to mappings on a metric spaces with a graph. Also, the definitions of G -continuous and the property A were given in [4].

Definition 1.3 ([4]). A mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is called *G-continuous* if for any $x \in X$ such that there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X , $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$.

Definition 1.4 ([4]). Let (X, d) be a metric space, and suppose that G is a directed graph. We say that the triple (X, d, G) has the property A , if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $x_n \rightarrow x$, and $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$.

Definition 1.5 ([5]). Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let $E(G)$ be the set of the edges of the graph. We say that $E(G)$ satisfies the transitivity property if and only if, for all $x, y, a \in X$,

$$(x, a), (a, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (x, y) \in E(G).$$

Since then, many authors have studied the problem of existence of a fixed point for single-valued mappings and multi-valued mappings in several spaces with a graph, see [6–13].

Definition 1.6 ([14]). A binary normed operation is a mapping $\diamond : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) \diamond is associative and commutative;
- (2) \diamond is continuous;
- (3) $a \diamond 0 = a$ for all $a \in [0, \infty)$;
- (4) $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for each $a, b, c, d \in [0, \infty)$.

In 2011, S.Sedghi et.al. [14] introduced the concept of T -metric spaces as follows.

Definition 1.7 ([14]). Let X be a nonempty set. A T -metric on X is a function $T : X^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies the following condition for each $x, y, z \in X$

- (1) $T(x, y) \geq 0$ and $T(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (2) $T(x, y) = T(y, x)$;
- (3) $T(x, y) \leq T(x, z) \diamond T(y, z)$.

The 3-tuple (X, T, \diamond) is called a *T-metric space*.

Example 1.8. Every ordinary metric d is a T -metric with $a \diamond b = a + b$.

Definition 1.9 ([14]). Let (X, T, \diamond) be a T -metric space.

- (1) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to x if $T(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.
- (2) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a *Cauchy sequence* if for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for all $n, m \geq n_0$.
- (3) The T -metric space (X, T, \diamond) is said to be *complete* if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Definition 1.10 ([14]). Let (X, T, \diamond) be a T -metric space. T is said to be continuous if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n, y_n) = T(x, y),$$

wherever

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(y_n, y) = 0.$$

Lemma 1.11 ([14]). Let (X, T, \diamond) be a T -metric space. Then T is a continuous function.

The aim of this paper is to present some existence and uniqueness results for common fixed point theorems for θ contraction mappings with two T -metrics endowed with a directed graph. Furthermore, by using our main results, we are able to generalize the results obtained in [2].

2. MAIN RESULTS

We introduce the concept of g -Cauchy and edge preserving which are an effective tool as follows:

Definition 2.1 ([3]). Let (X, T, \diamond) be a T -metric space, and let $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. The mappings g and f are said to be T -compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(gfx_n, fgx_n) = 0,$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n$.

Definition 2.2. Let (X, T, \diamond) and (Y, T', \diamond) be two T -metric spaces, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. The mapping f is said to be g -Cauchy on X if, for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, T, \diamond) , then $\{fx_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in (Y, T', \diamond) .

Definition 2.3. Let (X, T, \diamond) be a T -metric space, and suppose that G is a directed graph. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is called G -continuous if for any $x \in X$ such that there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X , $T(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $T(f(x_n), f(x)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.4. Let (X, T, \diamond) be a T -metric space, and suppose that G is a directed graph. We say that the triple (X, T, G) has the property A , if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $T(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$.

Definition 2.5. Let G be a directed graph, and let $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mapping. We say that f is g -edge preserving w.r.t G if

$$(gx, gy) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (fx, fy) \in E(G).$$

We now introduce a new class of the Geraghty type contractions in the following definition.

Definition 2.6. Let (X, T, \diamond) be a T -metric space endowed with a directed graph G , and let $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be given mappings. The pair (f, g) is called a θ -contraction w.r.t T if :

- (1) f is g -edge preserving w.r.t G ;
- (2) there exists two functions $\theta \in \Theta$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ such that $(gx, gy) \in E(G)$,

$$T(fx, fy) \leq \theta(M(gx, gy))M(gx, gy), \quad (2.1)$$

$$\text{where } M(gx, gy) = \max \left\{ T(gx, gy), T(gx, fx), T(gy, fy) \right\}.$$

Let (X, d) be a metric space endowed with a directed graph G satisfying the standard conditions, and let two mappings $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be given.

We define important subsets of X as follows

$$X(f, g) := \{u \in X : (gu, fu) \in E(G)\},$$

$$C(f, g) := \{u \in X : fu = gu\},$$

i.e., the set of all coincidence points of mappings f and g , and

$$Cm(f, g) := \{u \in X : fu = gu = u\},$$

i.e., the set of all common fixed points of mappings f and g .

Let T', T be two T -metrics on X . By $T < T'$ (resp., $T \leq T'$), we mean $T(x, y) < T'(x, y)$ (resp., $T(x, y) \leq T'(x, y)$) for all $x, y \in X$.

Now we are ready to present and prove the main results.

Theorem 2.7. Let (X, T', \diamond) be a complete T -metric space endowed with a directed graph G , and let T be another T -metric on X . Suppose that $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ and (f, g) is a θ -contraction w.r.t T . Suppose that

- (1) $g : (X, T', \diamond) \rightarrow (X, T', \diamond)$ is continuous ;
- (2) $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$ and $(g(X), T', \diamond)$ be a complete T -metric space ;
- (3) $E(G)$ satisfies the transitivity property;
- (4) if $T \not\leq T'$, assume that $f : (X, T, \diamond) \rightarrow (X, T', \diamond)$ is g -Cauchy on X ;
- (5) $f : (X, T', \diamond) \rightarrow (X, T', \diamond)$ is G -continuous, and f and g are T' -compatible.

Then, under these conditions,

$$X(f, g) \neq \emptyset \text{ if and only if } C(f, g) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Suppose that $C(f, g) \neq \emptyset$. Let $u \in C(f, g)$. We have $fu = gu$. Then $(gu, fu) = (gu, gu) \in \Delta \subset E(G)$. Hence $(gu, gu) = (gu, fu) \in E(G)$ which means that $u \in X(f, g)$ and thus $X(f, g) \neq \emptyset$.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose now $X(f, g) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x_0 \in X$ such that $(gx_0, fx_0) \in E(G)$. By the assumption that $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$ and $f(x_0) \in X$, it easy to construct a sequences $\{x_n\}$ in X for which

$$gx_n = fx_{n-1},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $gx_{n_0} = gx_{n_0-1}$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, then x_{n_0-1} is a coincidence point of the mappings g and f . Therefore, we assume that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $gx_n \neq gx_{n-1}$ holds.

Since $(gx_0, fx_0) = (gx_0, gx_1) \in E(G)$ and f is edge preserving w.r.t g , we have $(fx_0, fx_1) = (gx_1, gx_2) \in E(G)$. Continue inductively, we obtain that $(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) \in E(G)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence it follows from the contractive condition that

$$\begin{aligned} T(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) &= T(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \theta(M(gx_n, gx_{n+1}))M(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \\ &< M(gx_n, gx_{n+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

On the other hand, we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) &= \max \left\{ T(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), T(gx_n, fx_n), T(gx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}) \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ T(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), T(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $M(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = T(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2})$, then by (2.2), we obtain that

$$T(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) < T(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2})$$

which is a contradiction. So, for all $n \geq 1$, we have

$$M(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = T(gx_n, gx_{n+1}). \tag{2.3}$$

Notice that in view of (2.2), we have

$$T(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) < T(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, we deduce that the sequence $\{T(gx_n, gx_{n+1})\}$ is nonnegative and increasing. Consequently, there exists $r \geq 0$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = r$. We claim that $r = 0$.

Suppose, on the contrary, that $r > 0$. Then, due to (2.2), we have

$$\frac{T(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2})}{T(gx_n, gx_{n+1})} = \frac{T(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2})}{M(gx_n, gx_{n+1})} \leq \theta(M(gx_n, gx_{n+1})) < 1.$$

It follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(M(gx_n, gx_{n+1})) = 1$. Owing to the fact that $\theta \in \Theta$, we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = 0$, a contradiction. So, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

We assert that $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose, on the contrary, that $\{gx_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence. Thus, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $n(k), m(k) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n(k) > m(k) \geq k$ with the smallest number satisfying the condition below

$$T(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)}) \geq \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad T(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)}) < \epsilon.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\leq T(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}) \\ &\leq T(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}) \diamond T(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{n(k)}) \\ &\leq \epsilon \diamond d(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{n(k)}). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality. By (2.4), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon \diamond T(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{n(k)}) = \epsilon \diamond 0 = \epsilon$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}) = \epsilon > 0. \quad (2.5)$$

By the transitivity property of $E(G)$, we get $(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}) \in E(G)$ for all k . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(gx_{m(k)+1}, gx_{n(k)+1}) &= T(fx_{m(k)}, fx_{n(k)}) \\ &\leq \theta(M(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}))M(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}) &= \max \left\{ T(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}), T(gx_{m(k)}, fx_{m(k)}), T(gx_{n(k)}, fx_{n(k)}) \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ T(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}), T(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{m(k)+1}), T(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{n(k)+1}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$\frac{T(gx_{m(k)+1}, gx_{n(k)+1})}{M(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)})} \leq \theta(M(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)})) < 1. \quad (2.6)$$

Keeping (2.4), (2.5) in mind and letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we derive that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}) = \epsilon > 0.$$

By inequality (2.6), we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta(M(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)})) = 1$$

and hence $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}) = 0$, a contradiction. So, we conclude that $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, T, \diamond) .

Next, we claim that $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence with respect to T' .

If $T \geq T'$, it is trivial. Thus, suppose $T \not\geq T'$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, T, \diamond) and f is g -Cauchy on X , we have $\{fx_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in (X, T', \diamond) . Then there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$T'(gx_{n+1}, gx_{m+1}) = T'(fx_n, fx_m) < \epsilon,$$

whenever $n, m \geq N_0$. So $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence with respect to T' .

Since $(g(X), T', \diamond)$ is a complete T-metric space, there exists $u = gx \in g(X)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = u.$$

Now, since $f : (X, T', \diamond) \rightarrow (X, T', \diamond)$ is G -continuous, and f and g are T' -compatible, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T'(g f x_n, f g x_n) = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Using the triangle inequality, we have

$$T'(gu, fu) \leq T'(gu, g f x_n) \diamond T'(g f x_n, f g x_n) \diamond T'(f g x_n, fu).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, from (2.7), f is G -continuous and the continuity of g and \diamond , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T'(gu, g f x_n) \diamond T'(g f x_n, f g x_n) \diamond T'(f g x_n, fu) = 0 \diamond 0 \diamond 0 = 0.$$

It follows that $T'(gu, fu) = 0$ which implies that $gu = fu$. So u is a coincidence point of f and g . ■

If $T = T'$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.8. *Let (X, T, \diamond) be a complete T -metric space endowed with a directed graph G . Suppose that $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ and (f, g) is a θ -contraction w.r.t T . Moreover, suppose that:*

- (1) g is continuous;
- (2) $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$ and $(g(X), T, \diamond)$ be a complete T -metric space;
- (3) $E(G)$ satisfies the transitivity property;
- (4) assume that (a) f is G -continuous and f and g are T -compatible or (b) (X, T, G) has the property A .

Then, under these conditions,

$$X(f, g) \neq \emptyset \text{ if and only if } C(f, g) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. In order to avoid the repetition, following from the same proof in Theorem 2.7, we can only consider (b) of the condition (3). Since $\{g x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, T, \diamond) and $(g(X), T, \diamond)$ is a complete T -metric space, there exists $u \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g x_n = gu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n. \tag{2.8}$$

Now, we show that u is a coincidence point of f and g . Suppose, on the contrary, that $fu \neq gu$. Then $T(fu, gu) > 0$. Since (X, T, G) has the property A , we have $(g x_n, gu) \in E(G)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$T(gu, fu) \leq T(gu, f x_{n(k)}) \diamond T(f x_{n(k)}, fu)$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} T(gu, fu) &\leq T(gu, f x_{n(k)}) \diamond T(f x_{n(k)}, fu) \\ &\leq T(gu, f x_{n(k)}) \diamond \theta(M(g x_{n(k)}, gu))M(g x_{n(k)}, gu). \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in inequality (2.9), by the property of ϕ, \diamond is continuous and (2.8), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T(gu, fu) &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{T(gu, f x_{n(k)}) \diamond \theta(M(g x_{n(k)}, gu))M(g x_{n(k)}, gu)\} \\ &= 0 \diamond \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta(M(g x_{n(k)}, gu))M(g x_{n(k)}, gu) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta(M(g x_{n(k)}, gu))M(g x_{n(k)}, gu), \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$M(gx_{n(k)}, gu) = \max \left\{ T(gx_{n(k)}, gu), T(gx_{n(k)}, fx_{n(k)}), T(gu, fu) \right\}.$$

From (2.8), we obtain that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(gx_{n(k)}, gu) = T(gu, fu) > 0.$$

From (2.10), we obtain that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta(M(gx_{n(k)}, gu)) = 1$ so $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(gx_{n(k)}, gu) = T(gu, fu) = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore $fu = gu$. Consequently, we conclude that f and g have a coincidence point. ■

Theorem 2.9. *In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 2.7 (Theorem 2.8), assume that (K) for any $x, y \in C(f, g)$ such that $gx \neq gy$, we have $(gx, gy) \in E(G)$. If $X(f, g) \neq \emptyset$, then $Cm(f, g) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Theorem 2.7 implies that there exists a coincidence point $x \in X$, that is, $gx = fx$. Suppose that there exists another coincidence point $y \in X$ such that $gy = fy$. Assume that $gx \neq gy$. By assumption (K), $(gx, gy) \in E(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(fx, fy) &\leq \theta(M(gx, gy))M(gx, gy) \\ &< M(gx, gy) = T(fx, fy), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $gx = gy$. Starting from $x_0 = x$, choose the sequences $\{x_n\}$ satisfying $gx_n = fx_{n-1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking into account the properties of coincidence points, it is easy to see that it can be done so that $x_n = x$, i.e.,

$$gx_n = fx,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, let $p = gx$. Hence we have $gp = ggx = gfx$. By the definition of the sequence $\{x_n\}$, we have $gx_n = fx = fx_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = fx$$

with respect to T' . Since g and f are T' -compatible, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T'(gfx_n, fgx_n) = 0,$$

that is, $gfx = fgx$. Therefore, we have $gp = gfx = fgx = fp$. This implies that p is another coincidence point of the mappings f and g . By the property we have just proved, it follows that $fp = gp = gx = p$ and so p is a common fixed point of g and f . This completes the proof. ■

Let Φ denote the class of all functions $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which satisfy the following conditions:

- (ϕ_1): ϕ is nondecreasing;
- (ϕ_2): ϕ is continuous;
- (ϕ_3): $\phi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$;
- (ϕ_4): $\phi(t \diamond s) \leq \phi(t) \diamond \phi(s)$.

Definition 2.10. Let (X, T, \diamond) be a T-metric space endowed with a directed graph G , and let $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be given mappings. The pair (f, g) is called a θ - ϕ -contraction w.r.t T if :

- (1) f is g -edge preserving w.r.t G ;
- (2) there exist two functions $\theta \in \Theta$ and $\phi \in \Phi$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ such that $(gx, gy) \in E(G)$,

$$\phi(T(fx, fy)) \leq \theta(T(gx, gy))\phi(T(gx, gy)). \tag{2.11}$$

Applying the similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.7 and 2.8 , we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.11. *Let (X, T', \diamond) be a complete T -metric space endowed with a directed graph G , and let T be another T -metric on X . Suppose that $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ and (f, g) is a θ - ϕ -contraction w.r.t T . Suppose that*

- (1) $g : (X, T', \diamond) \rightarrow (X, T', \diamond)$ is continuous;
- (2) $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$ and $(g(X), T', \diamond)$ be a complete T -metric space;
- (3) $E(G)$ satisfies the transitivity property;
- (4) if $T \not\preceq T'$, assume that $f : (X, T, \diamond) \rightarrow (X, T', \diamond)$ is g -Cauchy on X ;
- (5) $f : (X, T', \diamond) \rightarrow (X, T', \diamond)$ is G -continuous, and f and g are T' -compatible.

Then, under these conditions,

$$X(f, g) \neq \emptyset \text{ if and only if } C(f, g) \neq \emptyset.$$

Theorem 2.12. *Let (X, T, \diamond) be a complete T -metric space endowed with a directed graph G . Suppose that $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ and (f, g) is a θ - ϕ -contraction w.r.t d . Moreover, suppose that:*

- (1) g is continuous;
- (2) $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$ and $(g(X), T, \diamond)$ be a complete T -metric space;
- (3) $E(G)$ satisfies the transitivity property;
- (4) assume that (a) f is G -continuous and f and g are T -compatible or (b) (X, T, G) has the property A .

Then, under these conditions,

$$X(f, g) \neq \emptyset \text{ if and only if } C(f, g) \neq \emptyset.$$

Remark 2.13. Put $E(G) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \preceq y\}$, $\phi(t) = t$ and $a \diamond b = a + b$ in Theorem 2.11. In this case, we obtain the results of [2].

Example 2.14. Let $X = [0, \infty) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and the T -metrics $T, T' : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined by $T(x, y) = (x - y)^2$ and $T'(x, y) = L(x - y)^2$ where L is a real number such that $L \in (0, 1)$ and $a \diamond b = (\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})^2$.

Now, we consider $E(G)$ given by

$$E(G) = \{(x, y) : x = y \text{ or } [x, y \in [0, 1/9] \text{ with } x \leq y]\},$$

where \leq is the usual order.

Consider the mappings $f : X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$gx = x^2, \quad fx = x^4,$$

for all $x \in X$, respectively.

Next, we show that the conditions (1)–(2) in Definition 2.10 hold as follows:

- (1) Let $(gx, gy) \in E(G)$,
if $gx = gy$ then $fx = fy$ and $(fx, fy) \in E(G)$,

if $gx, gy \in E(G)$ with $gx \leq gy$, then we obtain $gx = x^2, gy = y^2 \in [0, 1/9]$ and $x^2 = gx \leq gy = y^2$, we have $fx = x^4 \leq fy = y^4$ and $fx, fy \in [0, 1/9]$. This implies that $(fx, fy) \in E(G)$;

(2) Let $\theta \in \Theta$ be defined by

$$\theta(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{20}, & \text{if } 0 \leq t < 1, \\ t^2 + 4, & \text{if } t \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let x, y be arbitrary points in X and $(gx, gy) \in E(G)$. If $gx = gy$, we have $x = y$ and hence the contractive condition (2.1) holds for this case. In another case, we have

$$gx = x^2, gy = y^2 \in [0, 1/9] \text{ with } gx \leq gy.$$

Then we obtain $x^2 + y^2 \in [0, 2/9]$ and $x \leq y$. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(fx, fy) &= (x^4 - y^4)^2 \\ &= (x^2 + y^2)^2(x^2 - y^2)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{20}(x^2 - y^2)^2 \\ &= \theta((x^2 - y^2)^2)(x^2 - y^2)^2 \\ &= \theta(T(gx, gy))T(gx, gy) \\ &\leq \theta(T(gx, gy))M(gx, gy), \end{aligned}$$

where $M(gx, gy) = \max \left\{ T(gx, gy), T(gx, fx), T(gy, fy) \right\}$.

Therefore, (f, g) is a θ -contraction w.r.t T .

Next, we show that the conditions (1)–(5) in Theorem 2.7 hold as follows:

- (1) We can easily check that $g : (X, T', \diamond) \rightarrow (X, T', \diamond)$ is continuous;
- (2) By the definition of f and g , we can see that $f(X) = g(X)$ and it is easy to see that $(g(X), T', \diamond)$ is a complete T-metric space;
- (3) It is easy to see that $E(G)$ satisfies the transitivity property;
- (4) It is easy to see that $T \geq T'$. So, we have nothing to show this condition;
- (5) We will prove that $f : (X, T', \diamond) \rightarrow (X, T', \diamond)$ is G -continuous, and f and g are T' -compatible. It is easy to see that $f : (X, T', \diamond) \rightarrow (X, T', \diamond)$ is G -continuous. So we will only show that f and g are T' -compatible. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = a,$$

for some $a \in X$. Now, we have

$$T'(gfx_n, fgx_n) = L(x_n^8 - x_n^8)^2 = 0,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $T'(gfx_n, fgx_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We have $0 \in X$ such that $(0, 0) = (g0, f0) \in E(G)$, then $X(f, g) \neq \emptyset$. Consequently, all the conditions of Theorem 2.7 hold. Therefore, g and f have a coincidence point and, further, the points 0 and 1 are common fixed points of the mappings g and f .

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by Chiang Mai University.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Geraghty, On contractive mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1973) 604–608.
- [2] J. Martínez-Moreno, W. Sintunavarat, Y.J. Cho, Common fixed point theorems for Geraghty's type contraction mappings using the monotone property with two metrics, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2015 (2015) Article no. 174.
- [3] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 9 (1986) 771–779.
- [4] J. Jachymski, The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 136 (2008) 1359–1373.
- [5] S. Suantai, P. Charoensawan, T.A. Lampert, Common coupled fixed point theorems for θ - ψ contraction mappings endowed with a directed graph, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2015 (2015) Article no. 224.
- [6] M.R. Alfuraidan, The contraction principle for multivalued mappings on a modular metric space with a graph, Canad. Math. Bull. 59 (1) (2016) 3–12.
- [7] M.R. Alfuraidan, Remarks on monotone multivalued mappings on a metric space with a graph, J. Ineq. Appl. 2015 (2015) Article no. 202.
- [8] M.R. Alfuraidan, M.A. Khamsi, Caristi fixed point theorem in metric spaces with a graph, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2014 (2014) Article ID 303484.
- [9] M.R. Alfuraidan, Remarks on Caristi's fixed point theorem in metric spaces with a graph, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2014 (2014) Article no. 240.
- [10] I. Beg, A.R. Butt, S. Radojević, The contraction principle for set valued mappings on a metric space with a graph, Comput. Math. Appl. 60 (2010) 1214–1219.
- [11] F. Bojor, Fixed point theorems for Reich type contractions on metric spaces with a graph, Nonlinear Anal. 75 (9) (2012) 3895–3901.
- [12] R. Suparatulatorn, W. Chulamjiak, S. Suantai, A modified S-iteration process for G-nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces with graphs, Numerical Algorithms 77 (2018) 479–490.
- [13] P. Chulamjiak, Fixed point theorems for Banach type contraction on Tvs-cone metric spaces endowed with a graph, J. Comput. Anal. Appl 16 (2014) 338–345.
- [14] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, K.P.R. Rao and J.R. Prasad, Extensions of Fixed Point Theorems with Respect to w - T -distance, IJASEAT 2 (6) (2011).